

# From the master equation to mean field game asymptotics

Daniel Lacker

Division of Applied Mathematics, *Brown University*

8th Western Conference in Mathematical Finance, March 24,  
2017

Joint work with Francois Delarue and Kavita Ramanan

## Overview

A **mean field game** (MFG) is a game with a continuum of players.

In various contexts, we know rigorously that the MFG arises as the **limit of  $n$ -player games** as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

But **how close of an approximation** is an MFG for the  $n$ -player game?

**This talk:** Refined MFG asymptotics in the form of a **central limit theorem** and **large deviation principle**, as well as **non-asymptotic concentration bounds**.

## Interacting diffusions

Suppose particles  $i = 1, \dots, n$  interact through their empirical measure according to

$$dX_t^i = b(X_t^i, \bar{\nu}_t^n)dt + dW_t^i, \quad \bar{\nu}_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{X_t^k},$$

where  $W^1, \dots, W^n$  are independent Brownian motions.

Under “nice” assumptions on  $b$ , we have  $\bar{\nu}_t^n \rightarrow \nu_t$ , where  $\nu_t$  solves the **McKean-Vlasov** equation,

$$dX_t = b(X_t, \nu_t)dt + dW_t, \quad \nu_t = \text{Law}(X_t).$$

## Empirical measure limit theory

There is a rich literature on asymptotics of  $\bar{\nu}_t^n$ :

1. **LLN**:  $\bar{\nu}^n \rightarrow \nu$ , where  $\nu$  solves a McKean-Vlasov equation.  
(Oelschläger '84, Gärtner '88, Sznitman '91, etc.)
2. **Fluctuations**:  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\nu}_t^n - \nu_t)$  converges to a distribution-valued process driven by space-time Brownian motion.  
(Tanaka '84, Sznitman '85, Kurtz-Xiong '04, etc.)
3. **Large deviations**:  $\bar{\nu}^n$  has an explicit LDP.  
(Dawson-Gärtner '87, Budhiraja-Dupuis-Fischer '12)
4. **Concentration**: Finite- $n$  bounds are available for  $\mathbb{P}(d(\bar{\nu}^n, \nu) > \epsilon)$ , for various metrics  $d$ .  
(Bolley-Guillin-Villani '07, etc.)

**The idea:** The McKean-Vlasov system is often more amenable to analysis than the more physical  $n$ -particle system.

## From particle systems to mean field games

Interacting diffusion systems are **zero-intelligence** models.

**Mean field games** are often more suitable in financial/economic applications, replacing **particles** with **decision-makers**. The dynamics of  $X^i$  become **controlled**, and the  $n$ -particle system becomes a **game**.

**The idea:** Approximate the realistic  $n$ -player game equilibrium using the more tractable MFG limit ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

**This talk:** Quantitatively relate the  $n$ -player equilibrium to an interacting diffusion system, then bootstrap existing results for the latter.

## A class of mean field games

Agents  $i = 1, \dots, n$  have state process dynamics

$$dX_t^i = \alpha_t^i dt + dW_t^i,$$

with  $W^1, \dots, W^n$  independent Brownian,  $(X_0^1, \dots, X_0^n)$  i.i.d.

Agent  $i$  chooses  $\alpha^i$  to minimize

$$J_i^n(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \left( f(X_t^i, \bar{\mu}_t^n) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_t^i|^2 \right) dt + g(X_T^i, \bar{\mu}_T^n) \right],$$

$$\bar{\mu}_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{X_t^k}.$$

Say  $(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n)$  form an  $\epsilon$ -Nash equilibrium if

$$J_i^n(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^n) \leq \epsilon + \inf_{\beta} J_i^n(\dots, \alpha^{i-1}, \beta, \alpha^{i+1}, \dots), \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

## The $n$ -player HJB system

The value function  $v_i^n(t, \mathbf{x})$ , for  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , for agent  $i$  in the  $n$ -player game solves

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_{x_k} v_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{x_i} v_i^n(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 \\ + \sum_{k \neq i} \nabla_{x_k} v_k^n(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{x_k} v_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}) = f \left( x_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

A Nash equilibrium is given by

$$\alpha_t^i = \nabla_{x_i} v_i^n(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^n).$$

But  $v_i^n$  is generally **hard to find**, especially for large  $n$ .

## Mean field limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?

### The problem

Given a Nash equilibrium  $(\alpha^{n,1}, \dots, \alpha^{n,n})$  for each  $n$ , can we describe the **limit(s) of  $\bar{\mu}_t^n$** ?

### Previous results

Lasry/ Lions '06, Feleqi '13, Fischer '14, Lacker '15,  
**Cardaliaguet-Delarue-Lasry-Lions '15**, Cardaliaguet '16...

### A related, better-understood problem

Find a mean field game solution directly, and use it to construct an  $\epsilon_n$ -Nash equilibrium for the  $n$ -player game, where  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ .  
See **Huang/Malhamé/Caines '06** & many others.



## Proposed mean field game limit

A deterministic measure flow  $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0, T]} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  is a **mean field equilibrium (MFE)** if:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^* & \in \arg \min_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T (f(X_t^\alpha, \mu_t) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_t|^2) dt + g(X_T^\alpha, \mu_T) \right], \\ dX_t^\alpha & = \alpha_t dt + dW_t, \\ \mu_t & = \text{Law}(X_t^{\alpha^*}). \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Law of large numbers)

Under *very strong assumptions*, there **exists a unique MFE**  $\mu$ , and  $\bar{\mu}^n \rightarrow \mu$  in probability in  $C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ .

## MFG value function

The MFE is completely described by the **master equation**, when it is solvable.

1. Fix  $t \in [0, T)$  and  $m \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
2. Solve the MFG **starting from  $(t, m)$** , i.e., find  $(\alpha^*, \mu)$  s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^* & \in \arg \min_{\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T (f(X_s^\alpha, \mu_s) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_s|^2) ds + g(X_T^\alpha, \mu_T) \right], \\ dX_s^\alpha & = \alpha_s ds + dW_s, \quad s \in (t, T) \\ \mu_s & = \text{Law}(X_s^{\alpha^*}), \quad \mu_t = m \end{cases}$$

3. Define the **value function**, for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , by

$$U(t, x, m)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T \left( f(X_s^{\alpha^*}, \mu_s) + \frac{1}{2} |\alpha_s^*|^2 \right) ds + g(X_T^{\alpha^*}, \mu_T) \middle| X_t^{\alpha^*} = x \right]$$

## Derivatives

There is a **dynamic programming principle** for  $U$  if the MFE is unique. To derive a **PDE**, we need to **differentiate in  $m$** :

### Definition

Say  $u : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$  if  $\exists \frac{\delta u}{\delta m} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous such that, for  $m, \tilde{m} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{u(m + t(\tilde{m} - m)) - u(m)}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta u}{\delta m}(m, y) d(\tilde{m} - m)(y).$$

Define also (when it exists)

$$D_m u(m, y) = \nabla_y \left( \frac{\delta u}{\delta m}(m, y) \right).$$

## Key tool: The master equation

Heuristically, using the DPP along with an Itô formula for functions of measures, one derives the **master equation** for the value function:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U(t, x, m) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x U(t, y, m) \cdot D_m U(t, x, m, y) m(dy) \\ + f(x, m) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x U(t, x, m)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_x U(t, x, m) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_y D_m U(t, x, m, y) m(dy) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

**Refer to** Cardaliaguet-Delarue-Lasry-Lions '15,  
Chassagneux-Crisan-Delarue '14, Carmona-Delarue '14,  
Bensoussan-Frehse-Yam '15

## Key tool: The master equation

Heuristically, using the DPP along with an Itô formula for functions of measures, one derives the **master equation** for the value function:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t U(t, x, m) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x U(t, y, m) \cdot D_m U(t, x, m, y) m(dy) \\ + f(x, m) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x U(t, x, m)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_x U(t, x, m) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_y D_m U(t, x, m, y) m(dy) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Assume henceforth that there is a smooth classical solution!

## A first $n$ -particle approximation

The **MFE**  $\mu$  is the unique solution of the McKean-Vlasov equation

$$dX_t = \underbrace{\nabla_x U(t, X_t, \mu_t)}_{\alpha_t^*} dt + dW_t, \quad \mu_t = \text{Law}(X_t).$$

**Old idea:** Consider the system of  $n$  independent processes,

$$dX_t^i = \underbrace{\nabla_x U(t, X_t^i, \mu_t)}_{\alpha_t^i} dt + dW_t^i.$$

These controls  $\alpha_t^i$  can be proven to form an  $\epsilon_n$ -equilibrium for the  $n$ -player game, where  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Note  $X_t^i$  are i.i.d.  $\sim \mu_t$ , so their **empirical measure tends to**  $\mu_t$ .

## A better $n$ -particle approximation

**Key idea of Cardaliaguet et al.:** Consider the McKean-Vlasov system

$$dY_t^i = \underbrace{\nabla_x U(t, Y_t^i, \bar{\nu}_t^n)}_{\alpha_t^i} dt + dW_t^i, \quad \bar{\nu}_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{Y_t^k}.$$

Classical theory says that  $\bar{\nu}^n \rightarrow \nu$ , where  $\nu$  solves the McKean-Vlasov equation,

$$dY_t = \nabla_x U(t, Y_t, \nu_t) dt + dW_t, \quad \nu_t = \text{Law}(Y_t).$$

We had the same equation for the MFE  $\mu$ , so uniqueness implies

$$\mu \equiv \nu.$$

So to prove  $\bar{\mu}^n \rightarrow \mu$ , it suffices to show  $\bar{\mu}^n$  and  $\bar{\nu}^n$  are **close**.

## A better $n$ -particle approximation

Theorem (Cardaliaguet et al. '15)

Recalling that  $\bar{\mu}_t^n$  denotes the  $n$ -player Nash equilibrium empirical measure,  $\bar{\mu}^n$  and  $\bar{\nu}^n$  are very close.

**Proof idea:** Show that

$$u_i^n(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = U \left( t, x_i, \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \delta_{x_k} \right)$$

nearly solves the  $n$ -player HJB system.

**Note:** This requires smoothness assumptions on the master equation  $U$ , but not on the  $n$ -player HJB system!



The  $n$ -player HJB system revisited

Define

$$u_i^n(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = U \left( t, x_i, \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \delta_{x_k} \right).$$

Assuming  $\nabla_x U$  is Lipschitz and  $D_m U$  is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_{x_k} u_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{x_i} u_i^n(t, \mathbf{x})|^2 \\ + \sum_{k \neq i} \nabla_{x_k} u_k^n(t, \mathbf{x}) \cdot \nabla_{x_k} u_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}) = f \left( x_i, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{x_k} \right) + r_i^n(t, \mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_i^n$  is continuous, with  $\|r_i^n\|_\infty \leq C/n$ .

## Nash system vs. McKean-Vlasov system

The  $n$ -player Nash equilibrium state processes solve

$$dX_t^i = \nabla_{x_i} v_i^n(t, X_t^1, \dots, X_t^n) dt + dW_t^i.$$

Compare this to the McKean-Vlasov system,

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t^i &= \nabla_x U(t, Y_t^i, \bar{\nu}_t^n) dt + dW_t^i, \quad \text{where } \bar{\nu}_t^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{Y_t^k}, \\ &\approx \nabla_x U(t, Y_t^i, \bar{\nu}_t^{n,i}) dt + dW_t^i, \quad \text{where } \bar{\nu}_t^{n,i} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k \neq i} \delta_{Y_t^k}, \\ &= \nabla_{x_i} u_i^n(t, Y_t^1, \dots, Y_t^n) dt + dW_t^i. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Itô to  $|v_i^n(t, Y^1, \dots, Y_t^n) - u_i^n(t, X^1, \dots, X_t^n)|^2$  and use the PDEs, along with Lipschitz estimates on  $\nabla_x U$ .

## Toward refined mean field game asymptotics

**Main idea:** Compare the **Nash EQ empirical measure**  $\bar{\mu}^n$  to the **McKean-Vlasov empirical measure**  $\bar{\nu}^n$ , and then apply...

**Known results on McKean-Vlasov limits:**

1. **LLN:**  $\bar{\nu}^n \rightarrow \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the unique MFE.
2. **Fluctuations:**  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\nu}_t^n - \mu_t)$  converges.
3. **Large deviations:**  $\mathbb{P}(\bar{\nu}^n \in A) \approx \exp(-c_A n)$  asymptotically.
4. **Concentration:**  $\mathbb{P}(d(\bar{\nu}^n, \mu) \geq \epsilon) \leq C \exp(-Cn\epsilon^2)$ .

**Note:** In **linear-quadratic** systems, we can instead describe the asymptotics of the **mean**  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x d\bar{\mu}_t^n(x)$  in a self-contained manner.

## Fluctuations

Assuming the master equation has a sufficiently smooth solution,

### Theorem

The sequences  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mu}_t^n - \mu_t)$  and  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\nu}_t^n - \mu_t)$  both converge to the unique solution of the SPDE:

$$\partial_t S_t(x) = \mathcal{A}_{t, \mu_t}^* S_t(x) - \operatorname{div}_x(\sqrt{\mu_t(x)} \dot{B}(t, x)),$$

where  $B$  is a space-time Brownian motion and

$$\mathcal{A}_{t, m} \varphi(x) := \mathcal{L}_{t, m} \varphi(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\delta}{\delta m} (\nabla_x U(t, y, m))(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(y) m(dy),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{t, m} \varphi(x) := \nabla_x U(t, x, m) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \varphi(x),$$

**Proof idea:** Show  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\mu}_t^n - \bar{\nu}_t^n) \rightarrow 0$  using master equation estimates. Kurtz-Xiong '04 identifies limit of  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{\nu}_t^n - \mu_t)$ .

## Large deviations

Assuming the master equation has a sufficiently smooth solution,

### Theorem

The sequences  $\bar{\mu}^n$  and  $\bar{\nu}^n$  both satisfy a large deviation principle on  $C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , with the same rate function.

$$I(m_\cdot) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\partial_t m_t - \mathcal{L}_{t, m_t}^* m_t\|_S^2 dt & \text{if } m \text{ abs. cont.} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_S$  acts on Schwartz distributions by

$$\|\gamma\|_S^2 = \sup_{\varphi \in C_c^\infty} \langle \gamma, \varphi \rangle^2 / \langle \gamma, |\nabla \varphi|^2 \rangle.$$

## Large deviations

**Proof idea:** Show exponential equivalence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} W_1(\bar{\mu}_t^n, \bar{\nu}_t^n) > \epsilon \right) = -\infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0,$$

using master equation estimates, namely  $\|\nabla_x U\|_\infty < \infty$ .

Identify the LDP for  $\bar{\nu}^n$  using Dawson-Gärtner '87 or Budhiraja-Dupuis-Fischer '12.

## Concentration

Assuming the master equation has a sufficiently smooth solution,

### Theorem

There exist  $C, \delta > 0$  such that for all  $a > 0$  and  $n \geq C/\sqrt{a}$  we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} W_1(\bar{\mu}_t^n, \mu_t) > a \right) \leq C \left( n e^{-\delta a n^2} + e^{-\delta a^2 n} \right),$$

where  $W_1$  is the Wasserstein distance.

### Proof.

Use McKean-Vlasov results after showing

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} W_1(\bar{\mu}_t^n, \bar{\nu}_t^n) > a \right) \leq 2n \exp(-\delta a n^2).$$



## The moral of the story

Sufficiently smooth solution of master equation

⇒ refined asymptotics for mean field game equilibria,

by comparing the  $n$ -player equilibrium to an  $n$ -particle system and then applying existing results on McKean-Vlasov systems.

## Major challenges

- ▶ Requires a lot of smoothness for the master equation.
- ▶ Uniqueness at the limit (i.e., of the MFG) is a restrictive assumption, not needed for McKean-Vlasov large deviations! (c.f. Dawson-Gärtner '88)