

# A Model of Systemic Risk

Andrey Sarantsev

University of California, Santa Barbara

March 24, 2017

We have  $N$  private banks and the central bank.

- Central bank chooses the **discount rate**: interest rate of lending to private banks
- There can be cash flows between each pair of private banks
- Private banks borrow from central bank and pay back interest
- Private banks invest in risky assets

The  $i$ th private bank has capital  $X_i(t)$ , with  $Y_i(t) = \log X_i(t)$

It borrows the amount  $Z_i(t) \geq 0$  from the central bank, under **discount interest rate**  $r(t)$

Therefore, it pays interest  $r(t)Z_i(t) dt$  during  $[t, t + dt]$

The case  $Z_i(t) \leq 0$  is when the bank does not borrow anything but sets aside money  $-Z_i(t)$  in cash, earning zero interest.

In both cases, the bank invests  $X_i(t) + Z_i(t)$  in a risky asset  $S_i(t)$ , and pays interest  $r(t)(Z_i(t))_+ dt$

The  $i$ th risky asset is given by

$$S_i(t) = \exp(M_i(t) - \langle M_i \rangle_t)$$

where  $M = (M_1, \dots, M_N)$  is a Brownian motion with drift vector  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)$  and covariance matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ .

In particular, the component  $M_i$  is a Brownian motion with drift  $\mu_i$  and diffusion  $a_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$ :

$$\frac{dS_i(t)}{S_i(t)} = dM_i(t) = \mu_i dt + \sigma_i dW_i(t)$$

# Equation Without Interbank Flows

Combining investment and borrowing for the  $i$ th bank:

$$dX_i(t) = (X_i(t) + Z_i(t)) \frac{dS_i(t)}{S_i(t)} - r(t)(Z_i(t))_+ dt$$

By Itô's formula, for  $Y_i(t) = \log X_i(t)$  and  $\alpha_i(t) := Z_i(t)/X_i(t)$

$$dY_i(t) = (1 + \alpha_i(t)) \frac{dS_i(t)}{S_i(t)} - \left[ \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} (1 + \alpha_i(t))^2 + r(t)(\alpha_i(t))_+ \right] dt$$

# Equation with Added Interbank Flows

$$dY_i(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N c_{ij}(t)(Y_j(t) - Y_i(t)) dt \\ + (1 + \alpha_i(t)) \frac{dS_i(t)}{S_i(t)} - \left[ \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2} (1 + \alpha_i(t))^2 + r(t)(\alpha_i(t))_+ \right] dt$$

Here,  $c_{ij}(t) = c_{ji}(t) \geq 0$  are controlled by the central bank and are used to keep banks **close to one another**, to minimize the possibility of bankruptcy

This model is taken from (Carmona, Fouque, Sun, 2013), where they had  $c_{ij}(t) \equiv c > 0$

# Objective of Each Private Bank

The  $i$ th bank chooses the amount  $Z_i$  of borrowing (or, equivalently,  $\alpha_i = Z_i/X_i$ ) to maximize its expected terminal logarithmic utility:

$$\mathbf{E} \log X_i(T) = \mathbf{E} Y_i(T)$$

The  $i$ th bank takes as given  $X_j(t)$ ,  $Z_j(t)$  for  $j \neq i$  (of other banks) and  $r(t)$ ,  $c_{ij}(t)$  (instruments of the central bank)

# Objective of Central Bank

Central bank chooses the **discount interest rate**  $r$  to control (as we see below) the overall size of the system:

$$\bar{Y}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i(t),$$

and the **rates**  $c_{ij}$  to make  $Y_i$  closer to this average  $\bar{Y}$  by directing flow of cash to this bank from other banks (or vice versa).

Objective: to prevent  $Y_i(t)$  from becoming too small (which corresponds to bankruptcy)



$$\Phi_i(t, y) := \sup_{\alpha_i} \mathbf{E}(Y_i(T) \mid Y(t) = y)$$

satisfies  $\Phi_i(T, y) = y_i$ , and HJB equation:

$$0 = \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial t} + \sup_{\alpha_i} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(\alpha_j) \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial y_j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k} a_{jk} (1 + \alpha_j)(1 + \alpha_k) \right]$$

$$h_j(\alpha_j) := (1 + \alpha_j)\mu_j - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{2}(1 + \alpha_j)^2 - r(\alpha_j)_+$$

$$\text{Try ansatz } \Phi_i(t, y) = g_{i0}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^N g_{ij}(t)y_j$$

Because the objective and dynamics are linear in  $Y_j$ , we can solve this problem explicitly. Just find  $\alpha_i$  which maximizes

$$h_i(\alpha_i) := (1 + \alpha_i)\mu_i - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}(1 + \alpha_i)^2 - r(\alpha_i)_+$$

$$\text{This is } \alpha_i^* := \begin{cases} \left( \frac{\mu_i - r(t)}{\sigma_i^2} - 1 \right)_+, & \mu_i \geq \sigma_i^2; \\ \mu_i - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}, & \mu_i \leq \sigma_i^2 \end{cases}$$

If  $\mu_i \leq \sigma_i^2$  for all  $i$ , then banks do not borrow from the central bank; rather, they set aside some cash

Even setting  $r = 0$  cannot induce banks to borrow

Below, we assume that  $\mu_i \geq \sigma_i^2$  for all  $i$

Under optimal choice  $\alpha_i = \alpha_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we have:

$$dY_i(t) = dM_i^*(t) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N c_{ij}(t)(Y_j(t) - Y_i(t)) dt$$

$$dM_i^*(t) = h_i(\alpha_i^*(t)) dt + \sigma_i(1 + \alpha_i^*(t)) dW_i(t)$$

If  $r$  is constant, then  $\alpha_i^*$  are too, and  $M^* = (M_1^*, \dots, M_N^*)$  is an  $N$ -dimensional Brownian motion

$$\bar{Y}(t) = g(r(t)) dt + \rho(r(t)) dW(t),$$

$$g(r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(r), \quad g_i(r) := \begin{cases} \frac{(\mu_i - r)^2}{2\sigma_i^2} + r, & r \leq \mu_i - \sigma_i^2; \\ \mu_i - \frac{\sigma_i^2}{2}, & r \geq \mu_i - \sigma_i^2. \end{cases}$$

$$\rho^2(r) := \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} \rho_i(r) \rho_j(r), \quad \rho_i(r) := \begin{cases} \frac{\mu_i - r}{\sigma_i^2}, & r \leq \mu_i - \sigma_i^2; \\ 1, & r \geq \mu_i - \sigma_i^2. \end{cases}$$

# Goals of Central Bank

Maximize  $\mathbf{E}U_\lambda(\bar{Y}(T))$  for  $U_\lambda(y) := -e^{-\lambda y}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ .

Central bank is even more risk-averse than private banks.

Parameter of risk aversion:  $\lambda$

The HJB equation becomes

$$g(r) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\rho^2(r) \rightarrow \sup_{r \geq 0}$$

# The Case of the Same Asset

$$S_1 = \dots = S_N$$

Then  $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_N = \mu$ ,  $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_N = \sigma$

Let  $\lambda_* := 1 - 2 \left( \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} + 1 \right)^{-1}$ . Then the maximum is attained at

$$r = \begin{cases} 0, & \lambda < \lambda_*; \\ \mu - \sigma^2, & \lambda > \lambda_* \end{cases}$$

$\lambda < \lambda_*$ : a less risk-averse central bank, slashes the rate to zero

$\lambda > \lambda_*$ : a more risk-averse central bank, increases the rate

# The Case of Independent Assets

Assume  $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_N = \mu$  and  $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_N = \sigma$

Then same holds true for  $N\lambda_*$  instead of  $\lambda_*$

Even a more risk-averse central bank (than in case of same asset)  
can slash rate  $r$  to zero

Independence of assets creates diversification and reduces risk



# The Case of Correlated Assets

$$W_i(t) = \rho \tilde{W}_0(t) + \rho' \tilde{W}_i(t),$$

$\rho^2 + \rho'^2 = 1$ ,  $\tilde{W}_i$  i.i.d. Brownian motions

If  $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_N = \mu$  and  $\sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_N = \sigma$ , then same holds true for  $N\lambda_*/((N-1)\rho + 1)$  instead of  $\lambda_*$

Here, the room for risk is less than in case of independent assets, but more than in case of the same asset

# Stability of the System

Let  $\tilde{Y}_i(t) = Y_i(t) - \bar{Y}(t)$ . Then  $\tilde{Y} = (\tilde{Y}_1, \dots, \tilde{Y}_N)$  is the solution of an SDE on the hyperplane  $\Pi = \{y_1 + \dots + y_N = 0\}$ .

## Theorem

*Assume  $c_{ij}(t) = c_{ij}$  do not depend on  $t$ , and the graph  $G$  on  $\{1, \dots, N\}$  defined as  $i \leftrightarrow j$  iff  $c_{ij} > 0$  is connected. Then  $\tilde{Y}$  has a unique stationary distribution  $\pi$  on  $\Pi$ . For any bounded measurable  $f : \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we have:*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\tilde{Y}(t)) dt = \int_{\Pi} f(y) \pi(dy).$$

# The Case of Similar Flows

Assume all  $c_{ij}(t) = c > 0$ .

Then  $\tilde{Y}$  is an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process on  $\Pi$ .

And  $\pi$  is a multivariate normal distribution on  $\Pi$  with  $i$ th marginal

$$\pi_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\tilde{g}_i}{c}, \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_i^2}{2c}\right),$$

where  $\tilde{g}_i, \tilde{\sigma}_i$  can be explicitly found.

# Control Problem for Flow Rate

This allows to formulate control problem, assuming the process  $\tilde{Y}$  is in the stationary distribution  $\pi$ :

$$\int_{\Pi} \|y\|^2 \pi(dy) + k(c) = M_1 c^{-1} + M_2 c^{-2} + k(c) \rightarrow \min_{c>0}$$

$$M_1 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{\sigma}_i^2, \quad M_2 := \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{g}_i^2.$$

$k(c)$ : cost of maintaining flow rate  $c$

Thanks!