

# **RESEARCH NOTES**

Office of Educational Assessment University of Washington

N-96-8

December, 1996

## AVERAGE GRADUATE EFFICIENCY INDEXES FOR UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON UNDERGRADUATE DEGREE PROGRAMS

Gerald M. Gillmore and Phillip Hoffman<sup>1</sup>

December, 1996

#### **Definition and Rationale**

As greater numbers of potential students are predicted to desire access to higher education, legislatures and coordinating boards seek improvement in the efficiency with which students are educated. One commonly used measure of efficiency in higher education is the average calendar time from when undergraduates matriculate until they graduate (time to degree). Gillmore and Hoffman have introduced the Graduation Efficiency Index (GEI) as an alternative measure of efficiency<sup>2</sup>.

The GEI is computed retrospectively for each graduate as follows:

 $GEI = \frac{(Minimum Required Credits for the Degree - Transfer Credits)}{Sum of Enrollment Census Day Credits^{3}} X 100$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Phillip Hoffman is Associate Director of the University of Washington Office of Institutional Studies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gillmore, G. M. and Hoffman P. H. The Graduation efficiency index: validity and use as an accountability and research measure, **Research in Higher Education**, (Forthcoming, Vol. 38, No. 6, December 1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> At UW, census day credits are those for which students are enrolled after the 10<sup>th</sup> day of the quarter. If a course is dropped after the tenth day, it remains part of this sum.

Gillmore and Hoffman argued that, relative to time to degree, this index is a much more defensible measure of the efficiency with which an institution is producing graduates in that it takes the following five variables into account:

*The total number of credits that have been earned.* Earning more credits than the degree demands reduces efficiency.

*The number of credits for courses that have been dropped.* Dropped courses, leaving empty seats, adds to inefficiency.

*The number of credits for courses that have been repeated, including failures.* Students who fill the same seat twice add to inefficiency.

*The minimum number of credits required by the major for graduation.* Degree programs that legitimately require more credits do not necessarily add to inefficiency.

*The number of credits that have been transferred.*<sup>4</sup> Given that students move from institution to institution, prior work needs to be recognized but not credited to the degree granting institution.<sup>5</sup>

The GEI varies from 0 to 100% and is readily interpretable. For example, an efficiency rate of 90% has familiar meaning, being commonly applied to furnaces and engines. It can be used at all degree levels and all institutions where there is a standard and acceptable minimum number of required credits for a degree. (We have chosen to restrict our attention to undergraduate degrees here, but this restriction is not necessary.) It is equally applicable to full-time and part-time students. It can be averaged for subsets of students; e. g., for each degree type, for each department, and for transfer vs. non-transfer students. Thus, it is an index that is very useful as a dependent or correlative variable for research and for illuminating problem areas, and it can be easily tracked over time to assess affects of interventions and policy changes to improve efficiency, either locally or globally.

Time-to-degree, on the other hand, does not directly measure efficiency in that the mere fact of a student taking more time to graduate does not necessary adversely affect the number of students who can be educated. The student who enrolls part time takes up no more total enrollment space than the one who enrolls full time if they both take the same number of total credits over the course of their studies. Furthermore, the assumption that all students should graduate in four years may be inappropriate for those economically disadvantaged students for whom a college education is not affordable without an extensive work schedule that renders a full-load of courses difficult if not impossible. Stressing the importance of a high four year graduation rate has the unfortunate side-effect of encouraging institutions to serve only those students who fit the traditional "mold" and have the highest probability of graduating within four years. Students with family obligations or financial hardship may pay an unexpected and unfair price for an emphasis on a four year graduation rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> At UW, the number of transfer credits used in calculating the index include credits earned at other two-year and four-year institutions and by distance learning, advance placement, credit by exam, and extension services of other universities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Gillmore and Hoffman, p. 4.

#### Limitations of the GEI

Conceptually, there are three major limitations to the GEI. First, the efficiency of the academic programs of transfer students at the sending institution must be inferred from the efficiency demonstrated at the degree-granting institution, and inefficiency cannot be partitioned between the two (or more) institutions. A superior method would be to capture the entire transcript from the sending institution, including late withdrawals and non-transferable credits. So doing would present a more accurate calculation of the total inefficiency but one still would not be able to apportion it to each institution attended.

Secondly, the GEI is a measure that at this stage of development can be only applied to students who have obtained degrees. Taken alone, it implies that the goal of undergraduate education is fully or at least primarily embodied in these degrees, and it ignores students who matriculate but fail to graduate. The GEI is not a measure of graduation rates, and the latter should be considered as a separate indicator of institutional efficiency.

Finally, efficiency is clearly not equivalent to effectiveness. One criterion by which performance or accountability indicators should be judged is by the institutional behavior that they reinforce. While simple measures of calendar years from matriculation to graduation may lead to some unfortunate consequences, such as favoring students of wealth over students of economic disadvantage and favoring young, traditional students over older returning students, the GEI, itself, is not without the possibility of adverse consequences. For example, students who take additional credits, credits that do not count toward a degree but might contribute to further employment, or even who drop some courses may, in fact, receive a better education in terms of society's larger goals. Further, the GEI assumes that the academic units' determination of the curriculum and the minimum number of credits required for a degree is appropriate. However, by raising the minimum number of credits required for the degree, academic programs can artificially raise their measured efficiency levels while lowering actual efficiency. Clearly, care must be taken to assure that program credit requirement minimums that exceed the institution's minimum are grounded on academic necessity.

#### **Prior Results**

The previous Gillmore and Hoffman work, cited earlier, was based on the 1993-94 UW graduating class. In this research, freshman entrants were found to graduate with more efficiency than transfers and B. A. degree recipients with more efficiency that B. S. recipients. There was a significant interaction between these two variables such that transfers with B. S. degrees exhibited particularly low averages. Students transferring more than 120 credits had a very low average GEI, and students transferring from two-year schools were more efficient, on average, than those transferring from four year schools, even when controlling for total credits transferred. For B. A degree recipients, females tended to be more efficient, while for B. S. recipients, males tended to be more efficient. The GEI correlated only modestly with time to degree (about -.40). Part-time students (average of fewer than 12 credits per quarter) exhibited a mean GEI of 79%, while full-time students

Graduate Efficiency Indexes

(average of 15 or more credits per term) exhibited a mean GEI of 89%. Correlations of the GEI with admissions grade point averages and test scores were quite small.

### **Departmental Averages**

In the table to follow, average GEI values are given for colleges, Arts and Sciences subcolleges, and departments of student majors. These averages are based on data from the bachelor degree recipients of the academic years 92-93, 93-94, and 94-95. Students with dual and double majors were excluded from the analyses. Generally, degree programs with fewer than ten remaining graduates are not listed. Values are presented separately for B. A. and B. S. degrees and for freshman and transfer admittees. The latter are defined as those who graduated with more than 30 transfer credits. College and sub-college data are shaded<sup>6</sup>.

## Average Graduate Efficiency Indexes for Undergraduate Degree Programs 1992-95 Academic Year Graduates

	Bachelor of Arts		Bache Scie			Bachelor of Arts		Bachelor of Science	
UNIT	Fresh	Trans	Fresh	Trans	UNIT	Fresh	Trans	Fresh	Trans
Total University					Art				
N	4712	5010	2054	2089	N	46	50		
Avg GEI	90.0	83.3	87.6	76.2	Avg GEI	86.7	77.7		
Seattle Campus					Art History				
N	4700	4523	2054	1917	N	45	43		
Avg GEI	90.0	83.2	87.6	76.6	Avg GEI	89.3	83.0		
Arch & Urban Pla	nning				Fine Arts				
N	113	70	62	30	N	70	70		
Avg GEI	87.0	70.8	81.4	74.9	Avg GEI	86.0	75.7		
<b>Building Constru</b>	ction				Dance				
N			62	30	N	13	5		
Avg GEI			81.4	74.9	Avg GEI	78.5	64.0		
Arch. & Urban P	lan.				Drama				
N	112	69			N	47	66		
Avg GEI	87.4	70.6			Avg GEI	88.8	80.1		
Arts and Sciences				Alera I	Music				
N	3545	2950	753	526	N	16	17		
Avg GEI	89.7	82.9	88.0	76.8	Avg GEI	74.6	64.5		
A&S - Arts					Music Applied				
N	252	270			N	14	3		
Avg GEI	85.6	77.3			Avg GEI	81.9	57.0		

<sup>6</sup> Averages for the 1995-96 academic year are expected in mid January of 1997.

Graduate Efficiency Indexes

	Bachelor of Arts Fresh Trans		Bachelor of Science Fresh Trans			Art		Bachelor of Science	
UNIT					UNIT	Fresh	Trans	Fresh Trans	
A&S -Humanities					A&S - Science				
N	855	659			N	456	457	753	52.
Avg GEI	90.1	82.6		and the second second	Avg GEI	89.6	83.2	88.0	76.
Asian - Chinese					Atmospheric Scie	nce			
N	7	11			N			11	
Avg GEI	77.6	70.6			Avg GEI			85.9	96.
Asian - Japanese					Biology				
N	15	9			N			148	92
Avg GEI	93.6	80.1			Avg GEI			88.4	73.
Compar Literatu	ire				Botany				
N	13	12			N	7	7	16	1
Avg GEI	92.0	74.1			Avg GEI	85.2	86.0	87.0	66.
English					Biochemistry				
N	511	396			N			68	
Avg GEI	90.1	83.4			Avg GEI			92.5	<b>8</b> 0.
German					Chemistry				
N	21	21			N	17		19	2
Avg GEI	87.6	78.6			Avg GEI	83.2	67.8	87.4	77.
Linguistics					Geological Scienc	e			
N	9	16			N			21	24
Avg GEI	83.7	85.6			Avg GEI			80.2	75.
Rom Lang - Fren	nch				Mathematics				
N	29	14			N	38	38	50	5
Avg GEI	89.7	79.2	÷ .		Avg GEI	83.9	75.2	83.4	73.
Rom Lang - Spar	nish				Physics				
N	31	43			N			38	3
Avg GEI	85.7	82.4			Avg GEI			81.0	72.
Slavic - Russian					Psychology				
N	14	13			N	366	368	182	11
Avg GEI	80.2	69.2			Avg GEI	90.5	85.7	89.8	80.0
Speech Commun	ications				Speech & Hearing	g Sci			
N	182	103			N			35	3
Avg GEI	92.8	86.2			Avg GEI			90.4	80.
					Zoology				
					N	28	27	160	10

Avg GEI

91.5

73.6

87.9

76.2

Average Graduate Efficiency Indexes for Undergraduate Degree Programs (Continued)

Graduate Efficiency Indexes

	Bachelor of Arts		Bache			Bachelor of Arts		
UNIT	Fresh		Fresh	Trans	UNIT	Fresh	Trans	
A&S - Social Scien	ice				Society & Justice			
N	1982	1564			N	47	34	
Avg GEI	90.0	83.9			Avg GEI	92.1	85.2	
Amer. Ethnic Stu	dies		· ·		Sociology			
Ν	48	37			N	289	223	
Avg GEI	85.0	77.0			Avg GEI	90.6	86.2	
Anthropology					Women's Studies			
N	93	138			N	3	12	
Avg GEI	88.1	80.9			Avg GEI	86.8	70.3	
Communications					<b>Evening Degree Pr</b>	ogram		
N	308	186			N	36	234	
Avg GEI	93.0	87.1			Avg GEI	82.9	79.9	
Economics					English			
Ν	353	209			N	4	26	
Avg GEI	89.4	84.3			Avg GEI	86.5	78.3	
SIS - E. Asia					Humanities		,	
N	22	12		· · · ·	N	5	33	
Avg GEI	88.9				Avg GEI	75.1	76.2	
SIS - Intern Stud					Psychology			
Ν	101	77			N	6	34	
Avg GEI	90.7				Avg GEI	81.3	82.9	
SIS - Comp Relig					Sociology			
N	5	15			N	4	11	
Avg GEI	81.5				Avg GEI		88.6	
SIS - East Europe					History			
N	7	13			N		12	
Avg GEI	85.2				Avg GEI		84.8	
Geography	0012	0010			Political Sci.			
N	104	92			N	3	17	
Avg GEI	87.7				Avg GEI	88.7	87.0~	
History	07.7	01.0			Social Sci.	0017		
N N	212	204			N N	14	101	
Avg GEI	89.1				Avg GEI	86.5	77.8	
Philosophy	07.1	04.0		4	Business Administ		1110	
r mosopny N	16	32			N	847	1043	
Avg GEI	92.2				Avg GEI	92.9	36.0	
Political Sci.	92.2	02.9			Accounting	312	00.0	
Political Sci.	250	256			N	298	361	
	359						83.4	
Avg GEI	89.6	84.8			Avg GEI Busi Admin	92.6	03.4	
					Busi. Admin	540	607	
					N	549	682	

Average Graduate Efficiency Indexes for Undergraduate Degree Programs (Continued)

Graduate Efficiency Indexes

87.3

Avg GEI

93.1

Bachelor of Science Fresh Trans

	Bachelor o Arts	Scie	elor of ence		Bachelor of Arts		Bachelor of Science	
	Fresh Tra	ns Fresh	Trans	UNIT	Fresh	Trans	Fresh	Trans
Education			A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE	<b>Ocean &amp; Fisheries</b>				
N		29		N			55	41
Avg GEI	86.5 6	7.7		Avg GEI			86.4	77.3
Engineering				Fisheries				
N		873	856	N			23	16
Avg GEI		88.6	76.3	Avg GEI			86.1	74.3
Technical Comm	un			Oceanography				
N		18	16	N			29	-21
Avg GEI		83.4	80.1	Avg GEI			87.0	80.4
Aero & Astro				Forest Resources				
N		62	49	N			60	39
Avg GEI		89.7	74.2	Avg GEI			89.2	75.7
Chemical				Con Wildlife Res.	•	*		
N		65	46	N			9	7
Avg GEI		90.4	79.6	Avg GEI		1	88.3	68.1
Civil				Forest Managem	ent			
N		176	164	N			8	16
Avg GEI		87.6	75.3	Avg GEI			93.7	78.6
Electrical				Pulp & Paper Sci	ence			
N		182	245	N			27	8
Avg GEI		88.7	75.4	Avg GEI			91.9	83.2
<b>Computer Science</b>	es			Wildlife Scien				
N		54	67	N			7	6
Avg GEI		88.5	77.5	Avg GEI			83.1	65.9
<b>Computer Eng</b>			1	Social Work				
N		50	41	N	48	88		
Avg GEI		88.3	75.3	Avg GEI	88.4	86.3		
Industrial				Social Welfare				
N		50	35	N	23	47		
Avg GEI		86.3	70.8	Avg GEI	87.3	90.4		
Mechanical				Social Work				
Ν		183	179	N	25	41		
Avg GEI		91.0	78.6	Avg GEI	89.4	81.7		
Ceramic								
N		17	12					
Avg GEI		82.4	77.1					
Metallurgical								
N		16	5					
Avg GEI		79.2	75.5					

Average Graduate Efficiency Indexes for Undergraduate Degree Programs (Continued)

Graduate Efficiency Indexes

UNIT	Bachelor of Arts		Bachelor of Science			Bachelor of Arts		Bachelor of Science	
	Fresh		Fresh	Trans	UNIT		Trans	Fresh	
Undergrad Educat					Pharmacy				
N	92	109	9	30	N			47	103
Avg GEI	85.9	81.1	81.7	78.1	Avg GEI			89.9	87.9
Gen. Studies					Public Health (Env	ir. Heal	th)		
N	67	82	9	30	N			17	10
Avg GEI	84.5	80.6	81.7	78.1	Avg GEI			74.6	70.4
Comp History of	Ideas				Bothell				
N	25	27			N		193		8
Avg GEI	89.7	82.6			Avg GEI		84.6		72.0
University Extensi	on				General Stud.				
N	36	234			N		174		
Avg GEI	82.9	79.9			Avg GEI		83.9		
Dentistry (Dental				1993 (P. 1997) 1993	Liberal Stud.				
N				20	N		19		
Avg GEI				72.2	Avg GEI		91.2		
Medicine					Nursing				
N			95	108	N				86
Avg GEI			81.2	68.0	Avg GEI				72.0
Prosthetics & Or	th.				Tacoma				
N			5	11	N		294		86
Avg GEI			75.3	70.2	Avg GEI		84.1		72.3
Physical Therapy	,				General Stud.				
N			2	7	N		149		
Avg GEI			76.2	53.6	Avg GEI		85		
Rehab. Med.					Liberal Stud.				
N			14	33	N		22		
Avg GEI			74.2	68.7	Avg GEI		86.8		
Medical Technolo	ogy			1	International Stu	dies			
N			23	19	N		123		
Avg			75.8	68.6	Avg GEI		82.5		
GEI					Nursing				
Microbiology					N				86
N			51		Avg GEI				72.3
Avg			86.2	69.2	· ·				
GEI									
Nursing				1.4.4					
N			83						
Avg			85.6	76.9					
GEI			•						

Average Graduate Efficiency Indexes for Undergraduate Degree Programs (Continued)

Graduate Efficiency Indexes