The time-dependent Schrödinger equation with piecewise constant potentials

Natalie E. Sheils¹ and Bernard Deconinck²

1. School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

2. Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-3925 email: nesheils@umn.edu

September 11, 2017

Abstract

The linear Schrödinger equation with piecewise constant potential in one spatial dimension is a well-studied textbook problem. It is one of only a few solvable models in quantum mechanics and shares many qualitative features with physically important models. In examples such as "particle in a box" and tunneling, attention is restricted to the time-independent Schrödinger equation. This paper combines the Unified Transform Method and recent insights for interface problems to present fully explicit solutions for the time-dependent problem.

1 Introduction

The N-particle time-dependent (linear) Schrödinger equation is given by

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{p_n^2}{2m_n} + V(x_1,\dots,x_N,t)\right)\psi.$$
(1)

Here \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, x_j denotes the 3-dimensional coordinate vector of the j^{th} particle with mass m_j , p_j denotes the momentum operator $i\hbar\nabla_{x_j}$ for the j^{th} particle, and $V(x_1,\ldots,x_N,t)$ is the N-particle potential. One can argue that (1) is the most important partial differential equation (PDE) in all of mathematical physics. Standard textbooks such as [12, 19, 24] rightfully emphasize the solution of (1) in simplified settings, so as to build up the intuition using exact solutions and their properties. Favorite textbook scenarios consider the one-particle case N = 1 in one (1) spatial dimension with time-independent potential V(x). The linear Schrödinger (LS) equation reduces to

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\psi_{xx} + V(x)\psi, \qquad (2)$$

where m is the particle mass. Since V(x) is time independent, separation of variables $\psi(x,t) = \phi(x)T(t)$ leads to

$$T(t) = T_0 e^{-iEt/\hbar}, \quad -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \phi'' + V(x)\phi = E\phi,$$
(3)

where the energy E is a (real) separation constant. The second equation above is the onedimensional one-particle time-independent Schrödinger equation. Even at this point, the problem is solvable in closed form in only a few cases, such as the free particle (V = 0) and the harmonic oscillator ($V = kx^2/2$, k constant) [12, 19, 24].

The study of Schrödinger equations with piecewise constant potentials is important for a number of reasons. First, to some extent (see below), analytical solutions are available, allowing the development of more physical intuition using scenarios such as the particle in a box, and the piecewise constant potential barrier [24]. Piecewise constant potentials also provide the simplest example of a periodic potential, using the Kronig-Penney model [24]. Second, multiple-scale perturbation theory [5, 17, 18] shows that the approximation of a complicated x-dependent potential using a few constant levels results in accurate leading-order behavior, provided the levels are adequately chosen. This is also evident from the Rayleigh-Ritz characterization of the eigenvalues of (3) [19, 24], which depends only on weighted averages of the potential. As such, the understanding of (2) with piecewise constant potential is of central importance to the study of quantum mechanics. From a physical point of view, the qualitative features of a potential can often be approximated well using a potential which is pieced together from a number of constant parts [16, 24]. For instance, although the forces acting between a proton and a neutron are not accurately known on theoretical grounds, it is known that they are short-range forces, *i.e.*, they extend a short distance, then drop to zero quickly. These forces are well modeled using a piecewise constant potential [24].

Nonetheless, the solutions that are found in the piecewise constant setting are often restricted to single-mode solutions of (3), explaining the phenomena of tunneling and trapping [12, 19, 24]. Solutions of the initial-value problem (IVP) for (2) are not readily available. The presence of both discrete and continuous spectrum exacerbates the use of straightforward linear superposition. Extensive discussions of this are found in [21, 22], but even there the required superposition result is not immediately found. The goal of this paper is to solve the IVP for (2) using the Unified Transform Method (UTM) due to Fokas and collaborators [9, 14, 15], combined with more recent ideas generalizing the UTM to allow for the explicit solution of interface problems [4, 7, 8, 28, 30, 29, 31]. In what follows we present *explicit, closed-form* solutions of the IVP for (2) with square integrable initial data. The solution formulae produced are eminently suitable for asymptotic evaluation, and, if so desired, the location of the discrete and continuous spectrum for the problem may easily be deduced from the solutions presented. It should be noted that the knowledge of these spectra is not required for the construction and evaluation of the solution formulae.

Recently, the UTM has been used to construct explicit closed-form solutions of classical interface problems [4, 7, 8, 28, 29, 31]. These are initial-boundary value problems for partial differential equations (PDEs) for which the solution of an equation in one domain prescribes boundary conditions for the equation in adjacent domains. The standard approach using classical methods to approach such problems is to solve the PDE in each domain, pretending that the boundary values at the domain edges are given. Once solutions in each domain are constructed, the conditions at the interface (*e.g.* continuity of the solution and/or some of its derivatives, *etc.*) are imposed, resulting in nonlocal equations to be solved for the unknown boundary values at the interfaces. For generic initial conditions, these nonlocal equations are often only solvable numerically. By incorporating the conditions at the interface at an earlier stage, many interface problems can be solved in closed forms [4, 7, 8, 28, 29, 31].

The first problem tackled was that of heat-flow in composite walls or rods [7], or equivalently, diffusion in piecewise homogeneous media [4, 23]. This was followed by the investigation of an

interface problem for the linear free Schrödinger equation [29], where we worked with wave functions that are continuous across the interface, but their derivative may experience a jump. We apply the same techniques to the IVP consisting of (2) with $\psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. We regard this problem as an interface problem with interfaces located at the discontinuities of the potential V(x). The wave function $\psi(x, t)$ and its derivative $\psi_x(x, t)$ are assumed to be continuous across the interfaces. The first condition is a requirement following from the probabilistic interpretation of the wave function, while the second condition follows from integrating the equation across an interface and allowing the length of the integration interval to limit to zero [24]. For simplicity, the independent variables occurring in (2) are rescaled so that, in effect, we may equate m = 1, $\hbar = 1$. Thus in what follows, we consider

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\psi_{xx} + V(x)\psi,\tag{4}$$

where V(x) is a piecewise constant potential. The case where V(x) is a delta function (point singular potential) is covered in [27]. We begin our discussions with the case of a single potential jump in Section 2, where many of the steps of the general method are illustrated in a simple setting. This is followed by the general case of n jumps in Section 3. Finally, we apply the results of Section 3 to the important case of a potential well or barrier in Section 4.

In Section 5 we construct a map from the initial conditions to the values of the function and its first spatial derivative evaluated at the n interfaces. The existence of this map allows one to change the problem at hand from an interface problem to a boundary-value problem (BVP) which allows for an alternative to the approach of finding a closed-form solution to the interface problem. This was explored previously by the authors for the heat equation in [30].

2 A step potential

We wish to solve the classical IVP

$$i\psi_t = -\psi_{xx} + \alpha(x)\psi, \qquad \qquad -\infty < x < \infty, \tag{5a}$$

$$\psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x), \qquad \qquad -\infty < x < \infty, \tag{5b}$$

where

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1, & x < 0, \\ \alpha_2, & x > 0, \end{cases}$$
(6)

and $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}\psi(x,t)=0$. We treat this as an interface problem solved by

$$\psi(x,t) = \begin{cases} \psi^{(1)}(x,t), & x < 0, \\ \psi^{(2)}(x,t), & x > 0, \end{cases}$$
(7)

where $\psi^{(1)}(x,t)$ and $\psi^{(2)}(x,t)$ solve

$$i\psi_t^{(1)} = -\psi_{xx}^{(1)} + \alpha_1\psi^{(1)}, \qquad x < 0, \tag{8a}$$

$$i\psi_t^{(2)} = -\psi_{xx}^{(2)} + \alpha_2\psi^{(2)}, \qquad x > 0,$$
 (8b)



Figure 1: The potential $\alpha(x)$ in the case of one step.

with initial conditions

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,0) = \psi_0^{(1)}(x), \qquad \qquad x < 0, \tag{9a}$$

$$\psi^{(2)}(x,0) = \psi_0^{(2)}(x), \qquad x > 0,$$
(9b)

and interface continuity conditions

$$\psi^{(1)}(0,t) = \psi^{(2)}(0,t),$$
 $t > 0,$ (10a)

$$\psi_x^{(1)}(0,t) = \psi_x^{(2)}(0,t), \qquad t > 0, \qquad (10b)$$

as in Figure 1.

We follow the standard steps in the application of the UTM and begin with the *local relations* [9]:

$$(e^{-ikx+\omega_1 t}\psi^{(1)})_t = (e^{-ikx+\omega_1 t}(i\psi^{(1)}_x - k\psi^{(1)}))_x, \qquad x < 0, \qquad (11a)$$

$$(e^{-ikx+\omega_2 t}\psi^{(2)})_t = (e^{-ikx+\omega_2 t}(i\psi_x^{(2)}-k\psi^{(2)}))_x, \qquad x > 0,$$
(11b)

where $\omega_j(k) = i(\alpha_j + k^2)$ for j = 1, 2. Note that, as is common in the UTM, the ω_j differ from the standard convention for dispersion relations by a factor of *i*. Thus for dispersive problems ω_j is purely imaginary. Integrating over the strips $(-\infty, 0) \times (0, t)$ and $(0, \infty) \times (0, t)$ respectively (see Figure 2), and applying Green's Theorem [1], we have the global relations

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-ikx+\omega_1 t} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-ikx} \psi_0^{(1)}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{0}^{t} e^{\omega_1 s} (i\psi_x^{(1)}(0,s) - k\psi^{(1)}(0,s)) \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad (12a)$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ikx+\omega_2 t} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\infty e^{-ikx} \psi_0^{(2)}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_0^t e^{\omega_2 s} (i\psi_x^{(2)}(0,s) - k\psi^{(2)}(0,s)) \,\mathrm{d}s.$$
(12b)

Let $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) \ge 0\}, \mathbb{C}^- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(z) \le 0\}$. We define the following:



Figure 2: Regions for the application of Green's formula in the case of two semi-infinite domains.

$$\begin{split} \hat{\psi}^{(1)}(k,t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-ikx} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x, & x < 0, \quad t > 0, \ \mathrm{Im}(k) > 0, \\ \hat{\psi}^{(1)}_{0}(k) &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-ikx} \psi^{(1)}_{0}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x, & x < 0, \quad \mathrm{Im}(k) > 0, \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\psi}^{(2)}(k,t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ikx} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x, \qquad x > 0, \qquad t > 0, \quad \mathrm{Im}(k) < 0,$$
$$\hat{\psi}^{(2)}(k) = \int_0^\infty e^{-ikx} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) \,\mathrm{d}x, \qquad x > 0, \qquad x > 0, \quad t > 0, \quad \mathrm{Im}(k) < 0,$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{0}^{(2)}(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} \psi_{0}^{(2)}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x, \qquad x > 0, \qquad \mathrm{Im}(k) < 0,$$

$$g_{0}(\omega,t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{\omega s} \psi^{(1)}(0,s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_{0}^{t} e^{\omega s} \psi^{(2)}(0,s) \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t > 0, \, \omega \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$g_{1}(\omega,t) = \int_{0}^{t} e^{\omega s} \psi^{(1)}_{x}(0,s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_{0}^{t} e^{\omega s} \psi^{(2)}_{x}(0,s) \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t > 0, \, \omega \in \mathbb{C},$$

where in the last two definitions we have used the continuity conditions (10). With these definitions the global relations become

$$e^{\omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}^{(1)}(k,t) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) + ig_1(\omega_1,t) - kg_0(\omega_1,t), \qquad k \in \mathbb{C}^+, \qquad (13a)$$

$$e^{\omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}^{(2)}(k,t) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) - ig_1(\omega_2,t) + kg_0(\omega_2,t), \qquad k \in \mathbb{C}^-.$$
(13b)

We wish to transform the global relations so that $g_0(\cdot, t)$ and $g_1(\cdot, t)$ depend on a common argument, $-ik^2$ as was first done in [4, 23]. To this end, let

$$\nu^{(j)}(k) = ik(1 + \alpha_j/k^2)^{1/2}$$

In this manuscript we define $z^{1/2}$ as follows. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $z = re^{i\theta}$ where $\theta = \theta_p + 2\pi n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-\pi < \theta_p \le \pi$. Then, $z^{1/2} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta_p/2}e^{in\pi}$. Thus, for a given value of z, $z^{1/2}$ takes two possible values corresponding to even or odd n. These two values are denoted $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta_p/2}$ and $-\sqrt{z} = -\sqrt{r}e^{i\theta_p/2}$. Thus, we have

$$\nu^{(j)}(k) = ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_j}{k^2}}, \ \nu^{(j)}(-k) = -\nu^{(j)}(k),$$

which make up a two-sheeted expression with branch points at $\pm i\sqrt{\alpha_j}$ leading to branch cuts in the complex k plane along $[-i\sqrt{\alpha_1}, i\sqrt{\alpha_1}]$ and $[-i\sqrt{\alpha_2}, i\sqrt{\alpha_2}]$. These cuts are straight-line segments between the endpoints on the real or imaginary axis, depending on the signs of α_1 and α_2 . Using the transformations $k \to \nu^{(j)}(\pm k)$, with j = 1 in (13a) and j = 2 in (13b), we have the transformed global relations

$$e^{-ik^{2}t}\hat{\psi}^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}(k),t\right) = \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}(k)\right) + ig_{1}(-ik^{2},t) - \nu^{(1)}(k)g_{0}(-ik^{2},t),$$
(14a)

$$e^{-ik^2t}\hat{\psi}^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}(-k),t\right) = \hat{\psi}^{(1)}_0\left(\nu^{(1)}(-k)\right) + ig_1(-ik^2,t) - \nu^{(1)}(-k)g_0(-ik^2,t), \tag{14b}$$

$$e^{-ik^2t}\hat{\psi}^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}(k),t\right) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}(k)\right) - ig_1(-ik^2,t) + \nu^{(2)}(k)g_0(-ik^2,t), \tag{14c}$$

$$e^{-ik^2t}\hat{\psi}^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}(-k),t\right) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}(-k)\right) - ig_1(-ik^2,t) + \nu^{(2)}(-k)g_0(-ik^2,t), \tag{14d}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(k) > 0$ in (14a) and (14d) and $\operatorname{Re}(k) < 0$ in (14b) and (14c).

To determine the regions of validity of (14), let $\sqrt{1 + \alpha/k^2} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta_p/2} = \sqrt{r}(\cos(\theta_p/2) + i\sin(\theta_p/2))$ where $-\pi < \theta_p < \pi$ as in the definition of the square root above and

$$\tan(\theta_p) = \frac{-2\alpha \operatorname{Re}(k) \operatorname{Im}(k)}{(\operatorname{Re}(k)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(k)^2)^2 + \alpha(\operatorname{Re}(k)^2 - \operatorname{Im}(k)^2)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(-i\nu^{(j)}(k)) &= \operatorname{Im}(\nu^{(j)}(k)) \\ &= \sqrt{r} \left(\operatorname{Re}(k) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) - \operatorname{Im}(k) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(k)\sqrt{r} \cos\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(k)}{\operatorname{Re}(k)} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(k)\sqrt{r} \cos\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(k)\sin(\theta_p)}{\operatorname{Re}(k)(1+\cos(\theta_p))} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(k)\sqrt{r} \cos\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}(k)(1+\cos(\theta_p)) - \operatorname{Im}(k)\sin(\theta_p)}{\operatorname{Re}(k)(1+\cos(\theta_p))} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(k)\sqrt{r} \cos\left(\frac{\theta_p}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2(\operatorname{Re}(k)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(k)^2)}{\operatorname{Re}(k)^2 - \alpha + \sqrt{(\operatorname{Re}(k)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(k)^2)^2 + 4\alpha\operatorname{Re}(k)^2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\pi < \theta_p < \pi$ by definition, $\cos(\theta_p/2) > 0$. Now, the final three terms in the above expression are all positive. Hence $\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(-i\nu^{(j)}(k))) = \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(k))$. The same calculation for -k gives $\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(-i\nu^{(j)}(-k))) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(k))$. In summary,

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(-i\nu^{(j)}(\pm k))) = \pm \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}(k)).$$



Figure 3: The regions $D_R^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Inverting the Fourier transform in (13) we have the solution formulae

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \left(ig_1(\omega_1, t) - kg_0(\omega_1, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \qquad (15a)$$

$$\psi^{(2)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \left(ig_1(\omega_2, t) - kg_0(\omega_2, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \qquad (15b)$$

for x < 0 and x > 0 respectively. Examining the second integrals in the formulae above we see it is possible to deform farther into the complex plane as follows:

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \left(ig_1(\omega_1, t) - kg_0(\omega_1, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \quad (16a)$$

$$\psi^{(2)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \left(ig_1(\omega_2, t) - kg_0(\omega_2, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \quad (16b)$$

where

$$D_R^{(j)} = \{k \in D^{(j)} : |k| > R\},\tag{17}$$

with $D^{(j)}$ the j^{th} quadrant of the complex plane. The regions $D_R^{(j)}$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4 are as shown in Figure 3 where $\Lambda = \max_l \{ |\alpha_l| \}$ and $R > \sqrt{2\Lambda}$ is a sufficiently large constant. The reason for integrating around $D_R^{(j)}$ rather than $D^{(j)}$ in (16) is to avoid singularities in what follows. Next we let $k = \nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$ when integrating around $D_R^{(1)}$ and $k = \nu^{(j)}(-\kappa)$ when integrating

Next we let $k = \nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$ when integrating around $D_R^{(1)}$ and $k = \nu^{(j)}(-\kappa)$ when integrating around $D_R^{(3)}$ so that $g_0(\cdot, t)$ and $g_1(\cdot, t)$ have a common argument and all integrals with unknown terms are integrated around $D_R^{(4)}$. That is, (16) becomes

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\nu^{(1)}(-\kappa)x + i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(1)}(\kappa)} g_1(-i\kappa^2, t) + \kappa g_0(-i\kappa^2, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$
(18a)
$$\psi^{(2)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(\kappa)x + i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(2)}(\kappa)} g_1(-i\kappa^2, t) - \kappa g_0(-i\kappa^2, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa. \end{split}$$
(18b)

Using the transformed global relations (14a) and (14d) valid in $D^{(4)}$ one solves for $g_0(-i\kappa^2, t)$ and $g_1(-i\kappa^2, t)$. Noticing that $\nu^{(j)}(-\kappa) = -\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$ we denote $\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$ by $\nu^{(j)}$. In the remainder of this section the argument of all $\nu^{(j)}$ is κ . Substituting the results for $g_0(-i\kappa^2, t)$ and $g_1(-i\kappa^2, t)$ into (18) one finds

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)})}{2\pi\nu^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa}{\pi(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(-\nu^{(2)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)})}{2\pi\nu^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} \left(\nu^{(2)} - \nu^{(1)}\right) e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x} \hat{\psi}^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}, t\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa\nu^{(1)}}{\pi(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x} \hat{\psi}^{(2)}\left(-\nu^{(2)}, t\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$
(19a)

for x < 0. Similarly,

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa}{\pi(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} \nu^{(2)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)})}{2\pi\nu^{(2)}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(-\nu^{(2)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa}{\pi(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x} \hat{\psi}^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}, t\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)})}{2\pi\nu^{(2)}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x} \hat{\psi}^{(2)}\left(-\nu^{(2)}, t\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$
(19b)

for x > 0. The first three terms in Expressions (19a) and (19b) depend only on known functions. The last two terms in (19a) and (19b) are analytic for $\operatorname{Re}(-i\nu^{(1)}) = -\operatorname{Re}(i\nu^{(2)}) = \operatorname{Re}(\kappa) > 0$. Note that $\hat{\psi}^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)},t)$ and $\hat{\psi}^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)},t)$ decay exponentially fast for $|\kappa| \to \infty$ when $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa) > 0$. Thus, by Jordan's Lemma, the integrals of $\exp(-i\nu^{(1)}x)\hat{\psi}^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)},t)$ and $\exp(-i\nu^{(1)}x)\hat{\psi}^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)},t)$ along a closed, bounded curve in the right-half of the complex κ plane vanish for x < 0. In particular



Figure 4: The contour $\mathcal{L}_{D^{(4)}}$ is shown as a green solid line and the contour \mathcal{L}_C is shown as a green dashed line.

we consider the closed curve $\mathcal{L}^{(4)} = \mathcal{L}_{D^{(4)}} \cup \mathcal{L}_{C}^{(4)}$ where $\mathcal{L}_{D^{(4)}} = \partial D_{R}^{(4)} \cap \{\kappa : |\kappa| < C\}$ and

 $\mathcal{L}_{C}^{(4)} = \{\kappa \in D_{R}^{(4)} : |\kappa| = C\}, \text{ see Figure 4.}$ Since the integral along \mathcal{L}_{C} vanishes for large C, the fourth and fifth integrals on the right-hand side of (19a) must vanish since the contour $\mathcal{L}_{D^{(4)}}$ becomes $\partial D^{(4)}$ as $C \to \infty$. For the final two integrals in Equation (19b) we use the fact that for x > 0 the integrals of $\exp(i\nu^{(2)}x)\hat{\psi}^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)},t)$ and $\exp(i\nu^{(2)}x)\hat{\psi}^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)},t)$ along a closed, bounded curve in the right-half of the complex κ plane vanish. Thus, we have an explicit representation for $\psi^{(1)}(x,t)$ in terms of only initial conditions:

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)})}{2\pi\nu^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa$$
(20a)
$$- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa}{\pi(\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(-\nu^{(2)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa,$$

for x < 0, and

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa \nu^{(2)}}{\pi (\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)} \left(\nu^{(1)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa (\nu^{(1)} - \nu^{(2)})}{2\pi \nu^{(2)} (\nu^{(1)} + \nu^{(2)})} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)} \left(-\nu^{(2)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$
(20b)

for x > 0. Note that the denominators in (20a) and (20b) are zero at the branch points $\kappa = \pm i \sqrt{\alpha_j}$. However, these points are avoided by integrating over the boundary of $D_B^{(4)}$.

The expressions (20a) and (20b) provide fully explicit solutions for the IVP (5). They are written in a form containing more familiar exponents by letting $\kappa = ik\sqrt{1 + \alpha_1/k^2}$ in the second and third integrals of (20a) and $\kappa = -ik\sqrt{1 + \alpha_2/k^2}$ in the second and third integrals of (20b). Then

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}}{2\pi \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(-k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{1}{\pi \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(k\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \end{split}$$
(21a)

for x < 0, and

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} \frac{1}{\pi \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)} \left(k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}}{2\pi \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(-k) \, \mathrm{d}k, \end{split}$$
(21b)

for x > 0. It appears that our solution depends on an extra parameter R. However, observe that $\int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \cdot dk = \int_{\partial D_{\tilde{R}}^{(3)}} \cdot dk + \oint_{\mathcal{R}}$ where $\partial D_R^{(3)}$, $\partial D_{\tilde{R}}^{(3)}$ and \mathcal{R} are as in Figure 5. Since the integrands in (21a) are analytic in $D_R^{(3)}$ (and therefore \mathcal{R}), $\oint_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot dk = 0$. Hence, $\int_{\partial D_R} \cdot dk = \int_{\partial D_{\tilde{R}}} \cdot dk$ for any $R > \Lambda$, and our solution is independent of the value of R chosen. The same argument is true for (21b).

Before we begin the asymptotic analysis, it is useful to deform the contours in (21) back to the real line. We examine the branch cut introduced in (21) of the form $\sqrt{1+\frac{a}{k^2}}$. In (21) $a = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$ but it may be different in later sections. If a > 0 the branch points are at $\pm i\sqrt{a}$. We fix the branch cut to be on the finite imaginary axis running from $-i\sqrt{a}$ to $i\sqrt{a}$ by defining the local polar coordinates

$$k - i\sqrt{a} = r_1 e^{i\theta_1},$$

$$k + i\sqrt{a} = r_2 e^{i\theta_2},$$

where $-\pi/2 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \le 3\pi/2$ as in Figure 6a or $-3\pi/2 < \theta_3, \theta_4 \le \pi/2$ as in Figure 6b. Similarly, if $a < 0, \pm \sqrt{-a}$ are the branch points. We fix the branch cut to be on the finite real axis running



Figure 5: The contours $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ and $\partial D_{\tilde{R}}^{(3)}$ and the region \mathcal{R} .

from $-\sqrt{-a}$ to $\sqrt{-a}$ by defining the local polar coordinates

$$k + \sqrt{-a} = r_5 e^{i\theta_5},$$

$$k - \sqrt{-a} = r_6 e^{i\theta_6},$$

where $-\pi < \theta_5, \theta_6 \leq \pi$ as in Figure 6c.

If the branch cut is on the imaginary axis then deforming $\partial D_R^{(1)}$ to the real axis and using the local parameterization $-\pi/2 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 3\pi/2$ as in Figure 6a, one finds

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} f(k) \, \mathrm{d}k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + i \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} f(re^{3\pi i/2} + i\sqrt{a}) \, \mathrm{d}r$$

$$-\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} i\epsilon \int_{-\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} f(\epsilon e^{i\theta} + i\sqrt{a})e^{i\theta} \, \mathrm{d}\theta - i \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} f(re^{-\pi i/2} + i\sqrt{a}) \, \mathrm{d}r,$$
(22)

as in the dashed red line in Figure 7 where $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdot dk$ is a Cauchy Principal Value integral. The third integral in (22) can be contracted to a zero radius using integration by parts [25, p. 128]. Deforming $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ to the real axis when the branch cut is on the imaginary axis requires the local parameterization with $-3\pi/2 < \theta_3, \theta_4 \leq \pi/2$ as in 6b. Then

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} f(k) \, \mathrm{d}k = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + i \int_{-\sqrt{a}}^{0} f(re^{\pi i/2} - i\sqrt{a}) \, \mathrm{d}r - \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} i\epsilon \int_{-3\pi/2}^{\pi/2} f(\epsilon e^{i\theta} - i\sqrt{a})e^{i\theta} \, \mathrm{d}\theta - i \int_{-\sqrt{a}}^{0} f(re^{-3\pi i/2} - i\sqrt{a}) \, \mathrm{d}r,$$
(23)

as in the solid green line in Figure 7. Again, the third integral in (23) can be contracted to a zero radius using integration by parts [25, p. 128]. If the branch cut is on the real axis then deforming



Figure 6: Branch cuts for $\sqrt{1+\frac{a}{k^2}}$ and the local parameterizations around the branch points. In (a), a > 0 and the local parameterization around the branch points $\pm i\sqrt{a}$ with $-\pi/2 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq 3\pi/2$. In (b), a > 0 and the local parameterization around the branch points $\pm i\sqrt{a}$ with $-3\pi/2 < \theta_3, \theta_4 \leq \pi/2$. In (c) a < 0 and the local parameterization around the branch points $\pm \sqrt{-a}$ with $-\pi < \theta_5, \theta_6 \leq \pi$.

 $\partial D_R^{(1)}$ and $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ to the real axis one finds

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} f(k) \,\mathrm{d}k = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) \,\mathrm{d}k,\tag{24}$$

and

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} f(k) \,\mathrm{d}k = - \oint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(k) \,\mathrm{d}k,\tag{25}$$

as in Figure 8.

In what follows we consider $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$. Then, $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ in (21a) can be deformed as in (25) and $\partial D_R^{(1)}$ in (21b) can be deformed as in (22).

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a^{(1)}(k) e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \, \mathrm{d}k, \tag{26}$$

for x < 0, where

$$a^{(1)}(k) = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}} \left(\left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}} \right) \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(-k) + 2\hat{\psi}_0^{(2)} \left(k\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}} \right) \right),$$

and

$$\psi^{(2)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} a^{(2)}(k) e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \, \mathrm{d}k + i \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}} \left(a^{(2)}(re^{3\pi i/2} + i\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}) - a^{(2)}(re^{-\pi i/2} + i\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}) \right) \times e^{(r - \sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1})x + it(r^2 - \alpha_1 - 2r\sqrt{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1})} \, \mathrm{d}r,$$
(27)



Figure 7: The deformations of $\partial D_R^{(1)}$ (as a red dashed line) and $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ (as a green solid line) to the real line when the branch cut is on the imaginary axis.



Figure 8: The deformations of $\partial D_R^{(1)}$ (as a red dashed line) and $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ (as a solid green line) to the real line for the case when the branch cut is on the real axis.

for x > 0, where

$$a^{(2)}(k) = \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}} \left((1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}) \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(-k) + 2\hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{k^2}}\right) \right).$$

At this point, we are ready to use asymptotic analysis. The large-time leading-order behavior of (5) with initial conditions which decay sufficiently fast at $\pm \infty$ is easily obtained using the Method of Stationary Phase [5]. Notice that the third integrand of (27) is decaying for x large and positive. Thus, this integral does not contribute using the Method of Stationary Phase. We choose $x/t = \gamma_1 < 0$ for x < 0 and $x/t = \gamma_2 > 0$ for x > 0. We obtain

$$\psi^{(1)} \sim \frac{e^{i\left(\frac{\gamma_1^2}{4} - \alpha_1\right)t - \frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(\hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\right) + \frac{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{\gamma_1^2}}\right)\hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}\left(\frac{-\gamma_1}{2}\right) + 2\hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{2}\sqrt{1 + \frac{4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{\gamma_1^2}}\right)}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{\gamma_1^2}}} \right),$$

and

$$\psi^{(2)} \sim \frac{e^{i\left(\frac{\gamma_2^2}{4} - \alpha_2\right)t - \frac{i\pi}{4}}}{2\sqrt{\pi t}} \left(\hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\right) + \frac{\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{\gamma_2^2}}\right)\hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}\left(\frac{-\gamma_2}{2}\right) + 2\hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}\left(\frac{\gamma_2}{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{\gamma_2^2}}\right)}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)}{\gamma_2^2}}} \right).$$

The oscillations that are expected as a consequence of dispersion are contained in $\exp(it(\gamma_j^2/4 - \alpha_j))$. In Figures 9 and 10 the envelopes of the solutions are plotted in black as a dot-dashed line. The real part of the solution (plotted as a solid line in blue) and the imaginary part of the solution (plotted as a dashed line in red) are centered around the *t*-axis. Using the Method of Stationary Phase one must look in directions of constant x/t. In Figure 9 we consider solutions for x/t = -4 and in Figure 10 we have solutions with x/t = 2. In both figures $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 2$ and $\psi_0(x) = e^{-x^2}$.

The Method of Stationary Phase is not useful for considering the nature of solutions near the barrier at x = 0, since requiring t to be large implies that x is large if x/t is to be constant. In order to evaluate the solution formulae numerically near the interface one could use techniques presented in [20, 33, 34]. It may also be possible to use asymptotic techniques similar to those in [6].

Notice that when $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ the problem reduces to the IVP for the linear Schrödinger equation on the whole line. It is easily seen that the solutions (20a) and (20b) reduce to the solution of the problem found using Fourier transforms split into the appropriate domains for the free particle problem.

3 *n* potential jumps

We wish to solve the classical problem

$$i\psi_t = -\psi_{xx} + \alpha(x)\psi, \qquad -\infty < x < \infty,$$
 (28a)

$$\psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x), \qquad \qquad -\infty < x < \infty, \qquad (28b)$$

with



Figure 9: The real (red dashed) and imaginary (blue solid) parts of the leading order behavior as $t \to \infty$ of $\psi^{(1)}$ along rays of x/t = -4 with $\psi_0(x) = e^{-x^2}$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, and $\alpha_2 = 2$.



Figure 10: The real (red dashed) and imaginary (blue solid) parts of the leading order behavior as $t \to \infty$ of $\psi^{(2)}$ along rays of x/t = 2 with $\psi_0(x) = e^{-x^2}$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, and $\alpha_2 = 2$.



Figure 11: A cartoon of the potential $\alpha(x)$ in the case of n interfaces.

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1, & x < x_1, \\ \alpha_2, & x_1 < x < x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n, & x_{n-1} < x < x_n, \\ \alpha_{n+1}, & x > x_n, \end{cases}$$

and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \psi(x,t) = 0$. We repeat the same steps as in the previous section, but now for an arbitrary number *n* of constant levels of the potential $\alpha(x)$. As a consequence, the formulae obtained are significantly more involved, but no less explicit. The experience gained from the previous section provides the insight necessary to proceed with the general case presented here.

We treat the problem (28) as an interface problem solved by

$$\psi(x,t) = \begin{cases}
\psi^{(1)}(x,t), & x < x_1, \\
\psi^{(2)}(x,t), & x_1 < x < x_2, \\
\vdots & \\
\psi^{(n)}(x,t), & x_{n-1} < x < x_n, \\
\psi^{(n+1)}(x,t), & x > x_n,
\end{cases}$$
(29)

which solve the n + 1 IVPs

$$i\psi_t^{(j)} = -\psi_{xx}^{(j)} + \alpha_i \psi^{(j)},$$
(30a)

$$\psi^{(j)}(x,0) = \psi_0^{(j)}(x),$$
(30b)

for $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$, with $x_0 = -\infty$ and $x_{n+1} = \infty$, $j = 1, \ldots, n+1$. The solutions of the IVPs (30) are coupled by the interface conditions

$$\psi^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \psi^{(j+1)}(x_j, t), \qquad t > 0,$$

$$\psi_x^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \psi_x^{(j+1)}(x_j, t), \qquad t > 0,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$ as in Figure 11.

We begin with the n + 1 local relations

$$(e^{-ikx+\omega_j t}\psi^{(j)})_t = (e^{-ikx+\omega_j t}(i\psi_x^{(j)} - k\psi^{(j)}))_x, \quad x_{j-1} < x < x_j,$$
(31)

where $\omega_j(k) = i(\alpha_j + k^2)$ for $1 \le j \le n + 1$ and $x_0 = -\infty$ and $x_{n+1} = \infty$. Applying Green's Theorem and integrating over the (possibly unbounded) strips $(x_{j-1}, x_j) \times (0, t)$ for $1 \le j \le n + 1$, we have the n + 1 global relations

$$\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx+\omega_j t} \psi^{(j)}(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx} \psi_0^{(j)}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_0^t e^{-ikx_j+\omega_j s} (i\psi_x^{(j)}(x_j,s) - k\psi^{(j)}(x_j,s)) \, \mathrm{d}s$$
$$-\int_0^t e^{-ikx_{j-1}+\omega_j s} (i\psi_x^{(j)}(x_{j-1},s) - k\psi^{(j)}(x_{j-1},s)) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

As before, we define the following for j = 1, ..., n + 1:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\psi}^{(j)}(k,t) &= \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx} \psi^{(j)}(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x, & x_{j-1} < x < x_j, \quad t > 0, \\ \hat{\psi}^{(j)}_0(k) &= \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx} \psi^{(j)}_0(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, & x_{j-1} < x < x_j, \\ g^{(j)}_0(\omega,t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} \psi^{(j)}(x_j,s) \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t e^{\omega s} \psi^{(j+1)}(x_j,s) \, \mathrm{d}s, & t > 0, \\ e^{(j)}(\omega,t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s_j} \psi^{(j)}(x_j,s) \, \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t e^{\omega s_j} \psi^{(j+1)}(x_j,s) \, \mathrm{d}s, & t > 0, \end{split}$$

$$g_1^{(j)}(\omega,t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{\omega s} \psi_x^{(j)}(x_j,s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^{\infty} e^{\omega s} \psi_x^{(j+1)}(x_j,s) \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad t > 0.$$

For convenience we assume the interfaces are shifted such that $x_1 = 0$ and $x_j > 0$ for all $j \ge 2$. All but four of these integrals are proper integrals, and they are defined for $k \in \mathbb{C}$. The only ones that are not valid in all of \mathbb{C} are $\hat{\psi}^{(1)}(k,t)$, $\hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k)$ (valid for $\operatorname{Im}(k) \ge 0$) and $\hat{\psi}^{(n+1)}(k,t)$, $\hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(k)$ (valid for $\operatorname{Im}(k) \ge 0$).

With these definitions the global relations become

$$e^{\omega_{1}t}\hat{\psi}^{(1)}(k,t) = \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}(k) + ig_{1}^{(1)}(\omega_{1},t) - kg_{0}^{(1)}(\omega_{1},t), \qquad \text{Im}(k) \ge 0, \qquad (32a)$$
$$e^{\omega_{j}t}\hat{\psi}^{(j)}(k,t) = \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(j)}(k) + e^{-ikx_{j}}(ig_{1}^{(j)}(\omega_{j},t) - kg_{0}^{(j)}(\omega_{j},t))$$

$$-e^{-ikx_{j-1}}(ig_1^{(j-1)}(\omega_j, t) - kg_0^{(j-1)}(\omega_j, t)), \qquad k \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (32b)$$

$$e^{\omega_{n+1}t}\hat{\psi}^{(n+1)}(k,t) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(k) - e^{-ikx_n}(ig_1^{(n)}(\omega_{n+1},t) - kg_0^{(n)}(\omega_{n+1},t)), \quad \text{Im}(k) \le 0, \quad (32c)$$

where $2 \leq j \leq n$. As in the previous section we transform the global relations so that $g_0^{(j)}(\cdot, t)$ and $g_1^{(j)}(\cdot, t)$ depend on a common argument. Let

$$\nu^{(j)}(k) = ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha_j}{k^2}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

Using the transformations $k = \pm \nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$, we have the transformed global relations

$$e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)}, t) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)}) + ig_1^{(1)} - \nu^{(1)}g_0^{(1)},$$
(33a)

$$e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}^{(1)}(-\nu^{(1)}, t) = \hat{\psi}^{(1)}_0(-\nu^{(1)}) + ig^{(1)}_1 + \nu^{(1)}g^{(1)}_0, \tag{33b}$$

$$e^{-i\kappa^{2}t}\hat{\psi}^{(j)}(\nu^{(j)},t) = \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(j)}(\nu^{(j)}) + e^{-i\nu^{(j)}x_{j}}(ig_{1}^{(j)} - \nu^{(j)}g_{0}^{(j)}) - e^{-i\nu^{(j)}x_{j-1}}(ig_{1}^{(j-1)} - \nu^{(j)}g_{0}^{(j-1)}),$$
(33c)

$$e^{-i\kappa^{2}t}\hat{\psi}^{(j)}(-\nu^{(j)},t) = \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(j)}(-\nu^{(j)}) + e^{i\nu^{(j)}x_{j}}(ig_{1}^{(j)} + \nu^{(j)}g_{0}^{(j)}) - e^{i\nu^{(j)}x_{j-1}}(ig_{1}^{(j-1)} + \nu^{(j)}g_{0}^{(j-1)}),$$
(33d)

$$e^{-i\kappa^{2}t}\hat{\psi}^{(n+1)}(\nu^{(n+1)},t) = \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(n+1)}(\nu^{(n+1)}) - e^{-i\nu^{(n+1)}x_{n}}(ig_{1}^{(n)} - \nu^{(n+1)}g_{0}^{(n)}),$$
(33e)

$$e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}^{(n+1)}(-\nu^{(n+1)}, t) = \hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(-\nu^{(n+1)}) - e^{i\nu^{(n+1)}x_n}(ig_1^{(n)} + \nu^{(n+1)}g_0^{(n)}),$$
(33f)

where $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $g_0^{(j)} = g_0^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$, $g_1^{(j)} = g_1^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$, $\nu^{(j)} = \nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. In order for (33) to be well defined $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa) \geq 0$ for (33a) and (33f). Similarly, $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa) \leq 0$ in (33b) and (33e). Equations (33c) and (33d) are valid for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$.

Inverting the Fourier transform in (32) we have the solution formulae

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \left(ig_1^{(1)}(\omega_1,t) - kg_0^{(1)}(\omega_1,t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \quad (34a) \\ \psi^{(j)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_j t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(j)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x - x_j) - \omega_j t} \left(ig_1^{(j)}(\omega_j,t) - kg_0^{(j)}(\omega_j,t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x - x_{j-1}) - \omega_j t} \left(ig_1^{(j-1)}(\omega_j,t) - kg_0^{(j-1)}(\omega_j,t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \\ \psi^{(n+1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_{n+1} t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x - x_n) - \omega_{n+1} t} \left(ig_1^{(n)}(\omega_{n+1},t) - kg_0^{(n)}(\omega_{n+1},t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \end{split}$$
(34e)

for $2 \leq j \leq n$, and $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$. We deform these integrals into the complex plane. Using

Cauchy's Theorem and Jordan's Lemma we have

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \left(ig_1^{(1)}(\omega_1,t) - kg_0^{(1)}(\omega_1,t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k,$$

(35a)

$$\psi^{(j)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_j t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(j)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} e^{ik(x - x_j) - \omega_j t} \left(ig_1^{(j)}(\omega_j, t) - kg_0^{(j)}(\omega_j, t) \right) \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} e^{ik(x - x_{j-1}) - \omega_j t} \left(ig_1^{(j-1)}(\omega_j, t) - kg_0^{(j-1)}(\omega_j, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k,$$
(35b)

$$\psi^{(n+1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_{n+1}t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} e^{ik(x - x_n) - \omega_{n+1}t} \left(ig_1^{(n)}(\omega_{n+1}, t) - kg_0^{(n)}(\omega_{n+1}, t) \right) \, \mathrm{d}k,$$
(35c)

where $D_R^{(j)}$ is as in (17) and Figure 3. Again, we wish to transform the integrals involving $g_0^{(j)}(\cdot, t)$ and $g_1^{(j)}(\cdot, t)$ in each of the solution formulae above so these terms depend on $-i\kappa^2$. As before, we deform to $D_R^{(j)}$ (with $\Lambda = \max_j |\alpha_j|, R > \sqrt{2\Lambda}$). Choosing $k = \nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$ on $\partial D_R^{(1)}$ and $k = -\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$ on $\partial D_R^{(3)}$ we have

$$\psi^{(1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}(\kappa)x + i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(1)}(\kappa)} g_1^{(1)} + \kappa g_0^{(1)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa,$$
(36a)

$$\psi^{(j)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_j t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(j)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{-i\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)(x - x_j) + i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)} g_1^{(j)} + \kappa g_0^{(j)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa$$
(36b)
+ $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)(x - x_{j-1}) + i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(j)}(\kappa)} g_1^{(j-1)} - \kappa g_0^{(j-1)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa,$

$$\psi^{(n+1)}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_{n+1}t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}}^{\infty} e^{i\nu^{(n+1)}(\kappa)(x-x_n) + i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(n+1)}(\kappa)} g_1^{(n)} - \kappa g_0^{(n)}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa,$$
(36c)

where $g_0^{(j)} \equiv g_0^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$ and $g_1^{(j)} \equiv g_1^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$.

Using the 2n transformed global relations valid in $D_R^{(4)}$ (33a), (33c), (33d), and (33f) one solves for $g_0^{(j)}$ and $g_1^{(j)}$. This amounts to solving the $2n \times 2n$ matrix problem

$$\mathcal{A}(\kappa)X(-i\kappa^2,t) = Y(\kappa) + e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \mathcal{Y}(\kappa,t),$$
(37)

where

$$X(-i\kappa^2, t) = \left(g_0^{(1)}, g_0^{(2)}, \dots, g_0^{(n)}, ig_1^{(1)}, ig_1^{(2)}, \dots, ig_1^{(n)}\right)^\top,$$
(38a)

$$Y(\kappa) = -\left(\hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)}), \dots, \hat{\psi}_0^{(n)}(\nu^{(n)}), \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)}), \dots, \hat{\psi}_0^{(n+1)}(-\nu^{(n+1)})\right)^\top,$$
(38b)

$$\mathcal{Y}(\kappa,t) = \left(\hat{\psi}^{(1)}(\nu^{(1)},t),\dots,\hat{\psi}^{(n)}(\nu^{(n)},t),\hat{\psi}^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)},t),\dots,\hat{\psi}^{(n+1)}(-\nu^{(n+1)},t)\right)^{\top},\tag{38c}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} -\nu^{(1)}e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x_{1}} & e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x_{1}} & e^{-i\nu^{(2)}x_{2}} & e^{-i\nu^{(2)}x_{1}} & e^{-i\nu^{(2)}x_{2}} & e^{-i\nu$$

where all $\nu^{(j)}$ are evaluated at κ . The matrix $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ is made up of four $n \times n$ blocks as indicated by the dashed lines. The two blocks in the upper half of $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ are zero except for entries on the main and -1 diagonals. The lower two blocks of $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ are zero except on the main and +1 diagonals.

Every term in the linear equation $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)X(-i\kappa^2,t) = Y(\kappa)$ is known. By substituting the solutions of this equation into (36), we have solved the LS equation with a piecewise constant potential in terms of only known functions. It remains to show that the contribution to the solution from the linear equation $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)X(-i\kappa^2,t) = e^{-i\kappa^2 t}\mathcal{Y}(\kappa,t)$ is 0 when substituted into (36). To this end consider $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)X(-i\kappa^2,t) = e^{-i\kappa^2 t}\mathcal{Y}(\kappa,t)$. We solve this system using Cramer's Rule.

We factor $\mathcal{A}(\kappa) = \mathcal{A}^{L}(\kappa)\mathcal{A}^{M}(\kappa)$ where

$$\mathcal{A}^{L}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\nu^{(1)}x_{1}} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & e^{-i\nu^{(n)}x_{n}} & \\ & & & e^{i\nu^{(1)}x_{1}} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & e^{i\nu^{(n)}x_{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(39)

Let $\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, t)$ be the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ with the j^{th} column replaced by $e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \mathcal{Y}(\kappa, t)$. Similar to $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, this matrix can be factored as $\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, t) = e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \mathcal{A}^L(\kappa) \mathcal{A}_j^M(\kappa, t)$. Hence, $\det(\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, t)) = e^{-i\kappa^2 t} \det(\mathcal{A}_j^M(\kappa, t))$. The terms we are trying to eliminate contribute to the solution (36) in the form

 $\int_{\partial D_{\alpha}^{(4)}} e^{-i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_j)+i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(j)}} g_1^{(j)} + \kappa g_0^{(j)}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa,$ (40a) and

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_{j-1})+i\kappa^2 t} \left(\frac{i\kappa}{\nu^{(j)}} g_1^{(j-1)} - \kappa g_0^{(j-1)}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa,\tag{40b}$$

for $1 \le j \le n, 2 \le j \le n+1$, respectively with $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$. Using Cramer's Rule these become

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{-i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_j)} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\nu^{(j)}} \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_{j+n}^M(\kappa,t))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} + \kappa \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_j^M(\kappa))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} \right) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \tag{41a}$$

and

$$\int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_{j-1})} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\nu^{(j)}} \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_{j-1+n}^M(\kappa,t))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} - \kappa \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_{j-1}^M(\kappa,t))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} \right) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \tag{41b}$$

respectively. Here we have used the factorizations of $\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))$ and $\det(\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, t))$. As is usual in the UTM we use the large κ asymptotics to show the terms (41) are 0.

Observe the elements of $\mathcal{A}^{M}(\kappa)$ are either 0, $\mathcal{O}(\kappa)$ or decaying exponentially fast for $\kappa \in D_{R}^{(4)}$. Hence,

$$\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa)) = c(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa^n),$$

for large κ in $D_R^{(4)}$. Expanding the determinant of $\mathcal{A}_j^M(\kappa, t)$ along the jth column we see that

$$e^{-i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_{j})}\kappa \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_{j}^{M}(\kappa,t))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^{M}(\kappa))} = e^{-i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_{j})}\kappa \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_{j}^{M}(\kappa,t))}{c(\kappa)}$$
$$= e^{-i\nu^{(j)}(x-x_{j})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} c_{\ell}(\kappa) e^{ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}} \hat{\psi}^{(\ell)} \left(\nu^{(\ell)}, t\right)$$
$$+ c_{\ell+n}(\kappa) e^{-ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}} \hat{\psi}^{(\ell+1)} \left(-\nu^{(\ell+1)}, t\right),$$

where $c_{\ell}(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa^0)$ and $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$. The terms $e^{ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}}\hat{\psi}^{(\ell)}(\nu^{(\ell)}, t)$ and $e^{-ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}}\hat{\psi}^{(\ell+1)}(-\nu^{(\ell+1)}, t)$ decay exponentially for $\kappa \in D_R^{(4)}$. The integrands in (41) are analytic for $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa) > 0$. Similar to the argument on page 9, since the integral along $\mathcal{L}_C^{(4)}$ vanishes for large C, the integrals (41) must vanish since the contour $\mathcal{L}_{D^{(4)}}$ becomes $\partial D^{(4)}$ as $C \to \infty$. The uniform decay of the ratios of the determinants for large κ is exactly the condition required for the integral to vanish using Jordan's Lemma. Hence, the solution to (28) is (36) where $g_0^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$ and $g_1^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ are found by solving

$$\mathcal{A}(\kappa)X(-i\kappa^2,t) = Y(\kappa), \tag{42}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\kappa), X(-i\kappa^2, t)$, and $Y(\kappa)$ are given in Equations (38d), (38a), and (38b) respectively. As in the previous section, deforming to the real line is possible using (22)-(25). However, one must be careful to avoid any poles present in (36).

Figure 12: A cartoon of the potential $\alpha(x)$ for a potential well or barrier.

4 Potential well and barrier

As an example of the general method given in Section 3, in this section we solve the classical problem of the finite potential well or barrier:

$$i\psi_t = -\psi_{xx} + \alpha(x)\psi,\tag{43}$$

for $-\infty < x < \infty$ and

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < x_1, \\ \alpha, & x_1 < x < x_2, \\ 0, & x > x_2, \end{cases}$$

with the initial condition $\psi(x,0) = \psi_0(x)$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \psi(x,t) = 0$.

The problem of a finite potential well or barrier is a standard textbook problem in quantum mechanics [12, 19, 24]. In such texts this problem is usually solved using separation of variables, *i.e.*, assuming $\psi(x,t) = X(x)T(t)$. The x problem, $X'' + (\xi^2 - \alpha(x))X = 0$ is solved in the three different regions. Separation of variables is only useful if the initial wave function $\psi(x,0)$ can be expanded in terms of solutions of the time-independent Schrödinger equation [24]. Solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation is equivalent to studying the forward scattering problem with the specified potential. The "scattering matrix" (see [2, Equation 1.3.3] or [11, p. 104]) is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}a(\xi) & b(\xi)\\ \overline{b}(\xi) & -\overline{a}(\xi)\end{array}\right).$$

The zeros of $a(\xi)$ are the discrete eigenvalues for the problem. With some straightforward work we find

$$a(\xi) = e^{i\xi x_2} \left(\cosh(x_2\sqrt{\alpha - \xi^2}) - \frac{i(2\xi^2 - \alpha)}{2\xi\sqrt{\alpha - \xi^2}} \sinh(x_2\sqrt{\alpha - \xi^2}) \right).$$
(44)

This problem is examined in many excellent texts including [2, 3, 10, 11].

The potential well or barrier problem is the standard example to introduce students to the concept of quantum tunneling which is a phenomenon where a particle "tunnels" over a barrier that it cannot overcome in the classical mechanics setting [26]. The closed form solutions we present at the end of this section all depend on the initial conditions from each of the three regions and quantum tunneling is clearly present. Finding the closed form solutions is as easy as letting n = 2, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ in (36) as in Figure 12. Again we denote $g_0^{(j)} = g_0^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$,

 $g_1^{(j)} = g_1^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, t)$, for j = 1, 2 and $\nu^{(j)} = \nu^{(j)}(\kappa)$. Solving (42) in the case of n = 2 we have solutions for $g_0^{(1)}, g_1^{(1)}, g_0^{(2)}, g_1^{(2)}$ valid in $D_R^{(4)}$. Let

$$\begin{split} \Delta(\kappa) =& 2\pi \left(i\kappa (e^{-ix_2\nu^{(2)}} + 1) + \nu^{(2)} (e^{-ix_2\nu^{(2)}} - 1) \right) \left(i\kappa (1 - e^{ix_2\nu^{(2)}}) + \nu^{(2)} (1 + e^{ix_2\nu^{(2)}}) \right) \\ =& 4i\pi \left((\alpha + 2\kappa^2) \sin(x_2\nu^{(2)}) + 2\kappa\nu^{(2)} \cos(x_2\nu^{(2)}) \right). \end{split}$$

The solutions (36) with the appropriate values of $g_0^{(j)}$ and $g_1^{(j)}$ are

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{i\alpha (e^{-ix_2\nu^{(2)}} - e^{ix_2\nu^{(2)}})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa x + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(i\kappa) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa (\kappa - i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa x - ix_2\nu^{(2)} + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)}) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa (\kappa + i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa (x2ix_2\nu^{(2)}) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)}) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{4\kappa\nu^{(2)}}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa (x + x_2) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(3)}(-i\kappa) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$
(45)

for x < 0,

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k + \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa(\kappa + i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x_2 - x) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(i\kappa) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\kappa + i\nu^{(2)})^2}{\nu^{(2)}\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x_2 - x) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)}) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\alpha\kappa}{\nu^{(2)}\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x_2 - x) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)}) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa(\kappa - i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x - x_2) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(i\kappa) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa(\kappa - i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x - x_2) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(i\kappa) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\alpha\kappa}{\nu^{(2)}\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x - x_2) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)}) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{\kappa(\kappa + i\nu^{(2)})^2}{\nu^{(2)}\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}(x - x_2) + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)}(\kappa)) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa(\kappa + i\nu^{(2)})^2}{\nu^{(2)}\Delta(\kappa)} e^{i\nu^{(2)}x + \kappa x_2 + i\kappa^2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(3)}(-i\kappa) \, \mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$

for $0 < x < x_2$, and

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(3)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_{3}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(3)}(k) \,\mathrm{d}k - \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(4)}} \frac{4\kappa\nu^{(2)}}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa(x_{2}-x) + i\kappa^{2}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}(i\kappa) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(4)}} \frac{2\kappa(\kappa + i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa(x_{2}-x) + i\kappa^{2}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(2)}(-\nu^{(2)}) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &- \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(4)}} \frac{2i\kappa(\kappa - i\nu^{(2)})}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa(x_{2}-x) + i\kappa^{2}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(2)}(\nu^{(2)}(\kappa)) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(4)}} \frac{i\alpha \left(1 - e^{2i\nu^{(2)}x_{2}}\right)}{\Delta(\kappa)} e^{\kappa(x_{2}-x) + i\kappa^{2}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(3)}(-i\kappa) \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \end{split}$$
(47)

when $x > x_2$.

Using the change of variables $\kappa = ik$ in (45), $\kappa = -ik$ in (47), $\kappa = ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}$ in the second, third, fourth, and fifth integrals of (46), and $\kappa = -ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}$ in the last four integrals of (46) we find

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(1)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{\alpha \left(e^{-ikx_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}} - e^{ikx_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}} \right)}{\Delta(ik)} e^{ikx - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)}(-k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{2k^2 \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} \right)}{\Delta(ik)} e^{ik \left(x + x_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} \right) - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)} \left(k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}k \end{split}$$
(48)
$$&+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{2k^2 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} \right)}{\Delta(ik)} e^{ik \left(x - x_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} \right) - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)} \left(-k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{4k^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}}{\Delta(ik)} e^{ik(x + x_2) - \omega_1 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(3)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k, \end{split}$$

for x < 0,

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(2)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{2k^2 (1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}})}{\Delta \left(ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)} \left(-k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{k^2 (\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} - 1)^2}{\Delta \left(ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{\alpha}{\Delta \left(ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(-k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(3)}} \frac{2k^2 (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}})}{\Delta \left(ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(3)} \left(k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k \end{split} \tag{49} \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} \frac{2k^2 (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}})}{\Delta \left(-ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(1)} \left(k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} \frac{2k^2 (1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}})}{\Delta \left(-ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} \frac{2k^2 (\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}} - 1)^2}{\Delta \left(-ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(2)}(-k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_R^{(1)}} \frac{2k^2 (1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}})}{\Delta \left(-ik\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right)} e^{ik(x - x_2) - \omega_2 t} \hat{\psi}_0^{(3)} \left(-k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^2}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k, \end{split}$$

for $0 < x < x_2$, and

$$\begin{split} \psi^{(3)}(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \omega_{3}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(3)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(1)}} \frac{4k^{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}}}{\Delta(-ik)} e^{ik(x - x_{2}) - \omega_{3}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(1)}(k) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(1)}} \frac{2k^{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}})}{\Delta(-ik)} e^{ik(x - x_{2}) - \omega_{3}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(2)}\left(k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &- \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(1)}} \frac{2k^{2}(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}})}{\Delta(-ik)} e^{ik(x - x_{2}) - \omega_{3}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(2)}\left(-k\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}k \\ &+ \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(1)}} \frac{\alpha(e^{-ikx_{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}}} - e^{ikx_{2}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{k^{2}}}})}{\Delta(-ik)} e^{ik(x - 2x_{2}) - \omega_{3}t} \hat{\psi}_{0}^{(3)}(-k) \, \mathrm{d}k, \end{split}$$

when $x > x_2$.

Remarks:

- If one lets $\alpha = 0$ in (48)-(50) then the Fourier transform solution to the free Schrödinger equation on the whole line is recovered.
- In order to numerically or asymptotically evaluate these expressions one could use techniques presented in [6, 20, 33, 34].

As stated at the beginning of this section, (43) is solved in standard quantum mechanics texts using separation of variables and the study of the forward scattering problem with the specified potential. The zeros of a(ξ), the (1, 1) component of the scattering matrix, are the discrete eigenvalues for the problem. The zeros of a(ξ) cannot be found explicitly but it is clear that the zeros of a(ξ) for ξ purely imaginary correspond to the zeros of the denominators of (48)-(50) with iξ² = ω_j(k). The contribution of the discrete spectrum can be recovered explicitly by deforming contours in the complex plane to the real line, resulting in a sum of residue contributions corresponding to the eigenmodes of the problem. This is done explicitly for other problems solved via the UTM in [14].

5 Initial-to-Interface Map

The construction of a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, that is, determining the boundary values that are not prescribed in terms of the initial and boundary conditions, is important in the study of PDEs and particularly in inverse problems [13, 32]. In this section we construct a similar map between the initial values of the PDE and the function (and its first spatial derivative) evaluated at the interfaces. This map allows for an alternative to the approach of finding solutions to interface problems as presented in the first four sections of this paper. Given the initial conditions one could find the value of the function and its derivatives at the interface(s). This changes the problem at hand from an interface problem to a BVP. At this point, the BVP can be solved on any segment using any number of methods appropriate to the given problem.

In this section we construct the initial-to-interface map for the IVP (28). We begin by evaluating the $2n \times 2n$ linear equation (37) at t = T:

$$\mathcal{A}(\kappa)X(-i\kappa^2,T) = Y(\kappa) + e^{-i\kappa^2 T} \mathcal{Y}(\kappa,T),$$
(51)

where $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$, $X(-i\kappa^2, T)$, $Y(\kappa)$, and $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa, T)$ are given in (38). Using Cramer's Rule to solve this system we have

$$g_0^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, T) = \frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))},$$
(52a)

$$g_1^{(j)}(-i\kappa^2, T) = -i\frac{\det(\mathcal{A}_{j+n}(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))},$$
(52b)

where $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, T)$ is the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ with the j^{th} column replaced by $Y(\kappa) + e^{-i\kappa^2 T} \mathcal{Y}(\kappa, T)$. This does not give an effective initial-to-interface map because (52) depends on the solutions $\hat{\psi}^{(j)}(\cdot, T)$. To eliminate this dependence we multiply (52) by $\kappa e^{i\kappa^2 t}$ and integrate around $D_R^{(4)}$, as is typical in the construction of Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps [14]. Switching the order of integration we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \psi^{(j)}(x_j, s) \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} \kappa e^{i\kappa^2(t-s)} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \tag{53a}$$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \psi_{x}^{(j)}(x_{j},s) \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(4)}} \kappa e^{i\kappa^{2}(t-s)} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa \,\mathrm{d}s = -i \int_{\partial D_{R}^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^{2}t} \frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_{j+n}(\kappa,T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa.$$
(53b)

Using the change of variables $\ell=\kappa^2$ and the classical Fourier transform formula for the delta function we find

$$\psi^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_j(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \tag{54a}$$

$$\psi_x^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \frac{-i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_{j+n}(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa.$$
(54b)

To examine the right-hand-side of (54) we factor the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ as $\mathcal{A}^{L}(\kappa)\mathcal{A}^{M}(\kappa)$ where $\mathcal{A}^{L}(\kappa)$ is given by (39). Similarly, $\mathcal{A}_{j}(\kappa, T) = e^{-i\kappa^{2}T}\mathcal{A}^{L}(\kappa)\mathcal{A}_{j}^{M}(\kappa, T)$. Using these factorizations, (54) becomes

$$\psi^{(j)}(x_j,t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2(t-T)} \frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_j^M(\kappa,T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa,$$
(55a)

$$\psi_x^{(j)}(x_j,t) = \frac{-i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2(t-T)} \frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_{j+n}^M(\kappa,T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa.$$
(55b)

As in Section 3, the elements of $\mathcal{A}^{M}(\kappa)$ are either 0, $\mathcal{O}(\kappa)$ or decaying exponentially fast for $\kappa \in D_{R}^{(4)}$ and

$$\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa)) = c(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa^n),$$

for large κ in $D_R^{(4)}$. Expanding the determinant of $\mathcal{A}_j^M(\kappa, T)$ along the jth column we see that

$$e^{i\kappa^{2}(t-T)}\frac{\kappa \det(\mathcal{A}_{j}^{M}(\kappa,T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^{M}(\kappa))} = e^{i\kappa^{2}(t-T)}\frac{\kappa}{c(\kappa)}\det(\mathcal{A}_{j}^{M}(\kappa,T))$$
$$= e^{i\kappa^{2}(t-T)}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n}c_{\ell}(\kappa)e^{ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}}\hat{\psi}^{(\ell)}\left(\nu^{(\ell)},T\right)$$
$$+ c_{\ell+n}(\kappa)e^{-ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}}\hat{\psi}^{(\ell+1)}\left(-\nu^{(\ell+1)},T\right),$$

where $c_{\ell}(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(\kappa^0)$ and $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$. The terms $e^{ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}}\hat{\psi}^{(\ell)}(\nu^{(\ell)}, T)$ and $e^{-ix_{\ell}\nu^{(\ell)}}\hat{\psi}^{(\ell+1)}(-\nu^{(\ell+1)}, T)$ decay exponentially for $k \in D_R^{(4)}$ and the integrands of (55) are analytic for $\operatorname{Re}(\kappa) > 0$. As in previous sections, since the integral along $\mathcal{L}_C^{(4)}$ vanishes for large C, the integrals (55) must vanish since the contour $\mathcal{L}_{D^{(4)}}$ becomes $\partial D^{(4)}$ as $C \to \infty$.

Since the terms involving the elements of $\mathcal{Y}(\kappa, T)$ evaluate to zero in the solution expression the initial-to-interface map for (28) is

$$\psi^{(j)}(x_j,t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(A_j^M(\kappa))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \tag{56a}$$

$$\psi_x^{(j)}(x_j,t) = \frac{-i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_R^{(4)}} e^{i\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(A_{j+n}^M(\kappa))}{\det(\mathcal{A}^M(\kappa))} \,\mathrm{d}\kappa, \tag{56b}$$

where $A_j(\kappa)$ is the matrix $\mathcal{A}(\kappa)$ with the j^{th} column replaced by $Y(\kappa)$ and is factored as $A_j(\kappa) = \mathcal{A}^L(\kappa)A_j^M(\kappa)$. Equation (56) is an effective map between the values of the function at the interface and the given initial conditions.

Remark. Since the problem is linear, one could have assumed the initial condition was zero for x outside the region $x_{\ell-1} < x < x_{\ell}$. Then, the map would be in terms of just $\psi_0^{(\ell)}(\cdot)$. Summing over $1 \le \ell \le n+1$ would give the complete map for a general initial condition.

Acknowledgments

This work was generously supported by the National Science Foundation grant number NSF-DGE-0718124 (N.E.S). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding sources.

References

- [1] M. Ablowitz and A. Fokas. *Complex variables: Introduction and Applications*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2003.
- [2] M. Ablowitz and H. Segur. Solitons and the inverse scattering transform, volume 4 of SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1981.
- [3] Z. Agranovich and V. Marchenko. The inverse problem of scattering theory. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1963.
- [4] M. Asvestas, A. Sifalakis, E. Papadopoulou, and Y. Saridakis. Fokas method for a multidomain linear reaction-diffusion equation with discontinuous diffusivity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 490(1):012143, 2014.
- [5] C. Bender and S. Orszag. Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978.
- [6] G. Biondini and T. Trogdon. Gibbs phenomenon for dispersive PDEs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.6142, 2015.
- [7] B. Deconinck, B. Pelloni, and N. Sheils. Non-steady state heat conduction in composite walls. Proc. R. Soc. A, 470(2165):22 pp., March 2014.
- [8] B. Deconinck, N. Sheils, and D. Smith. The linear KdV equation with an interface. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 347(2):489–509, October 2016.
- [9] B. Deconinck, T. Trogdon, and V. Vasan. The method of Fokas for solving linear partial differential equations. SIAM Rev., 56(1):159–186, 2014.
- [10] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz. Inverse scattering on the line. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 32(2):121–251, 1979.

- [11] L. D. Faddeyev and B. Seckler. The inverse problem in the quantum theory of scattering. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 4(1):72–104, 1963.
- [12] R. Feynman, R. Leighton, and M. Sands. The Feynman Lectures on Physics, volume II. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1964.
- [13] A. Fokas. The generalized Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for certain nonlinear evolution PDEs. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 58(5):639–670, 2005.
- [14] A. Fokas. A unified approach to boundary value problems, volume 78 of CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2008.
- [15] A. Fokas and B. Pelloni. A transform method for linear evolution PDEs on a finite interval. IMA J. Appl. Math., 70(4):564–587, 2005.
- [16] D. Griffiths. Introduction to Quantum Mechanics. Pearson Prentice Hall, second edition, April 2004.
- [17] M. Janowicz. Method of multiple scales in quantum optics. *Physics Reports*, 375(5):327–410, 2003.
- [18] J. Kevorkian and J. Cole. Multiple Scale and Singular Perturbation Methods. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York, 1996.
- [19] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz. Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory. Course of Theoretical Physics. Elsevier Science, 1981.
- [20] D. Levin. Fast integration of rapidly oscillatory functions. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 67(1):95– 101, 1996.
- [21] B. Levitan and I. Sargsjan. Introduction to spectral theory: selfadjoint ordinary differential operators. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975. Translated from the Russian by Amiel Feinstein, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 39.
- [22] B. Levitan and I. Sargsjan. Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Operators, volume 59 of Mathematics and its Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [23] D. Mantzavinos, M. Papadomanolaki, Y. Saridakis, and A. Sifalakis. Fokas transform method for a brain tumor invasion model with heterogeneous diffusion in 1 + 1 dimensions. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 104:47–61, June 2016.
- [24] E. Merzbacher. Quantum mechanics. John Wiley & Sons, New York, second edition, 1970.
- [25] P. D. Miller. Applied asymptotic analysis, volume 75 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [26] M. Razavy. Quantum Theory of Tunneling. World Scientific, 2003.
- [27] Y. Rybalko. Initial value problem for the time-dependent linear Schrödinger equation with a point singular potential by the uniform transform method. arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.08008, page 12, January 2016.

- [28] N. Sheils and B. Deconinck. Heat conduction on the ring: Interface problems with periodic boundary conditions. Appl. Math. Lett., 37:107–111, 2014.
- [29] N. Sheils and B. Deconinck. Interface problems for dispersive equations. Studies in Applied Mathematics, 134(3):253–275, 2015.
- [30] N. Sheils and B. Deconinck. Initial-to-Interface Maps for the Heat Equation on Composite Domains. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 137(1):140–154, June 2016.
- [31] N. Sheils and D. Smith. Heat equation on a network using the Fokas method. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 48(33):21 pp., 2015.
- [32] J. Sylvester and G. Uhlmann. The Dirichlet to Neumann map and applications. In *Inverse problems in partial differential equations*, Proceedings in Applied Mathematics Series, pages 101–139. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1990.
- [33] T. Trogdon. Riemann-Hilbert Problems, Their Numerical Solution and the Computation of Nonlinear Special Functions. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 2012.
- [34] T. Trogdon. A unified numerical approach for the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations. In A. Fokas and B. Pelloni, editors, Unified Transform for Boundary Value Problems: Applications and Advances. SIAM, 2015.