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Cognition and the neuron doctrine

Cognitive function can be attributed to localized neural activity

Hubel and Wiesel (1959 onward)
Developmental changes in behavior occur over much longer time scales

- For example ~10 years to become a skilled reader.
- Learning to read requires brain circuits to modify their structure in response to years of training (Wandell & Yeatman, 2013).

Portilla & Simoncelli, 2000
Cognitive development depends on tissue changes that occur over correspondingly long time-scales

- Understanding development requires measurements that are sensitive to changes in glia, axons, myelin and vasculature.

MRI can be used to quantify brain tissue properties and model the interplay between brain circuit development and cognitive development.
Outline

1. From tractography to fascicles: Segmenting an individual’s white matter.
   – Inferring tissue properties from diffusion.
   – Cross-sectional versus longitudinal measurements.
   – Modeling the processes that underlie learning to read.
3. Quantitative MRI measurements of tissue volume and composition.
4. Combining multiple measurements to dissociate developmental processes.
   – Testing models of development.
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Automated fiber tract quantification (AFQ)

Automated fiber tract quantification (AFQ)

Reproducible, open-source, scalable computations

Reliability: Manual-automatic, $r = 0.98$
Reliability: Scan-rescan, $r = 0.93$

How might we select the optimal tractography algorithm to use with AFQ?

• Consider two use cases:
  – Clinical data collected on children with traumatic brain injury versus Human Connectome Project data.

• What might be the pros and cons to using a tensor model with deterministic tractography versus spherical deconvolution with probabilistic tractography?
The choice of algorithm has a substantial impact on the results.

Spherical Deconvolution
Probabilistic tractography

Tensor Model
Deterministic Tractography
The choice of algorithm has a substantial impact on the results.

- Spherical Deconvolution
  Probabilistic tractography

- Tensor Model
  Deterministic Tractography
The core of the fascicle is consistent but the cortical endpoints differ.

- Select the appropriate algorithm based on the goals of the study.
Summary: From tractography to fascicles

• AFQ will automatically identify 28 fascicles in an individual’s brain and reliably quantify tissue properties along each fascicle.
• Results depend on selecting the appropriate diffusion model and tractography algorithm for your research question.
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Inferring tissue biology from diffusion

- Diffusion is very sensitive to tissue changes and can generate **hypotheses** about potential biological processes.

Low Fraction Anisotropy (FA)
High Mean Diffusivity (MD)

High Fraction Anisotropy (FA)
Low Mean Diffusivity (MD)

Wandell & Yeatman (2013); Stikov et al., (2011); Assaf & Pasternak (2008); Beaulieu (2002)
Inferring tissue biology from diffusion

In cases of parallel fibers (e.g., callosum), FA increases monotonically with fiber volume fraction (Stikov et al., 2011).

\[ FVF = 0.883 \times FA^2 - 0.082 \times FA + 0.074 \]
Inferring tissue biology from diffusion

All bets are off in cases of crossing fibers!

[Diagram of crossing fibers and low FA regions]
What can diffusion tell us about development?

Maturation of the arcuate fasciculus (cross sectional)

A few important points

- White matter maturation continues into young adulthood.
- Average rate and time of maturation varies among tracts.
- Do we expect each individual to follow this time-course?

Measuring arcuate fasciculus development in an individual (longitudinal)

Rates of white matter development vary substantially among children.

\[ \text{FA} = b \times (\text{Age}) + c \]

The rate of white matter development correlates with reading skill

Children with positive growth rates have superior reading skills

Good versus poor readers show divergent developmental trajectories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good Readers</th>
<th>Poor Readers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left Arcuate</td>
<td>Left ILF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What might be the biology underlying the observed diffusion changes?
Myelination and pruning of axons during development

• Myelination increases during childhood.
  – Speeds signal conduction and increases bandwidth.
  – Is influenced by the level of electrical activity of an axon (Barres & Raff, 1993, Nature).
  – Increases the diameter of an axon -> increase in FVF.

• Number of axons decreases after birth (pruning).
  – 3.5x axons in the callosum at birth than in adulthood.
  – Underused axons are pruned away.
  – Decreases the space occupied by axons -> decrease in FVF.

Dual process account of the joint development of white matter and reading skills

Dual process account of the joint development of white matter and reading skills

Summary: Measuring development with diffusion

- Diffusion is highly sensitive to tissue changes and can inform hypotheses about biological mechanisms.
- The rate and timing of white matter maturation varies substantially among children.
- The dynamics of an individual’s white matter development predicts their acquisition of skilled reading.
- Hypothesis: Differences between good and poor readers reflect timing of myelination and pruning.
  - Caveat: Many other factors affect the diffusion process!
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Diffusion is affected by many biological properties not only myelin and axon density.

Wandell & Yeatman (2013); Stikov et al., (2011); Assaf & Pasternak (2008); Beaulieu (2002)
Diffusion is affected by many biological properties not only myelin and axon density

• It’s amazing that water diffusion correlates with behavior (e.g., Klingberg et al., 2000).
• The relationship between water diffusion and tissue biology is not straightforward (Beaulieu, 2002).

Quantitative MRI measurements of tissue volume and composition

Mezer, Yeatman et al. (2013), *Nature Medicine*
What does “quantitative MRI” mean?

- **T1 (s)** - The T1 relaxation rate is a physical property of water protons in a magnetic field, has units, and does not depend on scanner hardware/pulse sequence.

\[
S = b \cdot e^{- IT/T1} + c
\]

- \( S = b \cdot \sin(a) \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-TR/T1)} \)

\[
S = b \cdot \sin(a) \cdot \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{TR}{T1}\right) \right)
\]

![T1 map](image)
• MR signals (T1) from water protons change when the protons interact with membranes.
• T1 image intensity depends on the amount and composition of tissue in each voxel as well as scanner biases.
From images to quantitative tissue maps

Image intensity $= f(g, \alpha, T1, MTV)$

Mezer, Yeatman et al. (2013), Nature Medicine
Removing bias and computing MTV

Each coil sees the same underlying MTV value but has its own gain function. Solve for the each coil’s gain function to uncover the true MTV value:

\[ g_i \ast (1 - MTV) \quad \text{min}_{g_i} \{ \sum (MTV_i - MTV)^2 \} \]

Mezer, Yeatman et al. (2013), *Nature Medicine*
Quantitative MRI measures are independent of scanner hardware

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Single patient disease detection

Mezer, Yeatman et al. (2013), *Nature Medicine*
**In vivo** histology with quantitative T1

- The vertical occipital fasciculus (VOF) connects the dorsal and ventral visual streams and terminates in the VWFA.

In vivo histology with quantitative T1

Yeatman, Weiner, Pestilli, Rokem, Mezer & Wandell (2014). PNAS
Summary: Quantitative MRI

- MRI can be used to quantify many important properties of the tissue.
  - Volume of tissue macromolecules (MTV).
  - T1 relaxation rate is sensitive to myelin (Stuber et al., 2014).
  - Surface interaction rate (SIR) is sensitive to changes in molecular composition.

- Quantitative MRI measurements are independent of the specific scanner hardware and pulse sequence.
  - Opens up new diagnostic applications.
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In vivo histology:
Combining measures to model brain tissue

• What can we learn about development with qMRI:
  – Do different types of tissue have distinct maturational time-courses (e.g., myelin vs. astrocytes)?
  – Which properties of the white matter are related to behavior?
  – Can we model how properties of the white matter affect cortical computation (i.e., why do white matter measures predict behavior)?
In vivo histology: Combining measures to model brain tissue

- T1 map
- 1/R1 map
- MTV map
- Diffusivity

- FA
- SIR

- Volume fraction
- ADC μ/m²

Seconds

0-4
Fascicle development measured with diffusion vs. R1

- FA varies substantially along the length of a tract. This is due to geometric properties not changes in myelin.
- In terms of R1 but not FA, growth rates are consistent along the tract length.

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Measuring the creation of new tissue in the developing brain

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). Nature Communications
Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Does each qMRI parameter measure the same thing?

Can we detect multiple developmental processes in the white matter?

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). Nature Communications
Does each qMRI parameter measure the same thing?

Can we detect multiple developmental processes in the white matter?

- R1 and MTV are sensitive to the same developmental processes.
- Diffusion is sensitive to independent processes.

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Looking forward: Modeling brain development

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). Nature Communications
Looking forward: Modeling brain development

1. Testing the prediction of parabolic model: Lifespan changes should be symmetric.

2. Do we expect this to be true for every individual?

Yeatman, Wandell & Mezer, (2014). *Nature Communications*
Summary

• The time-courses of R1 and diffusion changes demonstrate that multiple biological processes drive changes in the white-matter over the lifespan.
  – qMRI can dissociate different tissue changes.

• A symmetric model predicts R1 changes over the lifespan.
  – Models provide insight into mechanisms and generate testable predictions.

• How might we develop a model that integrates measures of tissue properties, cortical computation and behavior?
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