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Calorimetric measurements of metal adsorption energies directly provide the energies of metal atoms in supported metal nanoparticles. As the metal coverage increases, the particles grow, revealing the dependence of this energy on particle size, which is found to be much stronger than predicted with the usual Gibbs-Thompson relation. It is shown that this knowledge is crucial to accurately model long-term sintering rates of metal nanoparticles in catalysts.

Metal nanoparticles, dispersed across the surface of an oxide or other support, can be more active and selective as catalysts than can larger metal particles (1, 2). However, metal nanoparticles invariably sinter (form larger clusters) under reaction conditions, especially in some very important technical catalysts (2–8). The development of supported metal nanoparticles that resist long-term sintering has been hampered by the lack of a kinetic model that accurately predicts long-term sintering based on short-term measurements. Without such a model, every promising new catalyst must be tested for the actual length of time it must resist sintering in application (∼1 year).

We show here that the inclusion of accurate size dependence of particle energies in kinetic models is crucial in this respect. Little is currently known experimentally about the energetics of atoms within metal nanoparticles, although the energy of gaseous Sn clusters as a function of size was measured recently (9), and theoretical calculations continue to address this important issue (10, 11). The direct determination of particle energies via calorimetry has been developed relatively recently. In addition, the roughness of real catalyst supports can make it difficult to determine particle sizes via microscopic methods as a function of temperature. In the absence of direct measurements, a commonly used approach for estimating the dependence of particle energy on size has been to use the Gibbs-Thompson relation, which states that the chemical potential (partial molar free energy) of a metal atom in a particle of radius R, μ(R), differs from that in the bulk [μ(∞)] by

\[ μ(R) − μ(∞) = 2γΩ/R \]

where γ is the surface free energy of the metal and Ω is the bulk metals volume per atom (4, 12). The use of this relation is implicit in all current atomistic models of sintering (13–15), starting with the pioneering models of Wylliblatt and Gjostein (W-J) (3, 4).

In this report, we use our recent microcalorimetric measurements of the heat of adsorption (q_{\text{ad}}) of Pb onto MgO(100) (16) to show that the energy of a metal atom in a nanoparticle increases much more dramatically with decreasing size than predicted by the Gibbs-Thompson relation. Because the Pb immediately forms Pb nanoparticles upon adsorption, and these grow in radius smoothly with increasing coverage, this measured adsorption energy versus coverage directly provides the difference in energy between gaseous Pb and a Pb atom in a nanoparticle as a detailed function of particle size (Fig. 1). We then develop a model for predicting particle size evolution based on modified bond additivity that better approximates the calorimetric data. Kinetic models of sintering rates based on our modified bond-additivity estimate are compared to the W-J model, as well as to heuristic models that have been developed because of the inaccuracies of the W-J model. We use our modified bond-additivity model to predict the sintering of gold particles on TiO₂ and compare these model results to experimental data we obtained via temperature-programmed low-energy ion scattering (TP-LEIS).

We can convert our calorimetric data for Pb on MgO(100) (16) to energy versus particle size, because there is good evidence that Pb grows on this surface as nearly hemispherical particles with roughly constant number density of ∼8.1 × 10^{13} islands/cm², after the first few percent of a monolayer. Using this particle density, the measured Pb surface concentration can be converted directly into the average number of atoms per particle, and then into the average hemispherical particle radius (Fig. 1). As can be seen, the stability of the metal atoms in a Pb particle (that is, their heat of adsorption, relative to gaseous Pb) decreases dramatically as the radius decreases below a few nanometers (17). For comparison to these direct measurements of the effect of the particle size on the metal atom’s energy, the predictions of the Gibbs-Thompson relation [Eq. 1, taking γ = 58.6 μJ/cm² for Pb (18)] are also plotted in Fig. 1 as “constant γ model.” Here, we neglect entropy changes (12), so that q_{\text{ad}}(R) = q_{\text{ad}}(∞) = −(μ(R) − μ(∞)) = −2γΩ/R, where q_{\text{ad}}(R) is the differential molar heat of adsorption of Pb at fixed radius R. As is seen, Eq. 1 severely overpredicts the stability of Pb in small Pb particles, by ∼60 kJ/mol at 1 nm radius (19). This shows that the surface energy increases substantially as the radius decreases below ∼3 nm, which could be ex-
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the support, and join another metal cluster (3–5,
7, 8, 13, 14, 26). Energetics favor the formation of
larger clusters. Models of sintering based on
this same atomic-level mechanism were devel-
oped early by W-J (3, 4). In their “interface-
controlled” Ostwald ripening model, based on
classical nucleation theory (3, 4), the rate of
change of a metal particle’s radius (R) is given by
dR/dt = (K/R) [e^{(E_{bulk}/kT)} – e^{(E_{ad}/kT)}]
(2)
where E_{bulk} is the metal’s bulk sublimation
enthalpy (ΔH_{sub}) minus the adsorption en-
dergy of a monomer on the support (E_{ad}), plus
the diffusion activation energy of a monomer
atom on the support (E_{diff}); k is Boltzmann’s con-
tant; T is the temperature of the substrate; R = 1/(average of 1/R for all particles); and K = [(2 sin θ)(v_p)/(kT)]/[2
– 3 cos θ + cos^3 0(0)], where θ is the equi-
librium contact angle of the metal parti-
cles with the support surface, v_p is the pref-
ctor in the rate constant for the elementary
step wherein a metal atom, diffusing along the
edge of a particle leaves that partic-
le to move out onto the support surface, and
a is the interatomic spacing in the metal
(27).
W-J simplified Eq. 2 to
dR/dt = [2γΩK/(kTR^2)] [e^{(E_{ad}/kT)}]/[R/R^*-1]
(3)
by substituting the Gibbs-Thompson relation
(Eq. 1) for μ(R) – μ(∞). We showed above that
this assumption has errors of ~60 kJ/mol
for 2-nm diameter particles relative to large
particles. Given that this error is in the ex-
ponent of Eq. 2, Eq. 3 would be in error by
many orders of magnitude when modeling
long-term sintering wherein the particles
increase in size across the whole range of Fig.
1. Equation 3 predicts that large particles
sinter much more rapidly than they really do
when the data are fit to short-term (small-
particle) kinetics to get the apparent activa-
tion energy (28).
Figure 2 highlights the difference in the
predicted sintering kinetics for Pb particles
on MgO(100), using Eq. 2 with two different
models for the particle energetic: Eq. 1 and
the MBA model. The fraction of an
MgO(100) surface covered by Pb particles (a
measure of the particle dispersion) is plotted
versus temperature as the Pb/MgO(100) sur-
face is heated with a linear heating ramp (1.0
K/s) from 250 to 700 K. Following kinetics
during such a heating ramp is a quick way to
probe the broad range of apparent activation
energies for sintering that arise from its
strong size dependence. The starting surface
was assumed to have Pb islands with a
Gaussian distribution of radii, with average
radius of 0.6 nm and a full width at half
maximum (FWHM) of 0.2 nm. These simul-
ations were done by numerically propagating
island radii with time according to Eq. 2,
using finite difference methods. In both
simulations shown, parameters appropriate for
Pb/MgO(100) were used: E_{bulk} = 141 kJ/mol,
θ = 90°, and v_p = 3 × 10^{12} s^{-1} (16, 29).
In one simulation, the metal atom chemical po-
tential versus radius was calculated with Eq.
1, assuming that the surface energy of all Pb
particles equals the bulk value for solid Pb
[58.6 μJ/cm^2 (18)], as in Eq. 3. In the other
simulation, the chemical potential versus radius was calculated with the MBA model of Fig. 1, which is a much closer approximation to the real measured energy. As can be seen, the sintering extends over a much broader temperature range in this more accurate model—a range that is twice as wide in absolute temperature. This broad temperature range is required because of the dramatic change in energy with particle radius (Fig. 1).

The inability of the W-J model of Eq. 3 to reproduce the rapid decrease in sintering rate seen experimentally at fixed temperature has been noted in the past. A “generalized power law” is more commonly used now to model sintering kinetics (6, 7, 30), although it has no underlying atomistic mechanism but artiﬁcially incorporates an equilibrium level of sintering (6, 7, 30, 31).

The accuracy of Eq. 2 in simulating measured sintering kinetics, when combined with the MBA model to estimate particle energetics, is demonstrated next for the case of Au particles on the TiO2(110) surface (Fig. 3). This system has been widely studied as a model of Au nanoparticle catalysts (1, 32, 33), which are active in low-temperature CO oxidation and is demonstrated next for the case of Au particles using the MBA model to estimate particle energetics, measured sintering kinetics, when combined with the ultra-high-vacuum equipment described in (34). The catalyst was heated at a constant rate (~1 K s\(^{-1}\)), and the LEIS peak intensities for both the metal and the substrate oxide were measured every ~1 s (Fig. 3). This method directly measures the fraction of the surface covered by metal islands, which is the same measure of metal dispersion as in Fig. 2. This technique has the advantage that it probes, in a single fast experiment, the very broad range of effective activation energies involved in sintering particles from 1 to 10 nm in diameter as revealed above (sampled instead with industrial catalysts by running for years at lower temperature). Probing this full activation energy range is essential for a complete understanding of the mechanism and microkinetic parameters involved, which must underlie any long-term predictive models of catalyst sintering. The results show that Au sintering begins at ~400 K but requires >900 K (at 1 K s\(^{-1}\)) for completion. This result is surprising, because even at 140 K, Au atoms can easily migrate across Au-free patches of the oxide surface and up onto the tops of three-dimensional Au islands (34).

The simulations described below show that sintering is not complete even by 900 K because of the dramatic effect of particle size on chemical potential (and effective activation energy) of the type demonstrated in Fig. 1. Figure 3 also shows our numerical simulations of these data, using Eq. 2 together with the MBA model above to estimate the effect of Au particle radius on the energy (\(\mu\)) of Au atoms in these particles. No calorimetric data are available for Au, so we used this model to estimate the difference in energy between tiny particles (with radii of 0.5 to 4 nm) and inﬁnite radius. The fit of Eq. 2 to the Au/TiO2(110) data is quite good in that the very broad range of temperatures is well reproduced. The adjustable parameters used in this model were all physically reasonable: \(E_{\text{tot}} = 327 \text{ kJ/mol, } \theta = 90^\circ\), and \(\nu_p = 4 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}\). [Large Au clusters on TiO2(110) were estimated to have an equilibrium contact angle of 120° (33), but small clusters should have a smaller contact angle (35, 36).] The initial islands were assumed to have a Gaussian radius distribution with an average of 0.5 nm and FWHM of 0.2 nm (37). Because \(\Delta H_{\text{sub}}\) for Au is 368 kJ/mol (23), the best-fit value of \(E_{\text{surf}} = 327 \text{ kJ/mol}\) implies that \(E_{\text{ad}} - E_{\text{ad}}^{\text{support}} = 41 \text{ kJ/mol}\), which is also quite reasonable given that \(E_{\text{ad}}^{\text{support}}\) for Au on alumina was estimated to be ~30 kJ/mol by modeling of nucleation kinetics (38). [On TiO2, \(E_{\text{ad}}^{\text{support}}\) could easily be larger because of the different material and/or its larger density of surface defects (34). Although defects are not explicitly considered in the W-J model, they would effectively increase \(E_{\text{ad}}^{\text{support}}\) (34).] The influence of variations in these parameters on sintering are described in (39).

For comparison to the simulation of Eq. 2 using the MBA model, Fig. 3 also shows its simulation with all the same parameters but using the Gibbs-Thompson relation instead for particle energetics [with \(\gamma = 140 \text{ \mu J/cm}^2\) for Au (40)], adjusting \(E_{\text{tot}}\) to 265 kJ/mol to ﬁt the data. This model predicts that sintering starts too high in temperature and ﬁnishes too soon. It implies that \(E_{\text{ad}} - E_{\text{ad}}^{\text{support}}\) is 103 kJ/mol, which is unreasonably high.

In spite of decades of research in developing sintering kinetic models (3–7, 30), no atomistic models reproduced the very high temperatures and broad temperature range over which the observed sintering occurs. Our model reproduces and qualitatively explains this broad temperature range, using physically reasonable parameters. The effect that was lacking in previous models was the very large change in cluster size in the energy per metal atom, measured for the ﬁrst time by single-crystal adsorption microcalorimetry. Reproducing the broad temperature range in such a heating ramp experiment is equivalent to reproducing very long-term behavior in an isothermal sintering experiment. Although the generalized power law (6, 7, 30) applies to isothermal sintering experiments, it probably could be made to ﬁt data like those in Fig. 3 by allowing its equilibrium extent of sintering to appropriately increase with temperature. This, however, has no satisfactory atomistic explanation and contradicts the general observation that thermally induced sintering is irreversible upon cooling in vacuum (8).

Catalyst sintering kinetics is just one example of many among the various aspects of nano-materials in which these energetics must have dramatic manifestations. The rate of any process whereby such tiny particles are being either created or consumed must be strongly inﬂuenced by these energies, as must estimates of critical cluster sizes in nucleation models. The treatment of sintering by W-J (3, 4) covered a number of other kinetic limits beyond the interface-controlled limit discussed above. Because the factor \(e^{(\mu(R) - \mu(\infty)) / kT}\) also appears in those rate expressions, it is also crucial to include in them a proper treatment of the dramatic particle size effect on \(\mu(R)\) mentioned above. It is equally important to properly treat this dramatic particle size effect on metal atom energy in kinetic models for sintering mechanisms dominated by particle diffusion and agglomeration instead of Ostwald ripening, because the factor \(e^{(\mu(R) - \mu(\infty)) / kT}\) also appears directly in the rate expression for sintering by that mechanism, at least when particle diffusion requires monomer diffusion around its perimeter (14, 15).
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Fig. 3. Thermal sintering kinetics of Au islands on TiO2(110), as measured by TP-LEIS. The fraction of the surface covered by Au islands was directly measured by the intensity of the Au-LEIS peak normalized by its value for pure Au multilayers. Heating rate = 1 K s\(^{-1}\). Also shown are simulations of Eq. 2, with the particle energetics estimated using the MBA model and, for comparison, the Gibbs-Thompson (GT) relation.
Heterogeneous Atmospheric Aerosol Production by Acid-Catalyzed Particle-Phase Reactions
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According to evidence from our laboratory, acidic surfaces on atmospheric aerosols lead to potentially multifold increases in secondary organic aerosol (SOA) mass. Experimental observations using a multichannel flow reactor, Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) film bag batch reactors, and outdoor Teflon-film smog chambers strongly confirm that inorganic acids, such as sulfuric acid, catalyze particle-phase heterogeneous reactions of atmospheric organic carbonyl species. The net result is a large increase in SOA mass and stabilized organic layers as particles age. If acid-catalyzed heterogeneous reactions of SOA products are included in current models, the predicted SOA formation will be much greater and could have a much larger impact on climate forcing effects than we now predict.