

## Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) Listserv Survey Results

N=336

The CBPR e-mail community was created in June 2004 through a partnership between Community-Campus Partnerships for Health ([www.ccpb.info](http://www.ccpb.info)) and the Wellesley Central Health Corporation ([www.wellesleycentral.com](http://www.wellesleycentral.com)) to serve the growing network of people involved and interested in CBPR and other types of community-academic research partnerships. From June-September 2005, we conducted a confidential online survey of listserv subscribers to solicit their feedback and help to improve the listserv and continue to advance the field of CBPR. Below are the survey results.

To join the listserv and view an archive of past postings, go to:

<https://mailman1.u.washington.edu/mailman/listinfo/cbpr>

### How long have you been a listserv member?

Response	# of Responses	% of Participants
6-12 months	134	40.00%
1-6 months	96	28.66%
12 months or longer	87	25.97%
Less than one month	18	5.37%

### How did you initially find out about the listserv?

Response	# of Responses	% of Participants
Through a referral from a colleague	114	34.03%
Through a direct e-mail from CCPH	91	27.16%
Through a posting on another listserv	54	16.12%
Through a web search	41	12.24%
Other:	23	6.87%
Through a flyer at a conference	7	2.09%
Through a direct e-mail from Wellesley Central Health Corporation	5	1.49%

Other:

- Colleague (x 4)
- Email (x 2)
- On-line
- Summer Institute
- Community Health Scholars Program

### Please rate how helpful you have found each of these types of postings on the listserv.

#### Announcements of new CBPR books/articles/reports

Response	# of responses	% of participants
very helpful	232	69.25%
somewhat helpful	91	27.16%
never used/unsure	9	2.69%
not helpful	2	0.60%

<b>Announcements of events/conferences/trainings</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
very helpful	164	48.96%
somewhat helpful	143	42.69%
not helpful	14	4.18%
never used/unsure	13	3.88%
<b>Announcements of funding opportunities</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
very helpful	160	47.76%
somewhat helpful	138	41.19%
not helpful	17	5.07%
never used/unsure	17	5.07%
<b>News about developments in the field</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
very helpful	204	60.90%
somewhat helpful	115	34.33%
never used/unsure	9	2.69%
not helpful	4	1.19%
<b>Queries and responses from listserv members</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
very helpful	136	40.60%
somewhat helpful	161	48.06%
not helpful	27	8.06%
never used/unsure	10	2.99%
<b>For what purposes, do you use the listserv? Please check all that apply.</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Learn about relevant news, events, resources	316	94.33%
Learn about issues and developments in the field	308	91.94%
Network and engage in conversation with listserv members	68	20.30%
Communicate relevant news, events, resources to listserv members	59	17.61%
Query listserv members	34	10.15%

<b>Which statement best characterizes your use of the listserv?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
I read subject headings and selectively read messages.	149	44.48%
I read posted messages regularly.	131	39.10%
I read posted messages regularly and occasionally post messages.	51	15.22%
I read and post messages regularly.	2	0.60%
I rarely read subject headings or messages.	1	0.30%
<b>To what extent do you do each of the following?</b>		
<b>Use listserv messages for your own personal use</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
occasionally	126	37.61%
never	121	36.12%
often	86	25.67%
<b>Forward listserv messages to others in your institution/organization</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
occasionally	225	67.16%
often	77	22.99%
never	32	9.55%
<b>Forward listserv messages to others outside your institution/organization</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
occasionally	204	60.90%
never	79	23.58%
often	48	14.33%
<b>Send individual replies to the sender when they seek information</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
occasionally	179	53.43%
never	143	42.69%
often	9	2.69%

<b>Send individual messages to members with shared interests</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
never	223	66.57%
occasionally	99	29.55%
often	6	1.79%
<b>Seek further information from resources provided on messages</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
occasionally	191	57.01%
often	80	23.88%
never	60	17.91%
<b>Recommend the listserv to others</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
occasionally	207	61.79%
often	80	23.88%
never	47	14.03%

<b>What have been the outcomes from being subscribed to the listserv? Please check all that apply.</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Obtained a book or report I read about on the listserv	234	69.85%
Applied for a grant I read about on the listserv	81	24.18%
Connected with a new partner or colleague	75	22.39%
Attended a conference I read about on the listserv	68	20.30%
Responded to a "call for papers" I read about on the listserv	63	18.81%
Other:	50	14.93%
Made a presentation because I responded to an announcement on the listserv	17	5.07%
Applied for a job I read about on the listserv	8	2.39%
Published an article because I responded to an announcement on the listserv	7	2.09%
Received a grant I read about on the listserv	3	0.90%

Other:

- Sent colleagues info relevant to their projects/interests (x 6)
- Increased my knowledge about CBPR (x 6)
- Obtained resources for research project (x 3)
- Obtained resources for grant application (x 2)
- Keep informed about what's happening in the field (x2)
- Obtained new resources (x 2)

- Planning on attending a conference
- Awareness of the amazing depth and breadth of CBPR activities
- Obtained materials for the development of a CBPR class
- Developing service learning program for health professions program
- Chosen to be a grant reviewer by responding to a call on the listserv
- Submitted name for consideration as a grant reviewer
- Used resources posted by listserv members in my work
- Used information to support and develop internal policy analysis
- Used information to keep up to date and respond to TA requests
- Gained insight into issues
- Considered different approaches to my work
- Stimulated discussion at work
- Referenced articles
- Gathered information used in research and teaching
- Used materials in a presentation
- Forwarded info that someone else used to apply for and receive a grant

<b>How do you find the quantity of messages posted to the listserv?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
About right	256	76.42%
Too many	75	22.39%
Too few	2	0.60%

<b>How interested would you be in the following possible enhancements to the listserv?</b>		
<b>An online "meet the expert" series in which an expert facilitates listserv discussions, answers questions and shares insights</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
somewhat interested	137	40.90%
interested	93	27.76%
not interested	52	15.52%
interested only if set up as a separate listserv for this purpose	53	15.82%
<b>An online CBPR "reading club" in which the author or a listserv member moderates discussion of a CBPR journal, book chapter or report</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of responses</b>	<b>% of participants</b>
somewhat interested	116	34.63%
not interested	94	28.06%
interested	72	21.49%
interested only if set up as a separate listserv for this purpose	52	15.52%

**Please share your suggestions for other possible enhancements to the listserv:**

- Table of contents for message digest/separation of topic threads in digest (x 3)
- Digest that is sent 1-3x/week (x 3)
- Note geographic focus of funding opportunities in subject heading (x 3)
- I enjoy the listserv as it is. (x 3)
- Decrease repetition of message in listserv digest (x 3)
- Daily digest is helpful/works well (x 2)
- Digest in a single file rather than separate attachments (x 2)
- This is an excellent way to maintain communication. The possibility of hosting a nationally based conference to build capacity and networking in live manner would be helpful
- I think just keep doing what you are doing. It is reaching many and allows people to connect with different things or other people as they need to. There is a good variety of information and people can choose to participate in what they can or want to.
- I think the list functions very well. I only wish more people knew about it - I work in this field and only recently heard about it! I also wish there was a central archive for all the posts that was searchable, so I don't have to store every possibly-relevant post in my email.
- A great resource
- Fabulous service!
- I have not yet responded or posted anything on the listserv but plan to do so at some point. I find the discussions very interesting and find the information about books, articles extremely helpful.
- I don't have suggestions for now. The listserv is a GREAT resource. I continue to 'lurk' and catalogue resources people suggest. Currently, I'm not actively engaged in CBPR, so the materials are something I'm keeping for future time (i.e. - in the next year) when I plan to be doing more CBPR work.
- One of the best listservs I subscribe to. It is just about right in content and number of messages. It is one of the few that allow attachments and I'm glad it does.
- I have only begun to use this service and I have enjoyed the query and answers as well as the informational postings. I cannot comment on enhancements at this time.
- The findings of this survey. A geographic sense of where the participants reside
- The direct links to "open" papers, rather than "closed" websites where an individual has to subscribe to a periodical to download complete text articles, would be useful.
- Please carefully review responses to others' questions...some are simply statements of agreement with no added value and they take up valuable inbox space because you still open them to see if there is any info in them .
- Exemplary practices posting link? Tools of the trade(s) and links to sources for specific information. (A tool box)
- CBPR is an example how health can be adequate delivery into different communities. Why underdevelopment country as our in Latin America, with more inequalities in health issues, has not more attention from different organizations that manage funds and resources and focus on this huge problem we have here?
- I'd like to actually see less conversations.
- A listing of research in progress so colleagues could collaborate.
- Possibility of creating web-based study circles
- The last two ideas are good ones, but I prefer that they be kept separate. The existing listserv serves a good "informational" function.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The reading club sounds interesting but I live outside the US and am not affiliated with a university. As such I would probably have difficulty accessing the books or articles.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ There are a tremendous number of relevant citations transmitted between participants - much more than my time would allow me to find for myself. How about tasking someone with the responsibility of developing a "listserv library", by major subject category (e.g., env. hth), so we can just query this database and print-off articles to read. This would be worth some fee for me.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Limit posts.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Be clear about when respondents should reply to "select members" or to "all"</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Additional training opportunities: non-post doc opportunities/more local opportunities/more information about curriculums, etc.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ It would be nice to know about CBPR practices in fields other than health.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I find the quantity overwhelming but I am still very happy to be included</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I really appreciate this service. Possible enhancements? The ability to have a chat room functions for sub-discussions.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I would like to see more discussion and less posting of resources, conferences, university vacancies, etc.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I think the listserv should be used to share information and seek information from others. I do not think it should be a forum to pontificate on one's political views or air personal grievances. Occasionally, people get on a soapbox, and the readers do not have enough background info. on the specific issue to form an opinion, even if that were appropriate.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I don't work directly in the public health field; otherwise, I think your resource would be more useful to me.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Separate the informational emails from those that are listserv discussions</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I recommend enhancing the listserv so that participants can sign on to the items they are interested in.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Video Conferencing might be a good idea if members wanted to (or were able to) join in discussion on a few hot topics. Maybe have these conferences once every few months if once a month was too often. I imagine all members would have to be polled to see who has access to Video Conferencing.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Audio and visual would be nice.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Purely a cosmetic issue -- although I receive the listserv in digest form it is often difficult to scroll down and decipher what is "new" and what is "old" (being responded to). I'm not sure if there's any way one could remedy that.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Condense the emails into a single daily posting.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Is it possible to create a listing of members by interest areas to get a better handle on networking?</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I am new to the listserv and just learning what it's all about. Glad to have found it, though, and will hopefully have more substantive feedback the next time this survey is done.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ For question 9, I wonder if you would be interested on using the following tool, which is on testing: <a href="http://alba.jrc.it/efocusgroup">http://alba.jrc.it/efocusgroup</a>. Its aim is to mimic focus groups.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ I could not check anything. If I return back, everything has gone. This survey need to be readed but I couldn't change it again before submit.</li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ More Canadian specifics/conference/grants/funding info.</li> </ul>

<b>How do you receive your listserv messages?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Bulk (one email message at a time)	198	59.10%
Digest (a daily digest of messages in one email)	135	40.30%

<b>Have you had any technical difficulties using the listserv?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
No	303	90.45%
Yes	5	1.49%

If yes, please describe:

- Difficulty signing up for digest (x 5)
- Posting messages has sometimes been a problem (x 4)
- Not able to access some attached documents/ no documents attached (x 3)
- Some messages show up blank (x 2)
- Took some days to subscribe - subscription wasn't immediate.
- 'Bad confirmation string' messages when trying to regain membership
- Difficulty with links, and documents that will not print
- The digest had a lot of extra language in it and there were many empty text boxes.
- Separate e-mails in digest
- For awhile, the messages would just be full of gobbledy gook. It has been fixed.
- Often my e-mail will indicate it cannot accept/read the type of e-mail
- On AOL, the digest of messages will not open individually...not forwarded in a format that will work
- Initially I had trouble subscribing, no trouble now.
- have no enough time for printing

<b>If you could make one improvement to the listserv, what would it be?</b>
▪ Fewer postings/digests less often (x 6)
▪ The listserv is great as it is. (x 6)
▪ Limit the number of responses to each question/request (x 4)
▪ More discussion (x 3)
▪ Topical arrangement of messages (x 2)
▪ Correct date of postings (x 2)
▪ Sometimes the "conversation" about one specific topic goes on for too long. The same statements/ideas are repeated over and over again. Almost need a moderator.
▪ None - well distributed
▪ Knowing the geographic and topical interest distribution of the participants
▪ Make CBPAR more context specific i.e. rural urban, populations, substantive areas etc.
▪ I would put the list of contents into the body of the e-mail, rather than having it as an attachment that needs to be opened. It would save a step and then I would open attachments only as applicable to my interests, needs, etc.
▪ To not have replies be automatic to the entire listserv
▪ Have the topic listed at the top of the message rather than scrolling down through all the subscribe

and contact info.

- Have email digest links to that day's messages in the body of an email w/ a brief picture of what the message rather than having to open up individual email attachments to read each posting.
- Distinguish between content that is primarily or exclusively for the US and content that is of relevance/applicable to researchers and community partners in Canada or elsewhere, in the subject headers
- More editing of posts so that they don't include previous messages.
- In daily digests strings can make the digest really really long. That's a technical issue. Probably not something you can deal with.
- I would request more stories of challenges met, perhaps not overcome, and a debrief on what could have prevented them.
- An archive.
- More ways to filter the messages so I can decide which ones to read first
- Share social work and community work with Latin America organizations and colleagues. Not only here but in Asia, Europe and Africa. In Spanish and English.
- Create a searchable, central archive for all the posts; or a website that collects and organizes all the resources mentioned.
- Allow daily digest to be read in a single email rather than as attachments
- To have only the last one or 2 emails attached w/ the reply. Basically w/ my limited experience of listservs, this one is great!
- Once a day e-mailings instead of so many. Send all daily e-mails in one mailing.
- Reduce conversations that I'm not interested in
- Many increase the number of discussions about methods. I typically find those discussions most helpful.
- This is an opinion rather than a factually correct observation, but it seems to me that the messages are heavily weighted from the college/university level. More response from the practitioners in the community would balance the responses and possibly create a new or, at least, different level of involvement.
- Have digest messages for funding opportunities.
- Send all information as digest and establish chat rooms for other information.
- Html version of messages would be more readable
- Less dialogue and opinions.
- Thanks for asking. At the front of the digest there is now a table of contents for the day ("today's topics"). My favorite improvement would be to create hyperlinks within those topics so that I can click to go to the relevant items. This would save me from the sometimes long scroll down guesstimating when I will get to the item of interest.
- Some sort of easy ability to pull out one e-mail out of the lot included in the daily digest for saving or special consideration. I think I should be able to do that on my own but have never gotten enough motivation up to actually do so. However, the digest subject header doesn't give me an easy way to mark it or individual e-mails in it that I want to highlight or otherwise label as important, read again, etc.
- It is interesting to note that on a CBPR site, there seem to be few voices of non-researchers. For instance, on the question of what to call "patients," there seemed to be mostly views of health care providers or researchers. Can we access citizens or community advocates for more integrated input?
- More input from other countries
- Receive only messages that are pertinent to my research interests.

- Limited commentary by participants - the number of emails per day is just too much.
- In the body of the messages, highlight (bold) the main topic(s). Keep listserv messages separated as to topics.
- Shorten add-on tag of listserv info. Any way to screen out vacation/ out of office auto-replies?
- I really appreciated the discussion on the challenges of doing good CBPR and would love to see more nitty gritty detailed discussions like that.
- Always want lots on public health and work with disadvantaged
- Have the "small" responses filtered or reminder of guidance when the response is: "I agree" or "that's a great idea" i.e. when there isn't content that is relevant for the entire listserv.
- Ability to somehow screen out everyone's responses to everything
- When someone responds to an email, not have the copy of the first email below. When many people respond over a period of a week and all the previous dialog copied below every response is unnecessary. For those following the dialog there is no need to have every message repeated every time.
- More information on CBPR in fields other than health.
- Add listserv discussions about specific topics guided/facilitated by leaders in the field
- Continue doing a daily mass email to users BUT create a generic menu of all announcements in the main email window that one can click on, review, and continue on the main email window without the current in and out process.
- Increase the funding opportunities which appear on the list serve, to include more educational funding opportunities, most funding opportunities at this time are researched focus
- I think some kind of moderated discussion might be good. I am not too up on technology, but any easy way to carry on a discussion would be nice.
- Organize it as a one page email, so I don't have to open up separate attachments.
- Chat room for sub-discussions
- International partnership development/exchanges
- Lessen the emails received--have them screened or put into a "chat room" wherein those who wanted to read and answer same can do so w/o loading up their work email quotas.
- See Q. 10 response. I'm not sure how this could be accomplished - but fewer postings of a "soapbox" style.
- Sub-grouping into interest groups/topics might enhance quick retrieval of relevant messages and avoidance of others. For instance, job postings may not be desired reading for someone not in the job market.
- Use better IT and have users sign up for special sections that prompt me when there are items in that section.
- I would like to see a wider variety of disciplines on the listserv; right now it is mostly public health focused, but I know that people in other fields such as political science, women's studies, etc engage in this sort of research.
- Reduce repetitions of the same messages/queries throughout one email. Sometimes you have to scroll through pages of repeated information to get to the newest answer. Don't know how I would fix this, though.
- Ask me in 6 months, too early for me to tell. So far I'm extremely happy with the flow of the informative content
- Index
- Expand to issues beyond health (education, environment, community organizing, etc.)
- Filter information outside my interest area and/or create a single email per day process

- I think it's great. One idea would be to have regional versions of the website so we don't get so much info. that's not related to our region (example, have 4 regions -West, Mid-North, South, East). Just an idea, but overall, I really like the listserv.
- Adopt a heading convention that would help sort CFPs from Grant info from Conference info from new resources from general queries and discussions
- Use filters
- Develop critical thinking skill
- This is so detail-oriented that I hate to even mention it, but if it could be a little more obvious where each new message started (in the digest format) I would appreciate it, especially when there are many items in one digest.
- Develop critical thinking skill
- Perhaps those who get their replies from a query could post the highlights of the info they received
- Asking everyone to please respond only to the person posting a question or comment instead of to the entire list

### **Please share any additional comments and suggestions you may have about the CBPR listserv**

- When individuals are responding to one person, please remind them to send the message only to that individual, and not the entire listserv. (x 2)
- Great tool
- It's been really great, especially because it isn't dominated by several individuals like some lists I have been on. I posted once and got several responses.
- Absolutely great listserv. Appreciate being a part of it.
- I'm glad it's there. There have been a couple of very interesting long lasting conversations.
- I was very interested at the outset, but quickly found out that the US focus made many postings appear less relevant to our work and concerns so have been paying less attention- but I am still a subscriber, and do periodically review what has been sent.
- Current discussion of failed project(s) very intriguing, I hope the dialogue continues. Our Community Health Partnership has had some overwhelming successes, and benefits from a touch of reality, as it is destined to occur. So far, only failed grant applications, no project failures.
- Could it be take as a suggestions that CBPR list interest books and articles on community work issues?, not only about health but education to the exclude people and about other issues as social capital, partnership and others.
- Advertise it more!!
- None at this time. Great listserv!
- I would like a once a week digest option. By the way, I did not even know there was a once a day digest.
- It's a very interesting list and community. I get valuable information, but do not participate actively. I suppose that's because I work for an organization that does healthy housing policy and CBPR is just a method for pursuing information to support policy change, not a major field of endeavor for us. We are not researchers ourselves.
- Thank you. I've been able to find direction in some of the e-discussion.
- It's not totally relevant for me which I why I don't do so much.
- I am a doctoral student very interested in participatory research methods especially with people with disabilities (specifically with mental illnesses) and have found the discussions on the listserv thought provoking and useful

- This is a wonderful opportunity for me to learn from others. I've forwarded a few messages to colleagues and learned of mutual interests that way. I feel very isolated from other researchers with these interests and this listserv helps me stay connected.
- I have really enjoyed some of the discussions and will use some of the threads in my community psychology class.
- It's great
- This has been a terrific resource for me as a health educator, and I hope to support it more by interacting with the other members through email responses.
- I have found the discussions and differing view points regarding CBPR/health disparities and CBPR/ethics interesting. I would enjoy reading more posts that address folks' opinions and experiences with CBPR and systemic change.
- While my answers above may make it appear that don't do much with the list serve, I really value it for bringing me a range of people's ideas and comments across the continent (so nice to have Canada actively involved -- I grew up in Montreal and then moved to New England). The Digest function is great because it doesn't overwhelm my inbox. By the way, I assume question 6(?) re. different types of usage of listserv refers to this listserv in particular and not the concept of listservs in general (but the set of questions encompassing #6(?) was a bit ambiguous.
- Thanks for making it happen.
- Am in the field, so don't have time to read everything, so a synopsis is helpful. Seems to be mainly the academics who reply. Haven't the funds to go to the conferences and aren't funded at work for out of country. What a dream! Would like more Canadian responses.
- I haven't used it enough to tell really, but in my work we will be involved much more with CBPR and I appreciate the link with those who are currently dealing with the issues.
- I appreciate the listserv for its informational purpose. Thanks for sustaining it.
- Great list with so many good contributions -- thanks to all participants!
- Thank you for your work!
- I needed to cut the amount of email I get. The noise / value ratio on this list is too high. Although I have benefited form some of the posts, the amount of time it eats is too great for the benefit. Many of the opportunities are limited to those in the Northwest USA and Canada
- I appreciate the support/the on-going learning about this important approach in public health.
- Lots of good info
- I am glad the listserv exists.
- I like this listserv. It is relevant to my work and my interests. Members are very responsive and knowledgeable.
- This is a very valuable resource that spans disciplines, interests, and perspectives. The unifying thread is work that advances the health and well being of communities with dignity and that pays attention to process issues.
- I love it and recommend to others often
- Well I don't know if the moderators want to sensor emails, but after a while it seemed that on some subject, I think it was minorities and research, there were way too many similar responses. OK, everyone agrees!
- Digest function is nice
- I really love the listserv! I wish I could say that I got a grant I saw posted here, but I haven't and that isn't the fault of the listserv! This is the most useful listserv I've been on.
- It has been a wonderful resource for my research in the community. I also find it to be a form of affirmation for the community research that I do.
- RE Q11, there is a third option not offered. That is, not to receive listserv messages, but instead to

check the web archives. That's what I do.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ This listserv is a great source of information aboutand helpful listserv I've encountered. Keep up the good work!</li> <li>▪ Basically - great work - a great resource. Thank you.</li> <li>▪ This listserv has been a god-send for faculty who are lone practitioners of CBPR in their departments/institutions. The support, validation, stimulation, collegial critique have sharpened my thinking and practice.</li> <li>▪ I appreciate the info on the listserv and the tone of the conversation. Thanks for making this possible.</li> <li>▪ Great resource. I have benefited from the community of like-minded folks and there is indeed a community created here. Thanks!</li> <li>▪ Very well moderated listserv. Posted messages have interesting and useful perspectives and resources. Whether or not the research focus is closely related to mine, the CBPR thread clearly runs through it. Really enjoy the level of participation and discussion - it's not just messages being posted "at" members but members readily pick up on, expand, or provide alternative perspectives about issues.</li> <li>▪ Great resource!</li> <li>▪ only reason grants are not useful is because I live in Canada</li> <li>▪ I really enjoy reading this listserv and I find it helpful. for a number of projects that I am involved in. THANKS!</li> <li>▪ Although I haven't used it for specific activities (funding sources, conferences, queries etc.), I find the listserv very interesting and I love feeling connected to others with the same interests and concerns.</li> <li>▪ Useful to selective group who interest in the same area.</li> <li>▪ Thanks for your work on this -- I was just telling some co-workers yesterday how much I like the list serve, especially in the digest format.</li> <li>▪ To have no unconditioning for everyone</li> </ul>

<b>Beyond the listserv, what sort of support would you find helpful in your CBPR work?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Online clearinghouse of CBPR resources, reports, tools, curricula	259	77.31%
Regional conferences	182	54.33%
Training institutes	145	43.28%
National conferences	125	37.31%
Presentations and discussions by conference call	92	27.46%
One-on-one mentoring	82	24.48%
Other:	14	4.18%

Other:

- Videoconferencing presentations
- Community partnering requests
- List of CBPR projects currently being undertaken
- Training in what the major federal funders (e.g. NIH) are looking for as description of fully CBPR, and/or partially CBPR, research proposals.
- Speaker's bureau

- Resources for new professionals/students interested in CBPR
- Creation of local CBPR practitioner "support groups", which could meet periodically for coffee & to share war stories. Could evolve into enhanced local advocacy for policy changes on state level.
- A vacation, loss of electricity, in other words, badly in need of a break.
- Webcast training/presentations
- More funding sources for capacity-building in CBPR (infrastructure support, not just research projects)
- In my situation, it would be important for training institutes and conferences (national or regional) to be funded--I've no sources of funding to attend them, as they would not be considered "central" to my faculty/professional affiliations. I would think that involvement of grass-roots and/or nonprofit community partners would require similar accommodations.

<b>How long have you been 'practicing' CBPR?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Between 1 and 5 years	135	40.30%
More than 5 years	92	27.46%
Less than a year	66	19.70%
I have never practiced CBPR	44	13.13%

<b>How would you describe your experience/expertise in CBPR?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Some experience	211	62.99%
Extensive experience	68	20.30%
No experience	42	12.54%
Expert	14	4.18%

<b>Please check which of the following apply to you (check all that apply):</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
researcher	197	58.81%
staff/administrator	107	31.94%
faculty	107	31.94%
student/post-doctoral fellow	51	15.22%
Other:	48	14.33%
community leader	44	13.13%

Other:

- Health educator (x 5)
- Consultant (x 2)
- Public Health (x 2)
- Community participant (x 2)
- Evaluator (x 2)
- Epidemiologist
- Administrator
- Youth group facilitator
- Volunteer within several community organizations

- Federal
- Federal government research administrator
- Information disperser
- Project Coordinator at university
- Health practice specialist
- Associate dean
- Policy analyst
- Community assessment coordinator
- Community health practitioners
- Community organizer/interested but feral researcher
- Quantitative data analyst
- Policy and advocacy for non profit org
- Academic working as community organizer/planner at a CBO
- Grad student/trainer/research asst
- Recent MPH grad, young professional
- Program developer & partnership facilitator
- Data coordinator
- Research field support staff
- Social worker
- Director of non-profit dedicated to families and children with special needs
- Wannabe CBPR expert
- Healthcare Access Project Coordinator/Advocator
- MPH/Project Director
- Librarian
- Non profit facilitator/researcher

<b>What is your primary organizational affiliation?</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
Higher educational institution	204	60.90%
Community-based organization	47	14.03%
Other:	44	13.13%
Government	37	11.04%
Philanthropy	1	0.30%
None	2	0.60%

Other:

- Health center/Hospital (x 11)
- Non-profit organization (x 6)
- Consultant (x 5)
- Independent non-profit research org (x 2)
- R&D firm (x 2)
- Government Contractor (x 2)
- Community-based research group
- Formerly a community activist; now mainly retired
- National non-profit policy & advocacy org.
- Non-profit applied research center
- Family medicine residency program
- Research org
- Third-world development work
- Epi research institute without an academic affiliation
- Hospital/Research Institution

- AHEC
- Middle school
- Research centre for the European Commission, so half science half policy

<b>Please indicate where you are based.</b>		
<b>Response</b>	<b># of Responses</b>	<b>% of Participants</b>
United States	286	85.37%
Canada	36	10.75%
Other country	10	2.99%
If other country, please specify:	14	4.18%

If other country, please specify:

- Puerto Rico (x 2)
- UK (x 2)
- Thailand (x 2)
- Panama
- New Zealand
- Sweden
- Mexico
- South Africa
- Australia
- Italy

### **Anecdotal Comments**

- I have found it [the listserv] to be interesting, helpful, and sometimes inspiring.
- Thank you so much for helping us.
- I am involved in PR from the researcher end. Just wanted to let you know I very much appreciate the listserv you provide. It carries a great deal of useful and interesting information. Please keep it up.
- The CBPR listserv is a real positive thing in my daily email. I don't read them all in detail, but wish I had the time to.
- Great listserv!
- The content of this listserv is unsurpassed and for me, it is the primary benefit of my CCPH membership. Great job!!!!
- This is a wonderful, wonderful, interesting network. Thanks for facilitating this process!
- I have received so many helpful responses that I have been amazed and a little overwhelmed! Thank you for facilitating this.
- This is the most responsive and generous listserv to which I have subscribed. I am overwhelmed. It feels good to join a group that understands what it means to be a community.
- This listserv has provided very useful information since I subscribed.