

Service-Learning Project Oral Presentation Feedback			
Presenter:		Evaluator:	
Needs Work	Satisfactory	Excellent	Comments
<b>Structure of presentation</b> Awkward, unclear, hard to follow improper format	Smooth progression; logical and clear; appropriate format	Clearly stated purpose realized; supportive examples from field; engages audience quickly	
<b>Description of service</b> Does not clearly describe service, brief description without supportive examples	Well described, supportive examples	Describes and illustrates in engaging way; paints vivid picture; shows application of knowledge or skill from class/program in field	
<b>Choice of theories to emphasize</b> Missing; mechanical; laundry list of theories not necessarily apt	Applies several theories from program to service organization and experience	Well chosen theories; particularly apt to illuminate the particular service experience	
<b>Quality of application of theory</b> Missing or superficial application; inappropriate or missing examples;	Adequately developed; examples linked and supportive; convincing application	Highly persuasive; relevant examples; particularly insightful; credible and convincing; raises questions for future exploration, analytic	
<b>Examines impact on community</b> No attention to community stake or need; superficial discussion of impact	Discusses how the service affected the organization or individuals in community	Analyzes process for determining community need, describes immediate impact, explores possible long term positive or negative impact,	
<b>Reflection on personal learning</b> Does not clarify process of personal learning, superficial description	Describes 'lessons' learned, provides supportive examples that shaped learning	Identifies expectations that were supported or challenged through service experience, raises critical questions for personal analysis	
<b>Public speaking skill</b> Problems with eye contact, physical movement, vocal variation, expression	Poised, not overly note bound, good eye contact, gestures and expression appropriate	Professional, good audience interaction, eye contact, well integrated gestures, held attention	
<b>Sincerity/Enthusiasm/Affect</b> Disinterested; did not project confidence	Related to audience; comfortable; composed; demonstrated commitment to the topic with overall affect	Convincing; poised and confident; very comfortable; projected enthusiasm and sincerity through body affect	
<b>Visual Aids</b> Obstructed; sloppy/illegible; awkwardly used; inappropriate for audience	Supportive; little talking to visual aids; appropriate for audience	Clear; fully visible; professional; well integrated; creative; comprehensive; reinforced topic well	
<b>Overall Rating (% of 100)</b> 70 <b>Needs Improvement</b>	80 <b>Satisfactory</b>	90 <b>Excellent</b> 100	