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   This article describes an instrument for assessing the quality of a review. It reports that review quality increased with time spent on a review, up to 3 hours.

   This article presents specific guidance on how to report studies of the accuracy of a diagnostic test. Many journals have adopted these standards.

   This book is a useful reference for those interested in learning more about how to publish and present clinical studies.

   This study found that editor ratings of individual reviewers were moderately reliable and correlated with reviewer ability to report manuscript flaws.

   This is an excellent source for a perspective on community health partnerships.

   This article presents a logical approach to organizing the discussion section of a paper.

   The article includes a checklist of items to consider when writing a paper about an educational intervention.

   This study demonstrated that most manuscripts had much room for improvement and that peer review and editing could improve reports in ways that are important to readers.

   The authors offer great insight about evaluating the external validity of a study.

    The article gives guidance on how to identify and appraise a report about an educational program.

    The guidelines highlight fundamental principles of community partnerships.

12. Hartman EE. Medical writing: What editors look for and how to improve your manuscripts. Workshop presentation at the National SGIM Meeting; 1999 Apr 30; San Francisco, CA.
    Anyone interested in writing more clearly and succinctly should take this workshop.

    This may be the gold standard reference on writing for publication in medical journals.

This article summarizes what most editors expect authors to do when preparing a manuscript for submission to a medical journal.

   *This is a comprehensive textbook written by leaders in the field of CBPR.*

   *This article focuses on the assessment of partnerships in CBPR.*

   *This article discusses the challenges encountered in CBPR.*

   *The QUORUM statement gives clear recommendations on how to report meta-analyses.*

   *The CONSORT Statement gives specific recommendations on how to report the results of randomized controlled trials. Many journals have adopted these recommendations.*

   *This article may be useful for those interested in the application of CBPR methods to environmental health issues.*

   *This is the editorial from the special issue on CBPR that inspired this workshop.*

   *Data in abstracts frequently were inconsistent with or absent from the article’s main text.*

   *This article summarizes challenges likely to be encountered when conducting a systematic review of interventions in medical education, identifies limitations in the methods that have been used to assess educational interventions, and provides recommendations for reporting and reviewing studies of educational interventions.*

   *This outline may help educators organize articles about curriculum development efforts.*

   *The authors disagree with the above article by Docherty and argue that it is desirable to have some speculative language in the discussion section.*

   *This is a systematic review of the literature on CBPR.*

   *This is a collection of classic examples of how not to display data.*

   *This offers practical advice on how to make the process of writing easier.*