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Example: A model which produces low level viral steady state after Tx

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = (1 - \epsilon)k_{TT} T - \delta_X X - \gamma X Z
\]
\[
\frac{dY}{dt} = (1 - \epsilon)k_M M - \mu M
\]
\[
\frac{dZ}{dt} = s + \alpha X Z - \delta_Z Z
\]
\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = p_X X + p_Y Y - cV
\]

- $X$ and $Y$ are (short, long)-lived infected cells
- $Z$ is (some measure of) the immune response
- $V$ is the population of viral RNA
- $\epsilon$ is drug efficacy
- $\gamma$ is effectiveness of immune response, $\alpha$ is stimulation of the immune response
Example: A model which produces low level viral steady state after Tx
Variance Components in observed viral load

- **Measurement error or assay error** - Different measurements on the same sample will give different results.

- **Sampling error** - Different samples from the same person will give different results.

- **Process error** - The true viral load is changing over time. Different time points actually have different levels of viral load - but not deterministically, or according to a model.

- **Discussion/Question.** Which of these does the CV from the test kit describe?

- **Discussion/Question.** Which of these are Nettles et.al trying to describe?
Assumptions used to generate Table 2

- Viral load after treatment reaches a steady state and measurements are normally distributed around that steady state - ie process error = 0.
- Each viral load measurement is independent i.e a measurement just after an observed blip is no more likely to be a blip than one just after a non-blip measurement.
- Variance around that steady state (presumably sampling error and measurement error) is given by 0.39 - computed from the CV from the testing kit.
- Question/Discussion - CV from sampling kit should be for measurement error only - not sampling error - Is this appropriate as used?
Distributions used for calculations in Table 2.
Patient 154

- Five episodes, 12 blips total.
- Episodes: 3 blips, 2 blips, 5 blips, 1 blip, 1 blip.
- Recall: Assumes all measurements are independent, ie, a blip is no more or less likely to occur just after another blip.
Six simulated data sets from distribution with mean 30 copies per ml