## Neurodevelopmental Follow-up of Preterm Infants What Is New?



Elisabeth C. McGowan, мр<sup>а,b</sup>, Betty R. Vohr, мр<sup>а,b,\*</sup>

### **KEYWORDS**

- Neurodevelopment Cerebral palsy Premature infants
- Developmental coordination disorder Language Socioeconomic status

#### **KEY POINTS**

- Although the rate of severe cerebral palsy (CP) has decreased among preterm infants, the rate of mild CP and the identification of developmental coordination disorder (DCD) have increased in this population.
- DCD has been shown to have effects persisting throughout school age and adolescence.
- There is increasing recognition of the importance of early interactive language exposure on the language development of infants.
- Although maternal education level continues to be the most frequently reported socioeconomic status indicator, there is increasing evidence of the impact of psychosocioeconomic adversities on preterm neurodevelopmental and behavioral outcomes.
- Identification of adverse maternal mental health in the neonatal ICU and postdischarge provides an opportunity for intervention in former preterm infants and their mothers.

There is increasing evidence of ongoing changes occurring in short-term and longterm motor and language outcomes in the preterm population. In addition, there is increased awareness of the negative impact of family psycho-socioeconomic adversities on preterm outcomes. This review provides updates on 3 areas of reported change in neurodevelopmental follow-up and outcomes in preterm infants: motor impairments, language delays and disorders, and the impact of family psychosocioeconomic adversities on outcomes.

#### MOTOR IMPAIRMENTS AMONG PRETERM INFANTS—A CHANGING PICTURE

Modern neonatal intensive care has contributed to increased survival of infants at the limits of prematurity,<sup>1-4</sup> and changes in the rates of neonatal morbidities<sup>5</sup> and

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Pediatr Clin N Am 66 (2019) 509–523 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2018.12.015 0031-3955/19/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

pediatric.theclinics.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island, 101 Dudley Street, Providence, RI 02905-2499, USA; <sup>b</sup> Department of Pediatrics, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Neonatal-Follow-up Clinic, Women & Infants Hospital of Rhode Island, 101 Dudley Street, Providence, RI 02905-2499, USA \* Corresponding author. 101 Dudley Street, Providence, RI 02905-2499. *E-mail address:* byohr@wihri.org

neurodevelopmental impairments.<sup>1,3</sup> A key component of neurodevelopmental impairment is cerebral palsy (CP).<sup>6</sup> During the early years of neonatology, a primary focus of follow-up studies was on identification of rates of CP.<sup>7–9</sup> CP is often associated with other long-term sequelae, including cognitive, sensory, and language impairments; seizure disorders; and growth abnormalities. Confirmation of this diagnosis is difficult to achieve before 18 months to 24 months of age, especially if the manifestation is mild. Categorization of degree of CP severity based on the Gross Motor Function Classification System<sup>10</sup> into mild (level 1), moderate (levels 2 and 3), and severe to profound (levels 4 and 5) is well accepted.

Recent studies suggest changes in both the rates of CP and the degree of severity.<sup>5,11–14</sup> The Neonatal Research Network study of extreme preterm infants less than or equal to 27 weeks' gestation born from 2011 to 2014 and evaluated at 18 months to 26 months of age showed that the rate of CP decreased during this time period from 16% to 12%.<sup>5</sup> In addition, whereas the rate of severe CP decreased by 43%, the rate of mild CP increased by 13% during the study period. An additional 19% of children had a suspect neurologic examination. This indicates that improvement of motor outcomes is occurring in conjunction with the increased survival of the most preterm neonates. This finding supports that just as there is a spectrum of white matter abnormalities among preterm infants, there is a spectrum or continuum of motor findings ranging from mild to profound.<sup>15,16</sup>

Former preterm infants are at risk of a range of motor abnormalities, including delayed motor milestones, balance abnormalities, challenges with manual dexterity, and generalized coordination abnormalities now codified as developmental coordination disorder (DCD) with the Movement Assessment Battery for Children (MABC)–Second Edition (MABC-2).<sup>17–19</sup> The American Psychiatric Association in 2013 defined DCD as impairment in coordinated motor skills that significantly interfere with performance in everyday activities. Abilities assessed include manual dexterity, aiming, and catching and balance. Scores above the 15th percentile are considered normal, scores in the 6th to 15th percentiles are at risk, and scores in less than or equal to the 5th percentile are consistent with significant motor difficulty. Although motor delays are evident in early childhood, the diagnosis of DCD is often not made until school age.<sup>20</sup> A series of studies reporting DCD at ages 3 years to 24 years is shown in **Table 1**.

Kwok and colleagues<sup>21</sup> examined the predictive value of the MABC-2 at 3 years to predict DCD at 4.5 years among very preterm (VPT) children, defined by the investigators as 24 weeks' to 32 weeks' gestation, and reported a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 69%, indicating many false-positive results. The investigators concluded that at this early age, the MABC is highly sensitive but with limited specificity in identifying VPT children who are at risk of DCD. The Griffiths and colleagues' study<sup>22</sup> reported that 25% of infants born at less than 30 weeks' gestation had scores consistent with significant motor difficulty (<5%) at both 4 years of age and 8 years of age, and the MABC-2 at 4 years had high sensitivity (79%) and specificity (93%) for predicting motor impairment at 8 years. Bolk and collegues<sup>23</sup> examined a large cohort of apparently healthy extreme preterm infants (defined as 22-26 weeks' gestation) compared with term controls at 6.5 years of age and reported the highest rate of DCD of 37.1% in preterm infants versus 5.5% in term infants. Three studies from the Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group<sup>24</sup> of infants born at 22 weeks' to 27 weeks' gestation identified consistently low but increasing rates of DCD during 3 time periods between 1991 and 2005, with increasing rates of 2%, 8%, and 7%. The findings are similar to those of Setanen and colleagues<sup>25</sup> in a Finish cohort at 11 years of age. Finally, a study<sup>26</sup> from Norway reported rates of DCD of 29% in a

Table 1 Developmental coordination disorder						
Authors, Year Published	Gestational Age	Date of Birth or Visits	Sample Size	Age of Assessment	Movement Assessment Battery for Children Coordination Disorder	
Kwok et al, <sup>21</sup> 2018 Canada	24–32 wk	Visits 2010–2015	165	3 y 4.5 y	Prediction Sensitivity S Specificity 6	90% 59%
Griffiths et al, <sup>22</sup> 2017 Australia	<30 wk	2005–2007	96	4 y 8 y	<5th% 25th% 25th%	
Bolk et al, <sup>23</sup> 2018 Sweden	22–26 wk	Birth 2004–2007	229 preterm 244 term	6.5 y	<5th% Preterm Term	37.1% 5.5%
Davis et al, <sup>28</sup> 2007 Australia; Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group	22–27 wk	Birth 1991–1992	163	8 y	<15th% <5th%	10% 2%
Roberts, <sup>27</sup> 2011 Australia; Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group	22–27 wk	1997	132 154 term	8 y	EP <15th% EP <5th % T <5th%	23% 16% 5%
Spittle et al, <sup>24</sup> 2018 Australia: Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group	22–27 wk	1991–2005	Study Year 1991–1992 226 1997 172 2005 189	8 y	<5th% <5th% <5th%	2% 8% 7%
Setanen et al, <sup>25</sup> 2016 PIPARI Study Group Finland	23–35 wk	2001–2004	82	11 y	<5th%	8%
Husby et al, <sup>26</sup> 2013 Norway	VLBW <1500 g	1986–88	36 VLBW 37 term	14 y 23 y	<5th% <5th%	29% 29%

small cohort of former very-low-birthweight (VLBW) infants, less than 1500 g, born from 1986 to 1988 at both ages 14 years and 23 years. At 23 years, the VLBW subjects had poorer total motor scores and subscores for manual dexterity and balance compared with the term comparison group. After exclusion of the 4 VLBW subjects with CP, however, the difference in total MABC-2 score between study groups was no longer significant.<sup>26</sup> This study has a small sample size and the results need to

be replicated in larger studies. The percentage identified in reports are impacted if children with CP are excluded.<sup>27</sup> The findings overall suggest that early motor coordination challenges among former preterm infants have lasting effects.

Risk factors of DCD include preterm birth, male gender,<sup>28</sup> and decreased brain volume at term age.<sup>25</sup> Setanen and colleagues<sup>25</sup> propose that volumetric brain MRI at term age may provide a tool to identify infants at risk for later neuromotor impairment. Relative to longer-term outcomes, CP is fairly consistently associated with a spectrum of more severe neurosensory morbidities, including seizure disorders, blindness, and hearing impairment.<sup>29</sup> In addition to coordination deficits, including difficulties writing and balancing, DCD can be associated with academic challenges, behavior problems, and decreased participation in sports.<sup>30</sup> At school age, DCD is associated with lower cognitive and academic test scores and greater behavior problems.<sup>28</sup>

Prenatal medical interventions, including antenatal steroids<sup>31</sup> and magnesium sulfate,<sup>32,33</sup> and neonatal interventions, including indomethacin<sup>34</sup> and caffeine,<sup>35,36</sup> have been shown associated with at least partial reduction in rates of CP and DCD. Several motor and education-based interventions have shown some efficacy in reducing the manifestations coordination disorder.<sup>37–39</sup> Steps can be taken in the neonatal ICU (NICU) to identify infants potentially at risk of CP or DCD, provide physical therapy/occupational therapy support during the NICU stay, facilitate referrals to neurology for follow-up as needed, provide anticipatory guidance for parents, and refer all high-risk infants to early intervention programs at the time of discharge.<sup>40,41</sup>

# PRETERM LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENTS: CAN MORE BE DONE TO IMPROVE OUTCOMES?

Early development of language is critically important because it is the building block for basic communication, cognitive processes, literacy, and social interactions. Preterm infants are at increased risk of speech and language morbidities, including mild to moderate delays/deficiencies in vocabulary development,<sup>42</sup> phonological processing,<sup>43</sup> language comprehension,<sup>44</sup> verbal short-term memory,<sup>45,46</sup> and grammatical development.<sup>43</sup> In addition to brain injury, environmental factors, including both nonwhite race and Hispanic ethnicity, have been associated with early speech and language delays among VPT infants with less than 1000-g birthweight. Black and Hispanic toddlers had lower language scores than whites at 18 months to 22 months, even after adjustment for confounders.<sup>47</sup> A Neonatal Research Network study reported that children born at less than 28 weeks' gestation whose primary language was Spanish had lower Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (BSID) language scores but similar cognitive scores compared with children whose primary language was English.48 The investigators suggested the findings may, in part, be secondary to use of English language-based testing tools that introduce bias. In addition, low socioeconomic status (SES) is well known to be associated with alterations in the language environment, decreased early language exposure, and subsequent language delay.<sup>49,50</sup>

Responses to the language environment begin in fetal life. The cochlea of the inner ear completes development between 24 weeks' and 26 weeks' gestation, and auditory reception starts during this time period. Blink-startle responses to vibro-acoustic stimuli are first elicited in the fetus at 24 weeks' to 26 weeks' gestation, with consistent responses by 27 weeks' to 28 weeks' gestation.<sup>51</sup> At 27 weeks' to 29 weeks' gestation, the hearing threshold in utero is approximately 40 dB. The fetus differentiates the maternal voice from a stranger's voice at approximately 32 weeks' to 37 weeks' gestation by changes in heart rate, suggesting a preattention reaction.<sup>52</sup> Fetuses have the ability to differentiate a maternal voice from a paternal voice.<sup>53</sup> Term

infants prefer human voice to other acoustic stimuli and prefer a maternal voice to other female voices and to a paternal voice.<sup>54–57</sup>

The extreme preterm infant, however, leaves the protective sound environment of the uterus as early as 22 weeks' to 23 weeks' gestation and enters the noisy and stressful NICU nonoptimal language environment for extended periods of up to 2 months to 6 months. The first 3 years of age represent a sensitive period of brain plasticity, with the sensory environment impacting brain growth, structures, connectivity, and function.<sup>58</sup> Exposure of the preterm brain to the NICU environment alters neuronal differentiation, which may alter subsequent development.<sup>59,60</sup> The term infant, however, goes home in 1 day to 3 days and is exposed to the touch, talk, sounds, and social interactions within a typical family unit.

Despite the nonoptimal environment, the early preterm infant begins to respond to auditory stimuli by 24 weeks' gestation, with consistent responses by 28 weeks and distinct preferences shown for maternal voice.<sup>61</sup> Preterm infants have also been shown to respond to recordings of maternal sounds and voice by lowering their heart rate, which has been interpreted as increased infant relaxation.<sup>62</sup>

Should language intervention be provided in the NICU? It has been shown that increased exposure to early language experience for term children in the form of conversations and talk with family members is associated with improved child vocabulary size and IQ.<sup>49,50</sup> The authors' team investigated preterm vocalizations and the language environment of the NICU with 16-hour audio recordings of adult speech, child vocalizations, conversation turns (CTs), silence, and noise. The 2-oz recording device can be placed into a small vest the infant wears or can be placed immediately adjacent to the infant. Language Environment Analysis (LENA) speech-identification algorithms have been determined to be reliable, with 82% accuracy for adults and 76% accuracy for infants and children.<sup>63</sup> Output of a typical recording, which is used to provide feedback to the parent, is shown in **Fig. 1**. It is divided into 4 domains, including the audio environment, child vocalizations, CTs, and number of adult words spoken each hour. The printout is reviewed with the parents, awake times with high and low interactions are identified, and goals can be set for timing and intensity of child-directed conversations.

Study findings revealed that extremely-low-birthweight (ELBW) infants vocalize as early as 8 weeks before their due date, that parent talk is a significant predictor of both infant vocalizations and CTs at 32 weeks' and 36 weeks' gestation, and that ELBW infants are exposed to significantly more words from their parents than from NICU caretakers.<sup>64</sup> In addition, every increase in 100 adult word count (AWC)/h in the NICU at 32 weeks' gestation was associated with a 2-point increase in the BSID, Third Edition, language composite score (P = .04) at 18 months. Every increase in 100 AWC/h at 36 weeks' gestation was associated with a 1.2-point increase in BSID, Third Edition, cognitive composite score (P = .004) and a 0.3-point increase in expressive communication at 18 months (P = .07). This is highly suggestive that parent talk in the NICU 4 weeks and 8 weeks prior to an infant's due date has a powerful impact on subsequent infant language and cognitive development.<sup>65</sup>

A recent study<sup>66</sup> of term 3-year-old to 6-year-old children using LENA recordings and functional MRI identified that increased CTs were associated with higher parent education, higher income, higher child composite verbal scores, and bilateral MRI superior temporal lobe activation. Correlations between activation during language processing and CTs remained significant after adjustment for parent education, test scores, AWC, and child vocalizations. In a mediation model, the effect of CTs on language scores was mediated by activation of the left inferior frontal gyrus. The investigators concluded that this is the first evidence that neural activation patterns underlay



Fig. 1. Recording output.

the relationship between interactive language exposure reflected by CTs and child language abilities.<sup>66</sup>

These findings strongly support the concept of implementing family-integrated care<sup>67</sup> in the NICU and suggest that preterm infants benefit from enhanced parent presence and interaction, including caretaking, kangaroo care, cuddling, talking, singing, and reading. Open visiting and the single-room NICUs<sup>68–70</sup> with enhanced maternal involvement and developmental care are beneficial. Policies that remove barriers and encourage parent presence and participation in the NICU are encouraged.

### SOCIOECONOMIC RISKS, MATERNAL EDUCATION LEVEL, AND BEYOND

Childhood health is closely linked to social advantage, and, typically, improvement in SES is associated with more optimal outcomes.<sup>71–73</sup> Measurement of social advantage or disadvantage is often difficult to capture but may include a variety of indicators, such as education status, income level, occupation, and insurance status. In the preterm population, there is evidence that both low SES and specific biologic variables are risk factors for poor developmental outcomes.<sup>74–78</sup> As the long-term influence of these risks is beginning to be explored, particularly in the post-surfactant era, complex interactions among these factors are becoming evident.

Current studies examining the effects of SES continue to highlight the important influence of educational status on neurodevelopmental outcomes. Linsell and colleagues,<sup>79</sup> in a systematic review, showed that low parental education and nonwhite race/ethnicity were predictors of pre-school (before school age, specifically 1.5 - 2.5 years of age) global impairments in VPT infants. Asztalos and colleagues,<sup>80</sup> of the Canadian Neonatal Follow-Up Network, reported positive association of 18-month to 21-month developmental outcomes with maternal education level. For infants born at less than 29 weeks' gestation, cognitive and language scores improved as caregiver education increased, and scores approached mean values of 100 only for infants of mothers with the highest levels of education.

The impact of parent education level seems to persist into early school years, particularly on cognitive and behavior outcomes. In a cohort of preterm infants less than 28 weeks' gestation without morbidities, such as CP, blindness, or deafness, child IQ was positively associated with higher maternal education.<sup>81</sup> In the EPIPAGE cohort, Beaino and colleagues<sup>74</sup> defined SES as both maternal and paternal education status. Low parental education was the main predictor for mild cognitive delay (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 3.43; 95% CI, 2.01–5.83) and a significant predictor for severe cognitive delay (OR 2.6; 95% CI, 1.29–5.24) at 5 years of age, along with small-for-gestational-age status and cystic periventricular leukomalacia.<sup>74</sup> Potharst and colleagues<sup>82</sup> reported on 5-year outcomes for infants less than 30 weeks' gestation, and, compared with term controls, the preterm-term mean IQ difference was 5 points, if parent education was high, and increased to 15 points, if parent education was low. Similar patterns were seen for behavior. Maternal IQ, income, occupation, and single-parent household as either independent or composite variables show similar associations with cognitive and behavior outcomes.<sup>83–86</sup>

As more preterm cohorts are followed longitudinally, investigators are now able to evaluate the longer-term contribution of social influences. Joseph and colleagues87 reported that children of mothers in the lowest education stratum in the ELGAN cohort were more likely to score greater than or equal to 2 SDs below the mean on a battery of neurocognitive tests at 10 years of age. The risks of unfavorable SES, particularly in association with brain injury, have also been explored. In a European cohort of 200 ELBW infants born between 1993 and 1998, low maternal education was the most significant risk factor for decreased IQ; however, grade III/IV intraventricular hemorrhage or periventricular leukomalacia continued to have a negative impact at 13 years of age.<sup>88</sup> For this study, the developmental trajectories for children of mothers with higher versus lower education were different irrespective of brain injury. Children of mothers with the highest education had increases in composite IQ scores between 6 years and 13 years of age, whereas those with lower maternal education remained essentially unchanged. An Australian cohort from the same study era, comprising both early preterm/ELBW infants and normal birthweight controls,<sup>89</sup> reported a strong and persistent influence of intraventricular hemorrhage on cognition and academic performance at 2 years, 5 years, 8 years, and 18 years of age. Maternal education and social class, however, did not reach statistical significance until years 8 and beyond.

The interpretation of the effects of socioeconomic variables on long-term outcomes is challenging. Many adverse social situations are inter-related, tend to cluster, and have dose-response relationships with poor health.<sup>90,91</sup> Positive mental health is shaped by various socioeconomic and physical environments and is an integral component of enriched relationships, particularly for the mother-infant dyad. Maternal depression, anxiety, and stress have been associated with low maternal self-efficacy, defined as a mother's belief in her ability to parent.<sup>92,93</sup> At NICU discharge, mothers with a history of mental health disorders report decreased self-confidence compared with mothers without a history of mental health disorders.<sup>94</sup> Hawes and colleagues<sup>95</sup> report that decreased NICU discharge readiness is associated with postdischarge depressive symptoms. Importantly, within the first year of age, maternal depression and anxiety have been linked to infant dysregulation, difficult temperament, and sleep disturbances as well as compromised parent-infant interactions and inadequate parental caregiving practices.<sup>96–99</sup>

Less has been published on the long-term effects of maternal depression and anxiety on preterm infant outcomes; results are often conflicting and portray different patterns of symptoms.<sup>100–102</sup> A prospective cohort of VLBW infants born in Finland was followed from infancy to school age, and, after adjustment for maternal education level, significant associations of parental depression and stress symptoms with child cognitive, behavior, and socioemotional problems were reported between 2 years to 5 years of age.<sup>103–105</sup> It has been suggested that over time, parents of vulnerable infants experience increasing levels of stress. Singer and colleagues<sup>106</sup> reported that mothers of high-risk VLBW infants perceived increased stress extending from early childhood through adolescence compared with mothers of term or low-risk VLBW children.

It is important to recognize these long-term studies cannot determine causal pathways, because associations between parent psychological wellness and infant health/development are multifactorial and bidirectional. Mediators of maternal stress, depression, and anxiety, however, include low birthweight, low maternal education, infant and child behavior difficulties, lack of family social supports, and poor child health, all of which are more prevalent in the preterm population.<sup>100,106–109</sup> Additionally, the emerging field of epigenetics is beginning to uncover the effects of early adverse advents on the developing infant. One mechanism in particular, DNA methylation of genes encoding for stress regulators of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, shows promise.<sup>110</sup> In the preterm population, links between maternal anxiety and depression and alteration of infant stress-related genes have been reported, highlighting yet another pathway influencing developmental outcomes.<sup>111–113</sup>

In conclusion, investigations targeting psycho-socioeconomic risks provide opportunities for improving outcomes of the vulnerable preterm infant. Evidence suggests that early interventions, in particular those that focus on strengthening parent-infant relationships, have a positive influence on motor, cognitive, and behavior outcomes and may decrease parental symptoms of depression and anxiety.<sup>38,114–116</sup> The importance of supporting parental mental health is now widely recognized, and guidelines encourage starting this in the NICU.<sup>117</sup> Continued exploration of the complex interactions of psychological, social, and medical contributions is needed as efforts are made to identify effective strategies that optimize long-term outcomes for preterm infants and their families.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Rysavy MA, Li L, Bell EF, et al. Between-hospital variation in treatment and outcomes in extremely preterm infants. N Engl J Med 2015;372(19):1801–11.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, et al. Trends in care practices, morbidity, and mortality of extremely preterm neonates, 1993-2012. JAMA 2015;314(10):1039–51.
- Younge N, Goldstein RF, Bann CM, et al. Survival and neurodevelopmental outcomes among periviable infants. N Engl J Med 2017;376(7):617–28.
- Bashir RA, Thomas JP, MacKay M, et al. Survival, short-term, and long-term morbidities of neonates with birth weight < 500 g. Am J Perinatol 2017;34(13): 1333–9.
- Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, et al. Neonatal outcomes of extremely preterm infants from the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. Pediatrics 2010;126(3): 443–56.
- 6. Graham HK, Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, et al. Cerebral palsy. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2016;2:15082.

- 7. Palisano R, Rosenbaum P, Walter S, et al. Development and reliability of a system to classify gross motor function in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 1997;39(4):214–23.
- 8. O'Shea TM, Dammann O. Antecedents of cerebral palsy in very low-birth weight infants. Clin Perinatol 2000;27(2):285–302.
- **9.** Robertson CM, Watt MJ, Yasui Y. Changes in the prevalence of cerebral palsy for children born very prematurely within a population-based program over 30 years. JAMA 2007;297(24):2733–40.
- 10. Palisano RJ, Hanna SE, Rosenbaum PL, et al. Validation of a model of gross motor function for children with cerebral palsy. Phys Ther 2000;80(10):974–85.
- Vohr BR, Stephens BE, Higgins RD, et al. Are outcomes of extremely preterm infants improving? Impact of bayley assessment on outcomes. J Pediatr 2012; 161(2):222–8.e3.
- 12. Wilson-Costello D. Is there evidence that long-term outcomes have improved with intensive care? Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2007;12(5):344–54.
- Hintz SR, Kendrick DE, Vohr BR, et al. Changes in neurodevelopmental outcomes at 18 to 22 months' corrected age among infants of less than 25 weeks' gestational age born in 1993-1999. Pediatrics 2005;115(6):1645–51.
- Hack M, Costello DW. Trends in the rates of cerebral palsy associated with neonatal intensive care of preterm children. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2008;51(4): 763–74.
- de Kieviet JF, Piek JP, Aarnoudse-Moens CS, et al. Motor development in very preterm and very low-birth-weight children from birth to adolescence: a metaanalysis. JAMA 2009;302(20):2235–42.
- Bracewell M, Marlow N. Patterns of motor disability in very preterm children. Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 2002;8(4):241–8.
- 17. Barnett A, Henderson S, Sugden D. The movement assessment battery for children-2. London: Pearson Assessment; 2007.
- Spittle AJ, Orton J. Cerebral palsy and developmental coordination disorder in children born preterm. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2014;19(2):84–9.
- 19. Vohr BR, Msall ME, Wilson D, et al. Spectrum of gross motor function in extremely low birth weight children with cerebral palsy at 18 months of age. Pediatrics 2005;116(1):123–9.
- 20. Missiuna C, Moll S, King S, et al. A trajectory of troubles: parents' impressions of the impact of developmental coordination disorder. Phys Occup Ther Pediatr 2007;27(1):81–101.
- Kwok C, Mackay M, Agnew JA, et al. Does the movement assessment battery for children-2 at 3 years of age predict developmental coordination disorder at 4.5 years of age in children born very preterm? Res Dev Disabil 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2018.04.003.
- Griffiths A, Morgan P, Anderson PJ, et al. Predictive value of the movement assessment battery for children - second edition at 4 years, for motor impairment at 8 years in children born preterm. Dev Med Child Neurol 2017;59(5): 490–6.
- Bolk J, Farooqi A, Hafstrom M, et al. Developmental coordination disorder and its association with developmental comorbidities at 6.5 years in apparently healthy children born extremely preterm. JAMA Pediatr 2018;172(8):765–74.
- 24. Spittle AJ, Cameron K, Doyle LW, et al, Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Motor impairment trends in extremely preterm children: 1991-2005. Pediatrics 2018;141(4) [pii:e20173410].

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on April 20, 2020. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2020. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

- 25. Setanen S, Lehtonen L, Parkkola R, et al. The motor profile of preterm infants at 11 y of age. Pediatr Res 2016;80(3):389–94.
- Husby IM, Skranes J, Olsen A, et al. Motor skills at 23 years of age in young adults born preterm with very low birth weight. Early Hum Dev 2013;89(9): 747–54.
- 27. Roberts G, Anderson PJ, Davis N, et al. Developmental coordination disorder in geographic cohorts of 8-year-old children born extremely preterm or extremely low birthweight in the 1990s. Dev Med Child Neurol 2011;53(1):55–60.
- 28. Davis NM, Ford GW, Anderson PJ, et al, Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Developmental coordination disorder at 8 years of age in a regional cohort of extremely-low-birthweight or very preterm infants. Dev Med Child Neurol 2007;49(5):325–30.
- 29. Himmelmann K, Beckung E, Hagberg G, et al. Gross and fine motor function and accompanying impairments in cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol 2006;48(6):417–23.
- **30.** Edwards J, Berube M, Erlandson K, et al. Developmental coordination disorder in school-aged children born very preterm and/or at very low birth weight: a systematic review. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2011;32(9):678–87.
- Linsell L, Malouf R, Morris J, et al. Prognostic factors for cerebral palsy and motor impairment in children born very preterm or very low birthweight: a systematic review. Dev Med Child Neurol 2016;58(6):554–69.
- 32. Nelson KB, Chang T. Is cerebral palsy preventable? Curr Opin Neurol 2008; 21(2):129–35.
- **33.** Hirtz DG, Weiner SJ, Bulas D, et al. Antenatal magnesium and cerebral palsy in preterm infants. J Pediatr 2015;167(4):834–9.e3.
- Allan WC, Vohr B, Makuch RW, et al. Antecedents of cerebral palsy in a multicenter trial of indomethacin for intraventricular hemorrhage. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1997;151(6):580–5.
- **35.** Doyle LW, Schmidt B, Anderson PJ, et al. Reduction in developmental coordination disorder with neonatal caffeine therapy. J Pediatr 2014;165(2):356–9.e3.
- **36.** Schmidt B, Roberts RS, Anderson PJ, et al. Academic performance, motor function, and behavior 11 years after neonatal caffeine citrate therapy for apnea of prematurity: an 11-Year follow-up of the CAP randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr 2017;171(6):564–72.
- **37.** Spittle A, Orton J, Anderson P, et al. Early developmental intervention programmes post-hospital discharge to prevent motor and cognitive impairments in preterm infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;(12):CD005495.
- Spittle A, Orton J, Anderson PJ, et al. Early developmental intervention programmes provided post hospital discharge to prevent motor and cognitive impairment in preterm infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015;(11):CD005495.
- **39.** Smits-Engelsman B, Vincon S, Blank R, et al. Evaluating the evidence for motorbased interventions in developmental coordination disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Res Dev Disabil 2018;74:72–102.
- 40. Blank R, Smits-Engelsman B, Polatajko H, et al, European Academy for Childhood Disability. European Academy for Childhood Disability (EACD): recommendations on the definition, diagnosis and intervention of developmental coordination disorder (long version). Dev Med Child Neurol 2012;54(1):54–93.
- Camden C, Foley V, Anaby D, et al. Using an evidence-based online module to improve parents' ability to support their child with developmental coordination disorder. Disabil Health J 2016;9(3):406–15.

- 42. Foster-Cohen SH, Friesen MD, Champion PR, et al. High prevalence/low severity language delay in preschool children born very preterm. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2010;31(8):658–67.
- **43.** Sansavini A, Guarini A, Alessandroni R, et al. Are early grammatical and phonological working memory abilities affected by preterm birth? J Commun Disord 2007;40(3):239–56.
- 44. Jansson-Verkasalo E, Korpilahti P, Jantti V, et al. Neurophysiologic correlates of deficient phonological representations and object naming in prematurely born children. Clin Neurophysiol 2004;115(1):179–87.
- 45. Omizzolo C, Scratch SE, Stargatt R, et al. Neonatal brain abnormalities and memory and learning outcomes at 7 years in children born very preterm. Memory 2014;22(6):605–15.
- **46.** Grunewaldt KH, Skranes J, Brubakk AM, et al. Computerized working memory training has positive long-term effect in very low birthweight preschool children. Dev Med Child Neurol 2016;58(2):195–201.
- 47. Freeman Duncan A, Watterberg KL, Nolen TL, et al. Effect of ethnicity and race on cognitive and language testing at age 18-22 months in extremely preterm infants. J Pediatr 2012;160(6):966–71.e2.
- **48.** Lowe JR, Nolen TL, Vohr B, et al. Effect of primary language on developmental testing in children born extremely preterm. Acta Paediatr 2013;102(9):896–900.
- 49. Hart B, Risley TR. Meaningful differences in the everyday experience of young American children. Baltimore (MD): P.H. Brookes; 1995.
- Suskind DL, Leffel KR, Graf E, et al. A parent-directed language intervention for children of low socioeconomic status: a randomized controlled pilot study. J Child Lang 2015;43(2):1–41.
- 51. Birnholz JC, Benacerraf BR. The development of human fetal hearing. Science 1983;222(4623):516–8.
- 52. Kisilevsky BS, Hains SM, Brown CA, et al. Fetal sensitivity to properties of maternal speech and language. Infant Behav Dev 2009;32(1):59–71.
- **53.** Lee GY, Kisilevsky BS. Fetuses respond to father's voice but prefer mother's voice after birth. Dev Psychobiol 2014;56(1):1–11.
- 54. DeCasper AJ, Fifer WP. Of human bonding: newborns prefer their mothers' voices. Science 1980;208(4448):1174–6.
- 55. DeCasper AJ, Prescott PA. Human newborns' perception of male voices: preference, discrimination, and reinforcing value. Dev Psychobiol 1984;17(5): 481–91.
- 56. DeCasper AJ, Prescott P. Lateralized processes constrain auditory reinforcement in human newborns. Hear Res 2009;255(1–2):135–41.
- 57. Granier-Deferre C, Bassereau S, Ribeiro A, et al. A melodic contour repeatedly experienced by human near-term fetuses elicits a profound cardiac reaction one month after birth. PLoS One 2011;6(2):e17304.
- 58. Bennet L, Van Den Heuij L, Dean JM, et al. Neural plasticity and the Kennard principle: does it work for the preterm brain? Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2013;40(11):774–84.
- 59. Huppi PS, Schuknecht B, Boesch C, et al. Structural and neurobehavioral delay in postnatal brain development of preterm infants. Pediatr Res 1996;39(5): 895–901.
- 60. Webb AR, Heller HT, Benson CB, et al. Mother's voice and heartbeat sounds elicit auditory plasticity in the human brain before full gestation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112(10):3152–7.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on April 20, 2020. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2020. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

- 61. Krueger C. Exposure to maternal voice in preterm infants: a review. Adv Neonatal Care 2010;10(1):13–8 [quiz: 19–20].
- 62. Rand K, Lahav A. Maternal sounds elicit lower heart rate in preterm newborns in the first month of life. Early Hum Dev 2014;90(10):679–83.
- **63.** Gilkerson J, Richards JA, Warren SF, et al. Mapping the early language environment using all-day recordings and automated analysis. Am J Speech Lang Pathol 2017;26(2):248–65.
- 64. Caskey M, Stephens B, Tucker R, et al. Importance of parent talk on the development of preterm infant vocalizations. Pediatrics 2011;128(5):910–6.
- 65. Caskey M, Stephens B, Tucker R, et al. Adult talk in the nicu with preterm infants and developmental outcomes. Pediatrics 2014;133(3):1–7.
- **66.** Romeo RR, Leonard JA, Robinson ST, et al. Beyond the 30-million-word gap: children's conversational exposure is associated with language-related brain function. Psychol Sci 2018;29(5):700–10.
- Bracht M, O'Leary L, Lee SK, et al. Implementing family-integrated care in the NICU: a parent education and support program. Adv Neonatal Care 2013; 13(2):115–26.
- **68.** Lester BM, Hawes K, Abar B, et al. Single-family room care and neurobehavioral and medical outcomes in preterm infants. Pediatrics 2014;134(4):754–60.
- **69.** Lester BM, Salisbury AL, Hawes K, et al. 18-month follow-up of infants cared for in a single-family room neonatal intensive care unit. J Pediatr 2016;177:84–9.
- Vohr B, McGowan E, McKinley L, et al. Differential effects of the single-family room neonatal intensive care unit on 18- to 24-month Bayley scores of preterm infants. J Pediatr 2017;185:42–8.
- Wolke D, Meyer R. Cognitive status, language attainment, and prereading skills of 6-year-old very preterm children and their peers: the Bavarian Longitudinal Study. Dev Med Child Neurol 1999;41(2):94–109.
- Tong S, Baghurst P, Vimpani G, et al. Socioeconomic position, maternal IQ, home environment, and cognitive development. J Pediatr 2007;151(3):284–8, 288.e1.
- Moore TG, McDonald M, Carlon L, et al. Early childhood development and the social determinants of health inequities. Health Promot Int 2015;30(Suppl 2): ii102–15.
- Beaino G, Khoshnood B, Kaminski M, et al. Predictors of the risk of cognitive deficiency in very preterm infants: the EPIPAGE prospective cohort. Acta Paediatr 2011;100(3):370–8.
- Vohr BR, Wright LL, Dusick AM, et al. Neurodevelopmental and functional outcomes of extremely low birth weight infants in the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network, 1993-1994. Pediatrics 2000;105(6):1216–26.
- 76. Wood NS, Costeloe K, Gibson AT, et al. The EPICure study: associations and antecedents of neurological and developmental disability at 30 months of age following extremely preterm birth. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2005; 90(2):F134–40.
- 77. Wang LW, Wang ST, Huang CC. Preterm infants of educated mothers have better outcome. Acta Paediatr 2008;97(5):568–73.
- Hack M, Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, et al. Neurodevelopment and predictors of outcomes of children with birth weights of less than 1000 g: 1992-1995. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2000;154(7):725–31.

- **79.** Linsell L, Malouf R, Morris J, et al. Prognostic factors for poor cognitive development in children born very preterm or with very low birth weight: a systematic review. JAMA Pediatr 2015;169(12):1162–72.
- Asztalos EV, Church PT, Riley P, et al. Association between Primary caregiver education and cognitive and language development of preterm neonates. Am J Perinatol 2017;34(4):364–71.
- **81.** Leversen KT, Sommerfelt K, Ronnestad A, et al. Prediction of neurodevelopmental and sensory outcome at 5 years in Norwegian children born extremely preterm. Pediatrics 2011;127(3):e630–8.
- Potharst ES, van Wassenaer AG, Houtzager BA, et al. High incidence of multidomain disabilities in very preterm children at five years of age. J Pediatr 2011; 159(1):79–85.
- **83.** Lean RE, Paul RA, Smyser CD, et al. Maternal intelligence quotient (IQ) predicts IQ and language in very preterm children at age 5 years. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2018;59(2):150–9.
- 84. Potijk MR, Kerstjens JM, Bos AF, et al. Developmental delay in moderately preterm-born children with low socioeconomic status: risks multiply. J Pediatr 2013;163(5):1289–95.
- **85.** Potijk MR, de Winter AF, Bos AF, et al. Behavioural and emotional problems in moderately preterm children with low socioeconomic status: a population-based study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2015;24(7):787–95.
- **86.** Manley BJ, Roberts RS, Doyle LW, et al. Social variables predict gains in cognitive scores across the preschool years in children with birth weights 500 to 1250 grams. J Pediatr 2015;166(4):870–6.e1-2.
- Joseph RM, O'Shea TM, Allred EN, et al. Maternal educational status at birth, maternal educational advancement, and neurocognitive outcomes at age 10 years among children born extremely preterm. Pediatr Res 2018;83(4):767–77.
- Voss W, Jungmann T, Wachtendorf M, et al. Long-term cognitive outcomes of extremely low-birth-weight infants: the influence of the maternal educational background. Acta Paediatr 2012;101(6):569–73.
- Boyle LW, Cheong JL, Burnett A, et al. Biological and social influences on outcomes of extreme-preterm/low-birth weight adolescents. Pediatrics 2015; 136(6):e1513–20.
- **90.** Jimenez ME, Wade R Jr, Lin Y, et al. Adverse experiences in early childhood and kindergarten outcomes. Pediatrics 2016;137(2):e20151839.
- **91.** Folger AT, Eismann EA, Stephenson NB, et al. Parental adverse childhood experiences and offspring development at 2 years of age. Pediatrics 2018;141(4) [pii:e20172826].
- 92. Leahy-Warren P, McCarthy G. Maternal parental self-efficacy in the postpartum period. Midwifery 2011;27(6):802–10.
- Porter CL, Hsu HC. First-time mothers' perceptions of efficacy during the transition to motherhood: links to infant temperament. J Fam Psychol 2003;17(1): 54–64.
- McGowan EC, Du N, Hawes K, et al. Maternal mental health and neonatal intensive care unit discharge readiness in mothers of preterm infants. J Pediatr 2017; 184:68–74.
- Hawes K, McGowan E, O'Donnell M, et al. Social emotional factors increase risk of postpartum depression in mothers of preterm infants. J Pediatr 2016;179: 61–7.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on April 20, 2020. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2020. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

- Treyvaud K, Anderson VA, Lee KJ, et al. Parental mental health and early socialemotional development of children born very preterm. J Pediatr Psychol 2010; 35(7):768–77.
- 97. Field T. Postpartum depression effects on early interactions, parenting, and safety practices: a review. Infant Behav Dev 2010;33(1):1–6.
- Minkovitz CS, Strobino D, Scharfstein D, et al. Maternal depressive symptoms and children's receipt of health care in the first 3 years of life. Pediatrics 2005; 115(2):306–14.
- **99.** Field T. Postnatal anxiety prevalence, predictors and effects on development: a narrative review. Infant Behav Dev 2018;51:24–32.
- 100. Schappin R, Wijnroks L, Uniken Venema MM, et al. Rethinking stress in parents of preterm infants: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2013;8(2):e54992.
- 101. Miceli PJ, Goeke-Morey MC, Whitman TL, et al. Brief report: birth status, medical complications, and social environment: individual differences in development of preterm, very low birth weight infants. J Pediatr Psychol 2000;25(5):353–8.
- 102. Piteo AM, Yelland LN, Makrides M. Does maternal depression predict developmental outcome in 18 month old infants? Early Hum Dev 2012;88(8):651–5.
- 103. Huhtala M, Korja R, Lehtonen L, et al. Parental psychological well-being and cognitive development of very low birth weight infants at 2 years. Acta Paediatr 2011;100(12):1555–60.
- 104. Huhtala M, Korja R, Lehtonen L, et al. Parental psychological well-being and behavioral outcome of very low birth weight infants at 3 years. Pediatrics 2012;129(4):e937–44.
- 105. Huhtala M, Korja R, Lehtonen L, et al. Associations between parental psychological well-being and socio-emotional development in 5-year-old preterm children. Early Hum Dev 2014;90(3):119–24.
- 106. Singer LT, Fulton S, Kirchner HL, et al. Longitudinal predictors of maternal stress and coping after very low-birth-weight birth. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2010; 164(6):518–24.
- 107. Taylor HG, Klein N, Schatschneider C, et al. Predictors of early school age outcomes in very low birth weight children. J Dev Behav Pediatr 1998;19(4): 235–43.
- 108. Halpern LF, Brand KL, Malone AF. Parenting stress in mothers of very-low-birthweight (VLBW) and full-term infants: a function of infant behavioral characteristics and child-rearing attitudes. J Pediatr Psychol 2001;26(2):93–104.
- 109. Ong LC, Chandran V, Boo NY. Comparison of parenting stress between Malaysian mothers of four-year-old very low birthweight and normal birthweight children. Acta Paediatr 2001;90(12):1464–9.
- 110. Provenzi L, Guida E, Montirosso R. Preterm behavioral epigenetics: a systematic review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2018;84:262–71.
- 111. Oberlander TF, Weinberg J, Papsdorf M, et al. Prenatal exposure to maternal depression, neonatal methylation of human glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) and infant cortisol stress responses. Epigenetics 2008;3(2):97–106.
- 112. Essex MJ, Boyce WT, Hertzman C, et al. Epigenetic vestiges of early developmental adversity: childhood stress exposure and DNA methylation in adolescence. Child Dev 2013;84(1):58–75.
- **113.** Murgatroyd C, Quinn JP, Sharp HM, et al. Effects of prenatal and postnatal depression, and maternal stroking, at the glucocorticoid receptor gene. Transl Psychiatry 2015;5:e560.

- 114. Spencer-Smith MM, Spittle AJ, Doyle LW, et al. Long-term benefits of homebased preventive care for preterm infants: a randomized trial. Pediatrics 2012;130(6):1094–101.
- 115. Spittle AJ, Barton S, Treyvaud K, et al. School-age outcomes of early intervention for preterm infants and their parents: a randomized trial. Pediatrics 2016; 138(6) [pii:e20161363].
- **116.** van Wassenaer-Leemhuis AG, Jeukens-Visser M, van Hus JW, et al. Rethinking preventive post-discharge intervention programmes for very preterm infants and their parents. Dev Med Child Neurol 2016;58(Suppl 4):67–73.
- 117. Hynan MT, Steinberg Z, Baker L, et al. Recommendations for mental health professionals in the NICU. J Perinatol 2015;35(Suppl 1):S14–8.