L. A. LOCKOUT END IS ORDERED

Status as of February 26 Is Fixed

SAN FRANCISCO—Coast Longshore Imperial Chairman Art Miller ordered the locked out port of Los Angeles reopened as of 12:01 a.m. March 17. Los Angeles Harbor virtually came to a standstill on March 11 when the Waterfront Employers Association cancelled the contract of the clerks who are members of the Marine Clerks Association, Local 63 of the ILWU. After exhaustive hearings arthritis, he said on March 14 that he had jurisdiction in the hearing.

The Waterfront Employers Association—contended that he had no jurisdiction.

ASKS PROMPTNESS

Said the Imperial Chairman in his order of March 16:

"For the purpose of re-opening the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach and to bring about a prompt resumption and continuation of the uninterrupted operation of longshore work at its customary levels of activity in that harbor area, each of said parties shall promptly comply with and cooperate fully in effecting the following directions:

1. Dock checkers, tally clerks, coast clerks and batch watchmen shall be employed, dispatching by work in numbers sufficient to achieve said purpose under the terms and conditions set forth in the agreement, working and dispatching under the rule of the parties.

2. On March 18, between Marine Clerks Association, Local 63, ILWU, and the Waterfront Employers Association of California, as renewed by agreement on March 16, 1947, between the parties to this award. To that end the aforementioned agreement, working and dispatching rules are hereby reestablished with the force and effect between the parties as they were on February 26, 1949, before the present dispute arose; and the Waterfront Employers Association of California shall immediately notify Marine Clerks Association, Local 63, ILWU, in writing at all times of all matters of suspension of this agreement, including the time March 16, 1947, and all such agreements are rescinded and withdrawn.

CAUSE AND EFFECT

However, in the record made by the parties of the hearings of this matter at San Francisco, March 15, 15, and 16, 1949, the Imperial Chairman finds and concludes that the cause and effect of the actions of the parties, their local

Bridges Fired From CIO Job; Asserting Rights of Rank

CIO President Philip Murray sent out the report that the CIO National California Regional Director on March 9. His position as a CIO executive board member and president of the ILWU were unaffected.

At the same time Allan Heywood, CIO director of organization, announced that The Forum was to replace Bridges as regional director.

During the period of suspension before Murray's action, the commercial press had carried a story-day in which to whip up all kinds of an 11-11 on a situation. The forum and the San Francisco Chronicle newspaper tried to make the difference over the objection of evidence that the ruling policy appear as a move by progressive CIO unions to disrupt and quit the CIO.

Bridges issued the following statement:

"No one can quarrel with the

Dock Bosses Win $41,000 Back Pay

S. AN FRANCISCO—ILWU walking bosses here will receive some $41,000 in retroactive pay increases for the period of October 1, 1946, to March 10, 1949, from the San Francisco Port of Embarkation of the U. S. Army. This settlement, covering some 50 men, was announced on March 8 by the commanding officer of the Port, Brigadier General N. H. McKay. He informed Chris Christensen, secretary of the Walking Bosses Local, that payment would be completed within three to four weeks.

Federal Court Throws Out Parts of N-L Law

WASHINGTON, D. C.—A federal district judge, on March 15, dismissed an indictment against CIO President Philip Murray for violating the Taft-Hartley ban on political spending by labor unions.

J. Raymond Moore ruled that the sections of the Taft-Hartley concerning such spending was an unconstitutional abridgment of the freedom of speech, freedom of the press and freedom of assembly.

Last July, the CIO had endorsed a Maryland Democrat for Congress in the official dues-supported CIO News. The Department of Justice announced it will appeal. Judge Moore's ruling did not cover direct contributions to candidates.
Delayed Action Bomb

THE Taft-Hartley Act will be one year old in June. At the same time our union will face several knotsy situations. On June 1 there will be the matter of the wage reopening in the master contract between our big Warehouse Local 6 and the Northern California Distributors’ Association, plus renewal of a great number of agreements between Local 6 and independent houses. On June 15 comes expiration of the Coast Longshore Agreement between the ILWU and the Waterfront Employers’ Association of the Pacific Coast, also expiration of a number of port agreements. We have already felt some impact of the Taft-Hartley Act. We have felt it in Penticula, in Freeport, Ill., in Dallas, Tex., in Chicago, New Orleans, San Jose, San Francisco and elsewhere. But nowhere have any workers really felt the full impact.

The fact is, the Taft-Hartley Act is a delayed action bomb, purposely so, due to explode with full force just about the time we come to grips with some of the most predatory profit gougers in America.

The shipowners, who schemed and scheduled the slave law, have let it be known that they intend to strip our gains even to below those awarded in 1943 by the President’s arbitration board after the Coast and San Francisco general strikes, not the least of those being the hiring hall.

The hiring hall, of course, is union security for longshoremen. Elsewhere union security must take principally the form of the union shop. This means that sections of our union, other than the longshoremen, and unions everywhere are getting about the same thing in the way of demands from the profit-hungry, union-bating employers.

AS to a union shop, there exists no such animal under the Taft-Hartley Act. To win what is called union security through a union shop a union has to (1) ask the now super phony National Labor Relations Board to hold an election, (2) win a majority, not of those voting in ordinary democratic elections, but a majority of those eligible to vote, and then (3) beg and ask the employer please to grant a union shop. The employer can say no, and the union can do about that is strike if it has the strength and the time to do it. In addition to the above outrageous procedure the union also has to be an almost outright shifty union even to qualify to ask the NLRA for an election. It has to degrade itself by filing all sorts of “I-love-the-boss” affidavits with the Labor Department.

Even upon a union finally strikes and wins the so-called Taft-Hartley union shop, there the employer can plant a stool pigeon to disrupt, spy and steal union funds. So long as said spy pays his union dues, he cannot be ousted from the job in the shop, though he may be expelled from the union. What kind of a union shop is that? Where the security? If we want to keep our unions, we have to fight, particularly politically, Sweep the Taft-Hartleyites out of Congress!

As THE presidential election comes nearer and the working people of Europe drive harder in opposition to their being forced to return to work under conditions of war, and as American unions are forced to strike to maintain hard-won conditions and secure improvements, hysteria and prejudice will build up to an all time high.

Until the membership of the ILWU understands that this current Pacific and international hysteria is directed at them by all other working people, the plans of reactionary elements to confuse and intimidate and divide working people, and particularly union people, will succeed.

Right now newspapers, radio, government agencies, committed and irresponsible, led by President Truman himself, are spoiling all the good things the ILWU was doing. The Russian scare and the red scare are wide and handsome, and plenty of people are falling for it, including some of our own union membership. It’s pretty stupid for anybody to fall for it. To be fooled by the old red scare or the Russian scare. It’s gotten so bad that no matter what country of the world a strike is taking place at, and no matter what the issue, it’s immediately labeled a communist plot directed by Moscow, and having as its purpose some things other than what the strike is actually for—such as wages, hours and working conditions.

Right here in the United States they are having a little difficulty at the moment, trying to say that the coal miners’ strike is an Moscow plot. There’s hardly been time enough to get the propaganda going against the CIO meat packers, but it won’t be long before they do.

If reaction and employers can boiler “red” loud enough and long enough and at everything and everybody, they won’t have to refrain from their profits and high prices against demands of unions for shorter hours, more wages and better conditions. They can also succeed in a slow process—scaring a lot of people into not opening their mouths for fear of being attacked as communists. This is especially true of non-citizens. The threat of employers and their friends is in addition to having Taft-Hartley to back them up and bust unions, is to have the right to deny so-called communist any employment and to get rid of or alleged communists from jobs—no withstanding any union contracts. And who is going to decide who are communists or suspected communists? Why, the employers, of course, shly assisted and backed up by employers in the government. The only way any ILWU member or officer can do anything, if not a communist is to be a good employer stooge and good company guy.

Another dangerous scheme is already planned by the government. The new Taft-Hartley scheme is to have Attorney General Tom Clark appearing before the legislative subcommittee on un-American activities. Clark’s appearance had to do with Congress and the National Maritime Union. It is much more to control the spring any union that is allegedly controlled and that does not operate to the best interests of the United States. Clark himself admitted in so far as outlawing the Communist party, the government wants to overthrow the government of the United States by force and violence, no such evidence exists, and it is difficult if not impossible to prove that the communist party stands for anything, and that furthermore, outlawing a legal political party in the United States is unconstitutional.

Attorney General Clark was asked by one of the Congressmen of the party that is seeking his, his conditions and his units and the General insisted to do if the United States went to war against Russia. So that all the workers, the National Maritime Union, the ILWU, the Transport Workers Union, and other unions were such that they could not be trusted apparently in the event of a war. All the union membership and the record of those units in the World War II.

Attorney General Clark was ready with a program on this. He simply replied: “The President is not going to fire the Corps and then suggest to the Congress that they approve his policy in any event. He is going to pick up such persons as they thought were inimical to the safety of the United States.”

There it is in a nutshell. A part of the plot against unions behind all the hysteria and red-bating—suspend the Constitution of the United States. In many cases the Hawaiian Islands during the war when ILWU members, particularly Hawaiian longshoremen, were driven to their jobs, not allowed to quit for any reason. Several of the unions had their wage contracts suspended, along with such things as overtime and other basic union demands.

Don’t let any of our members kid themselves that Attorney General Clark was only thinking of a few officers being picked up, such as myself. He was thinking of all of us. Any man can be picked up, and what will be done if the whole hysteria campaign succeeds, will be the same as was done against the trade unions and the Constitution were suspended. No fear of being red-hatted, if any communist or a genuinely free man should say any of our union from fighting for his rights, his conditions and his union and the United States is the democratic way of doing business and deciding policy. Such a policy would be utterly alien to our own history and doesn’t even fit our laws.
Legislators Make Fine Speeches On Civil Rights and Then Do Hatcher Job

BY WILLIAM GLAZIER

ILWU Washington, D. C.—In the House of Representatives this past week there were some fine speeches on the subject of what to do about the United States of discrimination because of race, creed or color and all promises—indeed, every word—were easy. But when it came to delivering the goods it had always been another story.

Two weeks ago when the appropriation bill for the labor department of Labor was being considered by a subcommittee of the House Appropriation Committee, Representative Keefe, a Republican from New York, proposed that none of the funds appropriated could be spent as grants to any state, local or interstate agency that practiced racial discrimination. This was adopted with Republican state Republcans who had been really doing about civil rights, crying out that the Truman Democrats as first limb had been the only thing that would pass, but in fact that it was in line with the President's civil rights program.

Yet when the appropriation bill came back to the House from the committee, Federal funds to states that practiced discrimination came before the civil rights programs. The House Appropriations Committee the restriction against discrimination was eliminated. The vote was 273 to 109, with Democrats and Democrats combined to do the trick.

MARCANTONIO ACTS

On March 8 the Labor Department appropriation bill came before the House for consideration. Representative Marcantonio, a Democrat from New York, said that under the terms of the bill the House was under the impression that it was the same as the President's civil rights program.

MARCANTONIO ACTS

On March 8 the Labor Department appropriation bill came before the House for consideration. Representative Marcantonio, a Democrat from New York, said that under the terms of the bill the House was under the impression that it was the same as the President's civil rights program.

CIO and AFL Gallagher For Congress

BERKELEY, Calif.—Dr. Bueell G. Gallagher, professor of Chris
tianity and Dean of Religion on March 11 announced his candidacy for Congress in the 11th District.

The 44-year-old former college president who is a member of the Unitarian Church,表示会参加所有的选举。The CIO and AFL, the Building Trades Council and the United Farm Workers Council have united in endorsing the Berkeley professor's candid

To make clear his stand in defense of civil liberties, he stated: "The more I thought about it, the more I realized that I have always been an opponent of discrimination in any form."

Support Congress

The CIO and AFL, the Building Trades Council and the United Farm Workers Council have united in endorsing the Berkeley professor's candidacy.

Czechs Oust by ISRAEL EPISTON

Allied Labor News

Most U. S. media ignored the important changes in Czechoslovakia to be a repetition of Hitler's conquest of that country.

Some U.S. papers also contended that Czech Red bankers loaned the Nazis more than $100,000,000,000.

Editorial and headlines writers developed major stories about the big black type and high-pressure ad

page. Ross wrote, February 20, that when non-Communist Czechs were overthrown by the Communist government in March, Prime Minister Jan Masaryk got in the march and chose for President Eduard Beneš and for Prime Minister Karel Klas, the Czechoslovak statesman and incorporated most of it in his Third Reich. This time no news report suggested that a single soldier crossed any frontier or ever fired a shot. It was, however, typical of them as used in telling over German.

INDUSTRIES TAKEN OVER

The Success-Howard World Al

The new Czech government, which Commandant Premier still will control, will draft a new constitution under President Beneš, who split big money among several banks and he has been known as a "laid-back" government."

In commenting on the better, Brophy conceded that the only rights of the Czechoslovak people. in such matters is the security of the nation and the security of the nation lies largely in the hands of the CIO in no way seeking to dictate the voting choice of its members.
THE WORLD'S WORKERS
And United States Foreign Policy

(Editor's Note: Information of what workers in other lands do and think reach American readers through biased channels and then only after it has been slanted and censored by foreign govern- ments. It has seemed pertinent to publish from time to time what workers of other lands think about the United States and its policies. The following article is taken from a report of the national labor unions and the labor laborations of the other lands. Readers examining such issues are invited to send them in for republishing in this space.)

Labor vs. Imperialism

Greece today is one of the major centres of the world struggle between the forces of democracy, peace, progress, and socialism—the aims of the labor movement, the one hand, and those of the promoters of a new world war, of imperialist reaction, on the other.

The Wall St. imperialists and their benchmen loudly shout that "Greece must be saved from Moscow."

Under cover of this anti-Soviet hullabaloo there has been installed in Greece a so-called government composed of fascists of the pre-war Metaxas regime, and collaborators with the Nazis, by British bayonets and Yankee dollars and arms.

TIME TO MOVE IN

The Yankee trusts have moved in and taken control of Greek industry. The "Government" depends solely on this imperialist "aid" to keep down the revolting Greek people.

The shouting about "Moscow" and "Communism" is in reality a plea that the rebel army in Greece is composed of Greeks in revolt against the hated government imposed on them by foreign bayonets.

So strong are the forces supporting General Markos that the arms and dollars and military aid so lavishly given by American and British reaction cannot defeat the rebel army which now holds one-third of Greece. Greece has announced the formation of a democratic government.

It is plain that without imperialist support the fascist regime in Athens could not last 24 hours.

The Yankee imperialists, so strong in Greek democracy, are now fighting their own marines to Greece.

This is blatant imperialist interference in the affairs of a small nation, which must increase the hatred of progress everywhere for Wall St. which pretends to dictate to every country what sort of government it shall have.

WHAT DOES WALL STREET WANT?

The intervention of the American and British imperialists in Greece is as criminal as the intervention of the Imperialists in Russia in 1918, and the intervention of Hitler and Mussolini in Spain.

The labor movement of the world struggled against the interventions in Russia and Spain, and must now mobilize against the actions of the Yankee imperialists in Greece, and also in China.

It is the workers of Greece and China who are fighting for freedom for their countries and will show the imperialists that they are afraid to impose fascist dictatorship on them by force of arms and dollars.

What does Wall St. want in Greece? A colony from which profits can be drawn and a military base for a new world war to enslave us all to the dollar dictatorship.

The hypocritical Yankee yapping about "democracy" and "totalitarianism" deceives only the politically naive. There is very little democracy in America. Strikes are outlawed under the Taft-Hartley Bill, progressives are gagged, and democrats sacked from their jobs. While Negroes are peaceful property holders, Patrus Harris meanwhile is shot and killed for the first time.

The Heroic Greek and Chinese liberation forces are more than a match for the "democracy" shown in the civil wars fought for them by Dollar imperialism.

They deserve, and must receive, the unqualified admiration and support of Australian democracy in their noble fight for the independence of their countries and the economic and social emancipation of the workers from the rule of their fascist capitalist class.

Oregon Dockers at PCA Convention Back Wallace

BY KARETHEEN CHANN
PORTLAND, Ore.—The historic first state convention of the Pacific Coast Dock Workers, USA, adjourned here March 7 with the delegates enthusiastically pledged to support Henry Wallace and Glenn Taylor under third party auspices.

An outstanding feature of the convention was the formation of a comprehensive labor program, in the formulation of which, workers' organizations gave full expression to the spirit of cooperation which has been a hallmark of the history of the ILWU. The struggle for a decent wage and the democratic procedures which are a characteristic of the organization, MURNAINE SUPPORTS ILWU

The convention's labor report was given by Francis J. Murmane, CIO Woodworkers. A resolution which included the following language: "The delegates pledge the workers of the Longshoremen's in any lockout or strike action that may follow after June 15 was unanimously adopted. The resolution also con- demned the smear and the economic attacks against Harry Bridges.

Other actions in convention affairs were the nominations of Monte Miller, North Bend; Mrs. Barbara Kelly, Portland, and Harvey A. Mynge, Rainier, and Charles Flatley, Vale. These decisions were made by secret ballot.

Valerie Taylor, secretary of the Coo Bay Industrial Union Council and active in ILWU auxiliary work, served as secretary of the convention.

Henry Hansen, local identified himself as a prominent member of the Myrtlewood country, who for the past three months has been talking to the workers at Physicians and Surgeons Hospital in Portland, was elected to the board of directors.

Local 6 Approves Carlson Defense

SAN FRANCISCO—ILWU Warehouse Local 6 voted unanimously last week to raise $10 for the defense of a member, Frank Carlson, threatened with deportation.

Condemning the drive of the Immigration Department against foreign born labor leaders, the union pledged an all-out fight against witchhunters in the Tru- man Administration.

Carlson has been in this country 33 years, since the age of one.

Westinghouse Asks Wage Freeze As Profits Zoom

PITTSBURGH—Westinghouse Electric Corporation rolled up its biggest profits in history last year, the company announced recently here as he asked for a wage freeze by accepting "stability of wages."

Slave Law Roundup

How Taft-Hartley and State Anti-Uniori Acts Are Working

You're Supposed to Cut Your Throat Under T-H

NEW YORK — Vice-president Eimer Brown of the International Typographical Union, AFl, is explaining how the Taft-Hartley ban against refusing to work when one newspaper in town was struck in the past, he said, the practice was another for another publisher to print the paper at his plant. "If we strike and the work is shifted to the Scripps-Howard paper, the Scripps-Howard workers aren't supposed to strike. Under Taft-Hartley they're just as likely to be shot as a street urchin with a hatchet in the union under the Taft-Hartley Act.

Germon Employers Slop $1 Million Suit on Union

ANGELUS (Radio City)—Another of the employer Taft-Hartley damages suit exceeding $1 million, Los Angeles labor lawyer S. W. Silber, is filing in the final phase of their suit against general strikes. C. C. Spence, former AFl, International Ladies Garment Workers, who originally hit 176 non-union firms a mass movement to completely organize the local garment industry.

Since the strike started, 400 employees have been laid off without pay, and the local chapter of the American Federation of Labor, the Western Federation of Miners, the United Mine Workers, the United Electrical, Radio Workers, the garment workers, all are bankruptcy, the union has received a $1 million suit against the employer Taft-Hartley damages suit exceeding $1 million, Los Angeles labor lawyer S. W. Silber, is filing in the final phase of their suit against general strikes. C. C. Spence, former AFl, International Ladies Garment Workers, who originally hit 176 non-union firms a mass movement to completely organize the local garment industry. The Board takes over for them with taxpayers funds. The government pays the employers' publication costs and supplies the lawyers to fight the cases.

ITU Tries to Bargain in Face of Taft-Hartley

NEW YORK—New York publishers moved in on the ITU on another front when 14 major New York papers, including the New York Times, the New York Post, the Eagle, and the Daily News, began a drive to enforce the Taft-Hartley non-Communist affidavits are filed by union officials has been accepted by 250 members of Local 700, Inter- national News Service, Mill and Smelter Workers, CIO.

We will not use the NLRB because, under the Taft-Hartley Act, it is biased," Local president, Alfred Gramatica said. "We never used it in the past and will not use it now. We want to do our organizing over the table with the employer, not in a court." Company plants employing 1,800 workers were on strike at Westinghouse, Dateline, Doral, and Brownies, N. Y.

Tables Are Turned On Complying Union

DETROIT, Mich.—The tables were turned on the United Automobile Workers (UAW) on March 3, when the UAW, which with the Complying Union signed a mediation agreement with the Michigan Automobile Workers Association of Machinists, independent of the UAW, signed an election for the Cadillac Motor Car Co., in Detroit.

On March 3, the UAW granted the Cadillac Motor Car Co. request for a mediation at the plant of the division of General Motors on the motion of the local, AF of L. AFl, is not protesting the holding of the election. Although the Auto Workers originally hit 176 non-union firms a mass movement to completely organize the local garment industry.
The Marshall Plan—What Are the Facts?

By Harry Bridges

Although the CIO national executive board has set conditions to its support of the European Recovery Plan—the Marshall Plan—Senate bill 2308 is being pushed through Congress with the conditions being met.

As a matter of fact, the bill as it now stands makes a proposal of going directly opposite to the conditions which the CIO sent to President Truman and to the Senate with the one exception that an amendment has been offered which would require ERP shipping to be done in American bottoms.

One of the conditions was that U.S. aid should be given without political or economic strings, designed to restrict the social or economic policies of the government of the European people so as they should freely choose for themselves.

But let's take a look at what all-out backers of the Marshall Plan mean to say, according to Senator Wallace D.遁, writing the San Francisco Chronicle on February 9, 1948, in his letter to his constituents on what the Marshall Plan will mean:

"The United States will have the power to make over the whole political and economic structure of Western Europe if Congress passes the European Recovery Program in its present form and if Western Europe accepts it."

And, further, Mr. D.遁 says: "The program gives to the U.S. the power to do this and provides how it is to be done, and the methods to be used for doing it."

"It is the Fine Print in ERP.
Regarding the attachment of strings to the aid plan prior to any assistance from the United States, Mr. D.遁 points out that:

"Seldom if ever in peacetime have our great independent countries agreed to make changes like these on the request of outsiders."

Under the Marshall Plan, in addition to an administrative board in Washington, there would also be a "special representative in Europe with ambassadorial status.

The special representative." Mr. D.遁 says, "would be responsible in the first instance for seeing to it that Western European nations made drastic changes in its political and economic structure which will be imposed by the United States."

"The U.S. will be a "sleeping partner" in Western Europe, but a very active one, and it may even be a senior partner in some cases because it will hold the power of the purse." In other words, the backers of the Marshall Plan openly admit that no home rule would be left to any of the six nations participating in the plan. This is a far cry from the plian statement of Secretary of State Marshall and the CIO position of giving aid to needy countries without interference with their right to determine for themselves their form of government and its political and economic destinies.

And finally, it is stated by the CIO was that "we should oppose any attempt to treat Europe as a united country on which a firmer investment of resources is possible of future liquidation."

In the words of business operators he has put into government after firing every last Roosevelt New Dealer. We all know what big business has done to the American people through high prices and speculation. A sleigh ride they will give the Europeans.

Far from having the right of self-determination politically, socially and economically, the participating countries will be told by the United States Government how they shall manipulate their currency, how they shall collect their customers, who can and cannot elect to public office, how they shall educate their children, what kind of publicity they may or may not release, what form of government they may have, what they can and cannot build, whether they may or may not have trade union organizations. They will be taking dictation in every department of their lives.

To collect from the nations being aided, the Marshall Plan planners will need bill collectors. Therefore, unusual and military training is called for by Truman and Marshall. But the reasons given for the need of U.S. ERP is the same as that given now for the Marshall Plan: to fight Communism. It is not difficult to see why so many of Europe's nations are refused to go so far in surrendering their sovereignty. These nations are to be boycotted by the U.S. because of this. None of our relief is to go to them, though some of them bore the greatest brunt of the war against Hitler, whereas, none of the sixteen Western European nations were part of the enemy or friendly to the enemy.

The strings are patently numerous and certainly not opposite to the kind of plan which the CIO national executive board said it wanted. It takes no great intelligence to figure out the fact that any nation under the Marshall Plan aid must place itself in hook and sack under the domination of the United States for three months; supply all and any information requested by the United States.

Give full, free and frequent publicity both at home and abroad to all American help received; make full, official reports to the U.S. Government every three months; supply all and any information requested by the United States.

News Writer D.遁 lists a long set of similar conditions and then adds:

"The Administration proposes that the U.S. reserve the right to add still further requirements in addition to these."

Rolled down, this is fancy double talk meaning that the Marshall Plan nations actually pay for the aid they are supposed to get free, and the raw materials, industries and even colonies of those countries will be purchased for Wall Street interests.

The boycott of more than half of Europe in the recovery plan hits American workers directly in the department store and it pays envelope. It will hasten the depression that is being pushed upon us by invested powers, low wages for working people with super profi
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Steamcookers

In an attempt to take work away from the ILWU and pay as little money as possible to longshoremen, the Waterfront Employers Association in arbitration hearings last week contended that only 4 cents was the prevailing wage on which sailors work cargo on ships in a steamer too.

WGA argued before Coast Imperial Chairman Arthur Miller in his 'steamcookin' on a coastwise run, should be classified as steamcookers.

Since sailors work one hitch on these ships, and are not regularly longshoremen, receive $3.87. The more ships the employer can load, the less money they will have to spend in wages, and the more profit they will make.

The question first came before the union and Santa Cruz employers when the monetary clauses of the Coast last contract. This case was then set aside, arbitral negotiations were brought to an end, and the War Trade Board in November, 1946.

KINDINERIAN NAVY

In its case the union asked that just hose bea steamcookers be classified as longshoremen, claiming the men, including the Matsonian, the employers pull off a foreign run to work. The ILWU pointed out to Miller that the Coast had never called them as steamcooker so much as the WGA, and had made them seem as though they were classified as longshoremen by the WGA. The WGA, in its turn, contended that the Coast had never had any collective bargaining contract, or any other agreements which would bind the Coast to the WGA. The Coast further pointed out that the WGA had been demanding a wage for the Coast as an employment act to the WGA.

The labor board found in favor of the WGA, and ordered the Coast to return to their 1943 agreement with the WGA.

The Coast appealed to the WGA, and the WGA further demanded a wage for the Coast as an employment act to the WGA.

In the case, the union asked that just hose bea steamcookers be classified as longshoremen, claiming the men, including the Matsonian, the employers pull off a foreign run to work. The ILWU pointed out to Miller that the Coast had never called them as steamcooker so much as the WGA, and had made them seem as though they were classified as longshoremen by the WGA. The WGA, in its turn, contended that the Coast had never had any collective bargaining contract, or any other agreements which would bind the Coast to the WGA. The Coast further pointed out that the WGA had been demanding a wage for the Coast as an employment act to the WGA.

The labor board found in favor of the WGA, and ordered the Coast to return to their 1943 agreement with the WGA.

The Coast appealed to the WGA, and the WGA further demanded a wage for the Coast as an employment act to the WGA.

In the case, the union asked that just hose bea steamcookers be classified as longshoremen, claiming the men, including the Matsonian, the employers pull off a foreign run to work. The ILWU pointed out to Miller that the Coast had never called them as steamcooker so much as the WGA, and had made them seem as though they were classified as longshoremen by the WGA. The WGA, in its turn, contended that the Coast had never had any collective bargaining contract, or any other agreements which would bind the Coast to the WGA. The Coast further pointed out that the WGA had been demanding a wage for the Coast as an employment act to the WGA.

The labor board found in favor of the WGA, and ordered the Coast to return to their 1943 agreement with the WGA.

The Coast appealed to the WGA, and the WGA further demanded a wage for the Coast as an employment act to the WGA.
Bridges Fired From CIO Job; Asserts Rights of Ranks
(Continued from Page 1)

The Party's neutrality was maintained in the labor dispute with the United States Steel Corporation. The CIO's neutral position was reaffirmed in a meeting between President Bridge and the President of United Steelworkers of America. The two leaders agreed to continue the negotiations in good faith and to work toward a resolution of the dispute.

In other news, the CIO announced the establishment of a new department focused on the development of industrial relations. The department will work closely with union leaders and employers to promote fair and just working conditions for all employees. Additionally, the CIO has announced a nationwide campaign to raise awareness about the importance of workers' rights and to encourage participation in labor unions.

Longshore Arbitrator Orders Los Angeles Harbor Lockout Ended

(Continued from Page 1)

The arbitrator's decision was widely criticized by union leaders, who argued that the lockout was an illegal action and that the arbitrator's ruling was based on a misinterpretation of the law. The arbitrator's decision was also challenged in court, and a hearing is scheduled for a later date.

In related news, the CIO has announced plans to launch a new campaign to support workers' rights and to promote union membership. The campaign will include a series of workshops and seminars designed to help workers understand their rights and to become more involved in the union movement.

Halt Arrests

Union pleads parade before U.S. Immigration office in San Francisco to prevent arrest of CIO and political leaders on deportation charges. Arrested men were held without bail of Ellis Island but later freed to permit court test. Similar actions occurred in major cities all over the United States.

Unionists Released from Ellis Island; Bridges Says Indictment Won't Work

Five labor and political leaders held without bail for deportation on Ellis Island were released temporarily on March 9, following a five-day hunger strike. The men were among the orders of President Truman despite threats of habeas corpus proceedings filed by their lawyers, Irving Potash, managing counsel for the New York Joint Board of the International Fur and Leather Workers Union; and CIO, and Thomas A. Sands, CIO, as early as Sunday evening without food.

Other leaders freed by Federal Judge William Bondy until the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals makes its decision in the case. The men were held in connection with charges that they violated the immigration laws. However, Judge Bondy upheld the “right” of the Truman administration to hold them for deportation but freed them on the legal issue at stake.

In San Francisco, March 5, (ILWU) President Harry Bridges denounced the holding of labor and political leaders. He said: "The new device of arresting people on illegal technicalities in order to remove them temporarily from the labor and political movement is a base attempt to frighten all believing in American justice and fair play. It is time to halt this Play off with Truman and support his re-election or disavow him. I call on all of the arrested following similar arrests of other labor union spokesmen is not surprising. We have known it for some time. Truman and his political cronies are desperate. Any legal or illegal device, including more gross lies and suspicion, is sufficient for Truman's Attorney General Tom Clark to chop away at Henry Wallace's political support."

Third Party Qualifies For Primaries June 1
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Administration for its failure to roll back steel prices. "The Administration knows now how to get tough with Russia, with labor and with liberals ... but will not get tough with the steel trusts." Wallace also denied he had any intention of withdrawing as candidate if Truman were not nominated by the Democrats. He pointed out that Truman Doctrine in the campaign. He declared that the people are fighting back and the new party is providing the means to fight back.

In New York State a joint AFL-CIO Wallace committee was created by 100 officials from both organizations. Business Manager Al Stair, of ILWU Local 450 is chairman of the new committee, President Andrew J. Leon, of ILWU Local 45, was named secretary.

The committee said it was to be a rank and file body of unionists who "are sick and tired" of the bipartisan bloc which "put over the Taft-Hartley law, killed price control, pushed can't control draft" and at FEPC and "is all in favor of the National Labor Relations Board." It has drafted the team which won World War II and is pushing this country toward World War III."

The United Office and Professional Workers convention closed a five-day session March 9 by formally endorsing Wallace and opposing the Marshall Plan. Local unions and members, however, were allowed to make their own decisions as to party candidates.

Sellout crowds greet Robeson Hawaii tour

MONOLULU, T. H. — Paul Robeson closed a 10-day concert tour of the islands sponsored by the Hawaii Interracial Labor Union, ILWU, with a smashing success on March 11 and 13.

Sellout houses gave an enthusiastic reception to the world-famed singer and Earl Robinson, noted composer and singer of labor songs, and his wife and accompanist, Lawrence Brown. March 12 the three gave two concerts on Oahu.

Robeson, an honorary member of the ILWU, made his first appearance for the union during the great Committee for Maritime Unity strike in 1934 when he sang for strikers on the West Coast waterfront.