ABSTRACT

One of the major trends in traffic simulations is to take into account microscopic aspects of traffic flows at the street level. Multi-agent models such as MATSim (multi-agent transport simulation) have been highlighted for recent years as a solution to address these complex and microscopic simulation requirements. They are viewed as an emergent and collective behavior of agents, (i.e., vehicles). However, as the simulations scale up, their computational requirements could get increased beyond the capability of a single CPU and thus should be fulfilled with parallelization. Multithreading can partially contribute to parallelization by utilizing multi-cores, but cannot give full scalability of both CPU power and memory space. To support distributed-memory parallelization for multi-agent models, we have developed the MASS (multi-agent spatial simulation) library. This paper presents how to parallelize MATSim using the MASS library and demonstrates the library’s portability and execution performance in practical transport simulations.

1 INTRODUCTION

Traffic simulation has always been a difficult task. Each traveller wants to have access to any transportation with his/her own free will to act and behave. Such microscopic and unpredictable behaviors make simulation tougher, because they cannot be formulated by mathematical models. From this microscopic and dynamic viewpoint, any software, designed on the basis of the fact that travellers are intelligent, should be capable and expected to adapt and to learn (Nagel and Marchal 2003). One solution to such complex problems is using multi-agent models. Instead of simulating transport systems with traditional mathematical or traffic flow models that must be statically given before the simulations, multi-agent simulations provide a dynamic environment in which individual agents, in particular travelers will keep taking actions based on their internal rules. Therefore, this approach can perform simulations as an emergent and collective group behavior of agents, thus in the similar fashion of real-world scenarios.

MATSim is a research-based framework to implement large-scale agent-based transport simulations (MATSim Homepage 2012). The framework consists of several modules that can be combined or used independently. Modules can be replaced with user-developed implementations to test new aspects of users’ own modules. Currently, MATSim offers a framework to support (1) demand modeling, (2) agent-based mobility simulation, (i.e., traffic flow simulation), (3) re-planning, and (4) methods to analyze their outputs. However, as the scale of simulation increases, the computational requirements could become large beyond the capability of a single computing node. For instance, MATSim takes approximately 15 minutes on average to run each iteration of moving 188,000 agents under its Greater Zurich scenario (Balmer, Meister, Nagel, and K.W.Axhausen 2008).

Therefore, parallelization should be considered to complete simulation runs within an acceptable time range. Multithreading can partially contribute to parallelization by utilizing multi-cores, but cannot give full scalability of both CPU power and memory space. To support distributed-memory parallelization for
multi-agent models, we have developed the MASS (multi-agent spatial simulation) library (Chuang and Fukuda 2013). This paper presents how to parallelize MATSim using the MASS library and demonstrates the library’s portability and execution performance in practical transport simulations. Although the MASS library has been examined for its programmability and execution performance, our previous work was based on small test programs such as a two-dimensional wave simulation and agents’ random walk over a two-dimensional space. MATSim is the very first practical application for the MASS library to parallelize. Through the parallelization, we explore the potential of and issues in the MASS library’s practicability.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 clarifies the challenges in the related parallelization work; Section 3 presents the MASS library as a solution and explains MATSim parallelization using MASS; Section 4 evaluates the programming efforts and the execution performance of the parallelized MATSim; and Section 5 concludes our discussions.

2 RELATED WORK

The most common approach to parallelization of traffic simulation is to directly incorporate parallel algorithms into the source code itself so that the computational workload is shared among different processors. In the following, we review three parallel implementations of the most popular traffic simulations: TRANSIMS, AIMSUN, and Paramics, with respect to their data structures, data decomposition, time management, and parallelization strategies.

TRANSIMS is an integrated system of travel forecasting models, which was designed in C++ to provide transportation planners with information on traffic impacts, congestion, and pollution (Barrett et al. 1999). It models individual travelers and multi-modal transportations as simulation entities, describes a traffic network as cellular automata, populates these entities on the automata, synthesizes their activities, and executes a micro-simulation of a given scenario continuously, (i.e., second by second). Parallelization of TRANSIMS is based on a domain decomposition principle, where its cellular automata are partitioned into small domains in terms of their proximity. Each domain is then mapped to a different CPU of a cluster system and handled in parallel in support of PVM and MPI functions (Rickert and Nagel 2001).

AIMSUN is a microscopic simulation program that was originally developed for sequential execution but was later ported to shared-memory multi-processors (Barcelo, Ferrer, Garcia, Florian, and Saux 1992). The system models a traffic network as a set of links connected to each other through nodes, and runs an event-driven simulation of the traffic flow over a given network. For its parallelization, AIMSUN decomposes a traffic network into blocks, each with proximate links and nodes, and performs their parallel execution on a shared memory computing platform such as a SUN Sparc server, using its OS-provided multithreading library. AIMSUN’s parallelization of a small-scale scenario performed 3.5 times faster with eight CPUs than the corresponding sequential execution.

Paramics models a traffic network as a system of junctions connected by roads, each known as nodes and links (Cameron and Duncan 1996). These links are unidirectional and opposing half roadways. Each link has a queue of vehicle objects that are currently passing through the corresponding road segment. Paramics uses continuous time management to update the status of simulation objects and move them from one to another links. For parallel simulation, Paramics uses domain decomposition to distribute links and their queues over parallel processors while the link connectivity information is replicated on every processor. Parallel execution was implemented in C*/C and executed by CM-200 (which is based on the SIMD architecture).

These systems are based on microscopic simulation. Although their internal data structure takes the form of cellular automata or a network of links and nodes, they all use domain decomposition where proximate cells or links/nodes are grouped together and mapped to a different computing node for parallel execution. These simulators are parallelized using the conventional low-level libraries such as MPI and OS-provided multi-threads. Vehicle objects are passively exchanged as messages among domains, thus among different computing nodes.
From the viewpoint of traffic planners and simulation modelers, the major challenges of their parallelization approaches are three-fold: (1) multithreading and message passing require a plenty of knowledge and skills in parallel programming; (2) platform-dependent direct parallelization aggravates the portability of parallelized traffic simulators; and (3) domain decomposition needs a spatial software such as PartitionNet in TRANSIMS or must be hard-coded.

To address these challenges for parallelizing simulations, we have developed the MASS (multi-agent spatial simulation) library in Java and C++. MASS particularly focuses on easy parallelization and quick mock-up for improving the productivity of agent-based models. As summarized in Table 1, the MASS library gives a high-level abstraction of parallelizable data structures - mobile agents over a distributed array. It facilitates MIMD parallelization of traffic simulators such as MATSim and runs it over a general cluster system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal implementation</th>
<th>TRANSIMS</th>
<th>AIMSUN</th>
<th>Paramics</th>
<th>MASS MATSim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data structures</td>
<td>cellular automata</td>
<td>a network</td>
<td>a network</td>
<td>agents over a distributed array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data decomposition</td>
<td>domain-based</td>
<td>domain-based</td>
<td>domain-based</td>
<td>depending on data input order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time management</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>event-driven</td>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallelization strategies</td>
<td>MPI, MIMD</td>
<td>multithreading, MIMD</td>
<td>CM-200, SIMD</td>
<td>distributed array, MIMD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: A comparison between MASS-parallelized MATSim and related work

In summary, the MASS library can be differentiated from the above related work from the following two perspectives:

1. The MASS library provides agent/entity-based simulations with a high-level data abstraction that eases parallelization and porting of traffic simulations.
2. The MASS library gives an architecture-independent cluster-computing environment to parallelize transport micro-simulation including MATSim.

3 Parallelization of MATSim

Since transportation simulation is a specific type of spatial simulation and MATSim supports multiple agents in its Java implementation, we feel that MASS-Java is a suitable choice for parallelizing MATSim.

3.1 MASS Library

Within MASS library, two key concepts are introduced: places and agents. Places are a matrix of elements that are dynamically allocated over a cluster of computing nodes, and each element is called a Place object. On the other hand, agents are a set of execution instances that can reside on a place, migrate to any other places with matrix indices, (thus as duplicating themselves), and interact with other agents through their local place. As illustrated in Figure 1, places are mapped to threads, whereas agents are mapped to processes. Unless a programmer indicates his/her places-partitioning algorithm, the MASS library divides places into smaller stripes in vertical or in the X-coordinate direction, each of which is then allocated to and executed by a different thread. Contrary to places, agents are grouped into bags, each allocated to a different process where multiple threads keep checking in and out one after another agent from this bag when they are ready to execute a new agent.

Parallelization with the MASS library uses a set of multi-threaded communicating processes that are forked over a cluster of multi-core computing nodes with JSCH in Java or libssh2 in C++ and are connected to each other through TCP sockets. Multi-threads take charge of method calls and information exchanges among places and agents in parallel. A user designs a behavior of a place and an agent by extending the Place and Agent base classes respectively. They are populated through the Places and Agents classes. Actual computation is performed between MASS.init() and MASS.finish(), using the following major methods, each performed in parallel (Fukuda 2010). (Note that the following discussions focus on the Java specification for simplicity.)
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Figure 1: The MASS library’s execution model

Places Class

```java
public Places(int handle, String className, Object argument, int boundary, int size...)
instantiates a shared array with size and a shadow space with boundary from className as passing an argument
to the className constructor.
```

```java
public Object[] callAll(int functionId, Object[] arguments)
calls the method specified with functionId of all elements as passing arguments[i] to element[i], and receives a return
value into Object[i].
```

```java
public void exchangeAll(int handle, int functionId, Vector<int[]> destinations)
calls from each element to a given method of all destination elements, each indexed with a different Vector element. Each
vector element, destination[i] is an array of integers where destination[i] includes a relative index on the
coordinate i from the current caller to the callee element. The caller passes its outMessage[] data member to the callee as
a set of arguments, and receives return values in its inMessage[].
```

```java
public void exchangeBoundary( )
exchanges node-boundary's outMessage[] data with neighboring nodes as a ghost space.
```

Place Class

```java
private size[]; private index[]
maintains the size of the shared array that each element belongs to and the index of each array element.
```

```java
public Object callMethod(int functionId, Object argument)
is invoked from Places.callAll() and exchangeAll() so as to call a function specified with functionId.
```

Agents Class

```java
public Agents(int handle, String className, Object argument, Places places, int population)
instantiates agents from className, passes argument to their constructor, and distributes them over a given Places,
based on Agent.map().
```

```java
public Object callAll(int functionId, Object[] arguments)
is the same as Places.callAll().
```

```java
public void manageAll()
updates each agent's status, based on its latest calls of migrate(), spawn(), and kill(). These methods are invoked within
callAll().
```

Agent Class

```java
migrate(int[] index...)
allows a calling Agent to migrate or propagate itself to one or more Places specified with index upon Agents.manageAll().
```

```java
spawn(int nChildren, Object arguments)
spawns children as passing arguments to them.
```

```java
kill()
terminates a calling Agent.
```

```java
public Object callMethod(int functionId, Object argument)
is the same as Place.callMethod().
```
public class MeshedTrafficSimulation {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        // validate the arguments
        int size = Integer.parseInt(args[0]);
        int nCars = Integer.parseInt(args[1]);
        int maxTime = Integer.parseInt(args[2]);
        MASS.init(args); // start MASS
        // create meshed streets.
        Places streets = new Places(1, "Mesh", null, size, size);
        // populate vehicles.
        Agents cars = new Agents(2, "Vehicles", null, streets, nCars);
        // define the four neighbors (nbrs) of each place
        Vector<int[]> nbrs = new Vector<int[]>();
        int[] north = {0, -1}; nbrs.add(north);
        int[] east = {1, 0}; nbrs.add(east);
        int[] south = {0, 1}; nbrs.add(south);
        int[] west = {-1, 0}; nbrs.add(west);
        // now go into a cyclic simulation
        for (int t = 0; t < maxTime; t++) {
            // exchange neighboring traffic flows
            streets.exchangeAll(Mesh.getFlow_, nbrs);
            // allow cars to drive to the next street segment
            cars.callAll(Vehicles.migrateNext_);
            cars.manageAll();
        }
    }
}

public class Mesh extends Place {
    static final int getFlow_ = 1; // function ID
    private Integer myFlow; // a street segment's flow
    Object callMethod(int funcId, Object arg) {
        switch(funcId) {
            case getFlow_: return getFlow();
        }
    }
    private Object trafficInfo() {
        return (Object)myFlow;
    }
}

public class Vehicles extends Agent {
    private Object migrateNext() {
        // decide a neighboring street segment to go
        int[] dest = new int[2];
        dest[0] = index[0] + ...; // from current to new x
        dest[1] = index[1] + ...; // from current to new y
        migrate(dest); // migration upon cars.manageAll
    }
}

Figure 2: MASS code snippets of the main program (left) and simulation components (right)

Figure 2 shows MASS-based code framework of generalized traffic simulation: the left snippet describes
the main program, (i.e., a simulation scenario), whereas the right defines the Mesh and Vehicles classes,
each modeling two-dimensional meshed streets and vehicles driving over them. The main function on the
left instantiates Mesh over multi-processors (line 9) and populates Vehicles agents over the Mesh (line 12).
The meshed street segments declare their north, east, south, and west neighbors (lines 15-19). After this
set-up, the main program enters a cyclic simulation where all street segments exchange their traffic flows
(line 23) and vehicles drive to a next street segment (lines 25-26).

It is natural for callAll() and exchangeAll() to call each element’s function with a user-given string-class
name. However, Java reflection is intolerably slow for parallel computing, and C/C++ dynamic-linking
library does not resolve a method within a given object. Thus, a selection of methods to call should
preferably be done with switch(), where we need to identify each method with an integer value. For this
reason, a user must implement callMethod() that assists the MASS library in choosing a method to call
(see lines 4-7 in Figure 2’s right snippet). Each instance of Mesh and Vehicles class calls trafficInfo() (lines
8-10) or migrateNext() (lines 13-18) respectively via callMethod upon an invocation of callAll.

3.2 Parallelized MATSim’s System Overview

We parallelized MATSim by extracting and maintaining its traffic network as the MASS library’s Places
array that is automatically distributed over a cluster of computing nodes, as shown in Figure 3. MATSim’s
simulation algorithm does not change at all but accesses this Places distributed array whenever it needs to
manipulate the original traffic network. To be more precise, MATSim iterates the following sequence of
four steps: (1) network parameter reloading, (2) vehicles’ route assignment, (3) traffic simulation (which
moves vehicles from one to another street segment), and (4) parameter exchange among adjacent street
segments. These steps are applied to the traffic network that is actually distributed over multiple nodes.
Therefore, all the cluster nodes perform each of these four steps in parallel onto their own subset of the
Places array.
3.3 Parallelization Techniques

In MATSim’s traffic network, a node represents an intersection, and a link models a street segment from one to another intersection. To read such a traffic network into memory from MATSim’s XML file, we used a collection of adjacency lists, where a node has a pair of single lists, each with incoming and outgoing links respectively. For instance, given a traffic network in Figure 4, node $N_B$ has an incoming list of $L_1$ and an outgoing list of $L_2$ and $L_4$. The entire adjacency lists are then maintained as a LinkedHashMap object that distinguishes each node with a key, (i.e., a node ID) and retrieves this node’s incoming and outgoing links as the value.

Upon a start of the MASS library, the main program instantiates a two-dimensional array of Places over a cluster of computing nodes and invokes a callAll function for initializing each Place element:

```java
LinkedHashMap adjacencyLists;
Places network = new Places("TrafficNetwork", NULL, size, size);
network.callAll( setNeighbor, adjacencyLists );
```

where $size = \sqrt{\#nodes + \#links}$. All Places receive the above-mentioned adjacency lists, (i.e., LinkedHashMap), based on which each Place is set to represent either a different node or link and to store its connectivity to all outgoing links or the next destination node in one of the private data members, named neighbors.

However, this mapping scheme from a MATSim traffic network to a MASS Places array encounters a restriction of the MASS library’s exchangeAll() specification. As shown in lines 14-19 of Figure 2 (left), exchangeAll() assumes that all Place elements have the same indices for their adjacent neighbors to communicate with each other. These indices must be defined in a vector of int array before a cyclic simulation begins.
In our MATSim parallelization, each Place element must maintain its own adjacent neighbors in the neighbors variable that may not necessarily point to only north, east, south, and west neighbors but also some farther neighbors as illustrated in Figure 5. Moreover, each Place has a different number of adjacent neighbors, depending on the number of street segments emanating from the corresponding intersection. Therefore, we cannot provide exchangeAll with a uniform collection of destinations. For this reason, we added to exchangeAll a new feature that allows each Place element to customize its neighbors for exchanging data with them.

Once the MASS library maps a MATSim traffic network over a cluster of computing nodes, the MATSim simulation engine iterates data exchange and traffic simulation as shown in Figure 6 (left). The data exchange is performed in two steps: (1) sending traffic data to neighboring nodes and links through exchangeAll (line 5) and (2) receiving the data from neighbors through callAll (line 7). In step 1, exchangeAll has each Place, namely each node or link invoke the exchangeParameter() function lines 5-14) as shown in Figure 6 (right), which returns the speed of vehicles running on it, its capacity to receive new vehicles, its traffic flow capacity if it is a link, and the link’s end-to-end distance. These parameters are then passed to all the neighboring Places’ inMessages buffer. In step 2, callAll has each Place invoke the collectParameter() function (lines 16-24) in Figure 6 (right), which retrieves parameters
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from the imessages buffer. Of importance is that each node or link can repeat such parameter exchange
without being aware of the location and adjacency of their neighbors and furthermore without controlling
the underlying inter-processor communication and synchronization.

After traffic data exchange, the MATSim simulation engine updates the traffic status of all nodes and
links through two callAll invocations, each calling doSimStepNode and doSimStepLink of all Place elements
in parallel (lines 9 and 10 respectively) in Figure 6 (left). We emphasize that these two functions are
MATSim-original and thus no modification was made to them for our parallelization work.

```
1 public class MatSimSimulationEngine {
2     private Places network; a collection of TrafficNetwork
3     public void run() {
4         // send traffic info to neighboring nodes/links
5         network.exchangeAll(1, exchangeParameter);
6         // retrieve traffic info from neighboring nodes/links
7         network.callAll(collectParameter);
8         // simulate new traffic flow of all nodes/links
9         network.callAll(doSimStepNode, time);
10        network.callAll(doSimStepLink, time);
11    }
12 }
```

Figure 6: MASS-parallelized MATSim simulation engine (left) and traffic network (right)

4 PORTABILITY AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

This section demonstrates the MASS library’s efficient portability and usability as well as its moderate
level of performance improvement when applying it to parallelization of transport simulations. We also
discuss future performance improvements to make MASS more competitive.

4.1 Portability and Usability

Our MATSim parallelization techniques described in Section 3.3 imply the following four programmability
merits: (1) automatic logical-to-physical network mapping, (2) unawareness of the underlying inter-processor
communication and synchronization, (3) reuse of the original simulation logics, and (4) maximized use of
the underlying computing resources.

All traffic planners and simulation modelers are not computing specialists. In particular, parallel
computing requires them to obtain special programming skills and debugging experiences in multithreading,
inter-processor communication, and synchronization among processes and threads. The MASS library’s
merits 1 and 2 relieve such users from these programming burdens. Therefore, they can put more focus on
their model design.

The percentage of modified or added code portion in the original source also gives a considerable
impact to parallelization of traffic simulations. To parallelize MATSim with the MASS library, we added
583 lines of code in eight new files to the original core source whose total size is 5,144 lines in 46 files.
which corresponds to only 11.3% and 17.4% changes respectively in terms of code lines and the number of files. In fact, we haven’t touched the actual simulation logic such as `doSimStepNode` and `doSimStepLink` at all. Therefore, we feel that the MASS library contributes to merit 3: reuse of the original MATSim logics.

The MASS library executes a given simulation with communicating multi-threaded processes, each running on a different cluster node and utilizing all CPU cores by spawning the same number of threads. So far, MATSim made available its multithreaded version to the public. In case if users hope to parallelize MATSim over a cluster system for both CPU and memory scale-up purposes, the most conventional approaches are to use JavaSpaces (JS - JavaSpaces Servie Specification ) or mpiJava (mpiJava Home Page ): the former is based on the concept of shared memory, whereas the latter is the paradigm of message passing. Although JavaSpaces can automate the distribution of a MATSim traffic network over multiple JavaSpaces servers, an actual simulation must be performed at a client side, which does not contribute to scaling up CPU power. On the other hand, mpiJava allows both a traffic network and its simulation to be distributed over multiple computing nodes. However, developers themselves are responsible for calculating node boundaries and synchronizing all computing nodes when using MPI to exchange traffic data, which drastically increases programming burdens. As a result, the MASS library supports merit 4: effectively utilizing the underlying computing resources.

We have also conducted a survey on the MASS library’s general programmability and usability in our graduate course: CSS534 Parallel Programming (CSS534 Final Project: Coding a Parallel Application with MASS ). The survey elucidates the following pros and cons of the MASS library, which can be applied to parallelization of traffic simulations.

**Pros:**

1. MASS users are relieved from details of parallelization, which drastically reduces parallelized code and actual coding efforts (as discussed in the above merits 2 and 3).
2. The MASS library gives graphical visualization and debugging tools, which contributes to mitigation of users’ debugging efforts.

**Cons:**

1. Users who get used to popular parallelization techniques such as Java threads, mpiJava, and hybrid MPI/OpenMP in C++ need a paradigm shift to agent-based modeling, which makes users feel that the MASS library’s learning curve is very steep.
2. Since the MASS library is still on its pre-release stage, the users feel that they need to contact the library developers for receiving more information on performance tuning.

**4.2 Execution Performance**

For performance analysis, we have chosen a sample simulation scenario provided by the MATSim code repository. This scenario declares 4,700 nodes and 13,000 links in an XML file. We use a Giga Ethernet cluster of 16 DELL computing nodes, each with 1.6GHz 4-core i7 CPU and 16GB memory, which is connected to a dual-processor NFS server.

Figure 7 compares the original MATSim’s sequential execution (in orange), the publicly available multithreaded MATSim’s single execution (in green), and MASS-parallelized MATSim execution (in blue) using 1, 2, 4, and 8 computing nodes, all single threaded. The results show that MASS performed slightly better than the original MATSim did when running with 4 or 8 nodes.

Figure 8 compares the multithreaded MATSim (in green), MASS with two computing nodes (in blue), and MASS with four computing nodes (in orange) in terms of performance improvements using 1, 2, and 4 threads. Note that the multithreaded MATSim runs only on a single computing node. As the results turned out, MASS with four computing nodes with four threads performed twice better than the original MATSim sequential execution. However, MASS with two computing nodes slows down when increasing the number of threads from two to four. This performance degradation resulted from unbalanced logical-to-physical
network mapping in the MASS-parallelized MATSim. Its network data is read into MASS Places in the exact order of the input XML file that declares all nodes, (i.e., intersections) first and thereafter all links, (i.e., road segments). When MASS uses only two computers, the first computer reads all node elements and some link elements in MASS Places, whereas the second computer reads the rest of link elements. Since simulation updates the status of vehicles in links, the second computer is overloaded with many links. To be worse, since vehicle agents migrate from one to another link through their incident node, they tend to move from the second to the first computer and immediately back to the second computer. Therefore, the two-computer configuration does not bring a big performance improvement at all, in which situation a higher degree of multithreading even increases thread management overheads and cache-line thrashing among CPU cores.

There are two reasons for obstructing the MASS performance improvements. One is the current exchangeAll implementation that copies all the neighbors’ traffic data to each Place’s inMessage buffer. To mitigate these data-copying overheads, if these neighboring Places reside on the same local machine, only
a reference to their traffic data should be exchanged. Another reason is the current scheme to map nodes and links to MASS Place elements as mentioned above. These nodes and links are assigned to Place[0][0], [0][1], [0][2], ..., [size-1][size-1] respectively in the order of reading them from a given XML scenario file. This causes a load imbalance and increases the number of messages exchanged among different computing nodes whenever invoking exchangeAll. To address these problems, node and link declarations in XML should be sorted for their logical proximity.

4.3 Further Discussions
To make MASS contribute more to parallelization of traffic simulation not only from its efficient portability and usability but also its scalable performance, we are considering the following performance improvements:

1. **Asynchronous agent migration:** MASS agents need a synchronous invocation of Agents.manageAll() for each cycle of their actual migration. This is the constraint that leaves MASS-parallelized simulation to run in conservative scheduling. Therefore, we are currently revising MASS to support asynchronous migration without invoking Agents.manageAll().

2. **Pool of idle agents:** A numerous repetition of memory allocation and de-allocation kills multi-threaded parallelization. Pooling idle agents is expected to mitigate this repetition so as to use heap space more effectively.

3. **Various time management:** Once asynchronous agent migration is made available, we will facilitate optimistic, breathing-bucket, and conservative time management, so that the MASS can adapt the best scheduling strategy to a given traffic simulation. For instance, we feel that optimistic scheduling would work better to MATSim parallelization. On the other hand, if MASS C++ is used to parallelize TRANSIMS, conservative scheduling would work best due to the nature of TRANSIMS’ implementation with cellular automata.

5 CONCLUSIONS
This paper presented a parallelization of the MATSim transport simulator, using the MASS library. We discussed the library’s portability, usability, and execution performance when parallelizing transport simulations. The MASS library’s efficient portability and usability were demonstrated for transport simulation in both quantitative and qualitative analyses. On the other hand, its execution performance still needs to be improved although our results showed some parallelization benefits as compared to the sequential execution. By tuning up the current implementation of the MASS exchangeAll() function and improving our logical-to-physical network mapping scheme, we believe that MASS has potential to serve as an efficient parallelization library for MATSim and other transport simulation systems. Our next plan is to apply MASS C++ to TRANSIMS’ micro-simulator whose traffic network is maintained in cellular automata and thus can be smoothly ported to MASS Places.
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