GPSS Executive Committee Meeting
Joint Meeting with Finance & Budget Committee
Wednesday, March 28, 2012, 5:30pm
Condon 401

Executive Committee Members Present:
Charles Plummer (President)
Adam Sherman (Vice-President)
Colin Goldfinch (Treasurer)
Melanie Mayock (Secretary)
Megan Gambs (Executive Senator)
Amy Winter (Executive Senator)
Trond Nilsen (Executive Senator)
Mateo Banegas (Executive Senator)
Evan Smith (ASUW)
Rene Singleton (SAO Advisor)

Finance and Budget Committee Members Present:
Colin Goldfinch (Treasurer)
Colin Bateson
Colin Morgan-Cross
Ted Chen
Kristen Hosey (via conference call)

1. Call to order
Charles calls the meeting to order at 5:33.

2. Approval of agenda
Mateo moves to approve the agenda.
Megan seconds.
No objections. The motion passes.

3. Approval of minutes from the March 14th Executive Committee meeting
Adam moves to approve the minutes.
Matt seconds.
No objections. The motion passes.

4a. Budget approval
Colin Goldfinch reports that the GPSS budget being proposed for next year is similar to past ones, but there are a few substantial changes. There is a reduction in revenue due to ending the NFT guides and reductions in fundraising. In administration, it is essentially the same budget as
last year. The biggest change is in the travel grant allocations. Based on the recommendations they received from the restructuring task force (and based on the feedback they got from travel grants participants) they have decided to cut the travel grants program. First, most people had a negative reaction to the program. Second, it was terribly staff/labor intensive. Third, it’s not quite in line with GPSS goals of promoting student activity on campus.

They also cut cell phone reimbursement because it has been unused in the past. They moved around some numbers for cell phone and Internet expenses for the VP in order to more accurately reflect what his/her needs are. They cut out Treasurer discretionary funding for socials. The funding in other budget lines has been sufficient for socials in the past.

Colin will recommend modifying the arts and entertainment budget from $15,000 to $10,000. This will allow the budget to match the actual demand from previous years. The telephone charge has been changed to reflect the way the fee is actually charged. There is no longer web-posting under the Secretary’s fund, and they have budgeted an additional $100 for creative communications.

Most major changes are under personnel. This is where the Travel Grant savings have been put. There is more staff time over the summer to facilitate HUB move. Personnel budgets have also been shuffled so that our real need is reflected. Under regular hourly staff, there is an overall increase. In addition to the Executive Assistant is now a second, overall assist to the president. This person will be supporting the new University Affairs Committee. The President will now have two 19.5hr staff persons for support.

Adam asked if officers were working 20 hours per week over the summer. Colin said that weekly summer hours for officers have been 13.5 for a while. He continued that the Vice President will also have two 19.5 hour staff people under the new budget. The Legislative Assistant will become the Organizing Director to reflect their tasks and work load. This gives the Policy Analyst more time to actually analyze policy. The Resource Assistant position has been renamed to the Budget Specialist. The Secretary now has a Communications Specialist, not a Publications Specialist, but the positions are generally the same.

Megan - Are these wages historically defined? Colin B – is the Publication Assistant disappearing? Colin G – The position is the same. It is just being renamed the Communications Specialist. Kristen – The summer position of Office manager might be getting bonus for moving everything to the HUB? Colin G – That would come out of the general fund since it’s a one-time expenditure. Now that we’ve deleted the Publication Assistant budget line, this budget has a new total of $255,920. That is a 10-ish percent increase from last year Charles – Are we on a 3 tier wage system? Is the event planner at $13/hr? Colin G – right. So that’s an 11% increase from last year. Adam – There is a listing for IT network admin, is this different than the person dealing with the website? Colin G – We replaced web developer with the IT guy. Web developing will be done by contract, it seems. Trond – we can’t afford to fund a permanent position for someone to do that job properly.
Charles – That’s why we’re budgeting a cost for Creative Communications.
Adam – We can still update things on the website ourselves but take major work elsewhere?
Trond – Basically.
Adam – This year we decided to do committee applications online in Share Point. Are we going to contract that out?
Colin G – We will have money to take care of these things next year, especially with less online Share Point work due to the ending of the Travel Grants program. Other major changes: we allocated $25,000/yr for insurance. But that’s not being subtracted from the total. We just have to hold it. There is $3,000 for OCHA (Off Campus Housing Affairs). With the changes we have made thus far, the deficit is less. $16,314 is projected as the deficit for this year. Next year’s deficit is projected at $15,615.
Rene – Did you decide to make these salaries fixed?
Colin G – They are meant to be maximums.
Rene – What are the minimums?
Charles – They aren’t developed. We went with the tier system
Rene – Ok, we can deal with this later then.
Colin G - We’ll work in the right language when we amend the bylaws to reflect the 3-tier system.
Rene - Not a problem.
Melanie – When do we know what we actually spent on tuition?
Colin G – I would have to go back and look at the numbers.
Melanie –Don’t we need that information to plan out this line item? It’s a big line item.
Colin G – I can have those numbers ready for the senate meeting.
Trond – Is the OCHA support line in the general fund?
Colin G – That’s an expenditure. It is calculated in the deficit. The budget from last year has the amount that we requested at the top. It shows what we asked and what we got.
Kristin – And what to do if they don’t give us the full amount?
Colin G – I’m planning on going in and saying that there are 3 new entities that are asking for more money for programming, so our plan is to live within our means. That, coupled with all the work on restructuring, should be a compelling argument. We did a lot of internal work and research, and these are our core functions. This is not an arbitrary number. This is what it takes us to operate.
Kristin – Is tuition going to go up again this year? Will we be able to cover the tuition waiver?
Colin G – Not sure what a good basis is. It depends on who gets elected. There is some give or take in the budget line items. We always end up every year with a small surplus.
Charles – I think we try to stay in front of the tuition increases, and that has been our financial buffer year to year.
Colin G – We could put in a 10% increase. I just didn’t put that in because it seemed arbitrary to me.
Colin B – But it just means us asking for more from SAF.
Melanie – How about a 5% increase?
Trond – A 5% increase over the estimates from last year.
Adam – The Legislative Assistant also took charge of committee maintenance. That has been a bit accounted for in the University Affairs Committee, but who is taking that line item next year?
Charles – The University Affairs Director.
Melanie – What about the senate meeting budget – does that include committee meetings too?
Colin G – We could change the line item to “meetings” if you like. But this might not be enough to have food at all meetings everywhere.
Melanie – It would be a nice thing for us to consider.
Charles – Budget in $100-200 per committee?
Trond – And have them spend it at their discretion to motivate at important meetings.
Rene – I’d recommend making a new category so that it’s clear in the future what you did. You can also take money from other sources and feed it into those line items.
Colin G – I will increase the budget for committee meetings to $1,000 and increase fundraising expectations by $1k. We could do a single ask for the Higher Education Summit from a dean, we could use some of that money for the line item.

Trond moves to recommend this budget to the GPSS senate, incorporating changes that have been annotated in the minutes (as follows):
- Add committee meetings line item of $1,000
- Increased event fundraising from $29,000 to $30,000
- Treasurers budget arts and entertainment drops from $15,000 to $10,000.
- Personnel: Deleted erroneous Publications Assistant allocation; adjusted Event Planner salary from $11/hr to $13/hr.
- Adjust tuition waiver figure to show a 5% increase from tuition projections for this year.
Melanie seconded.
No objections. The motion passes

Finance and Budget Committee Adjourns
Colin B moves to adjourn the Finance and Budget Committee meeting.
Colin G seconds.
No oppositions. The motion passes.
F&B committee meeting adjourns.

4b. Bylaw Amendment Recommendations
Melanie offers to go through the amendment documents that were distributed earlier.
Charles – Let’s start with communications and outreach.

Communications and Outreach
Melanie – Based on the meetings over spring break, the idea was to try again with communication and outreach committee—a little different than the one from a few years ago. A few tasks that were added include helping Secretary and officers with presentations at fall orientation for new students and doing orientation type things with new senators. The other function would be that they work with the Secretary to develop improved GPSS communications activities. There is still a role for senators to be on a committee and be involved on these sorts of things. Since this plan means that work will be starting over the summer, we’ll see how many people volunteer. Chair elected and members gathered spring quarter, but vacancies can be filled other times of the year.
Evan – The Publications Assistant and Communications Specialist: how are they different?
Melanie – The Publications Assistant is being turned into the Communications Specialist. The Communications Specialist is working within a job description that is still being worked out a little bit, but the person, though integrated with the committee, is a paid staff person who is committed to getting things done. We have to send all this to the senate tonight, so we can’t make changes.

Colin – But we can approve with recommendations for amendments.

Melanie – So, then, at the senate meeting I present what judicial recommended and then what exec recommends?

Charles – The Judicial Committee has to make their own presentation.

Rene – Judicial makes presentations and recommendations to senate as a whole, then someone else comes up to suggest specific amendments.

Adam – Having these things in a single document will help us move through this at the meeting more easily.

Melanie – I’ll save a new track changes that shows judicial track changes and the track changes from tonight.

Charles – Perfect.

Rene – There is another set of rules that talks about whose job it is to do what presentations each year. If you task this to a committee—

Trond – they are just assisting. Normally the Secretary tries to pull people to help them. This is just the formation of a committee who is obliged to help him or her.

Melanie – So change the text to ‘committee works with officers to…’

Adam – We also often have three different descriptions of committee sizes in the bylaws.

Charles – There are a lot of inconsistencies in the bylaws. I worked on the University Affairs bylaws to make them consistent, but there are other differences.

Trond – There could be a reason behind that, different purposes for different committees.

Megan – Why do we have the date June 1? That has been a problem before. Why not say “no later than the last Executive Committee meeting of the regular year.”

Charles – In mine, I just put “before the end of spring quarter.”

Megan – Maybe changing it to “as many seats are as needed will be filled.”

Melanie – So it should say “approved by the Executive Committee during spring quarter…Any available seats will be filled as needed throughout the year.”

Charles – Does that need to be in the bylaws, the second thing? Don’t we have authority to fill committee seats whenever?

Consensus seems to be that this language is too restrictive.

Colin – The committee is defined as 5-9 members, so if we don’t get 5 members in the spring, we don’t have a committee.

Rene – You should lower the minimum number of committee members to 3. Form the committee with 3 members, then consider the remaining 2 to be vacancies to be filled.

Colin – We should add language that says that people will join the committee, a minimum of 3, in the spring for a mandate continuing until the next summer. Borrow language from the bylaws on the social.

Melanie – So, there will be a new point E. ‘Term shall begin summer quarter, and end on the last day of spring quarter… and approved by the Executive Committee.’ Is this all going to work?

Trond – Change it to say quorum is 50% of members and secretary, not 50% of senators.
Government Relations Committee

Charles – This is set up to have a main committee and two subcommittees. The main committee’s composition is a blend of the State Legislative Steering Committee and the Federal Legislative Steering Committee and general government relations senators, plus an elected chair, elected in spring quarter.

Adam – The chair could be any one of the members, right? Not an at large person?

Charles – Technically, yes, but seeing as we don’t have to put the Federal or State Legislative Steering Committees together until the fall, that isn’t going to be a concern.

Colin – And those steering committees are now supposed to be open to students at large, no?

Charles – We’ll get there. Back to main committee—their functions include being in charge of monitoring efforts for GPSS. I want these committees to be producing opinion that GPSS could vote on, a collection of all of our advocacy positions.

Adam – How specific will this get? Sometimes in the state legislature, you are in the middle of negotiating and someone’s like “what about this” and we need to have the ability to give the timely answer.

Charles – This hasn’t been hugely specific in the past. And the GRC is only making recommendations to GPSS. We have to adopt their positions, and only if we want to.

Rene – There is nothing here preventing people on the committee from going rogue and acting on their own.

Charles – Well that’s why we have a chair, to reign things in.

Rene – But can the chair do lobbying? You don’t say that they cant.

Trond – There is nothing here empowering them to talk to legislature.

Rene – But there’s nothing preventing them either.

Charles – If someone wants to go that crazy, we can’t prevent it with bylaws. That is an interpersonal issue that we need to manage among ourselves.

Trond – Add the clause that the GRC’s job is to “ensure that all official contact with state and federal representatives is in line with official GPSS policy”

Adam – Do we want to address a process for removal the of the chair?

Charles – That’s already in the bylaws. The president can remove any chair. Composition of the State Legislative Steering Committee is basically the same. It’s changed from 9 to 10, since the WSA delegate has been added. Traditionally the Vice President has been doing this work. He’s broadened the language so that they are working year round. The Federal Legislative Steering Committee is also similar to the previous committee, but now it is co-chaired by the 2 SAGE delegates, rather than the Vice President. We have been wanting to remove officers from these committees to create new leadership roles where appropriate.

Trond – Why only three members?

Charles – Let’s just mirror the State Legislative Steering Committee language? Clause 1a, change “3 graduate and professional students” to “6”

Adam – The President, Vice President, 2 SAGE delegates…this adds up to 10. Do we want an odd number?

Charles – Right. Make it 7. The rest is very similar, mirroring other committees. Other comments?

Trond – like that we have these standing bodies of opinion. This is very good for institutional memory.
**Social Committee**

**Colin** – The Social Committee has the same basic function. We are creating a small budget that will replace speed dating. We will be working with other groups to be throwing smaller social events.

**Charles** – Did we not mention when we were talking about the budget that we eliminated speed dating? We did.

**Trond** – This year speed dating was huge.

**Melanie** – Speed dating poses three problems. First, it’s more work. Second, it’s hard to be successfully inclusive, and, three, its hard to put on well.

**Rene** – Can you add a non-voting SAO advisor? To make sure you have someone to help you.

**Melanie** – Add them as a non-voting ex officio member?

**Colin** – Is it weird having officers vote?

**Trond** – No, it means that they are part of the committee. It makes them peers with the other acting senators. It’s good.

**Charles** – Do we want to add an SAO advisor to any of the other committees that we’ve already looked at?

**Rene** – For Social and F&B and Executive, these things have been problems in the past. You can invite us to come if you want. Typically, we have not gone to your Legislative meetings. But if you need us to come just to help maintain the neutrality and demeanor, if people are fighting, we can. But that hasn’t been a problem recently.

**Adam** – When filling these positions, we need to be aware of how much time it is going to take to fill these positions.

**Rene** – I recommend that we have a plan for a time when no one wants to be chair. Will an officer be the temporary chair? In the absence of the chair, let them operate in some sort of consensual manner…I’m thinking of subcommittees.

**Charles** – Also there’s is nothing to functionally stop an officer from getting elected to the subcommittee chair.

**University Affairs Committee**

**Charles** – This committee will have a chair and no fewer than five senators. The subcommittees and numbers are messed up on this document. There are various subcommittees. I am thinking of this committee as a cluster of things that I do and things that someone like Evan would do if they were part of our organization. This committee and its subcommittees should be able to tackle a few issues at a time, which will help us craft policy and statements and positions here. They will manage the collection of our GPSS approved policies and positions.

**Adam** – Is this a place for archiving past opinions?

**Trond** – Standing opinions are standing opinions. We would keep old opinions in the archive.

**Adam** – It might be helpful to have that history readily available.

**Charles** – We had talked about adding archiving tasks under the job description of the new Communications Specialist.

**Melanie** – Should that be in the bylaws?

**Charles** – I’m sort of happy with the way it is now.

**Melanie** – Yea, but we typically do a bad job of this.

**Charles** – But that’s an office procedures issue, not a bylaws issue. To wrap up the summary…the subcommittees have one difference – we did add language for working groups.
When working on University issues, a lot of things come up, and you can’t always toss it to a subcommittee. Working groups would allow for this to be taken care of.

**Rene** – Wow do these committees connect with others on the College Council?

**Charles** – They are not in this structure at all. The function of the University Affairs Council is crafting policies, proposing official positions, agenda book, etc.

*The Academic and Administrative Affairs Subcommittee*

**Charles** – This group will have a similar structure as the others. Currently the president is listed as non-voting. Let’s take that language out. This also stipulates appointees to different university committees.

**Adam** proposes different language: one GPSS member appointed to each of the following committees:…..

**Melanie** – What if someone wants to be on the committee, but doesn’t want all these secondary appointments? This could be a scheduling nightmare.

**Trond** – No shows just make quorum harder to get. It’s not the end of the world. 100% attendance never happens anyway.

**Charles** – The AARS will craft policy proposals, maintain relations with campus organizations, monitor and coordinate with committee appointees (themselves). The Community Affairs Subcommittee is again similar. But instead of focusing on the central mission of university, it focuses on auxiliary functions that we all rely upon. Facilities, Emergency Planning, etc. They will craft policy proposals, maintain relations with community, with alumni association specifically, and so on.

**Adam** – Having them form a packet of relevant contacts for reaching out for new groups – that would be nice.

**Charles** – I see that as part of the president’s duty. I should also be a voting member on this committee so amend that language.

*Diversity Subcommittee*

**Melanie** – The Diversity Subcommittee should be working on diversity at large. Students don’t have to be senators. The chair is elected by subcommittee members. The Secretary should be a voting position.

**Charles**- Can we add veterans status to the list of diverse things?

**Adam** – We should also replace class with “socio-economic status”. Also, add gender identity as well as sexual orientation.

**Rene** – Include The Women’s Center on item 5.

**Charles** – So we want to add an SAO advisor to this committee because they are working with the diversity fund?

Everyone seems to agree.

**Rene** suggests several departments and programs to list in the language of the text. Do we want to talk about religion here?

**Melanie** – It says “including but not limited to.”

*Student Life Subcommittee*

**Rene** – Put an SAO advisor on this committee.

**Charles** – Again, this is 5-9 graduate and professional students. The President is an ex officio member. Make it a voting position. STF committee, SAF, hall health, UPASS are included. Their
charges are similar to the others. This is the principle committee for issues related to student fees, student parent/childcare issues, athletics.

Rene – Where is campus safety here?
Charles – They are under Community Affairs.
Rene – What about police, etc? They report to student life.
Charles – Other committees report to student life.
Rene – OK, as long as it’s somewhere.

Travel Grants Committee
Charles – Finally we just delete the travel grants committee.

Adam - Under the bylaws for the Vice President, are we removing responsibility for committee appointments?
Charles – We will have to go over all officer bylaws.
Melanie – Yeah, we just didn’t have time to get to it.

Melanie moves to approve all bylaw changes, per her annotation in Microsoft Word, to be passed to the senate for final approval.
Adam seconds.
No objections. The motion passes.

4c. Senate Meeting Agenda
Colin – We need to recruit more volunteers for F&B and announce the spring social.
Adam – We need to make a budget update, too.
There is general concern around leaving enough time for questions re: budget changes. The ending of travel grants is going to be a big thing.
Trond suggests avoiding word-smithing in the senate meeting when discussing the bylaws.
Colin – We can refer people to judicial on small issues.
Trond – Then can we prioritize things that have to get done and things that don’t necessarily have to be done right away, perhaps?
Megan – When you send out by law amendments, send out a half page bulletin about what you have changed.
Colin – If the elections packet has to go out at the meeting, it should be before the bylaw amendment changes.
Rene – Flip the order. People who are running for office are going to want to stay for the bylaw amendments.
Adam says he can shorten the legislative update.

The proposed agenda was arranged as follows:
   Call to order -1 min
   Approval of agenda -1 min
   Approval of minutes -1 min
   Appeal for new F&B Committee members – 3 min
   Announce the spring social - 2 min
   Proposed GPSS budget – 25 min
Trond motions to approve agenda, above.
Colin seconds.
No objections. The motion passes.

4d. SHIP changes
Colin – Current student health insurance plans are SHIP and SHIP Plus. Powers that be are moving around things in these student health plans. These are currently two different plans at two different price points, so what happens is that students who really need healthcare will buy the expensive plan and then use the heck out of it. This is called adverse selection. The way you fix this problem is by doing a community rating and coming up with a new plan that’s in the middle.
Charles – The new SHIP will be improvement for students who had SHIP before. They will get the pharmacy and dental benefits from SHIP Plus. The program has also been opened up to Bothell and Tacoma for the first time. Transgendered services are all out of network for SHIP, but the committee decided to take the in-network maximum coverage amount and apply it to those providers out of network. They also opened up the program to the full Lifewise network. So, for the people on Ship Plus, a lot of services were out of network, but now some of them will be in network. So even though they will be paying more for the policy, the maximum allowable claim will be much higher, so things will come out in their favor.
Colin – Do we have any predictions on out of pocket liability?
Charles – I think it is going to go up, but I don’t know by how much.

4e. Search Committees: Representation and Reporting
Trond – He is on the Dean of Engineering Search Committee, which had first meeting on Monday. The government lawyer in charge of the group talked about issues of confidentiality regarding the applicants. Trond specifically asked what he can bring back to GPSS versus what he can’t. Discussing process is fine, but discussing applicant information is not.
Charles – We should also consider what we want our reps to do as far as reporting to us. We have had no structure in the past for this sort of temporary committee member.
Trond – I think it is good for announcing major events and decisions. Something like quarterly reporting. Also can we come up with a list of criteria that we think we should be evaluating potential deans on?

4f. Proposed International Student Fee
Charles – With the growth of the international undergraduate population, there has been a strain on the supports that UW provide for them. There is a lack of resources to help those students
perform. The Provost is proposing some sort of fee for all international undergraduate students. The charge letter doesn’t actually say that, though, so some people may want to extend this to graduate students as well. Charles will be sitting on a group that will be considering this.

**Trond** – What kind of fee?

**Charles** – People are throwing around numbers like $500-$1000.

**Trond** – They need to be very specific about the services that they are offering for this fee. What are the TOEFL requirements for undergraduates? In engineering we frequently have students who don’t speak English as native speakers coming who don’t understand our lectures, and then come to office hours and you have to repeat your whole lecture again. If the essential part of these services are language services, they should be providing additional services to students for a price. Otherwise, this just sounds like money grubbing.

**4g. Special Regents Meeting April 5th/Unemployed Nation hearing March 31st**

**Charles** – There is a meeting coming up next week. We will remind senators beforehand. Also, this Friday will be the unemployed nation hearings. Michael Young will be there. It should be interesting. Connor sent out an email today to public life listserv to try to get students to go. Leo is also helping connect students to that event.

**5. Legislative Update**

**Adam** – The governor has been talking about this 3rd proposal for a budget, which seems like no one is opposed to and would free up $238 million. It would involve taking money that the state government collects on behalf of local and county governments and keeping it longer than they usually do. It somehow frees up this money, which would go a good chunk of the way towards resolving the difference between the house and the senate budget, but not all the way. As of right now, there is no outward opposition to it. Also, apparently, municipalities are not upset about it. I have no idea why this was not thought of before, but that’s what’s going on.

On the federal front, I got in from DC last night. We left on Thursday. We spent Friday-Tuesday dealing with SAGE issues., showing policy papers, getting last minute feedback. We also met with the federal relations team to get their opinion. Saturday and Sunday were spent working on internal issues, electing new SAGE officers, dealing with word-smithing on policy and position papers.

On Monday we met with the offices of Murray, Cantwell, Reichert, Herrera, Butler and with Congressman McDerrmott. He hung out with us for a while. We also spent 15 min talking to his policy person about substantive issues. Immigration, taxation and federal research funding were key issues. There was lots of support for NIH, but less for NASA. DARPA is under a lot of strain right now as well. Javitz was discussed. Fulbright-Hays was mentioned on Monday with delegates. On Tuesday we tried to meet with someone from each school. We spoke with Boehner’s office and Pelosi’s office, as well as others who dealt with educational appropriations. SAGE is thinking of adding an additional trip to DC every year. If every school sends one person per month, then they would have a more consistent presence. This might be a budget issue, but we don’t know if it’s going to happen yet.
6. Officer Reports

Vice President: Adam – Looking forward to working with all committees to work on improving operations for next year.

Treasurer: Colin – The Science and Policy Summit has first confirmed panelist: and expert on PMI. Invitations are out to a number of people. They are almost done with online system for special allocations. The Spring Social is April 12. It will be Speak-Easy themed. There will be casino games again.

Secretary: Melanie – Still working on everything she planned to have done over spring break. Still working on disputes. Still working on communication plan.

ASUW: Evan – I’ve been working on College Counsels with GPSS. So far they have the Evans School, Business School, College of Built Environments, College of Education, School of Public Health, and the School of Social Work. They are working on number 7 – Engineering. A few events are coming up. Meet the UWPD is happening in Red Square tomorrow at 1pm. Elections processes for ASUW start next Friday.

President: Charles – I met with David Parsons and talked about officer compensation issue. We talked about similar situations to the GPSS situation and what the response has been. In one instance there were Class 3 RAs, which means that wherever the grant comes from you can’t pay tuition. So, someone decided to pay the tuition of those RAs, but dropped them to Tier 1 tuition waivers, which left a residual cost. The union told them they couldn’t do that. Administration agreed, and the students were reimbursed. The idea of GPSS leaving the union was discussed. This would be bad for the union, though. But we can do what we want, though, subject to a vote of the union. But he thinks that any limit to the tuition waiver is bad policy.

Rene – Officers at GPSS were always paid, but GPSS was very active in helping UW student employees join the union.

Charles – We’ll continue to work with them.

Melanie – We might want to have this conversation with the senate. Where should we talk about this? We owe it to people to tell them something.

Charles – I can draft a memo on where we are on the tuition waiver issue. Last thing – Kelly McAllister, at the student conduct office, has been trying to set up a Husky Principles committee. GPSS and ASUW passed them and no one used them, which was predicted. Do we want to send someone to this committee for this issue?

7. Announcements

None.

8. Adjourn

Evan moves to adjourn.

Adam seconds.

No objections. The motion passes.