Exec Meeting Minutes

February 28, 2018 | HUB 303

Members Present

GPSS President: Soh Yeun (Elloise) Kim
GPSS Secretary: Giuliana Conti
GPSS Vice President of External Affairs: Matt Munoz (via phone)
GPSS Vice President of External Affairs: Tori Hernandez
GPSS Policy Director: Kelsey Hood
GPSS Executive Senator: Elizabeth Oestreich
GPSS Executive Senator: Noelle Symanski
GPSS Executive Senator: Grant Williamson
GPSS Executive Senator: Laura Taylor
ASUW Director of Internal Policy and the Liaison: Bo Goodrich
Associate Dean for Student & Postdoc Affairs, Grad School: Kelly Edwards
Assistant Director of Student Activities: Rene Singleton

1. Call to Order 5:31 PM

2. Approval of the Agenda 5:31 PM

Laura Taylor: Moves to amend the agenda

Noelle Symanski: Seconds

Laura Taylor: Needs 5 minutes for elections update before the March 7th Senate meeting agenda

Elizabeth Oestreich: Approves the amended agenda
3. SAGE Delegation

Elloise Kim: This has been added to the agenda because, besides the President and the VP of External Affairs, if there are any additional members to join the delegation, it’s not required by the Bylaws but Matt wanted to put it up for the sake of transparency purposes as an action item.

Laura Taylor: Was this tabled last time because two people weren’t there.

Elloise Kim: Checked with Peder because she didn’t know the details about the conversation that happened earlier in the year.

Kelsey Hood: Peder had been a suggestion for an extra member for SAGE delegation but because that wasn’t met with some agreement, they were just going to have Elloise, Matt, Tori and herself this year and these are the working criteria to open up applications for Senators next year who can apply to be additional members to join the delegation.

Elloise Kim: Besides Matt & her, other people are not designated members of the delegation.

Kelsey Hood: Tori’s position and her position would not be required to fill out an application if funds permit to have all four of them plus an additional member.

Elizabeth Oestreich: How many people other schools brought last year?

Kelsey Hood: UW already has the second largest delegations. So, trying to keep it somewhat small.

Elizabeth Oestreich: How is doubling keeping it small?

Tori Hernandez: More than President and VP of External Affairs, there was also a Policy Director and VP of Internal Affairs. So, it was 4 people last year.

Grant Williamson: UW should be having the number going that makes it the most effective. So, the first piece is--are we bringing the right people currently and the second piece is, does adding Senators address areas of need for making us more effective lobbyists.

Kelsey Hood: It does help to have other student voices that aren't GPSS as Officers like having actual Senators if they're qualified to do the lobbying and do that effectively because they bring an outside perspective GPSS don't always have. There was some interest from other Senators during some of the meetings as to who could go to Lobby Days and that's kind of what catalyst to this and thinking about bringing other people.
Noelle Symanski: What makes a Senator qualify?

Kelsey Hood: Matt has some criteria here about what to be involved in the application. It's not anything that's hard and fast at this point, but what will drive it is looking for people that had previous advocacy experience that are comfortable talking to members or that they particularly have a good story depending on their meeting with that would be more impactful of the legislators who get there.

Laura Taylor: One request for this would be that the people that are reviewing these applications not be just the same public policy people that are already going.

Kelsey Hood: It was discussed that it would be an outside person reviewing applications so that there's no bias for the people who are currently going or besides deciding who else is going.

Elloise Kim: Introduces a guest, John Roberts, Senator from UW Bothell.

All Officers introduces themselves.

Elizabeth Oestreich: Just to put a different perspective, talked about not having a Vice President of External Affairs put enough application, but if there's a concern about the size of the delegation and funding opportunities, there's the potential that there are Senators that do have unique stories or unique lobby experience that could really augment GPSS & UW teams and in the interest of keeping it small, there could be a benefit to having open applications. A number that makes the SAGE delegation most effective and the number of applications can go out to the entire GPSS.

Elloise Kim: Would like to structure the conversation before people should be given a chance to talk. So, there are two issues on the table. Action items that needs to be processed today is assuring that VP of Internal Affairs and Policy Director in the way that they went last year to DC would be to send the delegates this year as well. The next action item is the criteria provided by Kelsey will be still in discussion and not be approved today.

Elizabeth Oestreich: Does this need to be voted on whether or not the VP of Internal Affairs and Policy director is going this year for SAGE delegation.

Elloise Kim: Yes. As for the eligibility, had a different opinion in the process of drafting with Matt in its first draft. To extend the application to other people other than the four, GPSS has Senator representation from most programs but not all programs. In some cases, some people may never have the opportunity to become Senators. Therefore, when they have great stories related to the topics, that should advocate and if they are prevented from the part of this delegate, it will be UW’s loss. So, wanted to propose that this should be open for all students if additional members are pursued.

Matt Munoz: Not sure why the criteria is being discussed now but the plan was not to bring this up until the future meeting.
Elloise Kim: There was a question on eligibility as it was presented and hence the discussion.

Tori Hernandez: Are we just looking at the document and not voting tonight?

Elloise Kim: No voting. Just looking at the document.

Matt Munoz: The document entirely tables into likely next quarter. The only discussion tonight is about the delegation to SAGE which is currently proposed as President, VP if Internal Affairs, VP of External Affairs and Policy Director.

Elizabeth Oestreich: Is there not enough time to do the applications this year for this cycle?

Matt Munoz: No. because we have to get reservations ASAP.

Elloise Kim: Best not to discuss about this document unless there is a pressing question. Time to confirm this year's delegation team members.

Giuliana Conti: Who do we have on the table so far?

Tori Hernandez: Matt, Elloise, Kelsey and Tori.

Elizabeth Oestreich: Has it been budgeted for 4 SAGE Delegates?

Matt Munoz: Each of the proposed Officer has a travel budget and that includes expenses for this trip as well. Also have enough budget under VP of External Affairs to accommodate Kelsey’s travel expenses.

Elloise Kim: Student Regent has been part of SAGE delegation team member. But they are being funded through Board of Student Regent. But at D.C, everyone works together as one team.

Giuliana Conti: Is the Student Regent is planning on going again this year?

Elloise Kim: Yes.

Elizabeth Oestreich: What size were the other delegation?

Matt Munoz: University of Michigan was 6 people and this year, University of Texas is planning on bringing 6 people.

Elloise Kim: Makes a motion that President, VPs of External & Internal Affairs and the Policy Director will be the delegation team for SAGE conference in 2018 Spring.

Bo Goodrich: Approves

Giuliana Conti: Seconds
Matt Munoz exits the meeting.

4. WSA Dues 5.52 PM

Kelsey Hood: Peder mentioned that it shouldn’t be a problem to put the dues for SAF to fund. But the only issue would be if they couldn’t potentially finance the entire amount. It would be up to GPSS to decide how much to allocate based on what’s missing from WSA dues.

Elloise Kim: Officers discussed briefly before the meeting. So, if WSA dues are included in GPSS’s budget line item, that will be proposed to SAF which is technically allowed. Asks Rene if they we allowed to have WSA 3 dollar dues in the STAR system?

Rene Singleton: No. The STAR system is a different system altogether. WSA was founded by the University of Washington 25 years ago or so. The dues that are paid are used to pay the staff’s salaries who work there. This has been going on only for 5 years. The dues increase from 7000 dollars per school upto 15000 dollars and ASUW and everybody is splitting it for the services they get. You can afford to pay the salary from the general fund if you decide to do it. By putting this as a line item in your SAF request, it is simply asking SAF to pay for it every year. The oldest system broke down primarily because of GPSS and ASUW’s inability to assist the other student organizations. Once every four years on the other system, students used to have a check box with the option of “would you like to get back the due of 3 dollars from WSA which is a Registered Student Organization?”. With that check box tick off, WSA was getting between 5k to 12k over all the quarters. All they had to do every 4 years was collect the percentage of the names and id numbers of students that supported this and this practice has been going on for years and years. It was a way to support WSA without having to use ASUW or GPSS funding directly for it. Some students in the past have objected this and so sometimes the lobbying efforts of ASUW and GPSS hasn’t gone too well. WSA did not collect enough signatures last year for the process. So that had to be approved. They had the question ready for the ASUW elections campaign but they did not have enough signatures. If they were careful, there would have been plenty of money that could be used to pay for dues and pay for the buses and food and T-Shirts for Lobby Day. That’s the concept of Student Organization and that concept was created by GPSS and ASUW years ago to give the ability to have something that’s similar to PAC and doesn’t have a lot of institutional control over it. Money that went to the bank account was totally controlled by students. Switching over and tying it to SAF is not an absolute for students who don’t necessarily like the objectives for having to pay the organization that works politically if you want to get along. If everyone is getting on, it’s not going to be problematic for the associations. But you also don’t need to ask for SAF funding but can use the general funding if you decide to do that. Those are the pros and cons.

Noelle Symanski: Worked as the WSA Chair, who is the person who controlled the bank account and was on the WSA Board as VP of Communications. Just to give the other side of the person who did the Student Organization, it was really hard because there wasn’t a lot of support from ASUW and GPSS because this was a completely separate position which is nice if you want to do
your own thing but also very disconnecting. So, when it came time to get the signatures it's like one person in an office in the basement who is trying to coordinate the signature gathering initiative for an entire school and that's really impractical. So, tried to do put together a WSA Board with ASUW and GPSS members on it and it just kind of fell apart. The student Chair doesn't get any voting rights and they have to manage this huge pot of money and I personally disliked doing it. If there was a way to roll this out by GPSS or ASUW, because they are the voting members, that's wonderful.

**Bo Goodrich:** The work that WSA does for students is really great for the state and the University of Washington. But from Noelle’s experience from the Association and GPSS & WSA interacting, ASUW has institutionalized the roles. Liaison ships to WSA to substitute the relations to ensure that that support is there to do better bridge between the two pieces. But if we do put this in your SAF request if you would give it given that we have a lot of other expenses rising this year and the fact that we're worried about even those getting approved and those are just for paying people. We're also concerned about tying our own budgets to the funding of an external organization, that while we do a voting, we don't have a control over it and we're concerned about some of the disconnect there.

**Elloise Kim:** As an Exec, do we have any deciding influence for this decision, so we can make a recommendation if we reach a certain consensus. But in the end, it will be Treasurer and F&B that develop the next year’s budget proposing the line items. It will then be presented to the Senate and then SAF will approve the final budget that we propose. Agree with Bo’s concern in the sense that when SAF does not look at all line items separately, but by including WSA dues, it will look like asking much more money. To their eyes, it might come across as a proposal with an increase from last year’s budget request. Checked with Peder if that can be considered for the sake of GPSS if we decide to include this and he clarified that SAF does not check the line items line by line.

**Bo Goodrich:** The other piece is that the additional funds received in the past from WSA really freed up budgets from spending our own lobbying efforts. So, it’s really important to push it. This year, they have about 2/3rd of the signatures that they need, and they have some time left to collect them. So, it’s likely that they will be able to collect the signatures to get on to ballot this year and that will give them opportunity to receive funding for next year and also to give our own lobbying efforts more funds for the next 40 years. They have employed paid canvassers and they are working aggressively to try and get those number of signatures.

**Elloise Kim:** This is something that needs to wait. Matt and Kelsey will work on that issue constantly and Exec will be informed if any action needs to be taken.

**Rene Singleton:** The timeline for the signature gathering is going to be April 16th and when we come back from the break, the Registrar’s office would have already gone through that and figured out.

**Elloise Kim:** As of yesterday, they have collected over 4000 and they still need 3000 signatures more.
Rene Singleton: If they make it, first or second week is a good enough time to do this. So, it’s important to know the timelines.

5. Resolution update 6:05 PM

Elloise Kim: Received an email from ISS that reads “We appreciate all of the feedback and responses we have received to the Post-Completion Training Fee announcement. Conversations about the fees are ongoing, and therefore, we have made the decision to delay implementation of the fee. Students who apply for OPT, AT, or a STEM extension during the 2017-2018 academic year will not be required to pay the fee. Students with a spring quarter completion/graduation date may begin submitting your OPT or AT applications now.”

Haven’t heard about this decision from Provost directly, but his office is still looking into the details of the rightousness of the fee. There is a high chance that the fee will be imposed, but at a reduced rate, yet this is a good example of Admins listening to student voices. This will be a continued conversation through proper channels.

Wouldn’t have known about this fee if I wasn’t an international student myself because this email was sent only to international students. So asked ISS to add the GPSS President’s email id to the mailing list so that the future Presidents know when something like this is rolled out even if they are not an international student.

Tori Hernandez: From a diversity perspective, does it make sense to have Secretary’s email listed as well?

Giuliana Conti: Good point just for a secondary person in the Office that would know updates on the international students because there have been conversations about issues related to international students. So maybe in the future it would be helpful to get those notifications as well.

Elloise Kim: Hopefully, ISS invite their GPSS representative for quarterly informative meetings. They talk about what they do. So far, no students have been invited or are part of conversation for ISS but by end of the year; hope to establish the understanding that students’ seats would be good for them to have.

6. Election Updates 6:12 PM

Laura Taylor: Had a meeting yesterday. It was very efficient and went well. The Elections Committee Chair seems capable. Decided the date of the election. It is going to be May 2nd, but the elections packet itself is going out on March 7th which by the way is next meeting and so that needs to be on the agenda. Very transparent about the changes that are being made in the elections guide, but hopefully there are changes that are clarifying and not more confusing. That packet will
reach Giuliana by Monday of next week and that she can send that out to everybody and everybody will have the chance to see it before the third Senate meeting.

**Elloise Kim:** Matt has revised some of the Officer’s duties that has gone in the Elections guide. Just cleaned up a bit. Major change is to move ASUW Liaison position from Secretary to VP of Internal Affairs as it makes more sense in terms of work distribution and relationship. Also made a recommendation of moving the language of at the first meeting for the presentation of GPSS budget done by Treasurer to by the second meeting of the year. Besides Policy being moved from VP of Internal to External in the beginning of the year, no substantial other changes have been made.

**Laura Taylor:** SAO advisors Phil and Christina will retire early.

**Rene Singleton:** It will be Christina, Cooper and Emily this year. Emily is helping GPSS right now with all of the purchases. Contact information of Emily is ekastad@uw.edu

**Laura Taylor:** There was a rule about the number of meetings that you can attend that are GPSS meetings— does that include internal committee meetings or does that not count?

**Elloise Kim:** Last year this was discussed and Judicial as well and there was another committee that did not exist in a strong manner was part of meetings content. Yet, just concerning the actual area of meetings, it was decided that only Exec, Senate, and F&B meetings would be considered as qualifying meetings.

**Laura Taylor:** So, then that needs to change because they've started putting in internal meetings.

**Tori Hernandez:** For that internal meetings with every possible, it would be like these are recommended based on what position you are looking at.

**Laura Taylor:** So just to clarify, the only legit meetings that count are Exec, Senate, and F&B meetings.

**Elloise Kim:** Yes. And there are Diversity Committee, S&P Committee, GSE Committee that they can attend as well for education purposes.

**Tori Hernandez:** If someone is running for Secretary position, they can be recommended to attend Diversity Committee meetings.

**Elizabeth Oestreich:** Are those meetings open to non-students?

**Laura Taylor:** Senate meetings are open to anybody as long as they sign in.

**Noelle Symanski:** For the F&B Committee meeting, are there links to dates so that people who wants to attend know when and where to go?
Elloise Kim: It is regularly communicated by the Chair. Its bi-weekly on Thursdays at 5pm. Their schedule may change next quarter.

Elizabeth Oestreich: There is a link to calendar on website, but it doesn’t actually have the dates for the meetings.

Giuliana Conti: To notify Sonam to get this fixed.

Elloise Kim: Is the calendar posted and updated on the website?

Giuliana Conti: Used to be done earlier but has stopped from the past couple of years. So, disabled it.

Laura Taylor: The F&B committee’s page on website does say the meeting dates.

Elizabeth Oestreich: It would be nice to go to one place to find all the meetings.

Giuliana Conti: What do you want me to send out and by when?

Laura Taylor: The finalized packet will be sent on Monday and that needs to be shared with the Senators and the Wednesday email needs to emphasize about voting.

Elloise Kim: Since this needs to be voted at the Senate meeting, this email can go from the Election’s Chair this time.

Rene Singleton: Are we clarifying the whole thing about campaigning and bringing in materials etc.?

Laura Taylor: Yes. A whole new section of 4.5 addresses that.

7. Next Senate Meeting Agenda -March 7th ‘18

Elloise Kim: Call to Order, Approval of Agenda, Approval of Minutes. Thaisa Way, Faculty Senate Chair is going to be the guest and wants to know what we want her to talk about and for how long?

Giuliana Conti: We will have lots to ask her and hear from her. So, 20 minutes.

Grant Williamson: We should talk about what issues we are going to talk about and then decide on time.

Elloise Kim: Questions could be on the spot or through Slido.
Kelly Edwards: As a former member of the Faculty Senate, one suggestion would be that they also pass resolutions as part of the shared governance and work on trying to get actions and work with different levels at the university. So, it will be interesting to hear the resolutions they have in their work etc. Just hearing what major resolutions are coming through or what’s been important. Once the resolution is being passed, how do they leverage that to the maximum impact wherever the action needs to happen just as an example of another body that also uses those tools for influencing change.

Rene Singleton: Does everyone know their structure and how they are set up? That would be something interesting to know.

Elloise Kim: How much time?

Elizabeth Oestreich: 20 minutes.

Laura Taylor: Need to present the elections packet and there needs to be an action. Have to share with the Senators about changes and can anticipate questions because there’s going to be a couple of new sections. So need around 15 minutes.

Rene Singleton: Is the salary information of Officers being with the Senate? People don’t know how much the Officers are paid.

Giuliana Conti: People know that its TA/RA equivalent and tuition waiver.

Rene Singleton: Good to share that information to spark interest among people who don’t have TA/RA positions in their schools.

Elloise Kim: Need to have Exec Senator election.

Giuliana Conti: 10 minutes.

Elloise Kim: There will be one resolution that will have the first reading.

Elloise Kim: Senate survey results – 10 minutes. Good of the Order – 10 minutes. Topic to be upto them.

Tori Hernandez: Add the GPSS events and action items to the agenda after the guests- 3 minutes.

Elloise Kim: In total, the meeting runs for 95 minutes.

Giuliana Conti: Approves the agenda

Noelle Symanski: Seconds
8. Executive Senator Reports 6:35 PM

Laura Taylor: Last Exec Senate meeting. Elections Committee looks great and all elections from now are going to be much smoother.

Grant Williamson: Nothing to report.

Noelle Symanski: Nothing to report.

Elizabeth Oestreich: Nothing to report.

9. Officer Reports 6:37 PM

Tori Hernandez: Working at organizing a GPSS Senator Green Dot training which will be on April 11th in the same room.

Sydney Pearce: Winter Social had over 240 people. Need to ensure there’s food until the end of the event from next event. Runs out of food quickly and that was the only issue.

Tori Hernandez: Did a focus group for Housing and Food and received a gift card for it. Uncomfortable using that gift card because the focus group itself was a GPSS initiative. Can the gift card be reallocated back for a GSE survey?

Rene Singleton: Yes.

John Roberts: Can Sydney talk a bit more about the Social event?

Sydney Pearce: Winter Social had games and food and drinks. It was in The HUB. Alcohol was served after proper check-in of IDs at the entrance.

Rene Singleton: Money for alcohol came from Provost’s office and it’s not the normal funds from SAF.

Elizabeth Oestreich: And the key word is discretionary funds that’s money that can be used for food and alcohol.

John Roberts: In Bothell, its after 5 or 6 years that they have a graduate Senator. A Policy Studies student and was hired recently. Graduating this year and so revising the Constitution for ASUW - B and our Bylaws that will be vote by this Friday if it gets passed.

Kelly Edwards: Does the Bylaws state anything about grad student positions?

John Roberts: Bothell has very few students roughly about 500 students.
Giuliana Conti: Is your position paid?

John Roberts: Yes.

Giuliana Conti: How many people in ASUW -B?

John Roberts: 15 members and there is only one graduate Senator. There's a President, VP, Directors, and 9 Senators.

Kelly Edwards: Previous GPSS Officer from the past year went and presented to the grad program advisors in Bothell. Anything we can do from the grad school for more representation from grad students and student government, please let us know how we can do that.

John Roberts: ASUWB started in 2005 with 4-5 people and now has 16. Small campus so it’s a lot.

Kelsey Hood: Federally right now, PROSPER ACT is the focus. Encourage you to push all of the advocacy efforts. Also making that the primary focus of SAGE next quarter. Additional documents can be shared via email. SAGE delegation is from April 11th to 15th. State wise, the Veteran’s mental health bill is still being lobbied hard for it to get voted. But I also think you might have better luck next year since it's actually a fiscal year. if it doesn't pass this session we'll take it up again next session.

Students loan bill of rights is going to the floor and should get voted on in the next few days. House bill SOAR that ends the practice of professional license suspension for student loan borrowers in default and reduces the rate for student loan borrowers in default. It passed through the Senate and it will have to go through concurrence in the house and it looks like a house with the support it needs to get through that. Decoupling is in the Senate Rules Committee so just needs to be pulled to the floor also its very promising. Just have to find that one person who's willing to do it with all of the other bills around. The child care collaborative task force is also in Senate rules. It has been pulled. Shoutout to everyone who worked towards this.

Trying to work with WSA and Council President to organize a graduate and professional students issue during the interim where we can go and meet in Olympia with the Senators and the reps when they're in their offices and to talk about issues that are what we would like to see legislation wise. Attended a Title 9 meeting With the Council President's council representatives from WSA. That actually went well and looks like they've started to sort of come up with a plan of how they're trying to attack some of the problems that have come out of Title 9. They are hoping to bring legislation by next session. They just don't have enough time to talk to all of the University attorney generals to make sure that everybody is on the same page and that all of the Washington Universities can put up a united front before they decide to take any action.

Sent out a note on when to meet for a SLAB for next quarter. Haven't got any responses yet. PROSPER is going to be a very big part of such federal lands after SAGE happens and Matt also would like to revamp the committee to design a mission and vision statement because people in
the committee have a lot of passion but they're not exactly sure how to utilize the passion. So, trying to make things a little more concrete and have more action items that they can actually do between meetings.

S&P just had the Pacific Science Center science communication event this week. They're working on the Brownbag discussion event and are in talk with librarians for full day event on science communication. Talk to Scott Spencer or Grant for more information.

Working on collecting data for mental health resources on campus. Had a couple of different meetings so far. An event will be planned that's going to be open to all students where they can come and talk about mental health and sexual assault resources on campus and try to get the word out so everybody knows where the best place is to go for which type of concern and if there's anything that they think is missing from those resources on campus, new organizations to better serve students can be identified.

At the Title 9 meeting, the UW student conduct coordinator approached Matt and Kelsey and they are having an advisory meeting that's probably going to go throughout the year and they would like to have a GPSS representative on that. Matt would very much like for that to be somebody from External. They don't have a set meaning schedule yet, but need to put the idea to everybody to make sure that they're OK with somebody from external. Still in planning stages.

Giuliana Conti: Senate meetings attendance dropped more. Now at 32 percent. Averaged 54 last quarter and been between 44 and 32 percent this quarter.

Grant Williamson: Does that mean that our number of Senators information is incorrect because if we're averaging 30 or so percent across the quarter, there's a core group of 15 to 20 who come for every meeting.

Giuliana Conti: Amy contacted people from last quarter who did not satisfy the terms of being a Senator, however, for this quarter, apart from removing them if someone doesn't show up until the last meeting there was no precedent for us to remove them because there were two meetings left. So now that there's only one meeting left, we're going to know who is not satisfying it and they will be e-mailed. Working with Amy on that to figure out the next move. Predict that the rates will jump up next quarter as either because of elections or people's schedules change. Interested now to look at previous years and see if there's any trends in attendance by quarter because it could be just generally when states get scheduled maybe for winter quarters. Certain quarters tend to have certain schedule types. Winter is a shorter quarter as well, so people might be less committed to GPSS because they're more committed to their own needs.

Elloise Kim: International students OPT fees is temporarily resolved. Last week’s good of the order helped in understanding in what way Ana Marie’s message was received by the students. Communicated with Student Life about the concerning communication. Board of Regents started to have a written report beginning from last month. Produced last month’s report focusing on Husky Downhill and bills that we are working on and some updates from last quarter. The report will be concise.
Was in Tacoma campus with PACS and got to meet the Board of Directors of ASUW-T. They are also trying to see how to communicate with graduate student constituents because they don’t have a GPSS like organization. They are thinking of implementing a new position like ASUW-B.

Yesterday’s workshop for students was a success. Kelly was one of the faculty members who led the conversation. At the last meeting, the student project group that studied about student health insurance contacted if they could present at the Senate. Should they present or present information in written format that can be shared with Senators?

**Giuliana Conti:** They could be a 5-10 minutes guests including Q&A.

**Elloise Kim:** They could partner with Senators for resolutions. Next quarter will have only three guests. One meeting will be dedicated to elections.

**Elizabeth Oestreich:** They could also come in as Good of the Order.

---

**10. Announcements 7:00 PM**

**Tori Hernandez:** The Black Student Unions and ASUW’s “Everybody, Every Body” fashion show is on April 22nd. Taking new applications for models and performers.

**Kelly Edwards:** Those who are graduating, completion applications are due March 16th. There is $5K prizes.

---

**11. Adjournment 7:03 PM**

**Giuliana Conti:** Approves to adjourn

**Laura Taylor:** Seconds