

The Graduate and Professional Student Senate

Program Review of the School of Nursing

Winter 2016: Catalyst Survey Results Summary

Submitted to the University of Washington Graduate School: February 18th, 2016

The Graduate and Professional Student Senate (GPSS) conducts surveys of academic departments that coincide with the Graduate School's Program Review process. GPSS surveys are a vital component of the final Graduate School Program Report. The data collected and presented by the GPSS serve as one source of graduate and/or professional student feedback in the Graduate School's Program Review process.

GPSS uses an electronically administered Catalyst survey requesting feedback from the graduate and/or professional students within the academic unit being reviewed.

To best interpret the impact of this report, please refer to the survey questions attached. For more information about the GPSS Program Review process or for questions regarding this report, please contact gpsspart@uw.edu.

School of Nursing Graduate Program Review

Overview

Since a statistically significant number of graduate students did not respond to the survey the results in this report do not represent generalized information on the student experience. This program has 446 graduate students and during the week that the survey was available 31 responded which is roughly 7% of the graduate students in the program. Therefore we recommend that this report be used as another source of anecdotal information and a prompt for further discussions with students. The response group included students from many different degree programs within the School of Nursing.

Executive Summary of Findings

The Graduate and Professional Student Senate (GPSS) sponsored and administered a Catalyst survey to the graduate students in the University of Washington's School of Nursing from February 10, 2016 to February 17, 2016. The purpose of this survey was to ensure that the opinions of graduate students are included in the review process and that any anecdotal data could be captured from the student population.

Data Results

Following this review, in Appendix A you will find a complete list of the survey questions, responses, and response statistics for your reference. The survey attempted to be comprehensive by asking a wide range of questions. Responses to "Do you have any additional comments you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?" are also listed towards the end of the written report. These responses will be listed numerically.

Data Findings

I. Obstacle Areas

When looking at obstacle areas, it seems as though respondents were split in their opinion that the School of Nursing helps students address challenges. In terms of the availability of faculty and staff, 5 students stated it was a major obstacle, 5 students stated it was somewhat of an obstacle, 8 students stated it was a minor obstacle, 12 students stated it was not an obstacle and 1 student stated it was not applicable.



II. Program Structure and/or Requirements

In terms of the program structure, 5 students stated it was a major obstacle, 10 students stated it was somewhat of an obstacle, 6 students stated it was a minor obstacle and 10 students stated it was not an obstacle. To see the full break down of obstacles including work/financial commitments, research facilities/space/technology and family obligations; please see the raw data attached.



III. Departmental Feedback

When asked if respondents received feedback from the program/department advisors, 12 students responded yes, and 19 students responded no. Conversely, when those that answered no were asked if they would like feedback from their program/department advisors, 16 students stated they would want some degree of feedback, and 3 students stated they would not like feedback.

IV. Departmental Funding

When asked if respondents felt their program provided enough funding for their students, 4 students stated yes, 17 students stated no, 7 students stated that they were unsure and 3 students stated they had no opinion. In addition, majority of the student respondents stated they would graduate with some degree of student debt.





Free Response Questions

Q: Are there any additional comments regarding *funding issues* that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

1. It would be great if there were more opportunities for funding. In addition, I wish there had been full/partial scholarship opportunities when I first applied to the program.

2. My problem with funding is that it was based off of my last years taxes. While I understand that is how FAFSAs work etc. I worked full time that previous year and had a decent pay and now feel like if I decrease my hours because of school, I will be unable to cover my basic financial needs. My future needs are not considered by the financial review. So I am continuing to try to work full time and go to school full time and that is extremely taxing.

3. The school of nursing is significantly more expensive than other schools. It is extremely difficult to work and attend school full-time, and even though I have to work because of financial reasons, I was not prioritized for financial assistance because of my part-time status. I wish going back for my graduate degree didn't cause me to worry about finances every day because I love my program.

4. There seems to be a huge lack of TA-ships and RA-ships available to graduate students. Those that are available are geared towards PHD students or high-level DNPs. Additionally, most TA-ships and RA-ships outside of the SON are only for students within those academic programs. 5. I have felt a general lack of support and communication by Laura Mason, in fact, have not found her to be helpful or encouraging in pursuit of financial assistance for the program. Others that I know have decided not to apply to the program because of lack of support, direction, and information regarding financial assistance (even after contacting her.)

6. Because the PhD program students are now mostly international students and we compete with DNP students for TA positions, the competition is high for that TA jobs. Other SoN are funding their PhD students, at UW you spend a lot of time scrambling for money for the next quarter. Maybe 5-10 years ago it made sense to do that, just to be here, but I'm not sure that's the case anymore.

7. Fee-based programs strangle your ability to take coursework from other areas. This is a big potential advantage of going to the UW but AGNP-PC students really don't take advantage of it because of the cost.

8. As far as i know the students in CIPCT program are not eligible for any TA or RA positions because it is a fee-based program. This is my 3rd degree at UW and I would have loved to be able to be a TA or RA.

9. Why are TA positions only given to PhD students?

10. I think funding for DNP students is disproportionately low. Additionally, I was very disappointed to hear that the fact I earned and am using my GI Bill was a large reason in determining I don't have "financial need" according to the SON.

11. I think there is a serious lack of funding for DNP students. Although there are sometimes TA-ships, those positions are more often than not budgeted for PhD students, and/or preference is given to PhD students - there should be more opportunities for funding for DNP students. 12. At this point it seems unlikely that I will recoup in additional income what I will be repaying in loans for the cost of the program.

13. This program requires a lot of driving and stipends for transportation would be nice.

Q. Are there any additional comments regarding *career counseling and employment assistance* that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

1. The School of Nursing is in the midst of creating a mentorship program for undergraduate and graduate nursing students, which will greatly enhance career counseling and employment assistance options available to students.

2. More discussion about NP exams during the course of education. Pulling practice questions into courses would be beneficial. The career counseling we received was good but it was such a small amount and it was all in our own time.

3. I don't think we've gotten to the part of the course that we would talk about the specifics of job hunting. However, I really did like the Leadership course and felt like it had a lot of good skill learning.

4. Question 12 scales - no need to look into interview, work experiences

5. I would like to know more about academic employment opportunities available to non-PhD students

6. Is there an career counseling or employment assistance? I'm not aware of it.

7. The faculty honestly seems completely apathetic about the graduates. I mean they wish you well, but that's about it.

8. Essentially no career or employment assistance from this program or department.

9. Employment considerations have not been discussed or addressed.

10. Maybe it's because I am only in the 2nd year (out of 3) in my program, but there has been almost no information, advice, assistance or support regarding career counseling and employment assistance. I hope this looks different for my last year.

11. Would be nice if advisers would provide assistance in looking for job outside of academia.

12. Opportunities are often held on campus during hours I am unable to attend due to conflicting work commitments or if on campus, conflicting with class schedule.

Q. Are there any additional comments regarding your *research experience* that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

1. DNP should be allowed to do a research project as a capstone project.

Q. Are there any additional comments regarding the quality of your *academic program* that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

1. It is difficult to answer questions because there are two different things to evaluate - the core DNP courses, which are required across specialties, and the specialty track courses. The specialty track (Community Health) is excellent, encourages interdisciplinary collaboration, focuses on the current advances in the field. The DNP courses have decreased in quality and do not focus on interdisciplinary work, and miss many opportunities.

2. It would benefit the program if the professors who are currently practicing clinically had more decision making power. The professors who make the decisions about the program seem out of touch with what students want and need for practice.

3. Group work continues to be a challenge--inevitably, 1 or 2 members of the group do the lions' share of the work, with the laggards benefitting from the other's work. Seems an imbalance of justice for the students who take on the most work, in the interest of success.

4. When I say that I don't agree that I am provided with opportunities to take coursework outside my own department is in regard to nursing vs medical based classes. There are some offerings that are restricted to medicine only although the content would be helpful for my future practice.
5. Program has extreme need to listen AND RESPOND to the students acute concerns, increase transparency, establish a chain of command (nobody knows what is going on), and increase consistency between instructors.

6. NSG 552A SDOH should be an elective or at best, a seminar class. There would be more value to the class if SDOH was a component of a class dealing with ethical issues such as end of life, professional and personal conflict, legal and ethical obligations and complications. By itself, SDOH content is repetitive and the assignments tedious offering minimal value to my overall preparation to be a provider.

7. At times faculty was disrespectful.

8. I have lost faith that this faculty has the capacity to function enough to make any significant improvements. The priority should be to diagnose and treat their own collective animosity and dysfunction. Until something happens, I think they are locked in some sort of collective antagonistic standoff. My giving suggestions for program improvement are like throwing it into a black hole.

9. We are going to be primary care providers -- it is OK to force us to work harder / study more / do more clinical. Most people WANT this.

10. I would like more communication about what to expect for the coming term(s). For example, when registering for courses and even up till the term begins some courses have no required books to be purchased-yet when the first week is in session we are expected to have and read from required texts. This feels disorganized and is frustrating to me.

11. Great management and superb program.

12. I think the program could provide better opportunities and encourage students to take coursework outside our department. There a a lot of interdisciplinary activities (lunch talks, lectures, etc.) that I would like to participate in, but are not convenient (i.e. are often not scheduled on days that our program meets on campus). I also think there could be more activities offered that foster a sense of community.

13. Poorly designed for working students.

14. I thought this was a challenging program however not necessarily doctoral level. My masters degree exam and project/thesis was much more intensive, challenging, and academically

rigorous compared to this degree. There were also many classes that seemed to be taught at the undergraduate level.

Q. Are there any additional comments regarding *diversity issues* that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

1. The three departments in the School of Nursing are VERY divided - faculty and students. There are major divisions between undergrads and grads as well. The Diversity Committee at the SoN is new, so many students may not be aware of it yet (although more should be due to the town halls that were held by the group this month). Also, the Student Council (with undergrad and grad representation) was formed last year, so is still in the growth phase.

2. While there is a big push for inclusion and diversity/equity/ethics, I am feeling rather like I have to walk on eggshells. I am white and I don't feel like I can speak up about how I feel. I feel like the environment is not giving me a place to be white and have that be ok. The more I hear about how we need to be more inclusive, I feel like since I am white I have the majority of the burden of fixing the issue. I don't feel like it's collaborative.

3. There has been a general sense among the MN students that there is a a power hierarchy and sense of erasure among the MN students who are a part of the DNP classes. Most of the classes that are predominately DNP speak only to being a clinical care provider.

4. I have not felt any sense of community within my department. After 3 years there I feel as though I know very few faculty, have not gotten to know anyone.

5. They talk about it a lot, and then set up a program where you would have to be independently wealthy to make it through. They stacked so many credits in the first year that you probably couldn't be a single parent or have employment, there is little funding, and no part-time. But they sure do like to talk about how they love diversity. They talk a lot.

6. Not everyone has the luxury of going to school and being a full-time student or being able to work part-time. I am financially responsible not only for myself, but for several extended family members as well. As such, my priorities are family, work, school. I am unable to participate in many opportunities at UW because they are not offered at times I can participate. If the UW and the SON really cared about diversity, these realities would be taken into account.

Q. Are there any additional comments regarding your *academic program* that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

1. The first quarter was quite good and I like it a lot. I am struggling with how much more chaotic this quarter is. The rotating every other week with different and strange turn in times this quarter is a little much. There isn't the ability to create a regular schedule.

 While I understand that the first year deals with core requirements, it seems like there are more valuable components that could make up these requirements. Also more opportunity to interact with and work in an interdisciplinary forum seem beneficial in todays healthcare setting.
 Overall, I feel like this is an excellent program. The only area I feel could be improved is the

3. Overall, I feel like this is an excellent program. The only area I feel could be improved is SDOH course.

4. With a few notable exceptions, SON faculty has been mostly available and supportive. Best courses I have taken have been in the School of Global Health.

5. It was difficult to be a part of a program that is in transition. The communication between faculty and students is also very poor. I think the classes need to be more clinically relevant. Finally, it continues to astonish me that we are a family NP track yet not everyone gets family

practice rotations or even pediatrics-it is a huge disservice to us and makes no sense. Giving everyone a continuity clinic for all quarters in family practice should be a priority for this program.

Q. When you applied to the University of Washington's School of Nursing, what other universities did you consider?

University of Colorado University of Arizona Seattle Pacific University Illinois University of Pennsylvania Johns Hopkins University of Utah University of Kentucky Gonzaga University Seattle University University of Phoenix University of Portland Washington State University Stanford University of Maryland University of California, San Francisco Pacific University University of South Florida

Appendix A. Survey and Response Instrument

Statistics for School of Nursing Program Review

Total submissions: 31

* Calculated using numeric values

Multiple cl <i>Question</i>	noice - one answer (menu)		sponse tistics*		
•	vour program of study?	Mean	3.10		
what is	your program of study?			Median	3.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	3
Numeric				Min/Max	1/4
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard	
1	Master of Science (MS)	. 1	3.23%	deviation	0,60
2	Master of Nursing (MN)	1	3.23%		
3	Doctor of Nursing	23	74.19%		
	Practice (DNP)				
4	Doctor of Philosophy in	6	19.35%		
	Nursing Science (PhD)				

 Short response
 Statistics are not calculated for this question type.

 Question
 Please name your graduate track.

 Total responses (N): 25
 Did not respond: 6

1atrix - o Duestion	ne answer per row (button)				esponse atistics*
-	and a state of all states and the state of		unlitu in	Row1	
	ate the following dimension of study:	ons of academic qu	uality In	` Mean	2,35
		n wiriting a state of the state		Median	2.00
low 1				Mode	3
Academ	ic rigor of program			Min/Max	1/5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	1.17
lumeric alue	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1	Excellent	9	29.03%	Mean	2,32
2	Very good	8	25,81%	Median	2,00
3	Good	10	32.26%	Mode	2
4	Fair	2	6,45%	Min/Max	1/5
5	Poor	2	6.45%	Standard	
6	No opinion	0	0.00%	deviation	1.14
				Row3	
				{	
Row 2				Mean	2.16
	bility / Suitability of core of	curriculum to my fi	ield	Mean Median	
	oility / Suitability of core o				2.00
	Dility / Suitability of core of Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:		Median	2.00
Applicat Numeric Value	Total responses (N): 31 Answer	Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i>	0 Percentage	Median Mode	2.00
Applicat Numeric value	Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Excellent	Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 8	0 <i>Percentage</i> 25,81%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.00
Applicat Numeric value 1 2	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good	Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i>	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04
Applicat Numeric Value 1 2 3	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good	Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 8 11	0 <i>Percentage</i> 25,81%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.58
Applicat Numeric Value 1 2 3 4	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.58 3.00
Applicat Numeric value 1 2 3	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.58 3.00
Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 2	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45% 6.45%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.56 3.00 2.56
Applicat Numeric ralue 1 2 3 4 5 6	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 2	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45% 6.45%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Median Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.56 3.00 2.56
Application lumeric ralue 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 2	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45% 6.45%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row5	2.00 1, 3 1/9 2.59 3.00 1/9 1.0
Applicat Vumeric value 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 Row 3	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor No opinion Mic standards	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 0	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row5 Mean	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.56 3.00 1/9 1.03
Application lumeric lalue l 2 3 4 5 6 8 8 0 0 8 0 0 3	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor No opinion	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 2	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row5 Mean Median	2.00 1, 3 1/3 1.04 2.54 3.00 1/2 1.02 2.7 3.0
Applicat Vumeric 'alue 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 Row 3 Academ	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor No opinion Mic standards Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 0 Did not respond:	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.91% 6.45% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row5 Mean Median Mode	2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.56 3.00 2.7 3.00 2.7 3.00
Applicat Vumeric value 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 Row 3	Total responses (N): 31 Answer Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor No opinion Mic standards	Did not respond: Frequency 8 11 8 2 2 0	0 Percentage 25.81% 35.48% 25.81% 6.45% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row5 Mean Median	2.16 2.00 1, 3 1/5 1.04 2.56 3.00 3 1/5 1.02 2.7/ 3.00 2.7/ 3.00

3	Good	10	32.26%
4	Fair	1	3.23%
5	Poor	1	3.23%
6	No opinion	0	0.00%

Row 4

Evaluation methods of academic achievement

	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage
1	Excellent	5	16.13%
2	Very good	9	29.03%
3	Good	. 12	38,71%
4	Fair	4	12.90%
5	Poor	1	3.23%
6	No opinion	0	0.00%
Row 5			
Integra	tion of current developme	nts in my field	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	-
-	en a setta se te	3	0 609

value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage
1	Excellent	3	9.68%
2	Very good	12	38.71%
3	Good	10	32.26%
4	Fair	3	9.68%
5	Poor	2	6.45%
6	No opinion	1	3.23%
	•		

Matrix - c <i>Question</i>	one answer per row (button)		Response statistics*		
- Please r	ate the following dimensi mnet in your program of	Row1 Mean	1.71		
environ	milet in your program or a			Median	2.00
Row 1				Mode	1
Intellect	tual quality of the faculty			Min/Max	1/3
,	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	0.78
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1	Excellent	15	48.39%	Mean	2.03
2	Very good	10	32.26%	Median	2.00
3	Good	6	19.35%	Mode	2
4	Fair	0	0.00%	Min/Max	1/6
5	Poor	0	0.00%	Standard	
6	No opinion	0	0.00%	deviation	1.08

Row 2

The intellectual quality of your fellow graduate students

	Total responses	(N): 3	31	Did not	respond:	0
Numeric value	Answer Excellent			Fr	equency	Percentage 32.26% 45.16%
2	Very good				14	
3	Good				5	16.13%
4	Fair				1	3.23%
5	Poor				0	0.00%
6	No opinion				1	3.23%

Response statistics*

stateme				Mean	2.
Row 1	•			Median	2.
Program	n of study activities (pane	ls, seminars. retre	ats, social	Mode	
activitie	s, etc.) foster a sense of	intellectual commu	inity:	Min/Max	1
	m (N1) - 21	Did not manned.	0	Standard	1.
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	v	deviation	۰.
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1	Strongly agree	4	12.90%	Mean	2.
2	Agree	16	51.61%	Median	2.
3	Neutral	4	12.90%	Mode	
4	Disagree	5	16.13%	Min/Max	1
5	Strongly disagree	2	6.45%	Standard	0.
6	No opinion	0	0.00%	devlation	υ.
				Row3	
Row 2				Mean	2.
Prooran	n of study supports my re	esearch or profession	onal goals	Median	2.
				Mode	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1
Numeric		_		Standard	1.
value	Answer Strongly scree	Frequency 4	Percentage 12.90%	deviation	1.
2	Strongly agree	4	48.39%	Row4	
2	Agree	7	22,58%	Mean	2.
4	Neutral	5	16,13%	Median	2.
4 5	Disagree	9	0.00%	Mode	
5	Strongly disagree	0	0.00%	Min/Max	1
U	No opinion	ů.		Standard	
				deviation	1.
Row 3		U = h =		Row5	
Program	n of study encourages co	naporation and/or	сеаттюргк	Mean	2.
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Median	3.
Numeric				Mode	
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Min/Max	1
1	Strongly agree	7	22.58%	Standard	1.
2	Agree	18 3	58.06% 9.68%	deviation	
3	Neutral		2.005	Row6	
4 5	Disagree	°	6 15%	Mean	
	Chronely discourse	2	6.45% 0.00%		2.
	Strongly disagree	0	0.00%	Median	
6	Strongly disagree No opinion				
6		0	0.00%	Median	2.
6 Row 4	No opinion	0 1	0.00% 3.23%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 <i>Row 4</i> Prograr	No opinion n of study provides oppor	0 1	0.00% 3.23%	Median Mode Min/Max	2.
6 <i>Row 4</i> Prograr	No opinion	0 1	0.00% 3.23%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 <i>Row 4</i> Prograr	No opinion n of study provides oppor	0 1	0.00% 3.23% ursework	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside Numeric	No opinion m of study provides oppor my own department Total responses (N): 31	0 1 Tunities to take co Did not respond:	0.00% 3.23% ursework	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside Numeric value	No opinion m of study provides oppor my own department Total responses (N) : 31 Answer	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i>	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 Percentage	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside Numeric value 1	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree	0 1 Tunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Program outside Numeric value 1 2	No opinion m of study provides oppor my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree	0 1 Tunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside Numeric value 1 2 3	No opinion m of study provides oppor my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree Neutral	0 1 Tunities to take co Did not respond: Frequency 7 10 2	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Program outside Numeric value 1 2 3 3 4	No opinion m of study provides oppor my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45% 19.35%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Program outside Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree	0 1 Tunities to take co Did not respond: Frequency 7 10 2	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Program outside Numeric value 1 2 3 3 4	No opinion m of study provides oppor my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6	0.008 3.238 ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.588 32.268 6.458 19.358 19.358	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6	0.008 3.238 ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.588 32.268 6.458 19.358 19.358	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 Row 5	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45% 19.35% 19.35% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 Row 5 Prograf	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 <i>Answer</i> Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45% 19.35% 19.35% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 Row 5 Prograf	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion m of study provides oppo	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45% 19.35% 19.35% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 Row 5 Prograf interdis	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion m of study provides oppo sciplinary work Total responses (N): 31	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 <i>Percentage</i> 22.58% 32.26% 6.45% 19.35% 19.35% 0.00%	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 Row 5 Prograf	No opinion m of study provides opport my own department Total responses (N): 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion m of study provides oppo sciplinary work Total responses (N): 31	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0 v rtunities to engage Did not respond <i>Frequency</i>	0.00% 3.23% ursework 0 Percentage 22.58% 32.26% 6.45% 19.35% 19.35% 0.00% 2 In : 0 Percentage	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 <i>Row 5</i> Prograr interdis	No opinion m of study provides oppore my own department Total responses (N) : 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion m of study provides oppo sciplinary work Total responses (N) : 31	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0 vtunities to engage	0.008 3.238 ursework 0 Percentage 22.588 32.268 6.458 19.358 19.358 19.358 0.008 2 ln : 0 Percentage 12.908	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Prograr outside 1 2 3 4 5 6 Row 5 Prograf interdis Numeric value	No opinion m of study provides oppore my own department Total responses (N): 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion m of study provides oppo sciplinary work Total responses (N): 31 Answer	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0 v rtunities to engage Did not respond <i>Frequency</i> 4 8	0.008 3.238 ursework 0 Percentage 22.588 32.268 6.458 19.358 19.358 19.358 0.008 2 ln : 0 Percentage 12.908 25.818	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.
6 Row 4 Programoutside 1 2 3 4 5 6 Row 5 Programoutside Numericovalue 1	No opinion n of study provides oppole my own department Total responses (N) : 31 Answer Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree No opinion m of study provides oppo sciplinary work Total responses (N) : 31 Answer Strongly agree	0 1 rtunities to take co Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 7 10 2 6 6 6 0 vrtunities to engage Did not respond <i>Frequency</i> 4	0.008 3.238 ursework 0 Percentage 22.588 32.268 6.458 19.358 19.358 19.358 0.008 2 ln : 0 Percentage 12.908	Median Mode Min/Max Standard	2.

Row 6 The amount of coursework required seems appropriate to the degree Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0 Numeric value Answer Frequency Percent 1 Strongly agree 6 19. 2 Agree 14 45. 3 Neutral 6 19. 4 Disagree 3 9. 5 Strongly disagree 2 6	5	Strongly disagree	4 .	12,90%
The amount of coursework required seems appropriate to the degreeTotal responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0Numeric valueAnswerFrequencyPercent1Strongly agree6192Agree14453Neutral6194Disagree395Strongly disagree26	6	No opinion	0	0.00%
the degree Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0 Numeric value Answer Frequency Percent 1 Strongly agree 6 19 2 Agree 14 45 3 Neutral 6 19 4 Disagree 3 9 5 Strongly disagree 2 6	Row 6			
Numeric valueAnswerFrequencyPercent1Strongly agree619.2Agree1445.3Neutral619.4Disagree39.5Strongly disagree26			ed seems appropr	riate to
valueAnswerFrequencyPercent1Strongly agree6192Agree14453Neutral6194Disagree395Strongly disagree26		Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
1Strongly agree619.2Agree1445.3Neutral619.4Disagree39.5Strongly disagree26	Numeric			
2 Agree 14 45. 3 Neutral 6 19. 4 Disagree 3 9. 5 Strongly disagree 2 6	value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage
3 Neutral 6 19 4 Disagree 3 9 5 Strongly disagree 2 6	1	Strongly agree	6	19.35%
3Neutral619.4Disagree395Strongly disagree26	2	Aaree	14	45.16%
5 Strongly disagree 2 6	3	Neutral	. 6	19.35%
	4	Disagree	3	9.68%
	5	Strongly disagree	2	6.45%
6 No opinion 0 0	6	No opinion	0	0.00%

Long response Question Statistics are not calculated for this question type.

Are there any additional comments regarding the quality of your academic program of study that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

Total responses (N): 15 .Did not respond: 16

Multiple c	hoice - one answer (menu)		sponse tistics*		
Are the	criteria for financial supp	ort in your departr	nent clear	Mean	1.87
and ava	ilable?			Median	2.00
			~	Mode	1
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/4
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.92
1	Yes	13	41.94%		
2	No	11	35.48%		
3	Unsure	5	16.13%		
4	No Opinion	2	6.45%		

Multiple of Ouestion	hoice - one answer (menu)				sponse tistics*
•	feel your department pro	uldos sufficiont fur	ding for	Mean	2.29
,	feel your department pro e students?	vides sufficient fui		Median	2.00
				Mode	2
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/4
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.82
1	Yes	4	12.90%		
2	No	17	54.84%		
3	Unsure	7	22.58%		
4	No Opinion	3	9.68%		

Multiple o	choice - one answer (menu)			Res sta	sponse tistics*
•				Mean	4.13
	e the amount of loans y versity of Washington by			Median	4.00
	g any loans for previous			Mode	1
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	•	Min/Max	1/9
Numeric	Total responses (N/: 51			Standard deviation	2.84
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	\$0	9	29.03%		
		0	6.45%		

3	\$10,000-\$24,999	3	9.68%
4	\$25,00-\$39,999	5	16.13%
5	\$40,000-\$54,999	2	6.45%
6	\$55,000-\$69,999	4	12.90%
7	\$70,000-\$84,999	0	0.00%
8	\$85,000-\$99,999	2	6.45%
9	\$100,000-\$124,999	4	12.90%
10	\$125,000-\$149,999	0	0.00%
11	\$150,000 or more	0	0.00%

Matrix - or Q <i>uestion</i>	ne answer per row (button)				sponse itistics*
-	e following scale, please a	annrovimate the n	umber of	Row1	
	that you have received e			Mean	4.81
•	-,			Median	5.00
Row 1				Mode	5
Teaching	Assistantship (TA)			Min/Max	3/5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard	0,48
Numeric	•			deviation	
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1 .	More than 9 quarters	0	0.00%	Mean	4.84
2	7-9 quarters	0	0.00%	Median	5.00
3	4-6 quarters	1	3.23%	Mode	5
4	1-3 quarters	4	12.90%	Min/Max	1/5
5	None	26	83.87%	、 Standard	0.73
				deviation	0.73
Row 2				Row3	
Research	n Assistantship (RA)			' Mean	5.00
			•	Median	5.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	U	Mode	5
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Min/Max	5/5
1 1	More than 9 quarters	1	3.23%	Standard	0.00
2	7-9 quarters	0	0.00%	deviation	0.00
3	4-6 quarters	0	0.00%	Row4	
4	1-3 quarters	1	3,23%	Mean	4.58
5	None	29	93.55%	Median	5.00
				Mode	5
Row 3				Min/Max	2/5
	vice fellowship (GSA)			Standard	0.89
Non Ser				deviation	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Row5	4.26
Numeric	A	Fraguancy	Percentage	Mean	
value 1	Answer More than 9 quarters	Frequency 0	0.00%	Median	5.00
2	7-9 quarters	0	0.00%	Mode	5
3	4-6 quarters	0	0.00%	Min/Max	1/5
4	1-3 quarters	0	0.00%	Standard deviation	1.15
5	None	31	100.00%	Row6	
					3.74
Row 4				- Mean	
	hin or grant /ie CDECT	ord NSE Fellows	nins etc.)	Median	5.00
rrainees	ship or grant (ie CREST, F	ord, NOT TEROWSI	"µ3, ctt.)	Mode	5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/5
Numeric				Standard deviation	1.67
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage 0,00%	Row7	
1	More than 9 quarters	0		Nean	3 E4
2	7-9 quarters	2	6.45% 6.45%		3.52
3	4-6 quarters			Median	4.00
4	1-3 quarters	3 24	9.68% 77.42%	Mode	5
5	None	24	11.425	Min/Max	1/5
				Standard deviation	1.6

Row 5				Mean	5.00
School o	of Nursing Scholarship			Median	5.00
	n narong ochoraromp			Mode	5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	5/5
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.00
1	More than 9 quarters	2	6.45%	Row9	
2	7-9 quarters	1	3.23%	Mean	4.23
3	4-6 quarters	2	6.45%		
4	1-3 quarters	8	25.81%	Median	5.00
5	None	18	58.06%	Mode	5
	Hone			Min/Max	1/5
Row 6				Standard deviation	1.36

Need-based financial aid/loans

Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0

	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	
Numeric			
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage
1	More than 9 quarters	7	22.58%
2	7-9 quarters	1	3.23%
3.	4-6 quarters	2	6.45%
4	1-3 quarters	4	12,90%
5	None	17	54.84%
Row 7			
Persona	al funding		
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
Numeric		Frequency	Dercentage
value	<i>Answer</i> More than 9 quarters	Frequency 7	Percentage 22.58%
2	7-9 quarters	3	9.68%
3	4-6 quarters	2	6.45%
4	•	5	16.13%
5	1-3 quarters None	14	45.16%
3	None		101200
Row 8			
	g from international progra	ıms	
Funding	Total responses (N): 31	IMS Did not respond:	0
Funding Numeric	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	
Funding	Total responses (N): 31 Answer		0 <i>Percentage</i> 0.00%
Funding Numeric value	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters	Did not respond: Frequency	Percentage
Funding Numeric value	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters	Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 0	<i>Percentage</i> ०.००%
Numeric value 1 2 3	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters	Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 0 0	<i>Percentage</i> 0.00% 0.00%
Funding Numeric value 1 2	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0	<i>Percentage</i> 0.00% 0.00%
Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 0 0	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Numeric value 1 2 3 4	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 0 0	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 Row 9	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 0 0	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00%
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 Row 9	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None unding Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 31	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00%
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 Row 9 Other f Numeric value	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None unding Total responses (N): 31 Answer	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 31 Did not respond: Frequency	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0 Percentage
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 8 Row 9 Other f	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None unding Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 31 Did not respond: Frequency 3	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0 Percentage 9.68%
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 Row 9 Other f Numeric value	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None unding Total responses (N): 31 Answer	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 31 Did not respond: Frequency	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0 Percentage
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 8 0ther f Numeric value 1	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None unding Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 31 Did not respond: Frequency 3	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0 Percentage 9.68%
Funding Numeric value 1 2 3 4 5 Row 9 Other f Numeric value 1 2	Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters 4-6 quarters 1-3 quarters None unding Total responses (N): 31 Answer More than 9 quarters 7-9 quarters	Did not respond: Frequency 0 0 0 31 Did not respond: Frequency 3 2	Percentage 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 100.00% 0 Percentage 9.66% 6.45%

Long response Question

Statistics are not calculated for this question type.

Are there any additional comments regarding funding issues that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

Total responses (N): 14 Did not respond: 17

Matrix - on <i>Question</i>	e answer per row (button)				Response statistics*
Jeace ev	aluate the quality of ad	vice and/or assista	nce vou	Row1	
	eived from your advisor			Mean	4.
	e following topics:		•	Median	5.
				Mode	
Row 1					
Employm	ent opportunities inside	academia		Min/Max	1
				Standard deviation	1.
1	Fotal responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
Numeric				Row2	
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage 3.23%	Mean	4.
1	Excellent			Median	5.
2	Very good	2	6,45%	Mode	
3	Good	7	22.58%	Min/Max	1
4	Fair	4	12.90%	Standard	
5	Poor	10	32,26%	deviation	1.
6	no opinion	7	22.58%	Row3	
				Mean	
Row 2					4.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Median	5.
Employm	ent opportunities outsic	ie academia		Mode	
,	Fotal responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1
	rocar responses (m/. 31	più not respond.	-	Standard	1.
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	deviation	1.
1	Excellent	1	3.23%	Row4	
2	Very good	3	9.68%	Mean	4.
3	Good	5	16,13%	Median	
4		5	16.13%		
	Fair	7	22.58%	Mode	
5	Poor	10	32.26%	Min/Max	1
6	no opinion	10	32.205	Standard deviation	
Row 3				Row5	
				Mean	4.
How to s	earch for a job			Median	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
	10001 10000000 (0,7			Mode	
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Min/Max	1
1	Excellent	2	6.45%	Standard	1
2	Very good	2	6.45%	deviation	
3	Good	3	9.68%		
4	Fair	6	19.35%		
5		7	22.58%		
	Poor	. 11	35.48%		
6	no opinion	11	55.46%		
Row 4					
How to p	prepare a resume or cur	riculum vitae			
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
Numeric		·			
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Excellent	2	6.45%		
2	Very good	1	3.23%		
. 3	Good	4	12.90%		
4	Fair	4	12,90%		
5	Poor	8	25.81%		
6	no opinion	12	38.71%		
0					

<i>Row 5</i> How to prepare for an interview					
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
Numeric value 1	Answer Excellent	Frequency 2	Percentage 6.45३		
2	Very good	1	3.23%		
3	Good	7	22.58%		
4	Fair	4	12.90%		
5	Poor	6	19.35%		
6	no opinion	11	35.48%		

Matrix - or Question	ne answer per row (button)				sponse tistics*
How satisfied are you with your department's opportunities to network with professionals in the following areas?			Row1 Mean Median	3.42 3.00	
Row 1				Mode	3, 4
Inside th	ne classroom:			Min/Max	1/6
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	1.43
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1	Excellent	3	9.68%	Mean	3.97
2	Very good	6	19.35%	Median	4.00
3	Good	7	22.58%	Mode	4
4	Fair	7	22.58%	Min/Max	1/6
5	Poor	6	19.35%	Standard	1/0
6	No opinion	. 2	6.45%	deviation	1.47
Row 2					
Outside	the classroom:				
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
Numeric					
value	Answer	Frequency 2	Percentage 6.45%		
1	Excellent	-			
2	Very good	4	12.90%		
3	Good	4	12.90%		
4	Fair	9	29.03%		
5	Poor	7	22.58%		

5

· 16.13%

Long response
QuestionStatistics are not calculated for
this question type.Are there any additional comments regarding career
counseling and employment assistance that you would like
GPSS to know and/or include in the report?Statistics are not calculated for
this question type.

Total responses (N): 13 Did not respond: 18

6

No opinion

Multiple ch Ouestion	noice - one answer (menu)				sponse tistics*
•	sfied are you with the qu	ality of advisioo?		Mean	2.90
1000 300	shed are you wan the qu	·		Median	3.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	2, 3
Numeric				Min/Max	1/6
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard	
1	Very Satisfied	6	19.35%	deviation	1.51
2	Satisfied	8	25.81%		
3	Somewhat satisfied	8	25.81%		
4	Dissatisfied	3	9.68%		

5	Very dissatisfied	4	12,90%
6	No opinion	2	6.45%

Multiple Question	choice - one answer (menu)				esponse tatistics*
How sa	tisfied are you with the ar ith your advisor?	nount of commun	ication you	Mean Median	2.61
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode Min/Max	1, 2, 3 1/6
Numeric value	Answer Verv Satisfied	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	1.41
2	Satisfied	. 8	25.81%		
3	Somewhat satisfied	. 8	25.81%		
4	Dissatisfied	3	9.68%		
5	Very dissatisfied	3	9.68%		
6	No opinion	1	3.23%		

Matrix - oi <i>Question</i>	ne answer per row (button)				Response statistics*
Have voi	u received adequate advi	ce from your advis	or on the	Row1	
following		ce nom your aavis		Mean	1.10
	,			Median	1.00
Row 1				Mode	:
Academi	c requirements			Min/Max	1/:
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	0.3
Numeric	A	Francisco	Deveenteen	Row2	
value 1	Answer Yes	Frequency 26	Percentage 83,87%	Mean	2.1
2	No	5	16.13%	Median	
3	No opinion	0	0.00%		2.0
4	•	0	0.00%	Mode	
-	Not applicable	Ŭ	0.000	Min/Max	1/
Row 2				Standard deviation	1.10
Professio	onal and internship requi	rements		Row3	
10100010		entento		Mean	1.9
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Median	2.0
Numeric				Mode	
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Min/Max	1/
1	Yes	13	41,94%	Standard	1/
2	No	7	22.58%	deviation	0.8
3	No opinion	5	16.13% 19.35%	Row4	
4	Not applicable	Ø	19.35%	Mean	1.8
				Median	2.0
Row 3					
Professio	onal development			Mode	
	•			Min/Max	1/
Numeric	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	0.9
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row5	
1	Yes	11	35.48%	Mean	1,9
2	No	12	38.71%	Median	2.0
3	No opinion	7	22.58%	Mode	
4	Not applicable	1	3.23%	Min/Max	1/
				Standard	
Row 4				deviation	0.9
Future ca	areer goals and trajector	У		Row6	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	Ó	Mean	2.0
	Total responses (n/, SI	Sid not respond.	-	Median	2.0
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Mode	
1	Yes	13	41.94%	Min/Max	1/
2	No	12	38.71%		

3	No opinion	4	12.90%	Standard	0.
4	Not applicable	2	6.45%	deviation	
				Row7	-
Row 5				Mean	2
Professio	onal and academic opport	tunities within the		Median	2
departm	ent			Mode	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	:
	total lesponses (n/. si	bid not respond.		Standard deviation	0
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Yes	13	41.94%	Row8	
2	No	10	32.26%	Mean	1
3	No opinion	б	19.35%	Median	2
4	Not applicable	2	6.45%	Mode	
	••			Min/Max	:
Row 6				Standard deviation	0
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Yes	8	25.81%		
2	No	16	51.61%		
3	No opinion	6	19.35%		
4	Not applicable	1	3.23%		
Row 7 How to c	get involved in campus w	ide and departme	nt affairs		
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
Numeric		_			
	<i>Answer</i> Yes	Frequency 7	Percentage 22.58%		
	105	16	51.61%		
1	No	w •			
1 2	No No opinion	5	16.13%		
1 2 3	No opinion	-	16.13%		
1 2		5 3	16.13% 9.68%		
2 3	No opinion	-			

Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0

Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage
1	Yes	15	48.39%
2	No	10	32.26%
3	No opinion	4	12.90%
4	Not applicable	2	6.45%

Multiple choice - one answer (menu) Ouestion			Response statistics*		
- How res	ponsive is your departme concerns/suggestions?	ent's administratio	n to	Mean Median Mode	3.87 4.00 6
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/6
Numeric value 1	<i>Answer</i> Excellent	Frequency 3	Percentage 9.68%	Standard deviation	1.75
2	Very good	5	16.13%		
3	Good	7	22.58%		
4 5	Fair Poor	2	6.45% 19.35%		
6	No opinion	8	25.81%		

Multiple choice - one answer (menu) <i>Question</i>			Response statistics*		
- Does yo	ur department have an o cee with student, faculty,			Mean Median	1.71 1.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode Min/Max	1 · 1/3
Numeric value	<i>Answer</i> Yes	Frequency 20	Percentage 64.52%	Standard deviation	0.97
2	No	0	0.00%		
3	Don't know	11	35.48%		

Question	one answer per row (button)		sponse atistics*		
Please s	elect one answer for each	Row1			
		Mean	1.90		
Row 1		Median	2.00		
	en your faculty members		ng	Mode	2
discussi	ons of diversity in their cl	asses?		Min/Max	1/5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	1.16
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1	High	13	41.94%	Mean	2.29
2	Above Average	14	45.16%	Median	2.00
3	Below Average	1	3.23%	Mode	1
4	Low	0 .	0.00%	Min/Max	1/5
		3	9.68%		
5	No opinion	3	9.00%	Standard deviation	1.51
5 Row 2	No opinion	3	2.00%		1.51
Row 2	No opinion mmitted your program of			deviation	3.58
<i>Row 2</i> How coi	•			deviation Row3	
Row 2 How coi	mmitted your program of students	study is to attract	ing	deviation Row3 Mean	3.58
<i>Row 2</i> How con diverse	mmitted your program of		ing	deviation Row3 Mean Median	3,58
Row 2 How coi	mmitted your program of students	study is to attract	ing	deviation Row3 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard	3.58 5.00 5
Row 2 How con diverse Numeric	mmitted your program of students Total responses (N): 31	study is to attract Did not respond:	ing o	deviation Row3 Mean Median Mode Min/Max	3.58 5.00
Row 2 How con diverse Numeric value	mmitted your program of students Total responses (۱۱): 31 Answer	Study is to attract Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i>	ing o Percentage	deviation Row3 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard	3.58 5.00 5
Row 2 How con diverse Numeric value 1	mmitted your program of students Total responses (۱۱): 31 <i>Answer</i> High	Study is to attract Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 12	o Percentage 38.71%	deviation Row3 Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation	3.58 5.00 5
Row 2 How con diverse Numeric value 1 2	mmitted your program of students Total responses (۱۱): 31 <i>Answer</i> High Above Average	Study is to attract Did not respond: <i>Frequency</i> 12 11	0 Percentage 38.71% 35.48%	deviation Row3 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4	3.58 5.00 5 1/5 1.75 3.52
Row 2 How con diverse Numeric value 1 2 3	mmitted your program of students Total responses (۱۱): 31 <i>Answer</i> High Above Average Below Average	Study is to attract Did not respond: Frequency 12 11 1	0 Percentage 38.71% 35.48% 3.23%	deviation Row3 Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean	3.58 5.00 1/5 1.75
Row 2 How con diverse Numeric value 1 2 3 4	mmitted your program of students Total responses (۱۱): 31 <i>Answer</i> High Above Average Below Average Low	Study is to attract Did not respond: Frequency 12 11 1 1	0 Percentage 38.71% 35.48% 3.23% 3.23%	deviation Row3 Mean Median Mode Min/Max Standard deviation Row4 Mean Median	3.58 5.00 1/5 1.75 3.52 5.00

Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0

value 1 2 3 4 5	Answer High Above Average Below Average Low No opinion	Frequency 6 1 0 18	Percentage 19.35% 19.35% 3.23% 0.00% 58.06%
	partment's level of suppo tional students	rt of the needs of	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0

5	No opinion	17	54.84%
---	------------	----	--------

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Question			Respons statistic		
•	se of community in my d	epartment has ger	nerally	Mean Median Mode	3.13 3.00 3
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/5
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	1.26
2	Excellent Very good	7	22.58%		
3 4	Good	10 5	32.26% 16.13%		
5	Fair Poor	6	19.35%		
6	No opinion	0	0.00%		

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Duestion					sponse tistics*
•			c	Mean	2.94
	tisfied are you the the cul nent? (i.e. feeling of secu	Median	2.00		
	ssues or the sense that t			Mode	2
student		,		Min/Max	1/6
				•	1/6
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	1.7
Numeric	A	Frequency	Percentage		
value	Answer	Frequency	22.58%		
T	Very Satisfied	,			
2	Satisfied	9	29.03%		
3	Somewhat satisfied	· 5	16.13%		
. 4	Dissatisfied	3	9.68%		
		-	9.68%		
5	Very dissatisfied	3	5.00%		

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Ouestion			Response statistics*		
•	isfied are you with your c	Mean	3.65		
	idemic conflict? (ie Stude		y to deal	Median	3.00
	/Faculty, Student/Staff)	,,	****	Mode	6
			•	Min/Max	1/6
Numeric	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond: 0		Standard deviation	1.89
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Very Satisfied	4	12.90%		
2	Satisfied	8	25.81%		
3	Somewhat satisfied	4	12.90%		
4	Dissatisfied	3	9.68%		
5	Very dissatisfied	3	9.68%		
6	No opinion	9	29.03%		

.

Multiple cl Ouestion	ultiple choice - one answer (button)		Response statistics*		
	extent to you is communi	cation with ctude	nte and	Mean	1.71
	oortant to you is communi rom other areas of study a			Median	1.00
of Nursir	•	F·		Mode	· 1
	The hash and the second	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/4
Numeric	•	-	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.94
value	Answer	Frequency	54.84%		
1	Very important	17	54.843		
2	Important	8	25.81%		
3	Somewhat important	4	12.90%		

4

Statistics are not calculated for this question type.

Long response Question Are there any additional comments regarding diversity and equity issues that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

Total responses (N): 7 Did not respond: 24

Matrix - or <i>Question</i>	ne answer per row (button)	Response statistics*			
-	anand to the fellouter	unchione recording	Vour	Row1	
	spond to the following q experience while in you			Mean	3.77
cocarcii	copenence while in you	Median	3.00		
Row 1				Mode	3
The level	of training you have red	eived before begin	nning		
your owr	research or scholarly w	ork:		Min/Max	1/7
			•	Standard deviation	2.11
,	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	U	Row2	
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	4.52
1	Excellent	6	19.35%		
2	Very good	3	9.68%	Median	5,00
3	Good	7	22.58%	Mode	7
4	Fair	5	16.13%	Min/Max	1/7
5	Poor	1	3.23%	Standard	1.91
6	No opinion	-	12.90%	deviation	
7	•	5	16.13%	Row3	
	Not Applicable	-		Mean	4.61
				Median	4.00
Row 2				Mode	7
	ity of faculty guidance y	ou have received		Min/Max	1/7
formulat	ing a research topic			Standard	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	deviation	1,99
Numeric					
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Excellent	1	3.23%		
2	Very good	4	12,90%		
3	Good	7	22.58%		
4	Fair	3	9.68%		
5	Poor	6	19.35%		
6.	No opinion	2	6.45%		
7	Not Applicable	8	25.81%		
Row 3					
	lability and quality of op ation with one or more fa				
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0		
Numeric					
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Excellent	2	6.45%		
2	Very good	3	9.68%		
3	Good	5	16.13%		
4	Fair	6	19.35%		
5	Poor	3	9.68%		
6	No opinion	3	9.68%		
7 ′	Not Applicable	9	29.03%		

Response statistics*

	assisted in writing a g	grant proposal or wi	ritten one	Mean	1,84
yourself?				Median	2.00
т	otal responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	2
Numeric				Min/Max	1/2
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard	
1	Yes	5	16.13%	deviation	0.37
2	No	26	83.87%		

Multiple choice - one answer (button Ouestion	Re: sta	sponse tistics*	
Have you attended a profession	al conference?	Mean	1.32
nave you attended a profession		Median	1.00
Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond: 0	Mode	1
Numeric		Min/Max	1/2
value Answer	Frequency Percentage	Standard	
¹ Yes	21 67.74%	deviation	0.48
2 No	10 32.26%	5	

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Ouestion				Response statistics*		
•	u precented a paper or a	noctor at a profe	scional	Mean	1.77	
nave yo conferer	u presented a paper or a nce?	poster at a profes	55101121	Median	2.00	
				Mode	2	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/2	
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.43	
1	Yes	7	22.58%			
2	No	24	77.42%			

Long response Question Are there any additional comments regarding your research experience that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

Total responses (N): 2 Did not respond: 29

Multiple choice - one answer (button)				. Respo statis	
Please evaluate the level of assistance you have received from support or technical staff in your program (ie Office of Student Services, Tech Center, Academic Support Staff, etc.)				Mean Median Mode	3.10 2.00 2
Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0 Numeric		0	Min/Max Standard deviation	1/6 1.83	
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Excellent	6	19.35%		
2	Very good	10	32,26%		
3	Good	ʻ 5	16.13%		
4	Fair	1	3.23%		
5	Poor	3	9.68%		
	No opinion	ć	19.35%		

Multiple choice Ouestion	e - one answer (button)			Re: sta	sponse tistics*
•		adhaalt from vour	advicer	Mean	1.61
	Do you receive end of the year feedback from your advisor or faculty from your program of study?				2.00
	, , , ,	•		Mode	2
Tota	1 responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/2
Numeric	nswer	Frequency	Percentage		

Statistics are not calculated for this question type.

value				Standard	
1	Yes	12	38.71%	deviation	0.50
2 '	No	19	61.29%		

Multiple (choice - one answer (button)	Response statistics*			
	eceive end of year feedba	ick from your advi	sor or	Mean	1.50
	from your program of stu			Median	1.00
,	e feedback?	- //		Mode	1
				Min/Max	1/3
Total responses (N): 12 Numeric value Answer		-		Standard deviation	0.67
1	Answer Very Satisfied	Frequency	Percentage		
2	Satisfied	4	33,33%		
3	Somewhat satisfied	1	8.33%		
4	Dissatisfied	0	0.00%		
5	Very dissatisfied	0	0.00%		

Multiple of Question	Aultiple choice - one answer (button) Juestion				Response statistics*	
advisor	lo NOT receive end of the or faculty from your prog ne feedback?			Mean Median Mode	1.16 1.00 1	
	Total responses (N): 19	Did not respond	0	Min/Max	1/2	
Numeric	• • • •			Standard deviation	0.37	
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage			
1	Yes	16	84.21%			
	No	2	15.79%			

Matrix - o <i>Question</i>	ne answer per row (button)	sta	sponse tistics*		
Rate the	extent to which these fa	ctors are an obsta	cle to	Row1	
your aca	demic progress:			Mean	2.87
Row 1	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			Median	3.00
				Mode	2, 4
Departm	ent space and facilities			Min/Max	1/5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	1.12
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Row2	
1	Major obstacle	3	9.68%	Mean	3.74
2	Somewhat of an	11	35.48%	Median	4.00
	obstacle			Mode	4
3	Minor obstacle	. 5	16.13%	Min/Max	1/5
4	Not an obstacle	11	35.48%	Standard	
5	Not applicable	1	3.23%	deviation	1.15
			·	Row3	
Row 2				Mean	2.19
Researcl	n facilities/space/technol	ogy		Median	2.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	1
				Min/Max	1/5
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard	. 1.17
1	Major obstacle	2	6.45%	deviation	
2	Somewhat of an	3	9.68%	Row4	
	obstacle			Меал	2.48
3	Minor obstacle	4	12.90%	Median	2.00
4	Not an obstacle	14	45.16%	Mode	2
5	Not applicable	8	25,81%	Min/Max	1/5
				Standard deviation	1.23
				Row5	

Row 3				Mean	2.97
Work/fin	ancial commitments			Median	3.00
11 OT IQ IIII				Mode	4
1	Fotal responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	. 1/5
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	1.17
1	Major obstacle	11	35.48%	Row6	
2	Somewhat of an	9	29.03%	Mean	2.68
	obstacle	<i>c</i>	10 250	Median	3.00
3	Minor obstacle	6	19.35%	Mode	
4	Not an obstacle	4	12.90%		2, 4
5	Not applicable	1	3.23%	Min/Max Standard	1/4
Row 4				deviation	1.11
Family of	oligations			Row7	
	-			Mean	4.32
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	. Median	5.00
Numeric		F	Deveesteree	Mode	5
value 1	<i>Answer</i> Major obstacle	Frequency 7	Percentage 22.58%	Min/Max	1/5
2	Somewhat of an	12	38.71%	Standard deviation	1.33
	obstacle			Row8	
3	Minor obstacle	4	12.90%	Меал	3.71
4	Not an obstacle	6	19.35%	Median	
5	Not applicable	2	6.45%		5.00
				Mode	5
Row 5				Min/Max	1/5
Availabili	ty of faculty			Standard deviation	1.60
	-			Row9	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mean	2,87
Numeric	Anour	Francisco	Dorcont		
value 1	<i>Answer</i> Major obstacle	Frequency 5	Percentage 16.13%	Median	3.00
2	Somewhat of an	5	16.13%	Mode	4
	obstacle			Min/Max	1/5
3	Minor obstacle	8	25.81%	Standard deviation	1.20
4	Not an obstacle	12	38,71%	Row10	
5	Not applicable	1	3.23%	1	4 07
				Mean	4.87
Row 6				Median	5.00
	structure and/or require	ements		Mode	5
əşram				Min/Max	4/5
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Standard deviation	0.34
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Major obstacle	5	16,13%		
2	Somewhat of an	10	32,26%		
	obstacle				
3	Minor obstacle	6	19.35%		
4	Not an obstacle	10	32.26%		
5	Not applicable	0	0.00%		
Row 7					
Culminal	ting Masters project or t	hesis			
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	-	
	responses (N). SI	not respond.	-		
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage		
1	Major obstacle	3	9,68%		
2	Somewhat of an	1	3.23%		
	obstacle				
	Minor obstacle	2	6.45%		
3		2	6.45%		
4	Not an obstacle			1	
	Not an obstacle Not applicable	23	74.19%		
4			74.19%		

Row 8			
Disserta	ation topic/research		
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
Numeric	.	[require a l	Demontana
value 1	<i>Answer</i> Major obstacle	Frequency 5	Percentage 16.13%
2	Somewhat of an	4	12,90%
	obstacle		
3	Minor obstacle	2	6.45%
4	Not an obstacle	4	12.90%
5	Not applicable	16	51.61%
Row 9	· · · ·		
Course	Scheduling		
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
Numeric		F	Descenteres
value	<i>Answer</i> Major obstacle	Frequency 5	Percentage 16.13%
2	Somewhat of an	7	22.58%
	obstacle		
3	Minor obstacle	8	25.81%
4	Not an obstacle	9	29.03%
5	Not applicable	2	6.45%
Row 10			
Immigr	ation laws or regulations/	visa concerns	
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0
Numeric		Frequency	Percentage
value 1	<i>Answer</i> Major obstacle	Frequency 0	0.00%
2	Somewhat of an	0	0.00%
-	obstacle		
3	Minor obstacle	0	0.00%
4	Not an obstacle	4	12.90%
5	Not applicable	27	87.10%

Multiple cl <i>Ouestion</i>	hoice - one answer (button)	Response statistics*			
•	ly are you to complete yo ectives?	Mean Median Mode	1.03 1.00		
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/2
Numeric value 1	Answer Very likely	Frequency 30	Percentage 96.77%	Standard deviation	0.18
2	Somewhat likely	1	3.23%		
3	Likely	0	0.00%		
4	Somewhat unlikely	0	0.00%		
5	Very unlikely	0	0.00%		
6	No opinion	0	0.00%		

	lultiple choice - one answer (button)				sponse tistics*
•		·		Mean	2.39
	stimate the total number lete your degree:	or years it will tai	ke for you	Median	2.00
co comp	iete your degree.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Mode	2
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/4
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.88
1	1-2	4	12.90%		
2	3	15	48.39%		

 3	4	8	25.81%
4	5	4	12.90%
5	6	0	0.00%
6	7+	0	0.00%

Multiple Ouestion	Multiple choice - one answer (button)				sponse tistics*
•		ables you to and		Меал	1.10
timely i	feel the department is pu manor?		Median	1.00	
				Mode	1
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/2
Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	0.30
1	Yes	28	90.326		
2	No	3	9.68%		

Multiple choice - one answer (button) <i>Question</i>	Response statistics*			
-	Mean	2.16 2.00		
Would you recommend your prog students in your field?	Median			
	Mode	. 2		
Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/5
Numeric value Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	1.13
1 Definitely	9 14	45.16%		
2 Probabaly				
3 Maybe	4	12.90%		
4 Probably not	2	6.45%		
5 Definitely not	2	6.45%		
6 No opinion	0	0.00%		

 Long response
 Statistics are not calculated for this question

 Question
 When you applied to the University of Washington's School of Nursing, what other universities did you consider?

 Total responses (N): 23
 Did not respond: 8

Multiple c Ouestion	hoice - one answer (button)	Response statistics'			
• Please ra	ate the quality of your ac	Mean	2.39		
Universi	ty of Washington:			Median Mode	2.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1, 2
Numeric value 1	<i>Answer</i> Excellent	Frequency 9	Percentage	Standard deviation	1.23
2	Very good	9	29.03%		
3	Good	7	22.58%		
4	Fair	4	12.90%		
5	Poor	2	6.45%		
6	No opinion	0	0.00%	•	

Long response Question

Are there any additional comments regarding your academic programs that you would like GPSS to know and/or include in the report?

Statistics are not calculated for this question type.

.

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Ouestion					sponse tistics*
•	ny years have you bee	Mean	2.35		
Nursing				Median	2,00
				Mode	1
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Min/Max	1/4
Numeric /alue	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard deviation	1.28
1	1st year	12	38.71%		
2	2nd year	5	16,13%		
3	3rd year	5	16.13%		
4	4th year	9	29.03%		

Multiple choice - one answer (button) <i>Question</i> What is your current official enrollment status?					sponse tistics*
				Mean Median	1.19 1.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	1
Numeric				Min/Max	1/2
/alue 1	<i>Answer</i> Full-time	Frequency 25	<i>Percentage</i> ४०.६५६	Standard deviation	0.40
2	Part-time	6	19.35%	********	
3	On leave	0	0.00%		

Multiple o	choice - one answer (button)		sponse tistics*		
What is your residency status?				Mean	1.10
what is	your residency status?			Median	1.00
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	1
Numeric	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			Min/Max	.1/3
value 1	Answer In-State	Frequency 29	Percentage 93.55%	Standard deviation	0.40
2	Out-of-State	1	3.23%		
3	International Student	1	3.23%		

1 A A

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Ouestion				Respor statisti	
•		Mean	1.71		
Are you	a 1st generation college/	Median	2.00		
	Total responses (N): 31	Did not respond:	0	Mode	2
Numeric				Min/Max	1/2
value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Standard	
1	Yes	9	29.03%	deviation	0.46
2	No	22	70.97%		

Short response Question	Statistics are not calculated for this question type.
How do you gender identify?	
Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0	

Multiple choice - one answer (button) Ouestion		Response statistics*
Question	Mean	1,90
Do you identify as LGBTQ?	Median	2.00
Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0	Mode	2

Numeric value	Answer	Frequency	Percentage	Min/Max	1/2
1	Yes		9.68%	Standard deviation	0.30
2	No	28	90.32%	Geviation	

Chart	Statistics are not calculated for this question type.
What is your race(s) and/or ethnicity(ies)?	
Total responses (N): 31 Did not respond: 0	

Questions or comments? Contact us or email catalysthelp@uw.edu