



University of Washington
Public Health Capacity Building Center


Partner Services PrEP Delivery Model in Tennessee

Kimberly Truss, MPH
Tennessee Department of Health

April 10th, 2017




Department of
Health



Disclaimer: *The findings and conclusions in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*



Tennessee Department of Health Regional Map



★ Regional Office

West	West	Mid-Cumberland	South Central	Southeast	Upper Cumberland	East	Northeast
#County 3Benton 9Carroll 17Crockett 23Dyer 27Gibson 40Henry 48Lake 66Obion ★ 92Weakley	#County 12Chester 20Decatur 24Fayette 35Hardeman 36Hardin 38Haywood 39Henderson 49Lauderdale 55McNairy 84Tipton	#County 11Cheatham 22Dickson 42Houston 43Humphreys 63Montgomery 74Robertson 75Rutherford 81Stewart 83Sumner 85Trousdale 94Williamson 95Wilson	#County 2Bedford 16Coffee 28Giles 41Hickman 50Lawrence 51Lewis 52Lincoln 59Marshall 60Maury ★ 64Moore 68Perry 91Wayne	#County 4Bledsoe 6Bradley 26Franklin 31Grundy 54McMinn 58Marion 61Meigs 70Polk 72Rhea 77Sequatchie	#County 8Cannon 14Clay 18Cumberland 21DeKalb 25Fentress 44Jackson 56Macon 67Overton 69Pickett 71Putnam ★ 80Smith 88VanBuren 89Warren 93White	#County 1Anderson 5Blount 07Campbell 13Claiborne 15Cocke 29Granger 32Hamblen 45Jefferson 53Loudon 62Monroe 65Morgan 73Roane 76Scott 78Sevier 87Union	#County 10Carter 30Greene 34Hancock 37Hawkins 46Johnson 86Unicoi 90Washington ★ Metros #County 19Davidson ★ 33Hamilton ★ 47Knox ★ 57Madison ★ 79Shelby 82Sullivan

Priority Populations for PrEP

- Any male or TG (HIV-) that is positive for syphilis
- Any male or TG (HIV-) that is positive for a rectal swab of GC or CT
- Any male partner (HIV -) of a male or TG that is positive for any STD, including HIV
- Any male or TG (HIV-) that answers a sexual history that is anal sex = yes
- Any male or TG (HIV-) that answers a sexual history that is IDU = yes

Priority Populations Continued

“Data to PrEP”: using regional STD surveillance data, we estimated the # of annual PrEP referrals per Metro/Region and DIS.

COUNTY	Shelby	Madison	Davidson	Knox	Sullivan	Hamilton
Priority 1	302	7	111	56	7	25
Priority 2	48	1	105	30	6	19
Priority 3	27	121	71	249	40	119
Priority 4	186	21	128	156	16	124
Priority 5	4	3	0	1	10	7
Total De-duplicated	797 (474)	153 (122)	415 (292)	492 (370)	79 (61)	294 (197)

- Acknowledge DIS Concerns about workload and expectations for PrEP referrals

PrEP Directory

- Efforts led by Community Engagement Director
- An on-going collaboration of:
 - ◻ CBO contacts
 - ◻ HIV community groups
 - ◻ TDH HIV health care partners
 - ◻ LGBT community resources
- ~75 referrals
- Referral is followed up with a phone call (call script)
- Provider sent a Letter of Request
- Currently 41 providers (organized by region)
- Next steps TPCA newsletter article



Other PrEP Resources

Patient Navigators (12-1201 CBO grants)


- 5 navigation programs funded
- Navigators follow up with PrEP patients for 6 months, providing support and addressing barriers to PrEP access and adherence.

PrEP DIS (15-1506 Shelby County/Memphis only)

- Funding 2 FT PrEP DIS housed in the SCHD
- PrEP DIS will contact previous patients who are of the priority population
- PrEP DIS will also interview current patients who are of the priority population
- Goal: Interview 20 patients per DIS
 - ~6% educated about PrEP have received RX

PrEP Training


- Incorporate PrEP into the HIV Prevention “toolkit”
- Identify PrEP candidates among patients
- Provide individual education about PrEP (especially among high risk patients)
- Make PrEP referrals to interested candidates
- Track referral data



Training Exercises

- **HIV Prevention Toolbox Pros and Cons**
 - Participants determine where PrEP fits into HIV Prevention strategy
- **Role-Playing PrEP Education for Patients**
 - Participants practice “What is PrEP?” elevator speech
 - Participants become familiar with questions to expect from clients
 - Participants learn which questions to ask to assess patient eligibility and readiness for PrEP
- **“To PrEP or Not to PrEP?”** (patient scenarios)
 - Encourages discussion of myriad “what if?” and “if/then” situations.
 - Participants must explain why or why not?
 - “Financially PrEPared” exercise addresses various patient situations with insurance, Patient Assistance, etc.

Documentation



- DIS to keep a list of referrals (PRISM ID# or PTBMIS Patient ID#)
- DIS PrEP Referral Notebook
- Send list to local supervisor
- Local supervisor combines lists from individual DIS
- Local supervisor sends combined list to Central Office (monthly)
- PrEP Epidemiologist record search each patient to retrieve risk information (race, ethnicity and sexual preference)
- Late 2017 will add the following fields to PRISM:

PrEP Referral:

HIV positive (not applicable) Already on PrEP

Does not meet PrEP eligibility criteria

PrEP referral offered Yes No

Referral accepted

Referral declined

Patients Served in Health Departments

2016/2017 PrEP Referrals By Region

Monthly Data Report

	Davidson	Hamilton	Knox	Madison	Shelby	Sullivan	Upper Cumberland	Southeast	South Central	Northeast	Mid Cumberland	East	West
16-Jul	0	5	13	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	17	5	0
16-Aug	0	5	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16-Sep	0	2	15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
16-Oct	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0
16-Nov	16	8	1	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	5
16-Dec	13	11	6	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	4	0
17-Jan	0	18	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
17-Feb	0	12	2	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	15	3	0
Total	29	63	59	5	0	11	1	6	1	0	40	26	5

2016	175
2017	71
Total	246



THANK YOU

Kimberly Truss, MPH

Kimberly.truss@tn.gov

(615) 532-5744

Acknowledgements: Melissa Morrison, MA
Katherine Buchman, MPH
Brittney Graham, MS