

ʔǎ-prefixation on verbs and auxiliaries in Lhaovo (Maru)

Language: non-derivational use

SAWADA Hideo

Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa

Tokyo University of Foreign Studies

sawadah@aa.tufs.ac.jp

Lhaovo (Maru), a Burmish language, has a prefix ʔǎ- deriving a noun from a verb, like Burmese ʔǎ-.

- (1) ʔǎyiL < yiL, ʔǎpuŋH < puŋH, ʔǎmyoŋF < myoŋF, ʔǎpinF < pinF
 big one to be big hole to bore high place to be high end to end

However, not all ʔǎ- prefixed to verbal morphemes have derivational function. ʔǎ- prefixed to the predicate verb (or verbal string) of sentence/clause does not have nominalizing power.

- (2) a. tsoF tsoH(-raH) . ‘(I/you/(s)he) ate a meal.’
 b. tsoF ʔǎ-tsoH(-raH) . (= a. in propositional meaning)

ʔǎ- can also be prefixed to auxiliaries such as -koH ‘PLURAL’, -vaH ‘SPEAKER’S REALIZATION OF EVENTS/SITUATIONS REPORTED IN REAL TIME’, -šiL ‘still’ (or strings of auxiliaries).

- (3) a. ʔǎy-meŋF naF-šiH(-raH) . ‘(I/you/(s)he) still stay(s) there.’
 b. ʔǎy-meŋF naL ʔǎ-šiH . (= a. in propositional meaning)

(4) loL ʔǎ-vaH . ‘(I/you/(s)he) have/has just come.’

(5) loL ʔǎ-vǎ-koH . ‘(We/you/they) have/has just come.’

(6) ʔǎy-meŋF naL ʔǎ-šǎ-koH . ‘(We/you/they) still stay there.’

Verbs/auxiliaries with non-derivational ʔǎ- are *preferred* (not *selected*) in the second sentence of ‘not ... but ...’-like combination, and with -tsaL ‘only’.

- (7) a. tsǎkhaŋL khoŋFceL mǎ-liH . lǎmaŋL ləmHkhoŋF ʔǎ-liH .
 ‘Zakhaung Khao Je did not come. It is Lamaung Leim Khao that came.’
 b. ‘tsǎkhaŋL-moʔF mǎ-liH-koH . lǎmaŋL-moʔF liH ʔǎ-koH .’
 ‘Zakhaung family did not come. It is Lamaung family that came.’

(8) a. voʔFšoL-tsaL ʔǎ-tsoH . ‘(I/you/(s)he) eat(s) only pork.’

b. voʔFšoL-tsaL tsoH ʔǎ-vaH . ‘(I/you/(s)he) have/has become to eat only pork.’

In this presentation, I try to clarify similarity and difference between the above two constructions with ʔǎ-, in connection with its function of nominalization.