Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Mouse Embryonic and Adult Fibroblast Cultures by Defined Factors
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SUMMARY

Differentiated cells can be reprogrammed to an embryonic-like state by transfer of nuclear contents into oocytes or by fusion with embryonic stem (ES) cells. Little is known about factors that induce this reprogramming. Here, we demonstrate induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic or adult fibroblasts by introducing four factors, Oct3/4, Sox2, c-Myc, and Klf4, under ES cell culture conditions. Unexpectedly, Nanog was dispensable. These cells, which we designated iPS (induced pluripotent stem) cells, exhibit the morphology and growth properties of ES cells and express ES cell marker genes. Subcutaneous transplantation of iPS cells into nude mice resulted in tumors containing a variety of tissues from all three germ layers. Following injection into blastocysts, iPS cells contributed to mouse embryonic development. These data demonstrate that pluripotent stem cells can be directly generated from fibroblast cultures by the addition of only a few defined factors.

INTRODUCTION

Embryonic stem (ES) cells, which are derived from the inner cell mass of mammalian blastocysts, have the ability to grow indefinitely while maintaining pluripotency and the ability to differentiate into cells of all three germ layers (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Martin, 1981). Human ES cells might be used to treat a host of diseases, such as Parkinson’s disease, spinal cord injury, and diabetes (Thomson et al., 1998). However, there are ethical difficulties regarding the use of human embryos, as well as the problem of tissue rejection following transplantation in patients. One way to circumvent these issues is the generation of pluripotent cells directly from the patients’ own cells.

Somatic cells can be reprogrammed by transferring their nuclear contents into oocytes (Wilmut et al., 1997) or by fusion with ES cells (Cowan et al., 2005; Tada et al., 2001), indicating that unfertilized eggs and ES cells contain factors that can confer totipotency or pluripotency to somatic cells. We hypothesized that the factors that play important roles in the maintenance of ES cell identity also play pivotal roles in the induction of pluripotency in somatic cells.

Several transcription factors, including Oct3/4 (Nichols et al., 1998; Niwa et al., 2000), Sox2 (Avilion et al., 2003), and Nanog (Chambers et al., 2003; Mitsui et al., 2003), function in the maintenance of pluripotency in both early embryos and ES cells. Several genes that are frequently upregulated in tumors, such as Stat3 (Matsuda et al., 1999; Niwa et al., 1998), E-Ras (Takahashi et al., 2003), c-myc (Cartwright et al., 2005), Klf4 (Li et al., 2005), and β-catenin (Kielman et al., 2002; Sato et al., 2004), have been shown to contribute to the long-term maintenance of the ES cell phenotype and the rapid proliferation of ES cells in culture. In addition, we have identified several other genes that are specifically expressed in ES cells (Maruyama et al., 2005; Mitsui et al., 2003).

In this study, we examined whether these factors could induce pluripotency in somatic cells. By combining four selected factors, we were able to generate pluripotent cells, which we call induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells, directly from mouse embryonic or adult fibroblast cultures.

RESULTS

We selected 24 genes as candidates for factors that induce pluripotency in somatic cells, based on our hypothesis that such factors also play pivotal roles in the maintenance of ES cell identity (see Table S1 in the Supplemental Data available with this article online). For β-catenin, c-Myc, and Stat3, we used active forms, S33Y-β-catenin (Sadot et al., 2002), T58A-c-Myc (Chang et al., 2000), and Stat3-C (Bromberg et al., 1999), respectively. Because of the reported negative effect of Grb2 on pluripotency (Burdon et al., 1999; Cheng et al., 1998), we included its dominant-negative mutant Grb2ΔSH2 (Miyamoto et al., 2004) as one of the 24 candidates.
To evaluate these 24 candidate genes, we developed an assay system in which the induction of the pluripotent state could be detected as resistance to G418 (Figure 1A). We inserted a βgeo cassette (a fusion of the β-galactosidase and neomycin resistance genes) into the mouse Fbx15 gene by homologous recombination (Tokuzawa et al., 2003). Although specifically expressed in mouse ES cells and early embryos, Fbx15 is dispensable for the maintenance of pluripotency and mouse development. ES cells homozygous for the βgeo knockin construct (Fbx15<sup>bgeo/bgeo</sup>) were resistant to extremely high concentrations of G418 (up to 12 mg/ml), whereas somatic cells derived from Fbx15<sup>bgeo/bgeo</sup> mice were sensitive to a normal concentration of G418 (0.3 mg/ml). We expected that even partial activation of the Fbx15 locus would result in resistance to normal concentrations of G418.

We introduced each of the 24 candidate genes into mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from Fbx15<sup>bgeo/bgeo</sup>
embryos by retroviral transduction (Morita et al., 2000). Transduced cells were then cultured on STO feeder cells in ES cell medium containing G418 (0.3 mg/ml). We did not, however, obtain drug-resistant colonies with any single factor, indicating that no single candidate gene was sufficient to activate the Fbx15 locus (Figure 1B; see also Table S2, which summarizes all of the transduction experiments in this study).

In contrast, transduction of all 24 candidates together generated 22 G418-resistant colonies (Figure 1B). Of the 12 clones for which we continued cultivating under selection, 5 clones exhibited morphology similar to ES cells, including a round shape, large nucleoli, and scant cytoplasm (Figure 1C). We repeated the experiments and observed 29 G418-resistant colonies, from which we picked 6 colonies. Four of these clones possessed ES cell-like morphology and proliferation properties (Figure 1D). The doubling time of these cells (19.4, 17.5, 18.7, and 18.6 hr) was equivalent to that of ES cells (17.0 hr). We designated these cells iPS-MEF24 for “pluripotent stem cells induced from MEFs by 24 factors.” Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) analysis revealed that the iPS-MEF24 clones expressed ES cell markers, including Oct3/4, Nanog, E-Ras, Cripto, Dax1, and Zfp296 (Mitsui et al., 2003) and Fgf4 (Yuan et al., 1995) (Figure 1E). Bisulfite genomic sequencing demonstrated that the promoters of Fbx15 and Nanog were demethylated in iPS cells (Figure 1F). By contrast, the Oct3/4 promoter remained methylated in these cells. These data indicate that some combination of these 24 candidate factors induced the expression of ES cell marker genes in MEF culture.

Next, to determine which of the 24 candidates were critical, we examined the effect of withdrawal of individual factors from the pool of transduced candidate genes on the formation of G418-resistant colonies (Figure 2). We identified 10 factors (3, 4, 5, 11, 14, 15, 18, 20, 21, and 22) whose individual withdrawal from the bulk transduction pool resulted in no colony formation 10 days after

Figure 2. Narrowing down the Candidate Factors
(A) Effect of the removal of individual factors from the pool of 24 transduced factors on the formation of G418-resistant colonies. Fbx15<sup>bgeo</sup>/bgeo MEFs were transduced with the indicated factors and selected with G418 for 10 days (white columns) or 16 days (black columns).
(B) Effect of the removal of individual factors from the selected 10 factors on the formation of G418-resistant colonies 16 days after transduction.
(C) Effect of the transduction of pools of four, three, and two factors on the formation of G418-resistant colonies 16 days after transduction.
(D) Morphologies of iPS-MEF4 (clone 7), iPS-MEF10 (clone 6), and iPS-MEF3 (clone 3). Scale bars = 200 μm.
transduction and fewer colonies 16 days after transduction. Combination of these 10 genes alone produced more ES cell-like colonies than transduction of all 24 genes did (Figure 2B).

We next examined the formation of colonies after withdrawal of individual factors from the 10-factor pool transduced into MEFs (Figure 2B). G418-resistant colonies did not form when either Oct3/4 (factor 14) or Klf4 (factor 20) was removed. Removal of Sox2 (factor 15) resulted in only a few G418-resistant colonies. When we removed c-Myc (factor 22), G418-resistant colonies did emerge, but these had a flatter, non-ES-cell-like morphology. Removal of the remaining factors did not significantly affect colony numbers. These results indicate that Oct3/4, Klf4, Sox2, and c-Myc play important roles in the generation of iPS cells from MEFs.

Combination of the four genes produced a number of G418-resistant colonies similar to that observed with the pool of 10 genes (Figure 2C). We continued cultivation of 12 clones for each transduction and were able to establish 4 iPS-MEF4 and 5 iPS-MEF10 clones. In addition, we could generate iPS cells (iPS-MEF4wt) with wild-type c-Myc instead of the T58A mutant (Table S2). These data demonstrate that iPS cells can be induced from MEF culture by the introduction of four transcription factors, Oct3/4, Sox2, c-Myc, and Klf4.

No combination of two factors could induce the formation of G418-resistant colonies (Figure 2C). Two combinations of three factors—Oct3/4, Sox2, and c-Myc (minus Klf4) or Klf4, Sox2, and c-Myc (minus Oct3/4)—generated a single, small colony in each case, but these could not be maintained in culture. With the combination of Oct3/4, Klf4, and Sox2 (minus c-Myc), we observed the formation of 36 G418-resistant colonies, which, however, exhibited a flat, non-ES-cell-like morphology. With the combination of Oct3/4, Klf4, and c-Myc (minus Sox2), we observed the formation of 54 G418-resistant colonies, of which we picked 6. Although all 6 clones could be maintained over several passages, the morphology of these cells (iPS-MEF3) differed from that of iPS-MEF4 and iPS-MEF10 cells, with iPS-MEF3 colonies exhibiting rough surfaces (Figure 2D). These data indicate that the combination of Oct3/4, c-Myc, and Klf4 can activate the Fbx15 locus, but the change induced by these three factors alone is different from that seen in iPS-MEF4 or iPS-MEF10 cells.

We performed RT-PCR to examine whether ES cell marker genes were expressed in iPS cells (Figure 3A). We used primers that would amplify transcripts of the endogenous gene but not transcripts of the transgene. iPS-MEF10 and iPS-MEF4 clones expressed the majority of marker genes, with the exception of Ecat1 (Mitsui et al., 2003). The expression of several marker genes, including Oct3/4, was higher in iPS-MEF4-7, iPS-MEF10-6, and iPS-MEF10-7 clones than in the remaining clones. Sox2 was only expressed in iPS-MEF10-6. The iPS-MEF4wt clone also expressed many of the ES cell marker genes (Figure S1). Chromatin immunoprecipitation analyses showed that the promoters of Oct3/4 and Nanog had increased acetylation of histone H3 and decreased dimethylation of lysine 9 of histone H3 (Figure 3B). CpG dinucleotides in these promoters remained partially methylated in iPS cells (Figure 3C). iPS-MEF4 and iPS-MEF10 cells were positive for alkaline phosphatase and SSEA-1 (Figure 3D) and showed high telomerase activity (Figure S2). These results demonstrate that iPS-MEF4 and iPS-MEF10 cells are similar, but not identical, to ES cells.

In iPS-MEF3 clones, Ecat1, Esg1, and Sox2 were not activated (Figure 3A). Nanog was induced, but to a lesser extent than in iPS-MEF4 and iPS-MEF10 clones. Oct3/4 was weakly activated in iPS-MEF3-3, -5, and -6 but was not activated in the remaining clones. By contrast, E-Ras and Fgf4 were activated more efficiently in iPS-MEF3 than in iPS-MEF10 or iPS-MEF4. These data confirm that iPS-MEF3 cells are substantially different from iPS-MEF10 and iPS-MEF4 cells.

We compared the global gene-expression profiles of ES cells, iPS cells, and Fbx15geo/geo MEFs using DNA microarrays (Figure 4A). In addition, we examined Fbx15geo/geo MEFs in which the four factors had been introduced without G418 selection, immortalized MEFs expressing K-RasV12, and NIH 3T3 cells transformed with H-RasV12. Pearson correlation analysis revealed that iPS cells are clustered closely with ES cells but separately from fibroblasts and their derivatives (Figure 4A). The microarray analyses identified genes that were commonly upregulated in ES cells and iPS cells, including Myb, Kit, Gdf3, and Zic3 (group I, Figure 4B and Table S3). Other genes were upregulated more efficiently in ES cells, iPS-MEF4, and iPS-MEF10 than in iPS-MEF3 clones, including Oppa3, Oppa4, Oppa5, Nanog, Sox2, Esmb, and Rex1 (group II). Lower expression of these genes may account for the lack of pluripotency in iPS-MEF3 cells. In addition, we found genes that were upregulated more prominently in ES cells than in iPS cells, including Dnmt3a, Dnmt3b, Dnmt3l, Uf11, Tcl1, and the LIF receptor gene (group III). These data confirm that iPS cells are similar, but not identical, to ES cells.

We examined the pluripotency of iPS cells by teratoma formation (Figure 5A; Table S6 and Figure S3). We obtained tumors with 5 iPS-MEF10 clones, 3 iPS-MEF4 clones, 1 iPS-MEF4wt clone, and 6 iPS-MEF3 clones after subcutaneous injection into nude mice. Histological examination revealed that 2 iPS-MEF10 clones (3 and 6), 2 iPS-MEF4 clones (2 and 7), and the iPS-MEF4wt-4 clone differentiated into all three germ layers, including neural tissues, cartilage, and columnar epithelium. iPS-MEF10-6 could give rise to all three germ layers even after 30 passages (Table S6 and Figure S3). We confirmed differentiation into neural and muscle tissues by immunostaining (Figure 5B) and RT-PCR (Figure S4). By contrast, these teratomas did not express the trophoblast marker Cdx2 (Figure S4). iPS-MEF10-1 tumors differentiated into ectoderm and endoderm, but not mesoderm, and no signs of differentiation were observed in tumors derived from the remaining iPS-MEF10 (7 and 10) or from iPS-MEF4-10.
These data demonstrate that the majority of, but not all, iPS-MEF10 and iPS-MEF4 clones exhibit pluripotency. In contrast, all tumors derived from iPS-MEF3 clones were composed entirely of undifferentiated cells (Table S6 and Figure S3). Thus, although the three factors (Oct3/4, c-Myc, and Klf4) could induce the expression of some ES cell marker genes, they were not able to induce pluripotency.

iPS-MEF10, iPS-MEF4, and iPS-MEF3 cells formed embryoid bodies in noncoated plastic dishes (Figure 5C). When grown in tissue culture dishes, the embryoid bodies from iPS-MEF10 and iPS-MEF4 cells attached to the dish bottom and initiated differentiation. After 3 days, immunostaining detected cells positive for α-smooth muscle actin (mesoderm marker), α-fetoprotein (endoderm marker), and βIII tubulin (ectoderm marker) (Figure 5D). By contrast, embryoid bodies from iPS-MEF3 cells remained undifferentiated even when cultured in gelatin-coated dishes (Figure 5C). These data confirmed pluripotency of iPS-MEF10 and iPS-MEF4 and nullipotency of iPS-MEF3 in vitro.

Figure 3. Gene-Expression Profiles of iPS Cells

(A) RT-PCR analysis of ES marker genes in iPS cells, ES cells, and MEFs. We used primer sets that amplified endogenous but not transgenic transcripts.

(B) The promoters of Oct3/4 and Nanog were analyzed by ChiP for dimethylation status of lysine 9 of histone H3 and acetylation status of histone H3 in ES cells, MEFs, and iPS cells (MEF4-7 and MEF10-6). Data were quantified by real-time PCR. Shown are the averages and standard deviations of relative values compared to ES cells (n = 3), *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 compared to MEFs.

(C) The promoters of Oct3/4, Nanog, and Fbx15 were analyzed with bisulfite genomic sequencing for DNA methylation status in iPS-MEF4-7 and iPS-MEF10-6. The DNA methylation status of these promoters in ES cells and MEFs is shown in Figure 1F.

(D) iPS-MEF4-7 and iPS-MEF10-6 clones were stained with a mouse monoclonal antibody against SSEA-1 (480, Santa Cruz) or with an alkaline phosphatase kit (Sigma). Scale bars = 500 μm (SSEA1) and 1 mm (AP).
Figure 4. Global Gene-Expression Analyses by DNA Microarrays

(A) Pearson correlation analysis of 10,517 probes was performed to cluster ES cells, iPS cells (MEF4-7, MEF10-6, MEF3-2, and MEF3-3), MEFs, MEFs expressing the four factors, immortalized MEFs expressing K-RasV12, and NIH 3T3 cells transformed by H-RasV12. Red indicates increased expression compared to median levels of the eight samples, whereas green means decreased expression.

(B) Genes upregulated in ES and/or iPS cells. Genes in group I are genes upregulated in ES cells and iPS cells. Genes in group II are upregulated more in ES cells, iPS-MEF4-7, and iPS-MEF10-6 than in iPS-MEF3 cells. Genes in group III are upregulated more in ES cells than in iPS cells. Lists of genes are shown in Tables S3–S5.
We next introduced the four selected factors into tail-tip fibroblasts (TTFs) of four 7-week-old male Fbx15<sup>bgeo</sup>/<sup>bgeo</sup> mice on a C57/BL6-129 hybrid background. We obtained 3 G418-resistant colonies, from each of which we could establish iPS cells (iPS-TTF4). We also introduced the four factors into TTFs from a 12-week-old female Fbx15<sup>bgeo</sup>/<sup>bgeo</sup> mouse, which also constitutively expressed green fluorescent protein (GFP) from the CAG promoter and had a C57/BL6-129-ICR hybrid background. Of the 13 G418-resistant colonies obtained, we isolated 6 clones from which we could establish iPS cells (iPS-TTFgfp4, clones 1–6). In addition, we established another iPS-TTFgfp4 (clone 7), in which the cDNA for each of the four factors was flanked with two loxP sites in the transgene. These cells were morphologically indistinguishable from ES cells (Figure 6A). RT-PCR showed that clones 3 and 7 of iPS-TTFgfp4 expressed the majority of ES cell marker genes at high levels and the others at lower levels (Figure 6B). In another attempt, we used either the T58A mutant or the wild-type c-Myc for transduction and established 5 iPS-TTFgfp4 clones (clones 8–12) and 3 iPS-TTFgfp4wt clones (clones 1–3) (Figure S5). RT-PCR
showed that iPS-TTFgfp4wt cells also expressed most of the ES cell marker genes (Figure S6).

We transplanted 2 iPS-TTF4 and 6 iPS-TTFgfp4 clones into nude mice, all of which produced tumors containing tissues of all three germ layers (Table S6 and Figure S3). We then introduced 2 clones of iPS-TTFgfp4 cells (clones 3 and 7) into C57/BL6 blastocysts by microinjection. With iPS-TTFgfp4-3, we obtained 18 embryos at E13.5, 2 of which showed contribution of GFP-positive iPS cells (Figure 6C). Histological analyses confirmed that iPS cells contributed to all three germ layers (Figure 6D). We observed GFP-positive cells in the gonad but could not determine whether they were germ cells or somatic cells. With iPS-TTFgfp4-7, we obtained 22 embryos at E7.5, 3 of which were positive for GFP. With the 2 clones, we had 27 pups born, but none of them were chimeric mice. In addition, iPS-TTFgfp4 cells could differentiate into all three germ layers in vitro (Figure S7). These data demonstrate that the four selected factors could induce pluripotent cells from adult mouse fibroblast cultures.
We further characterized the expression of the four factors and others in iPS cells. Real-time PCR confirmed that endogenous expression of Oct3/4 and Sox2 was lower in iPS cells than in ES cells (Figure S8). However, the total amount of the four factors from the endogenous genes and the transgenes exceeded the normal expression levels in ES cells. In contrast, Western blot analyses showed that the total protein amounts of the four factors in iPS cells were comparable to those in ES cells (Figures 7A and S9). The p53 levels in iPS cells were lower than those in MEFs and equivalent to those in the presence of LIF (Figure 7E). These results, together with the fact that iPS cells could not remain undifferentiated in the presence of LIF (Figure 7E), showed that the total protein amounts of the four factors in iPS cells were comparable to those in ES cells (Figures 7A and Figure S9). The p21 levels in iPS cells were lower than those in MEFs and equivalent to those in the ES cells (Figures 7A and 7B; Figure S8). The p53 levels in iPS cells were lower than those in MEFs and equivalent to those in the ES cells (Figure 7A; Figure S9). We could detect Nanog and E-Ras proteins in iPS cells, but at lower levels than those in ES cells (Figures 7A and 7B; Figure S8). The p53 levels in iPS cells were lower than those in MEFs and equivalent to those in the ES cells (Figure 7A; Figure S9). The p21 levels in iPS cells varied in each clone and were between those in ES cells and MEFs (Figure S9). Upon differentiation in vitro, the total mRNA expression levels of Oct3/4 and Sox2 decreased but remained much higher than in ES cells. In contrast, their protein levels decreased to comparable levels in iPS cells and ES cells (Figure 7B).

Southern blot analyses showed that each iPS clone has a unique transgene integration pattern (Figure 7C). Karyotyping analyses of the iPS-TTFgf4p clones (clones 1, 2, 3, 7, and 11) and iPS-TTFgf4pt clones (clones 1–3) demonstrated that 2 iPS-TTFgf4p clones and all of the iPS-TTFgf4pt clones showed a normal karyotype of 40XX (Figure 7D), while the other 3 iPS-TTFgf4p clones were 39XO, 40XX +10, and 40X(i)(X). Analyses of PCR-based simple sequence length polymorphisms (SSLPs) demonstrated that iPS-MEF clones have a mixed background of C57/BL6 and 129 (Table S7), whereas iPS-TTFgf4p clones have a mixed background of ICR, C57/BL6, and 129 (Table S8). Finally, we found that iPS cells could not remain undifferentiated when cultured in the absence of feeder cells, even with the presence of LIF (Figure 7E). These results, together with the different gene-expression patterns, exclude the possibility that iPS cells are merely contamination of pre-existing ES cells. Finally, subclones of iPS cells were positive for alkaline phosphatase and could differentiate into all three germ layers in vitro (Figure S10), confirming their clonal nature.

DISCUSSION

Oct3/4, Sox2, and Nanog have been shown to function as core transcription factors in maintaining pluripotency (Boyer et al., 2005; Loh et al., 2006). Among the three, we found that Oct3/4 and Sox2 are essential for the generation of iPS cells. Surprisingly, Nanog is dispensable. In addition, we identified c-Myc and Klf4 as essential factors. These two tumor-related factors could not be replaced by other oncogenes including E-Ras, Tcf11, β-catenin, and Stat3 (Figures 2A and 2B).

The c-Myc protein has many downstream targets that enhance proliferation and transformation (Adhikary and Eilers, 2005), many of which may have roles in the generation of iPS cells. Of note, c-Myc associates with histone acetyltransferase (HAT) complexes, including TRRAP, which is a core subunit of the TIP60 and GCN5 HAT complexes (McMahon et al., 1998), CREB binding protein (CBP), and p300 (Vervoorts et al., 2003). Within the mammalian genome, there may be up to 25,000 c-Myc binding sites (Cawley et al., 2004), many more than the predicted number of Oct3/4 and Sox2 binding sites (Boyer et al., 2005; Loh et al., 2006). c-Myc protein may induce global histone acetylation (Fernandez et al., 2003), thus allowing Oct3/4 and Sox2 to bind to their specific target loci.

Klf4 has been shown to repress p53 directly (Rowland et al., 2005), and p53 protein has been shown to suppress Nanog during ES cell differentiation (Lin et al., 2004). We found that iPS cells showed levels of p53 protein lower than those in MEFs (Figure 7A). Thus, Klf4 might contribute to activation of Nanog and other ES cell-specific genes through p53 repression. Alternatively, Klf4 might function as an inhibitor of Myc-induced apoptosis through the repression of p53 in our system (Zindy et al., 1998). On the other hand, Klf4 activates p21cip1, thereby suppressing cell proliferation (Zhang et al., 2000). This antiproliferation function of Klf4 might be inhibited by c-Myc, which suppresses the expression of p21cip1 (Seoane et al., 2002). The balance between c-Myc and Klf4 may be important for the generation of iPS cells.

One question that remains concerns the origin of our iPS cells. With our retroviral expression system, we estimated that only a small portion of cells expressing the four factors became iPS cells (Figure S11). The low frequency suggests that rare tissue stem/progenitor cells that coexisted in the fibroblast cultures might have given rise to the iPS cells. Indeed, multipotent stem cells have been isolated from skin (Dyce et al., 2004; Toma et al., 2001, 2005). These studies showed that ~0.067% of mouse skin cells are stem cells. One explanation for the low frequency of iPS cell derivation is that the four factors transform tissue stem cells. However, we found that the four factors induced iPS cells with comparably low efficiency even from bone marrow stroma, which should be more enriched in mesenchymal stem cells and other multipotent cells (Tables S2 and S6). Furthermore, cells induced by the three factors were nullipotent (Table S6 and Figure S3). DNA microarray analyses suggested that iPS-MEF4 cells and iPS-MEF3 cells have the same origin (Figure 4). These results do not favor multipotent tissue stem cells as the origin of iPS cells.

There are several other possibilities for the low frequency of iPS cell derivation. First, the levels of the four factors required for generation of pluripotent cells may have narrow ranges, and only a small portion of cells expressing all four of the factors at the right levels can acquire ES cell-like properties. Consistent with this idea, a mere 50% increase or decrease in Oct3/4 proteins induces differentiation of ES cells (Niwa et al., 2000). iPS clones overexpressed the four factors when RNA levels were analyzed, but their protein levels were comparable to those in ES cells (Figures 7A and 7B; Figure S8), suggesting that the iPS clones possess a mechanism (or mechanisms) that...
Figure 7. Biochemical and Genetic Analyses of iPS Cells

(A) Western blot analyses of the four factors and other proteins in iPS cells (MEF4-7, MEF10-6, TTFgfp4-3, and TTFgfp4-7), ES cells, and MEFs.

(B) Changes in RNA (left) and protein (right) levels of Oct3/4, Sox2, and Nanog in iPS cells and ES cells that were undifferentiated on STO feeder cells (U) or induced to differentiated in vitro through embryoid body formation (D). Shown are relative expression levels compared to undifferentiated ES cells. Data of MEFs and TTFs are also shown. RNA levels were determined with real-time PCR using primers specific for endogenous transcripts.
tightly regulates the protein levels of the four factors. We speculate that high amounts of the four factors are required in the initial stage of iPS cell generation, but, once they acquire ES cell-like status, too much of the factors are detrimental for self-renewal. Only a small portion of transduced cells show such appropriate transgene expression. Second, generation of pluripotent cells may require additional chromosomal alterations, which take place spontaneously during culture or are induced by some of the four factors. Although the iPS-TTFgfpr clones had largely normal karyotypes (Figure 7D), we cannot rule out the existence of minor chromosomal alterations. Site-specific retroviral insertion may also play a role. Southern blot analyses showed that each iPS clone has ~20 retroviral integrations (Figure 7C). Some of these may have caused silencing or fusion with endogenous genes. Further studies will be required to determine the origin of iPS cells.

Another unsolved question is whether the four factors we identified play roles in reprogramming induced by fusion with ES cells or nuclear transfer into oocytes. Since the four factors are expressed in ES cells at high levels, it is reasonable to speculate that they are involved in the reprogramming machinery that exists in ES cells. Our result is also consistent with the finding that the reprogramming activity resides in the nucleus, but not in the cytoplasm, of ES cells (Do and Scholer, 2004). However, iPS cells were not identical to ES cells, as shown by the global gene-expression patterns and DNA methylation status. It is possible that we have missed additional important factors. One such candidate is ECAT1, although its forced expression in iPS cells did not consistently upregulate ES cell marker genes (Figure S12).

More obscure are the roles of the four factors, especially Klf4 and c-Myc, in the reprogramming observed in oocytes. Both Klf4 and c-Myc are dispensable for preimplantation mouse development (Baudino et al., 2002; Katz et al., 2002). Furthermore, c-myc is not detected in oocytes (Domaschenko et al., 1997). In contrast, L-myc is expressed maternally in oocytes. Klf17 and Klf7, but not Klf4, are found in expressed sequence-tag libraries derived from unfertilized mouse eggs. Klf4 and c-Myc might be compensated by these related proteins. It is highly likely that other factors are also required to induce complete reprogramming and totipotency in oocytes.

It is likely that the four factors from the transgenes are required for maintaining the iPS cells since the expression of Oct3/4 and Sox2 from the endogenous genes remained low (Figure 7B; Figure S8). We intended to prove this by using transgenes flanked by two loxP sites and obtained an iPSC clone (TTF4gfpr-4). However, we noticed that these cells contain multiple loxP sites on multiple chromosomes, and, thus, the Cre-mediated recombination would cause not only deletion of the transgenes but also inter- and intrachromosomal rearrangements. Studies with conditional expression systems, such as the tetracycline-mediated system, are required to answer this question.

We showed that the iPS cells can differentiate in vitro and in vivo even with the presence of the retroviral vectors containing the four factors. We found that Oct3/4 and Sox2 proteins decreased significantly during in vitro differentiation (Figure 7B). Retroviral expression has been shown to be suppressed in ES cells and further silenced upon differentiation by epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation (Yao et al., 2004). The same mechanisms are likely to play roles in transgene repression in iPSC cells since they express Dnmt3a, 3b, and 3l, albeit at lower levels than ES cells do (Table S5). In addition, we found that iPS cells possess a mechanism (or mechanisms) that lowers protein levels of the transgenes and Nanog (Figure 7B; Figure S8). The same mechanism may be enhanced during differentiation. However, silencing of Oct3/4 in iPSC-TTFgfpr-3 cells was not complete, which may explain our inability to obtain live chimeric mice after blastocyst microinjection of iPSC cells.

An unexpected finding in this study was the efficient activation of Fgf4 and Fbx15 by the combination of the three factors devoid of Sox2 since these two genes have been shown to be regulated synergistically by Oct3/4 and Sox2 (Tokuzawa et al., 2003; Yuan et al., 1995). It is also surprising that Nanog is dispensable for induction and maintenance of iPS cells. More detailed analyses of iPS cells will enhance our understanding of transcriptional regulation in pluripotent stem cells.

Our findings may have wider applications, as we have found that transgene reporters with other ES cell marker genes, such as Nanog, can replace the Fbx15 knock-in during selection (K. Okita and S.Y., unpublished data). However, we still do not know whether the four factors can generate pluripotent cells from human somatic cells. Use of c-Myc may not be suitable for clinical applications, and the process may require specific culture environments. Nevertheless, the finding is an important step in controlling pluripotency, which may eventually allow the creation of pluripotent cells directly from somatic cells of patients.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Mice**

Fbx15<sup>geo/+</sup> mice were generated with 129SvJae-derived RF8 ES cells as described previously (Tokuzawa et al., 2003) and were

---

(white columns) or those common for both endogenous and transgenic transcripts (white and black columns). RNA expression levels are shown on logarithmic axes. Protein levels were determined by Western blot normalized with β-actin. Protein levels are shown as the averages and standard deviations on linear axes (n = 4). *p < 0.05 compared to undifferentiated cells.

(C) Southern blot analyses showing the integration of transgenes. Genomic DNA isolated from iPSCs and ES cells was digested with EcoRI and BamHI, separated on agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane, and hybridized with a Klf4 cDNA probe.

(D) Normal karyotype of iPSC-TTFgfpr-2 clone.

(E) Morphology of ES cells and iPSCs cultured without feeder cells. One thousand cells were cultured on gelatin-coated six-well plates for 5 days, with or without LIF. Scale bars = 200 μm.
backcrossed to the C57BL6 strain for at least five generations. These mice were used for primary mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) and tail-tip fibroblast (TTF) preparations. To generate Fbx15<geo/+geo> mice with constitutive expression of GFP, an Fbx15<geo/+geo> mouse (C57/BL6-129 background) was mated with an ICR mouse with the GFP transgene driven by the constitutive CAG promoter (Niwa et al., 1991). The resulting Fbx15<geo/+geo> GFP<+> mice were intercrossed to generate Fbx15<geo/+geo> GFP<+/GFP> mice. Nude mice (BALB/cJcl-nu) were purchased from CLEA.

Cell Culture
RF8 ES cells and iPS cells were maintained on feeder layers of mitomycin-C-treated STO cells as previously described (Meiner et al., 1996).

Western Blot Analyses
Western blot was performed as previously described (Takahashi et al., 2003). The primary antibodies used were anti-Oct3/4 monoclonal antibody (CBL412, Abcam) along with 4 µg/ml of blasticidin S (Funakoshi). The secondary antibody used was anti-mouse IgG polyclonal antibody (A-14, Santa Cruz), anti-c-Myc polyclonal antibody (FL-393, Santa Cruz), and anti-β-actin monoclonal antibody (AS441, Sigma).

DNA Microarray
Total RNA from ES cells, iPS cells, or MEFs were labeled with Cy3. Samples were hybridized to a Mouse Oligo Microarray (G4121B, Agilent) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Arrays were scanned with a G2565BA Microarray Scanner System (Agilent). Data were analyzed using GeneSpring GX software (Agilent).

In Vitro Differentiation of iPS Cells
Cells were harvested by trypsinization and transferred to bacterial culture dishes in the ES medium without G418 or LIF. After 3 days, aggregated cells were plated onto gelatin-coated tissue culture dishes and incubated for another 3 days. The cells were stained with anti-α-smooth muscle actin monoclonal antibody (N1584, Dako), anti-α-fetoprotein polyclonal antibody (N1501, Dako) or anti-Jil1 tubulin monoclonal antibody (CBL412, Abbcom) along with 4-β-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Sigma). Total RNA derived from plated embryoid bodies on day 6 was used for RT-PCR analysis.
Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Assay
We performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) as previously described (Maruyama et al., 2005). Antibodies used in this experiment were anti-dimethyl K9 H3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (ab7312-100, Abcam) and anti-acetyl H3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (06-599, Upstate). PCR primers are listed in Table S9.

Statistical Analyses
Data are shown as averages and standard deviations. We used Student’s t test for protein-level analyses and one-factor ANOVA with Scheffe’s post hoc test for ChIP analyses. All statistical analyses were done with Excel 2003 (Microsoft) with the Statcel2 add-on (OMS).

Supplemental Data
Supplemental Data include 12 figures and 9 tables and can be found with this article online at http://www.cell.com/cgi/content/full/126/4/663/DC1/.
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