From C. Nelson and L. Grossberg (eds.), Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture, Macmillan Education: Basingstoke, 1988, pp. 271-313. 4 Rot From Williems + Chrisman: Coloniel Discourse and Post Colonial Theory 1994	the unguarded practice of conversation, enabling one to glimpse the track of ideology. The participants in this conversation emphasize the most important contributions of French poststructuralist theory: first, that the networks of power/desire/interest are so heterogeneous, that their reduction to a coherent intellectuals must attempt to disclose and know the discourse of society's Other. Yet the two systematically ignore the question of ideology and their own implication in intellectual and economic history. Although one of its chief presuppositions is the critique of the sovereign subject, the conversation between Foucault and Deleuze is framed by two monolithic and	Some of the most radical criticism coming out of the West today is the result of an interested desire to conserve the subject of the West, or the West as Subject. The theory of pluralized 'subject-effects' gives an illusion of undermining subjective sovereignty while often providing a cover for this subject of knowledge. Although the history of Europe as Subject is narrativized by the law, political economy and ideology of the West, this concealed Subject pretends it has 'no geo-political determinations'. The much-publicized critique of the sovereign subject thus actually inaugurates a Subject. I will argue for this conclusion by considering a text by two great practitioners of the critique: 'Intellectuals and power: a conversation between Michel Foucault and Gilles Deleuze'. <sup>1</sup>	Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak []	4 🗆 Can the Subaltern Speak?
The link to the workers' struggle is located, simply, in desire. Elsewhere, Deleuze and Guattari have attempted an alternative definition of desire, revising the one	Marx continues in his description of the conspirateurs de profession as follows: ' They have no other aim but the immediate one of overthrowing the existing government, and they profoundly despise the more theoretical enlightenment of the workers as to their class interests. Thus their anger – not proletarian but plebian – at the <i>habits noirs</i> (black coats), the more or less educated people who represent <i>[vertreten</i> ] that side of the movement and of whom they can never become entirely independent, as they cannot of the official representatives [ <i>Repräsentanten</i> ] of the party.' Baudelaire's political insights do not go fundamentally beyond the insights of these professional conspirators He could perhaps have made Flaubert's statement, 'Of all of politics I understand only one thing: the revolt', his own.'	Periphery from the realization of surplus value and thus from 'humanistic' training in consumerism; and the large-scale presence of paracapitalist labor as well as the heterogeneous structural status of agriculture in the Periphery. Ignoring the international division of labor; rendering 'Asia' (and on occasion 'Africa') transparent (unless the subject is ostensibly the 'Third World'); reestablishing the legal subject of socialized capital – these are problems as common to much poststructuralist as to structuralist theory. Why should such occlusions be sanctioned in precisely those intellectuals who are our best prophets of heterogeneity and the Other? The link to the workers' struggle is located in the desire to blow up power at any point of its application. This site is apparently based on a simple valorization of <i>any</i> desire destructive of <i>any</i> power. Walter Benjamin comments on Baudelaire's comparable politics by way of quotations from Marx:	a genuflection: "We are unable to touch [power] in any point of its application without finding ourselves confronted by this diffuse mass, so that we are necessarily led to the desire to blow it up completely. Every partial revolutionary attack or defense is linked in this way to the workers' struggle' (FD, p. 217). The apparent banality signals a disavowal. The statement ignores the international division of labor, a gesture that often marks poststructuralist political theory. <sup>3</sup> The invocation of <i>the</i> workers' struggle is baleful in its very innocence; it is incapable of dealing with global capitalism: the subject-production of worker and unemployed within nation- state ideologies in its Center; the increasing subtraction of the working class in the	Can the Subaltern Speak? 67 anonymous subjects-in-revolution: 'A Maoist' (FD, p. 205) and 'the workers' struggle' (FD, p. 217). Intellectuals, however, are named and differentiated; moreover, a Chinese Maoism is nowhere operative. Maoism here simply creates an aura of narrative specificity, which would be a harmless rhetorical banality were it not that the innocent appropriation of the proper name 'Maoism' for the eccentric phenomenon of French intellectual 'Maoism' and subsequent 'New Philosophy' symptomatically renders 'Asia' transparent. <sup>2</sup> Deleuze's reference to the workers' struggle is conally problematic: it is obviously

67

offered by psychoanalysis: 'Desire does not lack anything; it does not lack its object. It is, rather, the subject that is lacking desire, or desire that lacks a fixed subject; there is no fixed subject except by repression. Desire and its object are a unity: it is the machine, as a machine of a machine. Desire is machine, the object of desire also a connected machine, so that the product is lifted from the process of producing and something detaches itself from producing to product and gives a leftover to the vagabond, nomad subject.<sup>35</sup>

This definition does not alter the specificity of the desiring subject (or leftover subject-effect) that attaches to specific instances of desire or to production of the desiring machine. Moreover, when the connection between desire and the subject is taken as irrelevant or merely reversed, the subject-effect that surreptitiously emerges is much like the generalized ideological subject of the theorist. This may be the legal subject of socialized capital, neither labor nor management, holding a 'strong' passport, using a 'strong' or 'hard' currency, with supposedly unquestioned access to due process. It is certainly not the desiring subject as Other.

The failure of Deleuze and Guattari to consider the relations between desire, power and subjectivity renders them incapable of articulating a theory of interests. In this context, their indifference to ideology (a theory of which is necessary for an understanding of interests) is striking but consistent. Foucault's commitment to 'genealogical'speculation prevents him from locating, in 'great names' like Marx and Freud, watersheds in some continuous stream of intellectual history.<sup>6</sup> This commitment has created an unfortunate resistance in Foucault's work to 'mere' ideological critique. Western speculations on the ideological reproduction of social relations belong to that mainstream, and it is within this tradition that Althusser writes: 'The reproduction of labour power requires not only a reproduction of its skills, but also at the same time, a reproduction of its submission to the ruling ideology for the workers, and a reproduction of the ability to manipulate the ruling ideology correctly for the agents of exploitation and repression, so that they, too, will provide for the domination of the ruling class 'in and by words'' [*par la parole*].<sup>7</sup>

When Foucault considers the pervasive heterogeneity of power, he does not ignore the immense institutional heterogeneity that Althusser here attempts to schematize. Similarly, in speaking of alliances and systems of signs, the state and war-machines (*mille plateaux*), Deleuze and Guattari are opening up that very field. Foucault cannot, however, admit that a developed theory of ideology recognizes its own material production in institutionality, as well as in the 'effective instruments for the formation and accumulation of knowledge' (*PK*, p. 102). Because these philosophers seem obliged to reject all arguments naming the concept of ideology as *only* schematic opposition between interest and desire. Thus they align themselves with bourgeois sociologists who fill the place of ideology with a continuistic 'unconscious' or a parasubjective 'culture'. The mechanical relation between desire and interest is clear in such sentences as: 'We never desire against our interests, because interest always follows and finds itself where desire has placed it' (*FD*, p. 215). An

undifferentiated desire is the agent, and power slips in to create the effects of desire: 'power  $\dots$  produces positive effects at the level of desire – and also at the level of knowledge' (*PK*, p. 59).

This parasubjective matrix, cross-hatched with heterogeneity, ushers in the unnamed Subject, at least for those intellectual workers influenced by the new hegemony of desire. The race for 'the last instance' is now between economics and power. Because desire is tacitly defined on an orthodox model, it is unitarily opposed to 'being deceived'. Ideology as 'false consciousness' (being deceived) has been called into question by Althusser. Even Reich implied notions of collective will rather than a dichotomy of deception and undeceived; at a particular moment, they actually desired a fascist regime' (*FD*, p. 215).

These philosophers will not entertain the thought of constitutive contradiction – that is where they admittedly part company from the Left. In the name of desire, they reintroduce the undivided subject into the discourse of power. Foucault often seems to conflate 'individual' and 'subject',<sup>8</sup> and the impact on his own metaphors is perhaps intensified in his followers. Because of the power of the word 'power', Foucault admits to using the 'metaphor of the point which progressively irradiates is surroundings'. Such slips become the rule rather than the exception in less careful hands. And that radiating point, animating an effectively heliocentric discourse, fills the empty place of the agent with the historical sun of theory, the Subject of Europe.<sup>9</sup>

Foucault articulates another corollary of the disavowal of the role of ideology in reproducing the social relations of production: an unquestioned valorization of the oppressed as subject, the 'object being', as Deleuze admiringly remarks, 'to establish conditions where the prisoners themselves would be able to speak'. Foucault adds that 'the masses *know* perfectly well, clearly' – once again the thematic of being undeceived – 'they know far better than [the intellectual] and they certainly say it very well' (*FD*, pp. 206, 207).

What happens to the critique of the sovereign subject in these pronouncements? The limits of this representationalist realism are reached with Deleuze: 'Reality is what actually happens in a factory, in a school, in barracks, in a prison, in a police station' (*FD*, p. 212). This foreclosing of the necessity of the difficult task of counterhegemonic ideological production has not been salutary. It has helped positivist empiricism – the justifying foundation of advanced capitalist neocolonialism – to define its own arena as 'concrete experience', 'what actually happens'. Indeed, the concrete experience that is the guarantor of the political appeal of prisoners, soldiers and schoolchildren is disclosed through the concrete experience of the intellectual, the one who diagnoses the episteme.<sup>10</sup> Neither Deleuze nor Foucault seems aware that the intellectual within socialized capital, brandishing concrete experience, can help consolidate the international division of labor.

The unrecognized contradiction within a position that valorizes the concrete experience of the oppressed, while being so uncritical about the historical role of the intellectual, is maintained by a verbal slippage. Thus Deleuze makes this remarkable

pronouncement: 'A theory is like a box of tools. Nothing to do with the signifier' (*FD*, p. 208). Considering that the verbalism of the theoretical world and its access to any world defined against it as 'practical' is irreducible, such a declaration helps only the intellectual anxious to prove that intellectual labor is just like manual labor. It is when signifiers are left to look after themselves that verbal slippages happen. The signifier 'representation' is a case in point. In the same dismissive tone that severs theory's link to the signifier, Deleuze declares, 'There is no more representation; there's nothing but action' - 'action of theory and action of practice which relate to each other as relays and form networks' (*FD*, pp. 206–7). Yet an important point is being made here: the production of theory is also a practice; the opposition between abstract 'pure' theory and concrete 'applied' practice is too quick and easy.<sup>11</sup>

canny subalterns stands revealed; representing them, the intellectuals represent constitution within state formations and systems of political economy can now in French), representation and re-presentation. The critique of ideological subjectdifferences between the 'same' words: consciousness and conscience (both conscience those who act and speak (FD, p. 206)? These immense problems are buried in the with an analogy that is presented as a proof reflects again a paradoxical subjectother - are related but irreducibly discontinuous. To cover over the discontinuity state formation and the law, on the one hand, and in subject-predication, on the theory is also only 'action', the theoretician does not represent (speak for) the senses of representation are being run together: representation as 'speaking for', as themselves as transparent. consciousness'. The banality of leftist intellectuals' lists of self-knowing, politically be effaced, as can the active theoretical practice of the 'transformation of and struggle' (FD, p. 206). Are those who act and struggle mute, as opposed to no 'theorizing intellectual ... [or] party or ... union' can represent 'those who act privileging.<sup>12</sup> Because the person who speaks and acts ... is always a multiplicity', (one re-presenting reality adequately). These two senses of representation - within oppressed group. Indeed, the subject is not seen as a representative consciousness in politics, and representation as 're-presentation', as in art or philosophy. Since If this is, indeed, Deleuze's argument, his articulation of it is problematic. Two

If such a critique and such a project are not to be given up, the shifting distinctions between representation within the state and political economy, on the one hand, and within the theory of the Subject, on the other, must not be obliterated. Let us consider the play of *vertreten* ('represent' in the first sense) and *darstellen* ('represent' in the second sense) in a famous passage in *The Eighteenth Brumaire* of *Louis Bonaparte*, where Marx touches on 'class' as a descriptive and transformative concept in a manner somewhat more complex than Althusser's distinction between class instinct and class position would allow.

Marx's contention here is that the descriptive definition of a class can be a differential one – its cutting off and difference from all other classes: 'in so far as millions of families live under economic conditions of existence that cut off their mode of life, their interest, and their formation from those of the other classes and

continuous or coherent with each other. A celebrated passage like the description of capital as the Faustian monster brings this home vividly.<sup>15</sup> obliged to construct models of a divided and dislocated subject whose parts are not economic area (capitalist) and in the political (world-historical agent), Marx is coincide. Class consciousness does not operate toward that goal. Both in the subject which is history and political economy. Here the capitalist is defined as 'the is discontinuous with, though operated by, the differential isolation of classes. In collectivity of familial existence, which might be considered the arena of 'instinct', class'.<sup>13</sup> There is no such thing as a 'class instinct' at work here. In fact, the place them in inimical confrontation [feindlich gegenüberstellen], they form a Marx is not working to create an undivided subject where desire and interest conscious bearer [Träger] of the limitless movement of capital?.<sup>14</sup> My point is that individual subject, for it marks the subject's empty place in that process without a heterogeneous. This agency or interest is tied to the Hegelian critique of the the economic agency or interest is impersonal because it is systematic and international periphery, the formation of a class is artificial and economic, and this context, one far more pertinent to the France of the 1970s than it can be to the

The following passage, continuing the quotation from *The Eighteenth Brumaire*, is also working on the structural principle of a dispersed and dislocated class subject: the (absent collective) consciousness of the small peasant proprietor class funds its 'bearer' in a 'representative' who appears to work in another's interest. The word 'representative' here is not '*darstellen*'; this sharpens the contrast Foucault and Deleuze slide over, the contrast, say, between a proxy and a portrait. There is, of course, a relationship between them, one that has received political and ideological exacerbation in the European tradition at least since the poet and the sophist, the actor and the orator, have both been seen as harmful. In the guise of a post-Marxist description of the scene of power, we thus encounter a much older debate: between representation, *vertreten* – with stronger suggestions of substitution – to the second. Again, they are related, but running them together, especially in order to say that beyond both is where oppressed subjects speak, act and know *for themselves*, leads to an essentialist, utopian politics.

Here is Marx's passage, using 'vertreten' where the English use 'represent', discussing a social 'subject' whose consciousness and Vertretung (as much a substitution as a representation) are dislocated and incoherent: The small peasant proprietors 'cannot represent themselves; they must be represented. Their representative must appear simultaneously as their master, as an authority over them, as unrestricted governmental power that protects them from the other classes and sends them rain and sunshine from above. The political influence [in the place of the class interest, since there is no unified class subject] of the small peasant proprietors therefore finds its last expression [the implication of a chain of substitutions – Vertretungen – is strong here] in the executive force [Exekutivgewalt – less personal in German] subordinating society to itself.'

by a sleight of word. does in The Eighteenth Brumaire - can only be appreciated if they are not conflated practice - since this complicity is precisely what Marxists must expose, as Marx complicity of Vertreten and Darstellen, their identity-in-difference as the place of interests fails to produce a feeling of community ... they do not form a class.' The separate their mode of life . . . they form a class. In so far as . . . the identity of their formation of a (descriptive) class and the nonformation of a (transformative) class: like a Darstellung (or rhetoric-as-trope), taking its place in the gap between the representation as Vertretung (in the constellation of rhetoric-as-persuasion) behaves feeling of community, national links, or a political organization'. The event of moves because 'the identity of the interests' of these proprietors 'fails to produce a subjectivity of a collective agency. The necessarily dislocated machine of history imply a critique of the subject as individual agent but a critique even of the (Louis Napoleon), and the historical-political phenomenon (executive control) source of 'influence' (in this case the small peasant proprietors), the 'representative 'In so far as millions of families live under economic conditions of existence that Not only does such a model of social indirection - necessary gaps between the

It would be merely tendentious to argue that this texualizes Marx too much, making him inaccessible to the common 'man', who, a victim of common sense, is so deeply placed in a heritage of positivism that Marx's irreducible emphasis on the work of the negative, on the necessity for de-fetishizing the concrete, is persistently wrested from him by the strongest adversary, 'the historical tradition' in the air.<sup>16</sup> I have been trying to point out that the uncommon 'man', the contemporary philosopher of practice, sometimes exhibits the same positivism.

calls 'natural exchange', which is, philosophically speaking, a 'placeholder' for use not to that other feeling of community whose structural model is the family a task engaging the ground level of consciousness. Class consciousness remains with transformative class 'consciousness' from a descriptive class 'position' is not in Marx agency. The projects of class consciousness and of the transformation of a cautious respect for the nascent critique of individual and collective subjective conditions of existence that separate their mode of life'. Marx's formulations show of the agents and their interest - the identity whose absence troubles Foucault and agency must be developed. Full class agency (if there were such a thing) is not an it is in the area of this intercourse that the feeling of community leading to class thus holds the place of the exchange leading to the production of surplus value, and word 'intercourse' (Verkehr) is Marx's usual word for 'commerce'. This 'intercourse' value.17 'Natural exchange' is contrasted to 'intercourse with society', where the Although not identified with nature, the family here is constellated with what Marx the feeling of community that belongs to national links and political organizations, consciousness are discontinuous issues for him. Conversely, contemporary supplementation) of something that is 'artificial' to begin with - 'economic Deleuze. It is a contestatory replacement as well as an appropriation (a ideological transformation of consciousness on the ground level, a desiring identity The gravity of the problem is apparent if one agrees that the development of a

invocations of 'libidinal economy' and desire as the determining interest, combined with the practical politics of the oppressed (under socialized capital) 'speaking for themselves', restore the category of the sovereign subject within the theory that seems most to question it.

No doubt the exclusion of the family, albeit a family belonging to a specific class formation, is part of the masculine frame within which Marxism marks its birth.<sup>18</sup> Historically as well as in today's global political economy, the family's role in patriarchal social relations is so heterogeneous and contested that merely replacing the family in this problematic is not going to break the frame. Nor does the solution lie in the positivist inclusion of a monolithic collectivity of 'women' in the list of the oppressed whose unfractured subjectivity allows them to speak for themselves against an equally monolithic 'same system'.

Code) that paradoxically prohibits the search for the natural father. Thus, it is note the textual subtlety of the passage. It is the Law of the Father (the Napoleonic the Napoleonic Code, which commands' that 'inquiry into paternity is forbidden'. gave himself out to be that man' (this pretense is by contrast, his only proper agency) turned up' - the untranslatable 'es fand sich' (there found itself an individual?) occur, that a man named Napoleon would restore all their glory. And an individual 'Historical tradition produced the French peasants' belief that a miracle would incapable of making their class interest valid in their proper name [im eigenen concept of representation as Vertretung: the small peasant proprietors are therefore 'consciousness', Marx uses the concept of the patronymic, always within the broader yet unformed class's faith in the natural father is gainsaid. according to a strict observance of the historical Law of the Father that the formed While Marx here seems to be working within a patriarchal metaphorics, one should demolishes all questions of agency or the agent's connection with his interest - 'who the nonfamiliar artificial collective proper name is supplied by the only proper name Namen], whether through a parliament or through a convention'. The absence of 'historical tradition' can offer - the patronymic itself - the Name of the Father: because he carried [trägt – the word used for the capitalist's relationship to capital] In the context of type development of a strategic, artificial and second-level

I have dwelt so long on this passage in Marx because it spells out the inner dynamics of Vertretung, or representation in the political context. Representation in the economic context is Darstellung, the philosophical concept of representation as staging or, indeed, signification, which relates to the divided subject in an indirect way. The most obvious passage is well known: 'In the exchange relationship [Austauschverhältnis] of commodities their exchange-value appeared to us totally independent of their use-value. But if we subtract their use-value from the product of labour, we obtain their value, as it was just determined [bestimmt]. The common element which represents itself [sich darstellt] in the exchange relation, or the exchange value of the commodity, is thus its value.<sup>19</sup>

According to Marx, under capitalism, value, as produced in necessary and surplus labor, is computed as the representation/sign of objectified labor (which is rigorously distinguished from human activity). Conversely, in the absence of a

74 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak theory of exploitation as the extraction (production), appropriation and realization	Can the Subaltern Speak? 75 the place of 'interest'; it is maintained by vehement denegation: 'Now this role of
be seen as a variety of domination (the mechanics of power as such). The thrust of Marxism', Deleuze suggests, 'was to determine the problem [that power is more diffuse than the structure of exploitation and state formation] essentially in terms of interests (power is held by a ruling class defined by its interests)' (FD, p. 214).	responsionity of the critic might be to read and write so that the impossibility of such interested individualistic refusals of the institutional privileges of power bestowed on the subject is taken seriously. The refusal of the sign-system blocks the way to a developed theory of ideology. Here, too, the peculiar tone of denegation is heard. To Jacques-Alain Miller's suggestion that 'the institution is itself discursive',
One cannot object to this minimalist summary of Marx's project, just as one cannot ienore that, in parts of the Anti-Oedipus, Deleuze and Guattari build their	Foucault responds, 'Yes, if you like, but it doesn't much matter for my notion of the apparatus to be able to say that this is discursive and that isn't given that
case on a brilliant if 'poetic' grasp of Marx's theory of the money form. Yet we might	my problem isn't a linguistic one' (PK, p. 198). Why this conflation of language and
consolidate our critique in the following way: the relationship between global	discourse from the master of discourse analysis? Edward W. Said's critique of power in Foucault as a captivating and mystifying
geopolitics) is so macrological that it cannot account for the micrological texture of	category that allows him 'to obliterate the role of classes, the role of economics, the
power. To move toward such an accounting one must move toward theories of ideology – of subject formations that micrologically and often erratically operate	role of insurgency and rebellion', is most pertinent here. <sup>22</sup> I add to Said's analysis the notion of the surreptitious subject of power and desire marked by the
the interests that congeal the macrologies. Such theories cannot afford to	transparency of the intellectual. Curiously enough, Paul Bové faults Said for
staging of the world in representation – its scene of writing, its Darstellung –	essentially is a challenge to the leading role of both hegemonic and oppositional
- Vertretung.	it ignores what Said emphasizes – the critic's institutional responsibility.
My view is that radical practice should attend to this double session of representations rather than reintroduce the individual subject through totalizing	I his 3/subject, curiously sewn together into a transparency by denegations, belongs to the exploiters' side of the international division of labor. It is impossible
concepts of power and desire. It is also my view that, in keeping the area of class	for contemporary French intellectuals to imagine the kind of Power and Desire that
(Kantian and) Hegelian critique of the individual subject as agent. <sup>20</sup> This view does	everything they read, critical or uncritical, is caught within the debate of the
not oblige me to ignore that, by implicitly defining the family and the mother tongue	production of that Other, supporting or critiquing the constitution of the Subject as
as the ground level where culture and convention seem nature's own way of organizing 'her' own subversion, Marx himself rehearses an ancient subterfuge. <sup>21</sup>	taken to obliterate the textual ingredients with which such a subject could cathect,
In the context of poststructuralist claims to critical practice, this seems more recuperable than the clandestine restoration of subjective essentialism.	could occupy (invest?) its itinerary – not only by ideological and scientific production, but also by the institution of the law. However reductionistic an
The reduction of Marx to a benevolent but dated figure most often serves the interest of launching a new theory of interpretation. In the Foucault-Deleuze	economic analysis might seem, the French intellectuals forget at their peril that this entire overdetermined enterprise was in the interest of a dynamic economic situation
conversation, the issue seems to be that there is no representation, no signifier (Is it to be presumed that the signifier has already been disparched? There is, then, no	requiring that interests, motives (desires) and power (of knowledge) be ruthlessly dislocated. To invoke that dislocation now as a radical discovery that should make
sign-structure operating experience, and thus might one lay semiotics to rest?);	us diagnose the economic (conditions of existence that separate out classes
theory is a relay of practice (thus laying problems of theoretical practice to rest) and the oppressed can know and speak for themselves. This reintroduces the constitutive subject on at least two levels: the Subject of desire and power as an irreducible	descriptively) as a piece of dated analytic machinery may well be to continue the work of that dislocation and unwittingly to help in securing 'a new balance of hegemonic relations'. <sup>24</sup> I shall return to this argument shortly. In the face of the
methodological presupposition; and the self-proximate, if not self-identical, subject of the oppressed. Further, the intellectuals, who are neither of these S/subjects, become transparent in the relay race, for they merely report on the nonrepresented subject and analyze (without analyzing) the workings of (the unnamed Subject	possibility that the intellectual is complicit in the persistent constitution of Other as the Self's shadow, a possibility of political practice for the intellectual would be to put the economic 'under erasure', to see the economic factor as irreducibly as it reinscribes the social text, even as it is erased, however imperfectly, when it claims
irreducibly presupposed by/ power and desire. The produced transparency marks	to be the mial determinant of the transcendental signified.

Aster Oaster Aster

76 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak	Can the Subaltern Speak?
-	and the performed – were seen as dialectically continuous. Legal theorists and negative optimized in any given case certain if this structure described the body
The clearest available example of such epistemic violence is the remotely orchestrated, far-flung, and heterogeneous project to constitute the colonial subject	of law or four ways of settling a dispute. The legitimation of the polymorphous structure of legal performance, 'internally' noncoherent and open at both ends,
in its precarious Subject-ivity. It is well known that Foucault locates epistemic	epistemic violence. The narrarive of the stabilization and codification of Hindu law is less well known
of the European eighteenth century. <sup>26</sup> But what if that particular redefinition was	than the story of Indian education, so it might be well to start there. <sup>28</sup> Consider the
only a part of the narrative of history in Europe as well as in the colonies? What	often-quoted programmatic lines from Macaulay's infamous 'Minute on Indian
if the two projects of epistemic overhaul worked as dislocated and unacknowledged	interpreters between us and the millions whom we govern; a class of persons, Indian
of the palimpsestic narrative of imperialism be recognized as 'subjugated	in blood and colour, but English in taste, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect.
knowledge', 'a whole set of knowledges that have been disqualified as inadequate	to that class we may leave it to refine the vernacular dialects of the country,
to their task or insufficiently elaborated: naive knowledges, located low down on the hierarchy heneath the required level of cognition or scientificity' (PK, p. 82).	nomenclature, and to render them by degrees fit vehicles for conveying knowledge
This is not to describe 'the way things really were' or to privilege the narrative of	to the great mass of the population. <sup>39</sup> The education of colonial subjects
history as imperialism as the best version of history. <sup>26</sup> It is, rather, to offer an	
account of how an explanation and narrative of reality was established as the	formation in Sanskrit studies and the native, now alternative, tradition of Sanskrit
the British codification of Hindu Law.	'high culture'. Within the former, the cultural explanations generated by
First, a few disclaimers: in the United States the third-worldism currently afloat	authoritative scholars matched the epistemic violence of the legal project.
in humanistic disciplines is often openly ethnic. I was born in India and received my	I locate here the tounding of the Asiatic Society of bengal in 1/54, the indian Institute at Oxford in 1883 and the analytic and faxonomic work of scholars like
work. My Indian example could thus be seen as a nostalgic investigation of the lost	Arthur Macdonnell and Arthur Berriedale Keith, who were both colonial
roots of my own identity. Yet even as I know that one cannot freely enter the	administrators and organizers of the matter of Sanskrit. From their confident
thickets of 'motivations', I would maintain that my chief project is to point out the	utilitarian-hegemonic plans for students and scholars of Sanskrit, it is impossible to
positivist-idealist variety of such nostalgia. I turn to indian material because, in the absence of advanced disciplinary training, that accident of birth and education	framework or the increasing 'feudalization' of the performative use of Sanskrit in the
has provided me with a sense of the historical canvas, a hold on some of the	everyday life of Brahmanic-hegemonic India. <sup>30</sup> A version of history was gradually
pertinent languages that are useful tools for a <i>bricoleur</i> , especially when armed with	
the Marxist skepticism of concrete experience as the final arbiter and a critique of	providing the legitimation for) the codifying British: 'In order to preserve Hindu society infact (the) successors (of the original Brahmans) had to reduce everything
countries, nations, cultures and the like that may be invoked as the Other of Europe	to writing and make them more and more rigid. And that is what has preserved
as Self.	Hindu society in spite of a succession of political upheavals and toreign invasions <sup>31</sup> This is the 1925 verdict of Mahamahonadhyaya Harannasad Shastri.
of Hindu Law. If it clarifies the notion of epistemic violence, my final discussion of	learned Indian Sanskritist, a brilliant representative of the indigenous elite within
widow-sacrifice may gain added significance.	colonial production, who was asked to write several chapters of a 'History of bengal projected by the private secretary to the governor general of Bengal in 1916. <sup>32</sup> To
as a unitary system, operated in terms of four texts that 'staged' a four-part episteme	signal the asymmetry in the relationship between authority and explanation
defined by the subject's use of memory: sruti (the heard), smriti (the remembered),	(depending on the race-class of the authority), compare this 1928 remark by Edward
sastra (the learned-trom-another) and <i>vyavahara</i> (the performed-in-exchange). I ne	i nompson, English interfectual: Finituusii was what it secured to be it was a higher civilization that won [against it], both with Akbar and the English. <sup>33</sup> And
continuous or identical. Every invocation of <i>sruti</i> technically recited (or reopened)	add this, from a letter by an English soldier-scholar in the 1890s: 'The study of Sanskrir. 'the language of the gods''. has afforded me intense enjoyment during the
the excite of originary rearing or recommender the second too too too	

£......

crew? map of exploitation, on what grid of 'oppression' would they place this motley the episteme operates its silent programming function. Without considering the general nonspecialist, nonacademic population across the class spectrum, for whom the Other as Subject is inaccessible to Foucault and Deleuze. I am thinking of the to intellectuals and scholars of postcolonial production, like Shastri, when I say that intellectual's entry into the civilization of the Other. 35 I am, however, not referring has some, to give up a hearty belief in our own grand religion.<sup>34</sup> These authorities are the very best of the sources for the nonspecialist French

standardization and regimentation of socialized capital, though they do not seem to can the subaltern speak? violence of imperialist law and education supplementing an earlier economic text, of labor from socialized capital, inside and outside the circuit of the epistemic now confront the following question: on the other side of the international division Marxist thematic is at work here), can speak and know their conditions. We must cannot be bypassed here), and on the way to solidarity through alliance politics (a recognize this) the oppressed, if given the chance (the problem of representation subproletariat. According to Foucault and Deleuze (in the First World, under the among the illiterate peasantry, the tribals, the lowest strata of the urban silenced center) of the circuit marked out by this epistemic violence, men and women Let us now move to consider the margins (one can just as well say the silent,

collectivity itself is persistently foreclosed through the manipulation of female essay, to the question of woman as subaltern, I will suggest that the possibility of definitions accompanying the imperialist project. When I move, at the end of this however remotely, by the epistemic interference with legal and disciplinary the subaltern is thrown out of joint when his cultural macrology is operated, international division of labor.36 Yet an account of the phased development of within what can be seen as an allegory of reading taken from or prefiguring an question', Gramsci considers the movement of historical-political economy in Italy production of history as narrative (of truth). In texts such as 'The Southern movement into the hegemony. This movement must be made to determine the concerned with the intellectual's role in the subaltern's cultural and political Gramsci criticizes the vanguardistic position of the Leninist intellectual, he is consciousness argument isolated in The Eighteenth Brumaire. Perhaps because Antonio Gramsci's work on the 'subaltern classes' extends the class-position/classagency.

colonial historiography from the perspective of the discontinuous chain of peasant and with people who acknowledge his influence. Their project is to rethink Indian Can the subaltern speak? Here we are within Foucault's own discipline of history is complicated by the imperialist project – is confronted by a collective of intellectuals who may be called the 'Subaltern Studies' group.<sup>37</sup> They *must* ask, The first part of my proposition - that the phased development of the subaltern

permission to narrate' discussed by Said.<sup>38</sup> As Ranajit Guha argues, insurgencies during the colonial occupation. This is indeed the problem of 'the

in the nationalist and neo-nationalist writings – to Indian elite personalities, institutions, activities and ideas.<sup>39</sup> are credited to British colonial rulers, administrators, policies, institutions and culture; achievements. In the colonialist and neo-colonialist historiographies these achievements nationalism - which confirmed this process were exclusively or predominantly elite The historiography of Indian nationalism has for a long time been dominated by elitism the making of the Indian nation and the development of the consciousness -- colonialist elitism and bourgeois-nationalist elitism ... shar [ing] the prejudice that

the colonized subaltern subject is irretrievably heterogeneous. intellectuals interested in the voice of the Other. But one must nevertheless insist that Certain varieties of the Indian elite are at best native informants for first-world

defined as a place of in-betweenness, what Derrida has described as an 'antre':<sup>41</sup> were, between the people and the great macrostructural dominant groups, is itself social production at large. Even the third group on the list, the buffer group, as it consciousness. Against the possible charge that his approach is essentialist, Guha endorse this insistence on determinate vigor and full autonomy, for practical identity-in-differential. He proposes a dynamic stratification grid describing colonial constructs a definition of the people (the place of that essence) that can be only an historiographic exigencies will not allow such endorsements to privilege subaltern both form and content') the circuit of colonial production.<sup>40</sup> I cannot entirely prevailing under the Raj and in many respects developing entirely new strains in to operate vigorously in spite of [colonialism], adjusting itself to the conditions people', both outside ('This was an autonomous domain, for it neither originated from elite politics nor did its existence depend on the latter?) and inside ('it continued Against the indigenous elite we may set what Guha calls 'the politics of the

- elite 1. Dominant foreign groups.
- 2. Dominant indigenous groups on the all-India level.
- Dominant indigenous groups at the regional and local levels.
- 4. The terms "people" and "subaltern classes" have been used as synony-
- this category represent the demographic difference between the total mous throughout this note. The social groups and elements included in Indian population and all those whom we have described as the "elite."

social developments, differed from area to area. The same class or element which in its composition and, thanks to the uneven character of regional economic and speak? 'Taken as a whole and in the abstract this . . . category . . . was heterogeneous careful historians presuppose as they grapple with the question, Can the subaltern was dominant in one area . . . could be among the dominated in another. This could Consider the third item on this list - the antre of situational indeterminacy these

80

#### Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak

and did create many ambiguities and contradictions in attitudes and alliances, especially among the lowest strata of the rural gentry, impoverished landlords, rich peasants and upper-middle peasants all of whom belonged, *ideally speaking*, to the category of "people" or "subaltern classes."<sup>42</sup>

and the

radical textual practice of differences. The object of the group's investigation, in the disciplinary inscription, a project understood in essentialist terms must traffic in a subaltern studies, because of the violence of imperialist epistemic, social and conversation, a postrepresentationalist vocabulary hides an essentialist agenda. In methodological imperative is at work. I have argued that, in the Foucault-Deleuze specific': a program could hardly be more essentialist and taxonomic. Yet a curious from the ideal and situate it historically'. 'Investigate, identify, and measure the specific nature and degree of the deviation of [the] elements [constituting item 3] own conditions of impossibility as the conditions of its possibility. oriented, a predicament rather different from the self-diagnosed transparency of the defined as a difference from the elite. It is toward this structure that the research is elite-subaltern is a *deviation* from an *ideal* – the people or subaltern – which is itself case not even of the people as such but of the floating buffer zone of the regional the master-slave dialectic - their text articulates the difficult task of rewriting its they themselves perceive it - in fact Guha sees his definition of 'the people' within first-world radical intellectual. What taxonomy can fix such a space? Whether or not 'The task of research' projected here is 'to investigate, identify and measure the

'At the regional and local levels [the dominant indigenous groups] ... if belonging to social strata hierarchically inferior to those of the dominant all-India groups still acted in the interests of the latter and not in conformity to interests corresponding truly to their own social being'. When these writers speak, in their essentializing language, of a gap between interest and action in the intermediate group, their conclusions are closer to Marx than to the self-conscious naiveté of Deleuze's pronouncement on the issue. Guha, like Marx, speaks of interest in terms of the social rather than the libidinal being. The Name-of-the-Father imagery in *The Eighteenth Brumaire* can help to emphasize that, on the level of class or group action, 'true correspondence to own being' is as artificial or social as the patronymic.

So much for the intermediate group marked in item 3. For the 'true' subaltern group, whose identity is its difference, there is no unrepresentable subaltern subject that can know and speak itself; the intellectual's solution is not to abstain from representation. The problem is that the subject's itinerary has not been traced so as to offer an object of seduction to the representing intellectual. In the slightly dated language of the Indian group, the question becomes, How can we touch the consciousness of the people, even as we investigate their politics? With what voice-consciousness can the subaltern speak? Their project, after all, is to rewrite the development of the consciousness of the Indian nation. The planned discontinuity of imperialism rigorously distinguishes this project, however old-fashioned its arriculation, from 'rendering visible the medical and juridical mechanisms that surrounded the story [of Pierre Rivière]'. Foucault is correct in suggesting that 'to make visible the unseen can also mean a change of level, addressing oneself to a layer

of material which had hitherto had no pertinence for history and which had not been recognized as having any moral, aesthetic or historical value'. It is the slippage from rendering visible the mechanism to rendering vocal the individual, both avoiding 'any kind of analysis of [the subject] whether psychological, psychoanalytical or linguistic', that is consistently troublesome (PK, pp. 49–50).

The critique by Ajit K. Chaudhury, a West Bengali Marxist, of Guha's search for the subaltern consciousness can be seen as a moment of the production process that includes the subaltern. Chaudhury's perception that the Marxist view of the transformation of consciousness involves the *knowledge* of social relations seems to me, in principle, astute. Yet the heritage of the positivist ideology that has appropriated orthodox Marxism obliges him to add this rider: 'This is not to belittle the importance of understanding peasants' consciousness or workers' consciousness *in its pure form*. This enriches our knowledge of the peasant and the worker and, possibly, throws light on how a particular mode takes on different forms in different regions, *which is considered a problem of second-order importance in classical Marxism*.'<sup>43</sup>

This variety of 'internationalist' Marxism, which believes in a pure, retrievable form of consciousness only to dismiss it, thus closing off what in Marx remain moments of productive bafflement, can at once be the object of Foucault's and Deleuze's rejection of Marxism *and* the source of the critical motivation of the Subaltern Studies group. All three are united in the assumption that there *is* a pure form of consciousness. On the French scene, there is a shuffling of signifers: 'the unconscious', or 'the subject-in-oppression' clandestinely fills the space of 'the pure form of consciousness'. In orthodox 'internationalist' intellectual Marxism, whether in the First World or the Third, the pure form of consciousness remains an idealistic bedrock which, dismissed as a second-order problem, often earns it the reputation of racism and sexism. In the Subaltern Studies group it needs development according to the unacknowledged terms of its own articulation.

For such an articulation, a developed theory of ideology can again be most useful. In a critique such as Chaudhury's, the association of 'consciousness' with 'knowledge' omits the crucial middle term of 'ideological production': 'Consciousness, according to Lenin, is associated with a *knowledge* of the interrelationships between different classes and groups; i.e., a knowledge of the materials that constitute society. ... These definitions acquire a meaning only within the problematic within a definite knowledge object – to *understand* change in history, or specifically, change from one mode to another, *keeping the question of the specificity of a particular mode out of the focus*.\*\*

Pierre Macherey provides the following formula for the interpretation of ideology: "What is important in a work is what it does not say. This is not the same as the careless notation "what it refuses to say", although that would in itself be interesting: a method might be built on it, with the task of *measuring silences*, whether acknowledged or unacknowledged. But rather this, what the work *cannot* say is important, because there the elaboration of the utterance is carried out, in a

82 - Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak	Can the Subaltern Speak?
sort of journey to silence. <sup>45</sup> Macherey's ideas can be developed in directions he would be unlikely to follow. Even as he writes, ostensibly, of the literariness of the	the context of colonial production, the subaltern has no history and cannot speak, the subaltern as female is even more deeply in shadow.
literature of European provenance, he articulates a method applicable to the social text of imperialism, somewhat against the grain of his own argument. Although the notion 'what it refuses to say' might be careless for a literary work, something like	The contemporary international division of labor is a displacement of the divided field of nineteenth-century territorial imperialism. Put simply, a group of countries,
a collective ideological <i>refusal</i> can be diagnosed for the codifying legal practice of imperialism. This would open the field for a political-economic and multidisciplinary	generally third-world, are in the position of investing capital; another group, generally third-world, provide the field for investment, both through the comprador
declogical reinscription of the terrain. Because this is a 'worlding of the world' on	indigenous capitalists and through their ill-protected and shifting labor force. In the interest of maintaining the circulation and growth of industrial capital (and of the
archival, historiographic, disciplinary-critical and, inevitably, interventionist work	concomitant task of administration within nineteenth-century territorial imperialism),
involved here is indeed a task of 'measuring silences'. This can be a description of 'investigating, identifying, and measuring the <i>deviation</i> ' from an ideal that is	local industries were destroyed, land distribution was rearranged, and raw material
irreducibly differential.	was transferred to the colonizing country. With so-called decolonization, the growth of multinational capital, and the relief of the administrative charge, 'development'
when we come to the concomitant question of the consciousness of the subarcerit, the notion of what the work <i>cannot</i> say becomes important. In the semioses of the	does not now involve wholesale legislation and establishing educational systems in
social text, elaborations of insurgency stand in the place of 'the utterance'. The	a comparable way. This impedes the growth of consumerism in the comprador countries. With modern relecommunications and the emergence of advanced
sender – the peasant – is marked only as a pointer to an irretrievable consciousness. As for the receiver, we must ask who is the real receiver' of an	capitalist economies at the two edges of Asia, maintaining the international division
'insurgency'? The historian, transforming 'insurgency' into 'text for knowledge', is	of labor serves to keep the supply of cheap labor in the comprador countries. Human labor is not, of course, intrinsically 'cheap' or 'expensive'. An absence of
nostalgia for that lost origin, the historian must suspend (as far as possible) the	labor laws (or a discriminatory enforcement of them), a totalitarian state (often
clamor of his or her own consciousness (or consciousness-effect, as operated by	entailed by development and modernization in the periphery), and minimal subsistence requirements on the part of the worker will ensure it. To keep this
insurgent-consciousness, does not freeze into an 'object of investigation', or, worse	crucial item intact, the urban proletariat in comprador countries must not be
yet, a model for imitation. 'The subject' implied by the texts of insurgency can only	systematically trained in the ideology of consumerism (parading as the philosophy of a classless society) that, against all odds, prepares the ground for resistance
subject in the dominant groups. The postcolonial intellectuals learn that their	through the coalition politics Foucault mentions (FD, p. 216). This separation from
privilege is their loss. In this they are a paradigm of the intellectuals.	the ideology of consumerism is increasingly exacerbated by the proliferating other other annufacturers other this strategy, manufacturers
imperialism) has been used in a similar way within deconstructive criticism and	based in developed countries subcontract the most labor intensive stages of
within certain varieties of feminist criticism. <sup>46</sup> In the former case, a figure of	production, for example, sewing or assembly, to the 1 hird World nations where labor is cheap. Once assembled, the multinational re-imports the goods – under
available to the phallocentric tradition. Subaltern historiography raises questions of	generous tariff exemptions – to the developed country instead of selling them to the
method that would prevent it from using such a ruse. For the 'figure' of woman, the	olocal market. Here the link to training in consumerism is almost snapped. willie alobal recession has markedly slowed trade and investment worldwide since 1979.
relationship between woman and silence can be plotted by women themselves; race and class differences are subsumed under that charge. Subaltern historiography must	international subcontracting has boomed In these cases, multinationals are
confront the impossibility of such gestures. The narrow epistemic violence of	freer to resist militant workers, revolutionary upheavals, and even economic
imperialism gives us an imperfect allegory of the general violence that is the possibility of an episteme. <sup>47</sup>	downums. Class mobility is increasingly lethargic in the comprador theaters. Not surprisingly,
Within the effaced itinerary of the subaltern subject, the track of sexual difference	some members of <i>indigenous dominant</i> groups in comprador countries, members or the language of alliance politics attractive Identifying
is doubly effaced. The question is not of temale participation in insurgency, or the	with forms of resistance plausible in advanced capitalist countries is often of a
It is, rather, that, both as object of colonialist historiography and as subject of	0.
insurgency, the ideological construction of gender keeps the male dominant. If, in	Guha.

Belief in the plausibility of global alliance politics is prevalent among women of dominant social groups interested in 'international feminism' in the comprador countries. At the other end of the scale, those most separated from any possibility of an alliance among 'women, prisoners, conscripted soldiers, hospital patients, and homosexuals' (FD, p. 216) are the females of the urban subproletariat. In their case, the denial and withholding of consumerism and the structure of exploitation is compounded by patriarchal social relations. On the other side of the international division of labor, the subject of exploitation cannot know and speak the text of female exploitation even if the absurdity of the nonrepresenting intellectual making space for her to speak is achieved. The woman is doubly in shadow.

Yet even this does not encompass the heterogeneous Other. Outside (though not completely so) the circuit of the *international* division of labor, there are people whose consciousness we cannot grasp if we close off our benevolence by constructing a homogeneous Other referring only to our own place in the seat of the Same or the Self. Here are subsistence farmers, unorganized peasant labor, the tribals and the communities of zero workers on the street or in the countryside. To confront them is not to represent (*vertreten*) them but to learn to represent (*darstellen*) ourselves. This argument would take us into a critique of a disciplinary anthropology and the relationship between elementary pedagogy and disciplinary formation. It would also question the implicit demand, made by intellectuals who choose a 'naturally articulate' subject of oppression, that such a subject come through history as a foreshortened mode-of-production narrative.

much third-worldism in the US human sciences today and reinscription of the Third World as an Other is the founding characteristic of directly accessible to the First World.<sup>49</sup> This benevolent first-world appropriation work; the struggle against youth and the repression of the educational system' (FD) nation-state rather than the globalizing center: 'French capitalism needs greatly a surplus army of labor fall into reverse-ethnic sentimentality. Since he is speaking of who are, ideally, subaltern. In this context, references to the maintenance of the consideration of the Third World to these old local and regional indigenous elite on third-world issues. But in France it is impossible to ignore the problem of the tiers pp. 211-12). This is an acceptable analysis. Yet it shows again that the Third factories, because the French must reacquire the "taste" for increasingly harder the most difficult and thankless jobs go to immigrant workers; repression in the the forms of repression: restrictions on immigration, once it is acknowledged that floating signifier of unemployment. In this perspective, we begin to see the unity of the heritage of nineteenth-century territorial imperialism, his reference is to the monde, the inhabitants of the erstwhile French African colonies. Deleuze limits his the international division of labor would matter less if they did not, in closing, touch That Deleuze and Foucault ignore both the epistemic violence of imperialism and 'unified repression' only when it is confined to the third-world groups that are World can enter the resistance program of an alliance politics directed against a

#### Can the Subaltern Speak?

Foucault continues the critique of Marxism by invoking geographical discontinuity. The real mark of 'geographical (geopolitical) discontinuity' is the international division of labor. But Foucault uses the term to distinguish between exploitation (extraction and appropriation of surplus value; read, the field of Marxist analysis) and domination ('power' studies) and to suggest the latter's greater potential for resistance based on alliance politics. He cannot acknowledge that such a monist and unified access to a conception of 'power' (methodologically presupposing a Subject-of-power) is made possible by a certain stage in exploitation, for his vision of geographical discontinuity is geopolitically specific to the First World:

This geographical discontinuity of which you speak might mean perhaps the following: as soon as we struggle against *exploitation*, the proletariat not only leads the struggle but also defines its targets, its methods, its places and its instruments; and to ally oneself with the proletariat is to consolidate with its positions, its ideology, it is to take up again the motives for their combat. This means total immersion [in the Marxist project]. But if it is against *power* that one struggles, then all those who acknowledge it as intolerable can begin the struggle wherever they find themselves and in terms of their own activity (or passivity). In engaging in this struggle that is *their own*, whose objectives they clearly understand and whose methods they can determine, they enter into the revolutionary process. As allies of the proletariat, to be sure, because power is exercised the way it is in order to maintain capitalist exploitation. They genuinely serve the cause of the proletariat by fighting in those places where they find themselves oppressed. Women, prisoners, conscripted soldiers, hospital patients, and homosexuals have now begun a specific struggle against the particular form of power, the constraints and controls, that are exercised over them. (*FD*, p. 216)

This is an admirable program of localized resistance. Where possible, this model of resistance is not an alternative to, but can complement, macrological struggles along 'Marxist' lines. Yet if its situation is universalized, it accommodates unacknowledged privileging of the subject. Without a theory of ideology, it can lead to a dangerous utopianism.

Foucault is a brilliant thinker of power-in-spacing, but the awareness of the topographical reinscription of imperialism does not inform his presuppositions. He is taken in by the restricted version of the West produced by that reinscription and thus helps to consolidate its effects. Notice the omission of the fact, in the following passage, that the new mechanism of power in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries (the extraction of surplus value without extraeconomic coercion is its Marxist description) is secured *by means of* territorial imperialism – the Earth and its products – 'elsewhere'. The representation of sovereignty is crucial in those theaters: 'In the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, we have the production of a new mechanism of power possessed of highly specific procedural techniques ... which is also, I believe, absolutely incompatible with the relations of sovereignty. This new

the Subject as such; rather, it is a program for the benevolent <i>Western</i> intellectual.	On the general level on which US academics and students take 'influence' from
whether critical or political. The question is how to keep the ethnocentric Subject	Ξ
grammatology as a positive science' (OC, pp. 74–93). In this chapter Derrida	
I will consider a chanter that Derrida composed twenty years and 'Of	one doesn't know about it, roucault ingnt indrinut (1 A, p. 60). Let we have already spoken of the sanctioned ignorance that every critic of imperialism must chart.
intellectual masquerading as the absent nonrepresenter who lets the oppressed speak	in Deleuze and Guattari.) 'One can perfectly well not talk about something because
philosophy. Yet he is less dangerous when understood than the first-world	(One could open a similar discussion of the ferocious motif of 'deterritorialization'
	to be screen-allegories that foreclose a reading of the broader narratives of imperialism.
that retain a long-term usefulness for people outside the First World. This is not an	– but in asylums; considerations or the periphery – but in terms of the insame, prisoners and children. The clinic, the asylum, the prison, the university – all seem
Conversely, though it is not my intention here to counter the specific view of Derrida	phenomenon: management of space – but by doctors; development of administrations
and of the 'concrete' subject of oppression that, in fact, compounds the appeal.	of European imperialism produces a miniature version of that heterogeneous
which often accounts for Foucault's appeal can hide a privileging of the intellectual	Sometimes it seems as if the very brilliance of Foucault's analysis of the centuries
Unave tried to arouse that the anticeration operator (a the data of the data o	of Western wealth'.'' I am suggesting, rather, that to buy a self-contained version
notion of 'textuality': 'Derrida's criticism moves us <i>into</i> the text, Foucault's <i>in</i> and	the greatest possible honesty whether the revolution is desirable'] is a foolish luxury
finally, Said's plangent aphorism, which betrays a profound misapprehension of the	a question [such as Foucault's 'to engage in politics is to try to know with
the hollow of the pronoun (we) lies the aporia of the programme <sup>354</sup> Consider	displaced and homeless people [the Palestinians] assaulted militarily and culturally
as such; and he further sees in Derrida the final development of that tendency. In	by way of Davis's narrative. I am not suggesting, as does Paul Bové, that 'for a
Foucault's attitude in the usual way as the disappearance of the location Cultive	workers struggie, or such unitary pronouncements as ince power, resistance is multiple and can be integrated in global strategies' (PK in 142) seem interpretable
a norizon? Anderson does not see the encroachment of the unacknowledged Subject	such unitary notions as 'France', it must be said that such unitary notions as ' <i>The</i>
more brightly upon our horizon." But who is the "we" to perceive or possess such	mobilization against communism all the while.' While taking precautions against
"Man is in the process of perishing as the being of language continues to shine ever	of the French and British with each keeping up a strident ideological
Foucault struck the characteristically prophetic note when he declared in 1966:	particular, it proved highly accommodating to the residual imperialist pretensions
does not prevent him from seeing precisely the problem I emphasize in Fourcaulty	to allow an enlightened and flexible subordinancy for its principal satraps. In
within the critique of imperialism. Indeed, the brilliance of Anderson's microadian	concepts ince power and usane that priviles interviews. Each scontinues. This
a nostaloia for lost origins can be detrimental to the application of partial anity of the state	the fixation on national scenes, the resistance to economics, and the emphasis on
own premises, the validity of their discourses. <sup>33</sup>	It is within the emergence of this new mechanism of power that we must read
pre-Socratic, respectively - and asking what right either had to assume, on their	commercial liberalism which flowered between 1958 and 1973. <sup>30</sup>
of these two, convicting them both of a "nostalgia of origins" - Rousseauesque or	penetration of the major capitalist economies, making possible the new era of
social realities at all, Derrida had little compunction in undoing the constructions	of collective security against the USSR which preceded and quickened the inter-
Lévi-Strauss or Foucault is consummated. With no commitment to exploration of	American leadership It was multi-national military integration under the slogan
the self-cancellation of structuralism latent in the recourse to music or madness in	prover topic of counter reconciliary more many counter concentration of the period of a chastened Atlantic imperialism under
of 'discursive practices' Perry Anderson constructs a related history. (With Dorido	alabal logic of counter-revolutionary violence which created conditions for the
	century, identifying it simply with the collapse of rascism and the decline of
[Derrida's] own work', Terry Eagleton writes, 'has been grossly unhistorical,	Foucault can remain impervious to its second wave in the middle decades of our own
textualistic. The reader is probably well acquainted with this received idea. That	Because of a blind spot regarding the first wave of 'geographical discontinuity',
France, one encounters the following understanding: Foucault deals with real history, real politics and real social problems: Derrida is inaccessible esoretic and	mechanism of power is more dependent upon bodies and what they do than the Earth and its products' ( <i>PK</i> . p. 104).
Sum are one of the state of the	
Can the Subaltorn Should	84 Gavatri Chakesvorty Snivak

The State of State

empiricism; for, like empiricism, it cannot ask first questions. Derrida thus aligns not declare that grammatology can 'rise above' (Frank Lentricchia's phrase) mere questions that must be answered to establish the grounds of his argument. He does intellectual's ethnocentric impulse, Derrida admits that he cannot ask the 'first' order to advance a factual rather than a pathetic critique of the European world subject of knowledge in our historical moment is to resist and critique 8 preserves the 'actuality' of Hebrew or Greek, the last two ('rational' and 'mystical', sublate Chinese into an easy-to-learn script that will supersede actual Chinese. The a perfect blueprint for philosophical writing, but it is only a blueprint. True crisis of European consciousness' (OG, p. 75): the 'theological prejudice', the project. In the European seventeenth century, he writes, there were three kinds of science - come from the appropriate ideological self-justification of an imperialist knowledge' obliges 'operat [ing] through "examples" (OG, p. 75). 'recognition' of the Third World through 'assimilation', this specificity is crucial. In For those of us who feel that the 'subject' has a history and that the task of the firstcomplicity between writing, the opening of domestic and civil society, and the consolidate an inside, its own subject status. What follows is an account of the problem of the European Subject, which seeks to produce an Other that would the Judaeo-Christian myth the status of geopolitical history: is an earlier story - a 'prejudice' still sustained in efforts to give the cartography of Judaeo-Christian God (the appropriation of the Hellenic Other through assimilation respectively) collude to support the first, where the center of the logos is seen as the third: that Egyptian script is too sublime to be deciphered. The first prejudice philosophical writing is 'independent [t] with regard to history' (OG, p. 79) and will God wrote a primitive or natural script: Hebrew or Greek. The second: Chinese is 'Chinese prejudice' and the 'hieroglyphist prejudice'. The first can be indexed as: 'prejudices' operating in histories of writing which constituted a 'symptom of the empirical investigation ... tak[ing] shelter in the field of grammatological 'Deconstruction' is not, therefore a new word for 'ideological demystification'. Like 'grammatological' knowledge with the same problems as empirical investigation. The examples Derrida lays out - to show the limits of grammatology as a positive Derrida proceeds to offer two characteristic possibilities for solutions to the italicizes only 'hieroglyphist prejudice') consolidate an inside and to draw from it some domestic benefit. (OG, p. 80; Derrida ostentatiously reversed, some effort silently hides behind all the spectacular effects to century is not free from it; each time that ethnocentrism is precipitately and admiration. We have not finished demonstrating the necessity of this pattern. Our ... from ethnocentric scorn, the occultation takes the form of an hyperbolical prejudice" had produced the same effect of interested blindness. Far from proceeding knowledge of Chinese script... which was then available. ... A "hieroglyphist ... This functioning obeyed a rigorous necessity. ... It was not disturbed by the The concept of Chinese writing thus functioned as a sort of European hallucination. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak within the historical closure, that is to say within the limits of science and vulnerability of his own desire to conserve something that is, paradoxically, both structures of desire, power and capitalization. Derrida then discloses the philosophy' (OG, p. 93). critique, a vigilance precisely against too great a claim for transparency. The word presence but an awareness of the itinerary of the discourse of presence in one's oun obliged to develop within the discourse of presence. It is not just a critique of subject. this ineffable, nontranscendental ('historical') place is cathected by the subaltern 'writing' as the name of the object and model of grammatology is a practice 'only ineffable and nontranscendental. In critiquing the production of the colonial subject, Derrida closes the chapter by showing again that the project of grammatology is

is the voice of the other in us<sup>56</sup> opposed to a self-consolidating other), of 'rendering delirious that interior voice that contrast, to hide the relentless recognition of the Other by assimilation. It is in the displace their own production only by presupposing that text-inscribed blankness. production of theory. The postcolonial critics and intellectuals can attempt to would like to see developed within the European enclosure as the place of the circumscribed by an interpretable text is what a postcolonial critic of imperialism text and must be consigned to the Other of history. That inaccessible blankness blank part of the text' (OG, p. 93); that which is thought is, if blank, still in the to demote the Subject of thinking or knowledge as to say that 'thought is ... the problem. It is within the context of this ethnocentricism that he tries so desperately chapter is the complicity between the two). Not a general problem, but a European and therefore also all grammatological endeavours (since the main thesis of the as marginal to ethnocentrism and locates that as the problem with all logocentric philosopher, he articulates the European Subject's tendency to constitute the Other a critique makes necessary. It is more important to me that, as a European does not lead me (as Europeans inevitably seem to do) to the specific path that such constitution of the Other. As a postcolonial intellectual, I am not troubled that he himself but rather invokes an 'appeal' to or 'call' to the 'quite-other' (tout-autre as interest of such cautions that Derrida does not invoke 'letting the other(s) speak for To render thought or the thinking subject transparent or invisible seems, by specifically political, choices to suggest a critique of European ethnocentrism in the Derrida here makes Nietzschean, philosophical and psychoanalytic, rather than

though the importance of these two interventions within deconstruction should not subject than in repeated incursions into psychoanalysis or the 'figure' of woman interestingly traced, it seems to me, in the imperialist constitution of the colonial the slow turn from feudalism to capitalism via the first waves of capitalist imperialism. consciousness. It is, of course, part of a greater symptom, or perhaps the crisis itself seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries a symptom of the general crisis of European be minimized. Derrida has not moved (or perhaps cannot move) into that arena The itinerary of recognition through assimilation of the Other can be more Derrida calls the ethnocentrism of the European science of writing in the late

consumerism in the comprador periphery, and the exclusion of the margins of even the center periphery articulation (the 'true and differential subaltern'), the analogue of class-consciousness rather than race-consciousness in this area seems historically, disciplinarily and practically forbidden by Right and Left alike. It is not just a question of a <i>double</i> displacement, as it is not simply the problem of finding a psychoanalytic allegory that can accommodate the third-world woman with the first. <sup>1</sup> The cautions I have just expressed are valid only if we are speaking of the subaltern woman's consciousness – or, more acceptably, subject. Reporting on, or better still, participating in, antisexist work among women of color or women in class oppression in the First World or the Third World is undeniably on the agenda. We should also welcome all the information retrieval in these silenced areas that is taking place in anthropology, political science, history and sociology. Yet the assumption and construction of a consciousness or subject sustains such work and will, in the long run, cohere with the work of imperialist subject-constitution, mingling epistemic violence with the advancement of learning and civilization. And the subaltern woman, it is not easy to ask the question of the consciousness of the subaltern woman; it is thus all the more necessary to remind pragmatic radicals that such a question is not an idealist red herring. Though all feminist or antisexist	Can the subaltern speak? What must the elite do to watch out for the continuing construction of the subaltern? The question of 'woman' seems most problematic in this context. Clearly, if you are poor, black and female you get it in three ways. If, however, this formulation is moved from the first-world context into the postcolonial (which is not identical with the third-world) context, the description 'black' or 'of color' loses persuasive significance. The necessary stratification of colonial subject-constitution in the first phase of capitalist imperialism makes 'color' useless as an emancipatory signifier. Confronted by the ferocious standardizing benevolence of most US and Western European human-scientific radicalism (recognition by assimilation), the progressive though heterogeneous withdrawal of	Whatever the reasons for this specific absence, what I find useful is the sustained and developing work on the <i>mechanics</i> of the constitution of the Other; we can use it to much greater analytic and interventionist advantage than invocations of the <i>authenticity</i> of the Other. On this level, what remains useful in Foucault is the mechanics of disciplinarization and institutionalization, the constitution, as it were, of the colonizer. Foucault does not relate it to any version, early or late, proto- or post-, of imperialism. They are of great usefulness to intellectuals concerned with the decay of the West. Their seduction for them, and fearfulness for us, is that they might allow the complicity of the investigating subject (male or female professional) to disguise itself in transparency.	90 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak
<ul> <li>tor lost origins, cannot be served by the call for more theory in Anglo-America either.</li> <li>That call is often given in the name of a critique of 'positivism', which is seen here as identical with 'essentialism'. Yet Hegel, the modern inaugurator of 'the work of the negative', was not a stranger to the notion of essences. For Marx, the curious persistence of essentialism within the dialectic was a profound and productive problem. Thus, the stringent binary opposition between positivism/essentialism (read, US) and 'theory' (read, French or Franco-German via Anglo-American) may be spurious. Apart from repressing the ambiguous complicity between essentialism and critiques of positivism (acknowledged by Derrida in 'Of grammatology as a positive science'), it also errs by implying that positive essence, Theory. Once again, the position of the investigator remains unquestioned. And, if this territorial debate turns toward the Third World, no change in the question of method is to be discerned. This debate cannot take into account that, in the case of the woman as subaltern, no ingredients for the constitution of the itinerary of the trace of a sexed subject can be gathered to locate the possibility of dissemination.</li> <li>Yet I remain generally sympathetic in aligning feminism with the critique of positivism and the defetishization of the concrete. I am also far from averse to learning from the work of Western theorists, though I have learned to insist on</li> </ul>	understand the feminist project as Culler now describes it when we were still agitating as US academics. <sup>60</sup> It was certainly a necessary stage in my own education in 'unlearning' and has consolidated the belief that the mainstream project of Western feminism both continues and displaces the battle over the right to individualism between women and men in situations of upward class mobility. One suspects that the debate between US feminism and European 'theory' (as theory is generally represented by women from the United States or Britain) occupies a significant corner of that very terrain. I am generally sympathetic with the call to make US feminism more 'theoretical'. It seems, however, that the problem of the muted subject of the subaltern woman, though not solved by an 'essentialist' search	projects cannot be reduced to this one, to ignore it is an unacknowledged political gesture that has a long history and collaborates with a masculine radicalism that renders the place of the investigator transparent. In seeking to learn to speak to (rather than listen to or speak for) the historically muted subject of the subaltern woman, the postcolonial intellectual <i>systematically</i> 'unlearns' female privilege. This systematic unlearning involves learning to critique postcolonial discourse with the best tools it can provide and not simply substituting the lost figure of the colonized. Thus, to question the unquestioned muting of the subaltern woman even within the anti-imperialist project of <u>subaltern studies</u> is not, as Jonathan Culler suggests, to 'produce difference by differing' or to 'appeal to a sexual identity defined as essential and privilege experiences associated with that identity'. <sup>38</sup> Culler's version of the feminist project is possible within what Elizabeth Fox-Genovese has called 'the contribution of the bourgeois-democratic revolutions to the social and political individualism of women'. <sup>59</sup> Many of us were obliged to	Can the Subaltern Speak? 9।

positivism and the defetishization of the concrete. I am also far from averse to learning from the work of Western theorists, though I have learned to insist on

4

92 Gyatri Chakravorty Spival marking their positionality as investigating subjects. Given these conditions, and as a literary critic, I tactically confronted the immense problem of the consciousness of the woman as subaltern. I reinvented the problem in a sentence and transformed it into the object of a simple semiosis. What does this sentence mean? The analogy here is between the ideological victimization of a Freud and the positionality of the postcolonial intellectual as investigating subject. As Sarah Kofman has shown, the deep ambiguity of Freud's use of women as a scapegoat is a reaction-formation to an initial and continuing desire to give the hysteric a voice, to transform her into the <i>subject</i> of hysteria. <sup>61</sup> The masculine- imperialist ideological formation that shaped that desire into the 'daughter's seduction' is part of the same formation that constructs the monolithic 'third-world	<i>Can the Subaltern Speak?</i> 93 driven to impose a homologue of this Freudian strategy on the Marxist narrative to explain the ideological dissimulation of imperialist political economy and outline a history of repression that produces a sentence like the one I have sketched. This history also has a double origin, one hidden in the manoeuverings behind the British abolition of widow sacrifice in 1829, <sup>65</sup> the other lodged in the classical and Vedic past of Hindu India, the <i>Rg-Veda</i> and the <i>Dharmasāstra</i> . No doubt there is also an undifferentiated preoriginary space that supports this history. The sentence I have constructed is one among many displacements describing the relationship between brown and white men (sometimes brown and white women worked in). It takes its place among some sentences of 'hyperbolic admiration' or of pious guilt that Derrida speaks of in connection with the 'hieroglyphist prejudice'.
nysteric a voice, to transionin net into the surget of hysteria. The massessing imperialist ideological formation that shaped that desire into the 'daughter's seduction' is part of the same formation that constructs the monolithic 'third-world woman'. As a postcolonial intellectual, I am influenced by that formation as well. Part of our 'unlearning' project is to articulate that ideological formation – by	worked in). It takes its place among some sentences of 'hyperbolic admiration' or of pious guilt that Derrida speaks of in connection with the 'hieroglyphist prejudice'. The relationship between the imperialist subject and the subject of imperialism is at least ambiguous.
<i>measuring</i> silences, if necessary – into the <i>object</i> of investigation. Thus, when confronted with the questions, Can the subaltern speak? and Can the subaltern (as woman) speak?, our efforts to give the subaltern a voice in history will be doubly	I he rundu whow ascends the pyre of the dead husband and immovates nersen upon it. This is widow sacrifice. (The conventional transcription of the Sanskrit word for the widow would be <i>sati</i> . The early colonial British transcribed it <i>suttee</i> .)
open to the dangers run by Freud's discourse. As a product of these considerations, I have put together the sentence 'White men are saving brown women from brown men' in a spirit not unlike the one to be encountered in Freud's investigations of the sentence 'A child is being beaten' <sup>62</sup>	The rite was not practiced universally and was not caste- or class-inxed. The abolition of this rite by the British has been generally understood as a case of 'White men saving brown women from brown men'. White women – from the nineteenth-century British Missionary Registers to Mary Daly – have not produced an
The use of Freud here does not imply an isomorphic analogy between subject- formation and the behaviour of social collectives, a frequent practice, often accompanied by a reference to Reich, in the conversation between Deleuze and	alternative understanding. Against this is the Indian nativist argument, a parody of the nostalgia for lost origins: 'The women actually wanted to die.' The two sentences go a long way to legitimize each other. One never encounters
Foucault. So I am not suggesting that 'White men are saving brown women from brown men' is a sentence indicating a collective fantasy symptomatic of a collective there is a collective imperialist enterprise.	the testimony of the women's voice-consciousness. Such a testimony would not be ideology-transcendent or 'fully' subjective, of course, but it would have constituted the inpredients for producing a countersentence. As one goes down the grotesquely
innerary or sacontasochistic repression in a concerne internation conservation is a satisfying symmetry in such an allegory, but I would rather invite the reader to consider it a problem in 'wild psychoanalysis' than a clinching solution. <sup>63</sup> Just as Freud's insistence on making the woman the scapegoat in 'A child is being beaten'	mistranscribed names of these women, the sacrificed widows, in the police reports included in the records of the East India Company, one cannot put together a 'voice'. The most one can sense is the immense heterogeneity breaking through even such the sacrificed in the sacrificed set of
and elsewhere discloses his political interests, nowever imperiectly, so my insurative on imperialist subject-production as the occasion for this sentence discloses my politics.	"White men are saving brown women from brown men' and 'The women wanted
Further, I am attempting to borrow the general methodological aura of Freud's strategy toward the sentence he construed <i>as a sentence</i> out of the many similar substantive accounts his patients gave him. This does not mean I will offer a case	to die', the postcolonial woman intellectual asks the question of simple semiosis – What does this mean? – and begins to plot a history. To mark the moment when not only a civil but a good society is born out of
of transference-in-analysis as an isomorphic model for the transaction between reader and text (my sentence). The analogy between transference and literary	domestic confusion, singular events that break the letter of the law to instill its spirit are often invoked. The protection of women by men often provides such an event. If we remember that the British boasted of their absolute equity toward and
subject is a text does not authorize the converse pronouncement: the verbal text is a subject.	noninterference with native custom/law, an invocation of this sanctioned transgression of the letter for the sake of the spirit may be read in J.D.M. Derrett's
I am fascinated, rather, by how Freud predicates a <i>history</i> of repression that produces the final sentence. It is a history with double origin, one hidden in the amnesia of the infant, the other lodged in our archaic past, assuming by implication a preoriginary space where human and animal were not yet differentiated. <sup>64</sup> We are	remark: I ne very mrst legislation upon rindur way carried intrough without the assent of a single Hindu.' The legislation is not named here. The next sentence, where the measure is named, is equally interesting if one considers the implications of the survival of a colonially established 'good' society after decolonization: 'The
providinary space minere minere mere more that the providence of t	

of <i>sati</i> was in itself admirable, is it still possible to wonder if a perception of the origin of my sentence might contain interventionist possibilities? Imperialism's image as the establisher of the good society is marked by the espousal of the woman as <i>object</i> of protection from her own kind. How should one examine the dissimulation of patriarchal strategy, which apparently grants the	universality of the mode of production narrative, that to ignore the subaltern today is, willy-nilly, to continue the imperialist project. The origin of my sentence is thus lost in the shuffle between other, more powerful discourses. Given that the abolition	narrative is sustained by the putatively changeless stopgap of the 'Asiatic' mode of production, which steps in to sustain it whenever it might become apparent that the story of capital logic is the story of the West, that imperialism establishes the	of humankind as work, the story of capitalist expansion, the slow freeing of labor power as commodity, that narrative of the modes of production, the transition from feudalism via mercantilism to capitalism. Yet the precarious normativity of this	within. "" If this is the first historical origin of my sentence, it is evidently lost in the history	traditional high culture. To many of them <i>sati</i> became an important proof of their conformity to older norms at a time when these norms had become shaky	marginal by their exposure to Western impact had come under pressure to demonstrate, to others as well as to themselves, their ritual purity and allegiance to	the native 'colonial subject', also emergent from the feudalism-capitalism transition, <i>sati</i> is a signifier with the reverse social charge: 'Groups rendered psychologically	police stations, the lower and higher courts, the courts of directors, the prince regent's court, and the like. (It is interesting to note that, from the point of view of	administrative British presence; it can be followed in correspondence among the	The leap of <i>suttee</i> from private to public has a clear and complex relationship	scientific' (PK, p. 197) – ritual as opposed to crime, the one fixed by superstition,	pertinent here: 'The <i>episteme</i> is the "apparatus' which makes possible the separation not of the true from the false, but of what may not be characterized as	Although roucault's <i>nistorical narrative</i> , locusing solely on western Europe, sees merely a tolerance for the criminal antedating the development of criminology in the late eighteenth century (PK no. 41) his theoretical description of the 'enisteme' is	and the public domain.	of a good society which must, at such inaugurative moments, transgress mere legality, or equity of legal policy. In this particular case, the process also allowed the redefinition as a crime of what had been tolerated, known, or adulated as ritual.	cannot long survive even in a very backward part of the country. <sup>56</sup> Whether this observation is correct or not, what interests me is that the protection of woman (today the 'third-world woman') becomes a signifier for the establishment	recurrence of <i>sati</i> in independent India is probably an obscurantist revival which	Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak
Yet even this is not the <i>proper</i> place for the woman to annul the proper name of suicide through the destruction of her proper self. For her alone is sanctioned self- immolation on a dead spouse's pyre. (The few male examples cited in Hindu antiquity of self-immolation on another's pyre, being proofs of enthusiasm and devotion to a master or superior, reveal the structure of domination within the rire.)		area of <i>sruti</i> (what was heard) rather than <i>smirti</i> (what is remembered). This exception to the general rule about suicide annuls the phenomenal identity of self- immolation if performed in certain places rather than in a certain crack of		inhabited by gods rather than human beings, of this particular chain of displacements, suicide and sacrifice ( <i>ātmagbāta</i> and <i>ātmadāna</i> ) seem as little distinct as an 'interior' (self-knowledge) and an 'exterior' (ecolory) sanction	is sanctioned by natural ecology, useful for the working of the economy of Nature and the Universe, rather than by self-knowledge. In this <i>logically</i> anterior stage.	of knowledge is that the strongest assertion of agency, to negate the possibility of agency cannot be an example of irself. Curjously apound the output of the strongest stronge	enlightened self truly knows the 'that'-ness of its identity. Its demolition of that identity is not <i>ātmaghāta</i> (a killing of the self). The naradox of knowing of the limits	lity) of its id out even w	<i>tatvajnāna</i> , or the knowledge of truth. Here the knowing subject comprehends the insubstantiality or mere phenomenality (which may be the same thing as	tor certain forms of suicide which, as formulaic performance, lose the phenomenal identity of being suicide. The first category of sanctioned suicides arises out of	two discourses, the self-immolation of widows seems an exception to the rule. The general scriptural doctrine is that suicide is reprehensible. Room is made, however,	I ne two moments in the <i>Dharmasāstra</i> that I am interested in are the discourse on sanctioned suicides and the nature of the rites for the dead. <sup>68</sup> Framed in these	woman's desire. Paradoxically, at the same time we witness the unfixed place of woman as a signifier in the inscription of the social individual.	of repression, a constructed counternarrative of woman's consciousness, thus woman's being, thus woman's being good, thus the good woman's desire, thus	<i>Rg-Veda</i> (Praise Knowledge). They represent the archaic origin in my homology of Freud. Of course, my treatment is not exhaustive. My readings are, rather, an interested and inexpert examination, by a postcolonial woman, of the fabrication	"Britain' to 'Hinduism'? Even the attempt shows that imperialism is not identical with chromatism, or mere prejudice against people of colour. To approach this question, I will touch briefly on the <i>Dharmasāstra</i> (the sustaining scriptures) and the	woman free choice as subject? In other words have done	Can the Subaltern Speak?

R. S. States

STREET

right to e	decision was, by contrast, a mark of real free choice, a choice of freedom. The
to withou	local British police officer supervising the immolation, to be dissuaded after a
secure in t	is a transgression for which a particular type of penance is prescribed.' <sup>4</sup> With the
principles	If, however, the widow does decide thus to exceed the letter of ritual, to turn back
Council,	Of course, the self-immolation of widows was not <i>invariable</i> ritual prescription.
Hindoos	perceive as crime, one diagnosis of female free will is substituted for another.
instances,	ritual is sublated (but not, Lyotard would argue, translated) into what the British
The pract	vividly illustrated here. <sup>71</sup> As the discourse of what the British perceive as heathen
a na kanada	of, or untranslatability from, one mode of discourse in a dispute to another, is
who was ag	p. 636). What Jean-François Lyotard has termed the 'differend', the inaccessibility
period of co	or performing the <i>jauhar</i> for cherishing their ideals of womanly conduct' (HD II.2,
condoned t	reverence for the cool and unfaltering courage of Indian women in becoming satis
in England,	is a warped mentality that rebukes modern Indians for expressing admiration and
beginning o	sexed subaltern subject: 'Modern India does not justify the practice of sati, but it
OI WIDOWS	of the woman's free choice in the matter. They thus accept the production of the
dissuaded.	Yet benevolent and enlightened males were and are sympathetic with the 'courage'
Collaboratio	distressing hour to her devotion to and love for her husband' (HD II.2, p. 635).
to judge with	induced the surviving members to get rid of the widow by appealing at a most
oi uic iaw,	property which her deceased husband would have had must have trequently
	even in a joint Hindu family is entitled to practically the same rights over joint family
	has correctly observed: 'In Bengal, [the fact that] the widow of a sonless member
a the state of the	ideological battle-ground. As P. V. Kane, the great historian of the Dharmasastra,
brought to	what the British see as poor victimized women going to the slaughter is in fact an
rite seeme	because in Bengal, unlike elsewhere in India, widows could inherit property. I hus,
for no offe	communal misogyny. <sup>10</sup> Certainly its prevalence there in the previous centuries was
by a law w	early ninteenth-century bengal to factors ranging from population control to
But the di	s Nandy relates its marked
of witch-b	In certain periods and areas this exceptional rule occanic the general rule in a
known, sc	conduct.
or nationa	exceptional signification of the own result, concurring the pointer of the owner own
It may see	and survey over a construction of the second rule for a widow's
	sevend subject such a death can be understood by the female subject as an
	act of choice on another register. By the inexorable ideological production of the
'punishment	the effect of 'fall' (pataka) attached to an unsanctioned suicide, brings praise for the
ideologically	is noted. For the female subject, a sanctioned self-immolation, even as it takes away
ideologically	of the suicide, a felicity that will annul rather than establish its status as such, that
redefined a	that the paradox of free choice comes into play. For the male subject, it is the felicity
is the place (	It is in terms of this profound ideology of the displaced place of the female subject
the two con	ritual, where the woman's subject, legally displaced from herself, is being consumed.
	for all sacred places is now that burning bed of wood, constructed by elaborate
this last pro	widow becomes the (non)agent who 'acts it our'. If the latter, it is as if the metonym
paternai gra	becomes the exteriorized example and place of the extinguished subject and the
women. I h	insubstantiality and mere phenomenality is dramatized so that the dead husband
nationalistic	and piety of place. If the former, it is as if the knowledge in a subject of its own
anionalistic	This suicide that is not suicide may be read as a simulacrum of both truth-knowledge
mhimity	
	96 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak
- 1997 <sup>-</sup> 7	

Can the Subaltern Speak?

ambiguity of the position of the indigenous colonial elite is disclosed in the nationalistic romanticization of the purity, strength and love of these self-sacrificing women. The two set pieces are Rabindranath Tagore's paean to the 'self-renouncing paternal grandmothers of Bengal' and Ananda Coomaraswamy's eulogy of *suttee* as this last proof of the perfect unity of body and soul'.<sup>73</sup>

Obviously I am not advocating the killing of widows. I am suggesting that, within the two contending versions of freedom, the constitution of the female subject *in life* is the place of the *différend*. In the case of widow self-immolation, ritual is not being redefined as superstition but as *crime*. The gravity of *sati* was that it was ideologically cathected as 'reward', just as the gravity of imperialism was that it was ideologically cathected as 'social mission'. Thompson's understanding of *sati* as 'punishment' is thus far off the mark:

t may seem unjust and illogical that the Moguls, who freely impaled and flayed alive, or nationals of Europe, whose countries had such ferocious penal codes and had mown, scarcely a century before suttee began to shock the English conscience, orgies of witch-burning and religious persecution, should have felt as they did about suttee. Sut the differences seemed to them this – the victims of their cruelties were tortured by a law which considered them offenders, whereas the victims of suttee were punished or no offence but the physical weakness which had placed them at man's mercy. The ite seemed to prove a depravity and arrogance such as no other human offense had yrought to light.<sup>74</sup>

All through the mid and late-eighteenth century, in the spirit of the codification of the law, the British in India collaborated and consulted with learned Brahmans to judge whether *suttee* was legal by their homogenized version of Hindu law. The collaboration was often idiosyncratic, as in the case of the significance of being dissuaded. Sometimes, as in the general Sastric prohibition against the immolation of widows with small children, the British collaboration seems confused.<sup>75</sup> In the beginning of the nineteenth century, the British authorities, and especially the British in England, repeatedly suggested that collaboration made it appear as if the British condoned this practice. When the law was finally written, the history of the long period of collaboration was effaced, and the language celebrated the noble Hindu who was against the bad Hindu, the latter given to savage atrocities:

The practice of Suttee ... is revolting to the feeling of human nature. ... In many nstances, acts of atrocity have been perpetrated, which have been shocking to the findoos themselves. ... Actuated by these considerations the Governor-General in Council, without intending to depart from one of the first and most important principles of the system of British Government in India that all classes of the people be ecure in the observance of their religious usages, so long as that system can be adhered it o without violation of the paramount dictates of justice and humanity, has deemed it ight to establish the following rules. (HD II.2, pp. 624–5)

98 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak	
That this was an alternative ideology of the graded sanctioning of suicide as exception, rather than its inscription as sin, was of course not understood. Perhaps <i>sati</i> should have been read with martyrdom, with the defunct husband standing in	of suicide as ood. Perhaps d standing in
tor the transcendental One; or with war, with the nusseally saliding in for sovercegi- or state, for whose sake an intoxicating ideology of self-sacrifice can be mobilized. In actuality, it was categorized with murder, infanticide and the lethal exposure of the very old. The dubious place of the free will of the constituted sexed subject as	tot sovereign be mobilized. l exposure of red subject as
female was successfully effaced. There is no itinerary we can retrace here. Since the other sanctioned suicides did not involve the scene of this constitution, they entered	here. Since the
neither the ideological battleground at the archaic origin – the tradition of $Dharmas\bar{a}stra$ – nor the scene of the reinscription of ritual as crime – the Bri	<ul> <li>the British</li> </ul>
	inscription of not the place
to discuss the details of that sea-change. I would merely invite the reader to compare	er to compare
satyāgraba and sati are the same.	s dehated the
I appropriateness of sati as of sanctioned suicides in sacred	red places in nes the caste
ctice was in question. The general law f	ows, that they is not enough
to translate brahmacarya as 'celibacy'. It should be recognized that, of the four ages	the four ages
social practice anterior to the kinship inscription of marriage. The man – widower	an - widower
or husband – graduates through <i>vānaprastha</i> (forest life) into the mature celibacy and renunciation of <i>samnvāsa</i> (laving aside). <sup>76</sup> The woman as wife is indispensable	ature celibacy indispensable
gārhasthya, or householdership,	nd into forest
asceticism, or sammydsa. The woman as widow, by the general law of sacred	aw of sacred
	e institutional asymmetrical
t on the ideological formation of the sexed subject. It is thus of much $\xi$	much greater
n that the except	e exceptional
prescription of self-immolation was actively contended. If the possibility of recovering a (sexually) subaltern subject is once again lost and overdetermined.	determined.
legally programmed asymmetry in the status of the subject which	nich effectively
defines the woman as object or one nusually, obviously operates in the interview of the legally symmetrical subject-status of the male. The self-immolation of the widow	n of the widow
thereby becomes the extreme case of the general law rather than an exception to it.	xception to it.
It is not surprising, then, to read or neavenly rewards for the sail, where the quanty of being the object of unique possessor is emphasized by way of rivalry with other	lry with other
females, those ecstatic heavenly dancers, paragons of female beauty and nleasure who sing her praise: 'In heaven she, being solely devoted to her hu	uty and male her husband,
being solely devoted to her	ICI Ilusvanu,

Can the Subaltern Speak?

and praised by groups of *apsarās* [heavenly dancers], sports with her husband as long as fourteen Indras rule' (HD II.2, p. 631).

The profound irony in locating the woman's free will in self-immolation is once again revealed in a verse accompanying the earlier passage: 'As long as the woman [as wife: stri] does not burn herself in fire on the death of her husband, she is never released [*mucyate*] from her female body [strisarir - i.e., in the cycle of births].' Even as it operates the most subtle general release from individual agency, the sanctioned suicide peculiar to woman draws its ideological strength by *identifying* individual agency with the supraindividual: kill yourself on your husband's pyre now, and you may kill your female body in the entire cycle of birth.

Party.

In a further twist of the paradox, this emphasis on free will establishes the peculiar misfortune of holding a female body. The word for the self that is actually burned is the standard word for spirit in the noblest sense ( $\overline{atman}$ ), while the verb 'release', through the root for salvation in the noblest sense ( $\overline{muc} \rightarrow moska$ ) is in the passive (mocyate), and the word for that which is annulled in the cycle of birth is the everyday word for the body. The ideological message writes itself in the benevolent twentieth-century male historian's admiration: 'The Jauhar [group self-immolation of aristocratic Raiput war-widows or imminent war-widows] practiced by the Raiput ladies of Chitor and other places for saving themselves from unspeakable atrocities at the hands of the victorious Moslems are too well known to need any lengthy notice' (HD II.2, p. 629).

Although *jaubar* is not, strictly speaking, an act of *sati*, and although I do not wish to speak for the sanctioned sexual violence of conquering male armies, 'Moslem' or otherwise, female self-immolation in the face of it is a legitimation of rape as 'natural' and works, in the long run, in the interest of unique genital possession of the female. The group rape perpetrated by the conquerors is a metonymic celebration of territorial acquisition. Just as the general law for widows was unquestioned, so this act of female heroism persists among the patriotic tales told to children, thus operating on the crudest level of ideological reproduction. It has also played a tremendous role, precisely as an overdetermined signifier, in acting out Hindu communalism. Simultaneously, the broader question of the constitution of the sexed subject is hidden by foregrounding the visible violence of *sati*. The task of recovering a (sexually) subaltern subject is lost in an institutional textuality at the archaic origin.

As I mentioned above, when the status of the legal subject as property-holder could be temporarily bestowed on the *female* relict, the self-immolation of widows was stringently enforced. Raghunandana, the late fifteenth-/sixteenth-century legalist whose interpretations are supposed to lend the greatest authority to such enforcement, takes as his text a curious passage from the Rg-Veda, the most ancient of the Hindu sacred texts, the first of the Srutis. In doing so, he is following a centuries-old tradition, commemorating a peculiar and transparent misreading at the very place of sanction. Here is the verse outlining certain steps within the rites for the dead. Even at a simple reading it is clear that it is 'not addressed to widows at all, but to ladies of the deceased man's household whose husbands were living'.

pre	it indicates appropriate, felicitous, fit. It is noble enough to have entered the most
who	the Good, the Right. In the sacred texts it is essence, universal spirit. Even as a prefix
, will:	present narriciple of the verb 'to be' and as such means not only being but the True,
	sati, the teminine torni of sat, sat transcenus any genuer-specific normalistic meta-
Kaj	woman's desire. This slippage can be seen in the tracture inscribed in the very word
the	thus woman's being, thus woman's being good, thus the good woman's desire, thus
pre	I have written above of a constructed counternarrative of woman's consciousness,
imp	simulacrum for the intellectual indeterminacy of tattvainana (truth-knowledge).
Om	of fire, a corrupt phrasing, might figure a sexual indeterminacy providing a
Mo	mean[s] "may fire be to them as cool as water" (HD II.2, p. 634)? The fluid genital
Sun	thi
who	the fluid abode for origin, with, of course, the yoni-name – a robantu
loo	hy Raghunandana's modification of the verse so as to read, 'Let them first ascend
DIO	fre lends a kind of strength to the authority-claim. <sup>80</sup> This paradox is strengthened
0	into civic production or hirth? Paradoxically, the imagic relationship of vagina and
ins	passage culturating the chird of accounter more a comment procession by its work-name so that the extracontextual icon is almost one of entry
hon	now call we take as the authority for the choice of a machine place invoked on this
Th	How one we take as the authority for the choice of a widow's self-immolation a
oth	not efface its nemary sense of 'geniral' (not vet nerhans specifically <i>female</i> genital).
the	the localizing advert <i>agré</i> (in front), the word means 'dwelling-place'. But that does
Brit	One cannot help but wonder about the role of the word yoni. In context, with
con	'remarriage of widows and divorce are recognized in some of the old texts'. <sup>79</sup>
cap	just as definitively, that the Rg-Vedic verse under consideration was proof that
Ind	Freud calls 'kettle logic' that we are unraveling here, that Mulla's textbook adduces,
vol,	Principles of Hindu Law is the practical guide. It is part of the historical text of what
as a	If P.V. Kane is the authority on the history of the Dharmasāstra, Mulla's
can	kinsman to raise up issue to a deceased husband by marrying his widow. <sup>78</sup>
()	to which sati is an exception, or about niyoga - 'appointing a brother or any near
ma	of the poem is either about that general law of brahmacarya-in-stasis for widows,
for	committed an innocent slip' (HD II.2 p. 634)? It should be mentioned that the rest
COD	'Therefore it must be admitted that either the MSS are corrupt or Raghunandana
wif	it a historical oneirocritique that one should perform on a statement such as:
ide	another screen around one origin of the history of the subaltern female subject. Is
6	and others rely for the practice of Sati on this verse' (HD IV.2, p. 199). Here is
situ	as agné, 'O fire'. As Kane makes clear, however, 'even without this change Apararka
5	ves first step into the house',
of t	passage and an alternate reading. In the second line, here translated 'Let
on	transposition is not the only mistake here. The authority is lodged in a disputed
Brit	the house, tearless, healthy, and well adorned' (HD II.2, p. 634). But this crucial
wid	living enter the house with clarified butter in their eyes. Let these wives first step into
l	the ones we have been discussing: 'Let these whose husbands are worthy and are
Bei	dead for the living husband, is a different order of mystery at the archaic origin from
priv	Why then was it taken as authoritative? This, the unemphatic transposition of the
	100 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak

Can the Subaltern Speak?

rivileged discourse of modern Western philosophy: Heidegger's meditation on being.<sup>81</sup> Sati, the feminine of this word, simply means 'good wife.'

It is now time to disclose that *sati* or *suttee* as the proper name of the rite of idow self-immolation commemorates a grammatical error on the part of the ritish, quite as the nomenclature 'American Indian' commemorates a factual error n the part of Columbus. The word in the various Indian languages is 'the burning f the *sati*' or the good wife, who thus escapes the regressive stasis of the widow *brahmacrya*. This exemplifies the race-class-gender overdeterminations of the tuation. It can perhaps be caught even when it is flattened out: white men, seeking o save brown women from brown men, impose upon those women a greater leological constriction by absolutely identifying, *within discursive practice*, good-ifehood with self-immolation on the husband's pyre. On the other side of thus onstituting the *object*, the abolition (or removal) of which will provide the occasion or establishing a good, as distinguished from merely civil, society, is the Hindu tanipulation of female *subject*-constitution which I have tried to discuss.

(I have already mentioned Edward Thompson's *Suttee*, published in 1928. I annot do justice here to this perfect specimen of true justification of imperialism is a civilizing mission. Nowhere in his book, written by someone who avowedly loves India', is there any questioning of the 'beneficial ruthlessness' of the British in ndia as motivated by territorial expansionism or management of industrial apital.<sup>82</sup> The problem with his book is, indeed, a problem of representation, the construction of a continuous and homogeneous 'India' in terms of heads of state and british administrators, from the perspective of 'a man of good sense' who would be he transparent voice of reasonable humanity. 'India' can then be represented, in the the sense, by its imperial masters. The reason for referring to *suttee* here is foods, an inaccurate translation which is nonetheless an English permit for the nearting of the subject into twentieth-century discourse.<sup>83</sup>)

Consider Thompson's praise for General Charles Hervey's appreciation of the problem of *sati*: 'Hervey has a passage which brings out the pity of a system which ooked only for prettiness and constancy in woman. He obtained the names of satis who had died on the pyres of Bikanir Rajas; they were such names as: "Ray Queen, iun-ray, Love's Delight, Garland, Virtue Found, Echo, Soft Eye, Comfort, Moonbeam, Love-lorn, Dear Heart, Eye-play, Arbour-born, Smile, Love-bud, Glad Omen, Mist-clad, or Cloud-sprung – the last a favourite name." Once again, mposing the upper-class Victorian's typical demands upon 'his woman' (his preferred phrase), Thompson appropriates the Hindu woman as his to save against he 'system'. Bikaner is in Rajasthan; and any discussion of widow-burnings of Vajasthan, especially within the ruling class, was intimately linked to the positive pr negative construction of Hindu (or Aryan) communalism.

A look at the pathetically misspelled names of the *satis* of the artisanal, peasant, village-priestly, moneylender, clerical and comparable social groups in Bengal, where *satis* were most common, would not have yielded such a harvest (Thompson's preferred adjective for Bengalis is 'imbecilic'). Or perhaps it would. There is no more

02

to seem a puzzle to me.<sup>984</sup> of the "Sati", 'I had intended to try to examine this; but the truth is, it has ceased a taming of the subject, Thompson can write, under the heading 'The psychology to the trick. And it is the British trick with sati that we are discussing. After such be perpetuated on 'common nouns' as well, but the proper name is most susceptible of prestigious southern US corporations would give evidence of a ferocious of a random collection of contemporary French philosophers or boards of directors the authoritative text here.) By this sort of reckoning, the translated proper names of translation, than to sociological exactitude. (Said's Orientalism, 1978, remains construct a certain picture of the Oriental woman through the supposed 'objectivity' constant burnt widow? The aura of the names owes more to writers like Edward of Aryan hegemony. Between these two appropriations, where is our pretty and domestic comfort (as in 'God Bless Our Home') stereotyped into a criminal parody stereotyping of India - the grandeur of the ecumenical Upanishads. Or was it of T. S. Eliot's Waste Land. There the word bears the mark of one kind of might 'Comfort' have been? Was it 'Shanti'? Readers are reminded of the last line on that list and began to feel Hervey-Thompson's arrogance. What, for instance, them, and using them as sociological evidence. I attempted to reconstruct the names dangerous pastime than transposing proper names into common nouns, translating investment in an archangelic and hagiocentric theocracy. Such sleights of pen can FitzGerald, the 'translator' of the Rubayyat of Omar Khayyam who helped to 'Swasti'? Readers are reminded of the swastika, the Brahmanic ritual mark of

Between patriarchy and imperialism, subject-constitution and object-formation, the figure of the woman disappears, not into a pristine nothingness, but into a violent shuttling which is the displaced figuration of the 'third-world woman' caught between tradition and modernization. These considerations would revise every detail of judgments that seem valid for a history of sexuality in the West: Such would be the property of repression, that which distinguishes it from the prohibitions maintained by simple penal law: repression functions well as a sentence to disappear, but also as an injunction to silence, affirmation of non-existence; and consequently states that of all this there is nothing to say, to see, to know.<sup>85</sup> The case of *suttee* as exemplum of the woman-in-imperialism would challenge and reconstruct this opposition between subject (law) and object-of-knowledge (repression) and mark the place of 'disappearance' with something other than silence and nonexistence, a violent aporia between subject and object status.

Sati as a woman's proper name is in fairly widespread use in India today. Naming a female infant 'a good wife' has its own proleptic irony, and the irony is all the greater because this sense of the common noun is not the primary operator in the proper name.<sup>86</sup> Behind the naming of the infant is *the* Sati of Hindu mythology, Durga in her manifestation as a good wife.<sup>87</sup> In part of the story, Sati – she is already called that – arrives at her father's court uninvited, in the absence, even, of an invitation for her divine husband Siva. Her father starts to abuse Siva and Sati dies in pain. Siva arrives in a fury and dances over the universe with Sati's corpse

on his shoulder. Vishnu dismembers her body and bits are strewn over the earth. Around each such relic bit is a great place of pilgrimage.

Figures like the goddess Athena – 'father's daughters self-professedly uncontaminated by the womb' – are useful for establishing women's ideological selfdebasement, which is to be distinguished from a deconstructive attitude toward the essentialist subject. The story of the mythic Sati, reversing every narrateme of the rite, performs a similar function: the living husband avenges the wife's death, a transaction between great male gods fulfils the destruction of the female body and thus inscribes the earth as sacred geography. To see this as proof of the feminism of classical Hinduism or of Indian culture as goddess-centered and therefore feminist is as ideologically contaminated by nativism or reverse ethnocentrism as it was imperialist to erase the image of the luminous fighting Mother Durga and invest the proper noun Sati with no significance other than the ritual burning of the helpless widow as sacrificial offering who can then be saved. There is no space from which the sexed subaltern subject can speak.

If the oppressed under socialized capital have no necessarily unmediated access to correct' resistance, can the ideology of *sati*, coming from the history of the periphery, be sublated into any model of interventionist practice? Since this essay operates on the notion that all such clear-cut nostalgias for lost origins are suspect, especially as grounds for counterhegemonic ideological production, I must proceed by way of an example.<sup>89</sup>

ALL AND A CONTRACTOR

(The example I offer here is not a plea for some violent Hindu sisterhood of selfdestruction. The definition of the British Indian as Hindu in Hindu law is one of the marks of the ideological war of the British against the Islamic Mughal rulers of India; a significant skirmish in that as yet unfinished war was the division of the subcontinent. Moreover, in my view, individual examples of this sort are tragic failures as *models* of interventionist practice, since I question the production of models as such. On the other hand, as objects of discourse analysis for the non-selfabdicating intellectual, they can illuminate a section of the social text, in however haphazard a way.)

A young woman of sixteen or seventeen, Bhuvaneswari Bhaduri, hanged herself in her father's modest apartment in North Calcutta in 1926. The suicide was a puzzle since, as Bhuvaneswari was menstruating at the time, it was clearly not a case of illicit pregnancy. Nearly a decade later, it was discovered that she was a member of one of the many groups involved in the armed struggle for Indian independence. She had finally been entrusted with a political assassination. Unable to confront the task and yet aware of the practical need for trust, she killed herself.

Bhuvaneswari had known that her death would be diagnosed as the outcome of illegitimate passion. She had therefore waited for the onset of menstruation. While waiting, Bhuvaneswari, the *brahmacārini* who was no doubt looking forward to good wifehood, perhaps rewrote the social text of *sati*-suicide in an interventionist way. (One tentarive explanation of her inexplicable act had been a possible melancholia brought on by her brother-in-law's repeated taunts that she was too old to be not-yet-a-wife.) She generalized the sanctioned motive for female suicide by

into account its framing within socialized capital and unites people not because they are	as of other English translations, where faithfulness to the original seemed to demand it.
My remarks concluding this paragraph, criticizing intellectuals' representations of subaltern groups, should be rigorously distinguished from a realizion politice that takes	trans. Donald F. Bouchard and Sherry Sumon, Cornell University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1977, pp. 205–17 (hereafter cited as FD). I have modified the English version of this,
12. Cf. the surprisingly uncritical notions of representation entertained in <i>PK</i> , pp. 141, 188.	1. Michel Foucault, Language, Counter-Memory, Practice: Selected essays and interviews,
to Derrida's notion that theory cannot be an exhaustive taxonomy and is always formed	
11. Foucault's subsequent explanation (PK, p. 145) of this Deleuzian statement comes closer	
be educated; the class instinct of the petty bourgeoisie, and hence of intellectuals, has,	
	intellectual has a circumscribed task which she must not disown with a flourish.
Seems more careful in its program than the argument under study. (Class instinct,) Althusser writes, is subjective and spontaneous Class position is objective and rational	I he subaltern cannot speak. I here is no virtue in global laundry lists with woman as a nious irem Representation has not withered away. The female intellectual as
10. Althusser's version of this particular situation may be too schematic, but it nevertheless	
trans. Samuel Weber, Glyph, 2, 1977, p. 215.	to find in the authors of The History of Sexuality and Mille Plateaux. <sup>89</sup>
Of Grammatology, trans. Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, Johns Hopkins University Press: Baltimore MD 1976) - 806 (Lengthermore Johnson Joh	as reflecting definitions that interior voice that is the voice of the other in us , i must here acknowledge a long-term usefulness in Jacques Derrida which I seem no longer
quite deflated here. For an elaboration of this notion of repression, see Jacques Derrida,	catachresis at the origin. He calls for a rewriting of the utopian structural impulse
pleasure, thus radically reinscribing the relationship between desire and 'interest' – some	critique with the danger of appropriating the other by assimilation. He reads
denity of affarts is independent to the phenomenal	dangerous for the US academic as enthusiastic radical. Derrida marks radical
and effects of power that is so pervasively used to characterize today' (PK, p. 92). The	latter's invitation to 'become woman' - which can make their influence more
that [the notion of repression] is wholly inadequate to the analysis of the mechanisms	and Deleuze's immediate, substantive involvement with more 'political' issues – the
a notion of repression. Here the antagonist is Freud, not Marx. 'I have the impression	addressed, I find his morphology much more painstaking and useful than Foucault's
9. It is not surprising, then, that Foucault's work, early and late, is supported by too simple	celebrate as feminism as such. However, in the context of the problematic I have
8. For one example among many see PK. p. 98	I have attempted to use and go beyond Derridean deconstruction, which I do not
Reivew Press: New York, 1971. pp. 132-3	
7. Louis Althusser, Lenin and Philosophy and Other Essays trans. Box Bernerse, Merculi	Bhuvaneswari? (b) I asked her nieces. It appears that it was a case of illicit love.
o. In this response of the Flesh') is revealing	Rāseswari, led such full and wonderful lives, are you interested in the hapless
	the process. Two responses: (a) Why, when her two sisters, Saileswari and
3. Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari, Anti-Oedipus: Capitalism and schizophrenia, trans.	Sanskritist whose early intellectual production is almost identical to mine, to start
	investigating them more thoroughly, I asked a Bengali woman, a philosopher and
4. Walter Benjamin, Charles Baudelaire: A lyric poet in the era of high capitalism, trans.	I know of Bhuvaneswari's life and death through family connections. Before
	heard or read.
3. This is part of a much broader symptom, as Eric Wolf discusses in Europe and the People	participants in the independence movement. The subaltern as female cannot be
China', his interlocutors show toward China what Derrida calls the 'Chinese preindice'	and popularly well remembered through the discourse of the male leaders and
is exemplary. If Foucault persistently clears himself by eaving 'I beau patting the second second second second	possibilities of that hegemonic account of the fighting mother are well documented
noint hy laving have the mechanics of anomalic and the reference strengthens my	account of the blazing, fighting, familial Durga. The emergent dissenting
Selected interviews and other writings 1972-77, trans. Colin Gordon et al., Pantheon:	
Michel Foucault, 'On Popular Justice: a discussion with Maoists', in Power/Knowledge:	ino nongen mensional Rhamman and and and an anti-and hoc
2. There is an implicit reference here to the post-1968 wave of Maoism in France. See	no longer menstruating in order to claim her dubious privilege
the conversation under consideration has not necessarily been superseded	interdict against a menstruating widow's right to immolate herself; the unclean
pieces that gain a greater currency. (Derrida's 'Structure, sign and play' is a case in point.)	The displacing gesture – waiting for menstruation – is at first a reversal of the
books in translation. And, in those collections, it is understandably the more topical	immediate context, her act became absurd, a case of delirium rather than sanity.
It is important to note that the greatest 'influence' of Western European intellectuals	taking immense trouble to displace (not merely deny) in the physiological inscription of her body, its imprisonment within legitimate passion by a single male. In the
Can the Subaltern Speak?	104 Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak

oppressed but because they are exploited. This model works best within a parliamentary democracy, where representation is not only not banished but elaborately staged. 13. Karl Marx, Surveys from Exile, trans. David Fernbach, Vintage Books: New York,

- 1974, p. 239. 1974, p. 239. 14. idem, Capital: A critique of political economy, vol. 1, trans. Ben Fowkes, Vintage
- idem, Capital: A critique of political economy, vol. 1, trans. Ben Fowkes, Vintage Books: New York, 1977, p. 254.
- 15. ibid., p. 302.
- See the excellent short definition and discussion of common sense in Errol Lawrence, 'Just plain common sense: the "roots" of racism', in Hazel V. Carby, The Empire Strikes Back: Race and racism in 70s Britain, Hutchinson: London, 1982, p. 48.
- 17. 'Use value' in Marx can be shown to be a 'theoretical fiction' as much of a potential oxymoron as 'natural exchange'. I have attempted to develop this in 'Scattered speculations on the question of value', a manuscript under consideration by *Diacritics*.
   18. Derrida's 'Linguistic circle of Geneva' especially on 1436. can provide a method for
- Derrida's 'Linguistic circle of Geneva', especially pp. 143f., can provide a method for assessing the irreducible place of the family in Marx's morphology of class formation. In Margins of Philosophy, trans. Alan Bass, University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, 1982.
- 19. Marx, Capital, 1, p. 128.
- 20. I am aware that the relationship between Marxism and neo-Kantianism is a politically fraught one. I do not myself see how a continuous line can be established between Marx's own texts and the Kantian ethical moment. It does seem to me, however, that Marx's questioning of the individual as agent of history should be read in the context of the breaking up of the individual subject inaugurated by Kant's critique of Descartes.
- 21. Karl Marx, Grundrisse: Foundations of the critique of political economy, trans. Martin Nicolaus, Viking Press: New York, 1973, pp. 162-3.
- 22. Edward W. Said, The World, The Text, The Critic, Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, 1983, p. 243.
- 23. Paul Bové, 'Intellectuals at war: Michel Foucault and the analysis of power', Sub-Stance, 36/37, 1983, p. 44.
- 24. Carby et al., op. cit., p. 34.
- 25. This argument is developed further in Spivak, 'Scattered speculations'. Once again, the Anti-Oedipus did not ignore the economic text, although the treatment was perhaps too allegorical. In this respect, the move from schizo- to rhyzo-analysis in Mille plateaux, Could Device 1000 her not have column.
- Seuil: Paris, 1980, has not been salutary. 26. See Michel Foucault, Madness and Civilization: A history of insanity in the age of reason, trans. Richard Howard, Pantheon Books: New York, 1965, pp. 251, 262, 269.
- 27. Although I consider Fredric Jameson's Political Unconscious: Narrative as a socially symbolic act, Cornell University Press: New York, 1981, to be a text of great critical weight, or perhaps because I do so, I would like my program here to be distinguished from one of restoring the relics of a privileged narrative: 'It is in detecting the traces of that uninterrupted narrative, in restoring to the surface of the text the repressed and buried reality of this fundamental history, that the doctrine of a political unconscious finds its function and its necessity' (p. 20).
- 28. Among many available books, I cite Bruse Tiebout McCully, English Education and the Origins of Indian Nationalism, Columbia University Press: New York, 1940.
- Thomas Babington Macaulay, Speeches by Lord Macaulay: With his minute on Indian education, ed. G.M. Young, Oxford University Press, AMS Edition: Oxford, 1979, p. 359.

## Can the Subaltern Speak?

30. Keith, one of the compilers of the Vedic Index, author of Sanskrit Drama in Its Origin, Development, Theory, and Practice, and the learned editor of the Krsnayajurveda for Harvard University Press, was also the editor of four volumes of Selected Speeches and Documents of British Colonial Policy (1763 to 1937), of International Affairs (1918 to 1937), and of the British Dominions (1918 to 1931). He wrote books on the sovereignty of British dominions and on the theory of state succession, with special reference to English and colonial law.

- 31. Mahamahopadhyaya Haraprasad Shastri, A Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Government Collection under the Care of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Society of Bengal: Calcutta, 1925, vol. 3, p. viii.
- Dinesachandra Sena, Brhat Banga, Calcutta University Press: Calcutta, 1925, vol. 1. p. 6.
- 33. Edward Thompson, Suttee: A historical and philosophical enquiry into the Hindu rite of widow burning, George Allen & Unwin: London, 1928, pp. 130, 47.
- 34. Holograph letter (from G. A. Jacob to an unnamed correspondent) attached to inside cover of the Sterling Memorial Library (Yale University) copy of Colonel G. A. Jacob (ed.) Mahanarayana-Upanishad of the Atharva-Veda with the Dipika of Narayana, The Government Central Books Department: Bombay, 1888, italics mine. The dark invocation of the dangers of this learning by way of anonymous aberrants consolidates the asymmetry.
- 35. I have discussed this issue in greater detail with reference to Julia Kristeva's About Chinese Women, trans. Anita Barrows, Marion Boyars: London, 1977, in 'French feminism in an international frame', Yale French Studies, 62, 1981.
- 36. Antonio Gramsci, 'Some aspects of the Southern question', Selections from Political Writing: 1921-1926, trans. Quintin Hoare, International Publishers : New York, 1978. I am using 'allegory of reading' in the sense developed by Paul de Man, Allegories of Reading: Figural language in Rousseau, Nietzsche, Rilke, and Proust, Yale University Press: New Haven, CT, 1979.
- 37. Their publications are: Ranajit Guha (ed.), Subaltern Studies I: Writing on South Asian history and society, Oxford University Press: New Delhi, 1982. Ranajit Guha (ed.) Subaltern Studies II: Writings on South Asian History and Society, Oxford University Press: New Delhi, 1983; and Ranajit Guha, Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in Colonial India, Oxford University Press: New Delhi, 1983.
- 38. Edward W. Said, 'Permission to narrate', London Review of Books, 16 February 1984. 39. Guha, Studies, I, p. 1.
- 39. Guha, Studies, I, p. 1.
   40. ibid., p. 4.
- 41. Jacques Derrida, 'The double session', in Dissemination, trans. Barbara Johnson, University of Chicago Press: Chicago, 1981.
- 42. Guha, Studies, I, p. 8 (all but the first set of italics are the author's).
- Ajit K. Chaudhury, 'New wave social science', Frontier, 16-24, 28 January, 1984, p. 10 (italics are mine).
- 44. ibid.
- 45. Pierre Macherey, A Theory of Literary Production, trans. Geoffrey Wall, Routledge: London, 1978, p. 87.
- I have discussed this issue in 'Displacement and the discourse of woman', in Mark Krupnick (ed.) Displacement: Derrida and after, Indiana University Press: Bloomington, IN, 1983, and in 'Love me, love my ombre, elle: Derrida's 'La carte postale', Diacritics, 14, 4, 1984, pp. 19-36.

**108** 

- 47. This violence in the general sense that is the possibility of an episteme is what Derrida calls 'writing' in the general sense. The relationship between writing in the general sense and writing in the narrow sense (marks upon a surface) cannot be cleanly articulated. The task of grammatology (deconstruction) is to provide a notation upon this shifting relationship. In a certain way, then, the critique of imperialism is deconstruction as such.
  48. 'Contracting poverty', *Multinational Monitor*, 4, 8, August 1983, p. 8. This report was
- contributed by John Cavanagh and Joy Hackel, who work on the International
   Corporations Project at the Institute for Policy Studies (italics are mine).
   49. The mechanics of the invention of the Third World as signifier are susceptible to the type
- of analysis directed at the constitution of race as a signifier in Carby et al., op. cit. 50. Mike Davis, 'The political economy of late-imperial America', New Left Review, 143, January-February 1984, p. 9.
- 51. Bové op. cit., p. 51.
- 52. Terry Eagleton, Literary Theory: An introduction, University of Minnesota Press: Minneapolis, 1983, p. 205.
- 53. Perry Anderson, In the Tracks of Historical Materialism, Verso: London, 1983, p. 53.
- 54. ibid., p. 52.
- 55. Said, The World, p. 183.
- Jacques Derrida, 'Of an apocalyptic tone recently adapted in philosophy', trans. John P. Leavy, Jr., Semia, p. 71.
- 57. Even in such excellent texts of reportage and analysis as Gail Omvedt's We Will Smash This Prison! Indian women in struggle, Zed Press: London, 1980, the assumption that a group of Maharashtrian women in an urban proletarian situation, reacting to a radical white woman who had 'thrown in her lot with the Indian destiny,' is representative of 'Indian women' or touches the question of 'female consciousness in India' is not harmless when taken up within a first-world social formation where the proliferation of communication in an internationally hegemonic language makes alternative accounts and testimonies instantly accessible even to undergraduates.

Norma Chincilla's observation, made at a panel on 'Third World feminisms: differences in form and content' (UCLA, 8 March, 1983), that antisexist work in the Indian context is not genuinely antisexist but antifeudal, is another case in point. This permits definitions of sexism to emerge only after a society has entered the capitalist mode of production, thus making capitalism and patriarchy conveniently continuous. It also invokes the vexed questions of the role of the "Asiatic" mode of production' in sustaining the explanatory power of the normative narrativization of history is construed account of modes of production, in however sophisticated a manner history is construed.

The curious role of the proper name 'Asia' in this matter does not remain confined to proof or disproof of the empirical existence of the actual mode (a problem that became the object of intense maneuvering within international communism) but remains crucial even in the work of such theoretical subtlety and importance as Barry Hindess and Paul Hirst's *Pre-Capitalist Modes of Production*, (Routledge: London, 1975) and Fredric Jameson's *Political Unconscious*. Especially in Jameson, where the morphology of modes of production is rescued from all suspicion of historical determinism and anchored to a poststructuralist theory of the subject, the 'Asiatic' mode of production, in its guise of 'oriental despotism' as the concomitant state formation, still serves. It also plays a significant role in the transmogrified mode of production narrative in Deleuze and Guattari's *Anti-Oedipus*, in the Soviet debate, at a far remove, indeed, from these contemporary theoretical projects, the doctrinal sufficiency of the 'Asiatic' mode of

production was most often doubted by producing for it various versions and nomenclatures of feudal, slave and communal modes of production. (The debate is presented in detail in Stephen F. Dunn, *The Fall and Rise of the Asiatic Mode of Production*, Routledge: London 1982.) It would be interesting to relate this to the repression of the imperialist 'moment' in most debates over the transition from feudalism to capitalism that have long exercised the Western Left. What is more important here is that an observation such as Chinchilla's represents a widespread hierarchization within third-world *feminism* (rather than Western Marxism), which situates it within the longstanding traffic with the imperialist concept-metaphor 'Asia'.

- I should add that I have not yet read Madhu Kishwar and Ruth Vanita (eds.), In Search of Answers: Indian women's voices from Manushi, Zed Press: London, 1984. 58. Jonathan Culler, On Deconstruction: Theory and criticism after structuralism, Cornell
- University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1982, p. 48. 59. Elizabeth Fox-Genovese, 'Placing women's history in history', New Left Review, 133, May-June 1982, p. 21.
- 60. I have attempted to develop this idea in a somewhat autobiographical way in 'Finding feminist readings: Dante-Yeats', in Ira Konigsberg (ed.), American Criticism in the Postructuralist Age, University of Michigan Press: Ann Arbor, MI, 1981.
- 61. Sarah Kofman, L'Énigme de la femme: La Femme dans les textes de Freud, Galilée: Paris, 1980.
- 62. Sigmund Freud. "A child is being beaten": a contribution to the study of the origin of sexual perversions", The Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud, trans. James Strachey et al., Hogarth Press: London, vol. 17, 1955.
- 63. idem, "Wild" psycho-analysis', Standard Edition, vol. 11.
- 64. *idem*, "A child is being beaten", p. 188.
- 65. For a brilliant account of how the 'reality' of widow-sacrifice was constituted or 'textualized' during the colonial period, see Lata Mani, 'The production of colonial discourse: sati in early nineteenth-century Bengal' (master's thesis, University of California at Santa Cruz, 1983). I profited from discussion with Ms Mani at the inception of this project.
- 66. J. D. M. Derrett, Hindu Law Past and Present: Being an account of the controversy which preceded the enactment of the Hindu code, and text of the code as enacted, and some comments thereon, A. Mukherjee & Co: Calcutta, 1957, p. 46.
- 67. Ashis Nandy, 'Sati: a nineteenth-century tale of women, violence and protest', in V. C. Joshi (ed.), Rammohun Roy and the Process of Modernization in India, Vikas Publishing House: New Delhi, 1975, p. 68.
- 68. The following account leans heavily on Pandurang Varman Kane, History of *Dharmasastra*, Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute: Poona, 1963 (hereafter cited as HD, with volume, part and page numbers).
- 69. Upendra Thakur, *The History of Suicide in India: An introduction*, Munshi Ram Manohan Lal: New Delhi, 1963, p. 9, has a useful list of Sanskrit primary sources on sacred places. This laboriously decent book betrays all the signs of the schizophrenia of the colonial subject, such as bourgeois nationalism, patriarchal communalism and an 'enlightened reasonableness'.
- 70. Nandy, op. cit.
- 71. Jean-François Lyotard, Le Différend, Minuit: Paris, 1984.
- 72. HD, II.2, p. 633. There are suggestions that this 'prescribed penance' was far exceeded by social practice. In this passage below, published in 1938, notice the Hindu patristic

Quoted in Sena. op. cit., 2, pp. 913-14. character to live up to the high ascetic ideal prescribed for them [brahmacarya]. It is sad complete objectification of the widow-concubine was just punishment for abdication of to record that they were driven to lead the life of a concubine or avarudda stri courage to go through the hery ordeal; nor had they sufficient strength of mind and the right to courage, signifying subject status. Some widows, however, had not the 'strength of character'. The unexamined presuppositions of the passage might be that the assumptions about the freedom of female will at work in phrases like 'courage' and prehistoric times to the present day, Motilal Banarsidass: New Delhi, 1938, p. 156. [incarcerated wife].' A. S. Altekar, The Position of Women in Hindu Civilization: From

- 73. 74 Thompson, op. cit., p. 132.
- 75. Here, as well as for the Brahman debate over sati, see Mani, op. cit., pp. 71f.
- 76 We are speaking here of the regulative norms of Brahmanism, rather than 'things as they of California Press: Berkeley, 1973, p. 46. were'. See Robert Lingat, The Classical Law of India, trans. J. D. M. Derrett, University
- 1 untouched. In all the 'lore' of widow remarriage, it is the father and the husband who is very much an exception, perhaps because it left the program of subject-formation are applauded for their reformist courage and selflessness. institution of widow remarriage in 1856 are transactions among men. Widow remarriage Both the vestigial possibility of widow remarriage in ancient India and the legal
- 78. Sir Monier Monier-Williams, Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Clarendon Press: Oxford, instrument as a dictionary can use the deeply sexist-partisan explanatory expression: sanctions for collective action toward social justice can only be developed if people why structures of patriarchal domination should be unquestioningly recorded. Historical 'raise up issue to a deceased husband'! hegemonic tradition. It does not seem inappropriate to notice that so 'objective' an outside of the discipline question standards of 'objectivity' preserved as such by the import 'feministic' judgments into ancient patriarchies. The real question is, of course, 1899, p. 552. Historians are often impatient if modernists seem to be attempting to
- 79. Sunderlal T. Desai, Mulla: Principles of Hindu law, N. M. Tripathi: Bombay, 1982. p. 184.
- 80 I am grateful to Professor Alison Finley of Trinity College (Hartford, CT) for discussing she would find my readings as irresponsibly 'literary-critical' as the ancient historian would find it 'modernist' (see note 79). the passage with me. Professor Finley is an expert on the Rg-Veda. I hasten to add that
- 81. Martin Heidegger. An Introduction to Metaphysics, trans. Ralph Manheim, Doubleday Anchor: New York, 1961, p. 58.
- 82 Thompson, op. cit., p. 37.
- 83 ibid., p. 15. For the status of the proper name as 'mark', see Derrida, 'Taking chances'.
- 85 84 Thomspon, op. cit., p. 137.
- Michel Foucault, The History of Sexuality, vol. 1, trans. Robert Hurley, Vintage Books: New York, 1980, p. 4.
- 86 complicates matters. The fact that the word was also used as a form of address for a well-born woman ('lady')
- 78 It should be remembered that this account does not exhaust her many manifestations within the pantheon.
- 88. A position against nostalgia as a basis of counterhegemonic ideological production does not endorse its negative use. Within the complexity of contemporary political economy,

### Can the Subaltern Speak?

however, that work is accomplished by unexamined nostalgia or its opposite, it will unraveling. Clearly, one must work to stop the crime of bride-burning in every way. If, disguising the murder as suicide is either a use or abuse of the tradition of sati-suicide. class crime of burning brides who bring insufficient dowries and of subsequently it would, for example, be highly questionable to urge that the current Indian working place of the female subject. assist actively in the substitution of race/ethnos or sheer genitalism as a signifer in the female subject as signifier, which would lead us back into the narrative we have been The most that can be claimed is that it is a displacement on a chain of semiosis with the

89. I had not read Peter Dews. 'Power and subjectivity in Foucault', New Left Review, 144, tradition (pp. 87-94). whose History he places Foucault's work is the Subject of the European excellent analysis quite apart from my concerns is, of course, that the Subject within Geometry, trans. John Leavy, Nicholas Hays: Stony Brook, NY, 1978. What sets his is vitiated by his nonconsideration of Derrida, who has been against the privileging of Further, his account of 'the impasse of the second phase of poststructuralism as a whole' connection between 'Marxist tradition' and the 'autonomous subject' is not mine. and mine. However, as far as I can tell from the brief essay, he writes from a perspective language from his earliest work, the 'Introduction' in Edmund Husserl, The Origin of uncritical of critical theory and the intersubjective norm that can all too easily exchange theory, Verso: London, 1987]. There are many points in common between his critique 'individual' or 'subject' in its situating of the 'epistemic subject'. Dews's reading of the Dews, The Logics of Disintegration: Post-structuralist thought and the claims of critical 1984, until I finished this essay. I look forward to his book on the same topic [Peter