A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF SMS TEXT MESSAGES AS APPOINTMENT REMINDERS IN THE PEDIATRIC DENTAL SETTING

Travis Nelson DDS University of Washington 3rd Year Pediatric Dentistry Resident

2009 HSHC/3M ESPE Preventative Pediatric Dentistry Postdoctoral Research Fellowship TEXT MESSAGING: AN EMERGING FORM OF COMMUNICATION

• In 2008 an estimated total of 2.3 trillion texts were sent worldwide

• 301 billion were sent in the US

The number of texts sent in the US in 2008 surpassed the number of calls made

CHILDREN MOST LIKELY TO MISS APPOINTMENTS:

Young parents
High caries scores
Medicaid Insurance



DECREASING PARTICIPATION IN MEDICAID BY PROVIDERS

"The majority of surveyed dentists have stated that broken appointments among the Medicaid population was very important in their decision not to accept these patients into their practice."



Photos: Travis Nelson DDS

HYPOTHESIS

H1: No-show attendance among patients who receive text message reminders will be no different than those among patients receiving standard telephone reminders in the pediatric dental population

H2: Most study participants will prefer text reminders over conventional voice reminders

METHODS

STUDY DESIGN: RCT



STUDY POPULATION

Caregiver/Child pairs of the UW Pediatric Dental Clinic
Willing to receive a text reminder OR a voice reminder

N =318 (158 text, 160 voice)

CONTROL ARM

Control patients received a verbal telephone reminder from clinic reception staff

INTERVENTION ARM

Patients assigned to the Text reminder group received a computer generated text message on their cell phone 48 hours prior to their appointment



DATA ANALYSIS

• Descriptive Statistics

• Chi² tests

• Used to compare the distribution of the study variables by:

• appointment reminder type (voice versus SMS text)

• appointment status (Show/canceled versus No-Show)

Logistic regression

• Used to model appointment status as a function of reminder type and the study variables

Critical value for all tests was established at 5% (α =0.05)

RESULTS

	Percent			
Characteristics	Total (n)	Text Message (n =158)	Voice Reminder (n = 160)	р
Caregiver Age				0.0
18-25	24	13 (8.4)	11(7.0)	0.0
26-30	47	31 (20.1)	16 (10.1)	
21.40	175	76 (49.4)	00 (62.6)	
31-40	175	70 (49.4)	33 (02.0)	
total	00	34 (22.1) 154	52 (20.3) 158	
Child Age				0
1 to 5	57	25 (16.2)	32 (20)	υ.
6 to 11	161	23 (10.2)	72 (49.9)	
101011	101	03 (03.9)	70 (40.0)	
12 to 18 total	90	46 (29.9) 154	50 (31.3) 160	
Type of Insurance				0.8
Drivate/Solf Day	16	22 (14.0)	24 (45 0)	0.0
Medical Courses	90	125 (96.0)	24 (15.0)	
total	2/1	155 (86.0)	160	
Persons Who Live at Home				0.6
<=4	160	82 (52 3)	78 (49 4)	0.0
>4	155	75 (47.7)	80 (50 6)	
total	100	157	158	
Primary Language at Home				0.5
Fnalish	258	130 (82.8)	128 (80.0)	
Other	50	27 (17.2)	32 (20.0)	
total	00	157	160	
Ethnicity				0.8
White	181	90 (57.3)	91 (58.0)	
Black	46	23 (14.7)	23 (14.6)	
Asian	48	22 (14.0)	26(16.6)	
Other	30	22 (14.0)	17 (10.8)	
total	39	157	157	
Caregiver Relationship				0.1
Mother	259	134 (87.0)	125 (79.6)	511
Father	42	15 (9.7)	27 (17.2)	
Other	10	5(3.3)	5 (3.2)	
total		154	157	
Type of Telephone Used				0.6
Cell Phone	165	84 (53.2)	81 (50.9)	
Landline and Cell Phone	152	74 (46.8)	78 (49.1)	
total		158	159	
Most suitable reminder type				0.8
Text	86	45 (28.7)	41 (25.6)	
Voice	131	64 (40.8)	67 (41.9)	
Either	100	48 (30.5)	52 (32.5)	
		157	100	





RESULTS OF RANDOMIZATION

No statistically significant differences between control/intervention groups for any variable *except*



P= 0.046

Caregiver Age

ATTENDANCE IMPROVED WITH INCREASING CAREGIVER AGE



TEXT MESSAGE REMINDER PATIENTS WERE MORE LIKELY TO NO-SHOW

OR UNADJUSTED = 2.41 OR ADJUSTED FOR CAREGIVER AGE = 2.12





DISCUSSION

Our Findings Differed From Previous Studies:

Previous research was outside the US
Most other research was for non-dental visits
Others Used *Historical Control* or *No Reminder*

DISCUSSION

Why Was A Voice Reminder More Successful?

- Reception staff has personal relationships with patients
- Voice reminders may be more interactive
- Text may be more easily ignored

DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Examine effect outside university-based clinic
Allow patients to select preferred reminder type



http://www.mchoralhealth.org/

CONCLUSION

- Text messages are not likely to be a good <u>standard</u> reminder in university-based Pediatric Dentistry clinics
- In this study more participants would prefer a voice message reminder than a text message reminder

Acknowledgements

• Healthy Smiles, Healthy Children: The Foundation of the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry

• 3M ESPE

- Henry Schein; Special Thanks to Tammy McHood and Russell Whitchurch
- UW Pediatric Dental staff: Carol Harvey, Molly Galway, and Glory Frodeson as well as student workers Catherine Rimorin , and Tod Davidson

REFERENCES

- 1. Hogan A, Cormack OM, Traynor O, Winter D: Potential impact of text message reminders on non-attendance at outpatient clinics. In.: Irish Journal of Medical Science; 2008.
- 2. Koshy E, Car J, Majeed A: Effectiveness of mobile-phone short message service(SMS) reminders for ophthalmology outpatient appointments: Observational Study. In.: BMC Ophthalmology; 2008.
- 3. Leong K, Chen W, Leong K, Mastura I, Mimi O, MA: The use of text messaging to improve attendance in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. In.: Family Practice; 2006.
- 4. Downer S, Meara J, Costa AD: Use of SMS text messaging to improve outpatient attendance. In.: MEDICAL JOURNAL OF AUSTRALIA; 2005.
- 5. Bos A, Hoogstraten J, Prahl-Andersen B: **Failed appointments in an orthodontic clinic**. In.: American Journal of Orthodontics & Dentofacial Orthopedics; 2005.
- 6. lmog D, Devries J, Borrelli J, Kopycka D: The reduction of broken appointment rates through an automated appointment confirmation system. In.: Journal of Dental Education; 2003.
- 7. Casaverde N, Douglass J: The Effect of Care Coordination on Pediatric Dental Patient Attendance. In.: Journal of Dentistry for Children; 2007.
- 8. Can S, Macfarlane T, O'Brien K: **The use of postal reminders to reduce non-attendance at an orthodontic clinic: A** randomised controlled trial. In.: British Dental Journal; 2003.
- 9. Karter A, Parker M, Moffet H, Ahmed A, Ferrara A, Liu J, Selby J: Missed appointments and poor glycemic control: an opportunity to identify high-risk diabetic patients. In., vol. 42: Med Care; 2004: 110-115.
- 10. Mouradian W, Wehr E, Crall J: Disparities in Children's Oral Health and Access to Dental Care. In., vol. 284: JAMA; 2000.
- 11. M M: Patient care: access. In., vol. 320: BMJ; 2000.
- 12. W H: General practice non-attendance. A questionnaire survey. In.: NHS National Research Register; 2001.
- 13. CD T, H Y, SR H, D R, OB M, MS M, TA L: Adoption of reminder and recall messages for immunizations by pediatricians and public health clinics. In.: Pediatrics; 2003.
- 14. TA L, AM C, J M, SB B, HR S: Effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of letters, automated telephone messages, or both for underimmunized children in a health maintenance organization. In.: Pediatrics; 1998.
- 15. Christensen A, Lugo R, Yamashiro D: The effect of confirmation calls on appointment-keeping behavior of patients in a children's hospital dental clinic. In.: Pediatr Dent; 2001.

REFERENCES

- 16. Foley J, O'Neill M: Use of Mobile Telephone Short Message Service (SMS) as a Reminder: the Effect on Patient Attendance. In., vol. 10: Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.: 15-18.
- 17. Milne R, Horne M, Torsney B: Torsney SMS Reminders in the UK National Health Service: An Evaluation of Its Impact on" No-Shows" at Hospital Out-Patient Clinics. In.: Health Care Management Review; 2006.
- 18. Netsize: The netsize Guide. In.: Paris, France: NETSIZE; 2005.
- 19. SRIVASTAVA S: India overtakes US as second largest wireless network. In.: Singapore Press Holdings Ltd., Business Times; 2008.
- 20. Gartner: Gartner Says Mobile Messages to Surpass 2 Trillion Messages in Major Markets in 2008. In.: Gartner; 2007.
- 21. Haughton L, Kreuter M, Hall J, Holt C, Wheetley E: **Digital Divide and Stability of Access in African American Women Visiting Urban Public Health Centers**. In., vol. 16: Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved; 2005: 362-374.
- 22. Wareham J, Levy A, Shi W: Wireles diffusion and mobile computing: implications for the digital divide. In., vol. 28: Telecommunications Policy; 2004: 439-457.
- 23. Nielsen: In U.S., SMS Text Messaging Tops Mobile Phone Calling. In.: The Nielsen Company; 2008.
- 24. AM K, PL R: New Challenges for Telephone Survey Research in the Twenty-First Century. In., vol. 28: Annu. Rev. Public Health; 2007.
- 25. Cohen C, Coyne K, Mandalia S, Waters A, AK: **Time to use text reminders in genitourinary medicine clinics**. In.: International Journal of STD & AIDS; 2008.
- 26. McCarney R, Warner J, Iliffe S, van Haselen R, Griffin M, Fisher P: **The Hawthorne Effect: a randomised,** controlled trial. In., vol. 7: BMC Medical Research Methodology; 2007.

A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF SMS TEXT MESSAGES AS APPOINTMENT REMINDERS IN THE PEDIATRIC DENTAL SETTING

Travis Nelson DDS