Distinct functions of human RECQ helicases WRN and BLM in replication fork recovery and progression after hydroxyurea-induced stalling
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1. Introduction

RECQ helicases are a family of proteins conserved from bacteria to humans. Out of five human RECQ helicase genes, three are associated with heritable disorders. Mutations in the BLM [1], and WRN [2] genes cause, respectively, Bloom syndrome (BS) and Werner syndrome (WS), and mutations in RECQL4 [3] are seen in Rothmund–Thomson, RAPADILINO, and Baller–Gerold (BSG) syndromes.

Clinical manifestations of Werner syndrome mimic premature aging, while Bloom syndrome is associated with developmental abnormalities [4]. Bloom and Werner syndromes are cancer-prone diseases, albeit the spectra of cancers they predispose to are different. Cells mutated in BLM or WRN genes show phenotypes associated with genomic instability and perturbed replication: slower S phase, increased fraction of cells at the G2/M boundary of the cell cycle, and expression of some fragile sites (for review, see [5–8]). In vitro, several biochemical features are unique to BLM or WRN, warranting a systematic analysis of the redundancy and cooperation between these two RECQs within a cell. Studies in DT40 cells demonstrated synthetic hypersensitivity of WRN/BLM knockout cells to a number of genotoxic drugs, including camptothecin [9], as well as unique genetic interactions between these RECQs and other genes [10], pointing toward WRN and BLM’s complementary roles within pathways of DNA metabolism, and inviting a more mechanistic inquiry.

The insight into roles of WRN and BLM in DNA replication is complicated by the facts that both RECQs are multifunctional proteins [4,11], and that replication fork metabolism is likely conducted through several interconnected pathways [8,12]. Briefly, when fork progression is interrupted by lesions in the template or by replicosome poisoning, extra activities are turned on as part of the S phase checkpoint, and stabilize the replicosome-DNA structure against collapse [12,13]. It is thought that collapsed replication forks are susceptible to double strand breaks (DSBs). These DSBs may be an intermediate in an active fork rescue pathway, or merely a breakdown product which necessitates repair (see Refs above). The exact balance between fork stabilization and fork collapse/rescue may depend on the cell type and the nature of interruption facing a fork.

Early studies have suggested that both WRN and BLM can be involved in elongation of DNA replication (reviewed in [8]). The use of DNA fiber technology allowed further insight into roles of RECQ helicases at a replication fork, demonstrating that WRN [14] and BLM [15] may be required for normal fork progression. In addition, complementing BS patient-derived human fibroblasts with BLM improves resumption of replication fork progression after an arrest with hydroxyurea (HU), a ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor [16]. Defects of fork recovery, albeit variable, were also demonstrated in WRN-depleted HeLa cells, in WS fibroblasts [17,18], and
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in WRN-depleted fibroblasts [19]. Both RECQs are targeted by the checkpoint kinase ATR [18,20–22] and affect checkpoint performance [16,23,24].

In order to delineate redundant versus cooperative functions of WRN and BLM, we have established isogenic human fibroblasts depleted of WRN, BLM, or both RECQs [25]. Here, we undertake a detailed analysis of replication fork phenotypes in these cells, and describe both unique and shared functions of WRN and BLM at a replication fork, as well as uncover a novel process of metabolizing nascent strands during recovery from HU.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells and culture

SV40-transformed GM639 fibroblast cell line was obtained from the Coriell Institute Cell Repositories (Camden NJ). GM639c1 is a pNeoA derivative of GM639 [19,25,26]. Unless stated otherwise, all experiments were performed using this cell line. The large T antigen is at least partially inactivated in this cell line since it does not support replication of SV40 origin-containing plasmids (J.S., unpub.).

The primary human dermal fibroblasts were described [27]. All cell lines were grown in Dulbecco Modified Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) supplemented with l-glutamine, sodium pyruvate, 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Ogden, UT) and antibiotics in a humidified 5% CO2, 37°C incubator.

2.2. Drugs and dyes

Stock solutions of 5-bromodeoxyuridine (Brdu; 10 mM in water), 5-iododeoxyuridine (Idu, 2 mM in PBS), 5-chlorodeoxyuridine (CldU, 10 mM in water), 5-ethyldeoxyuridine (EdU, 10 mM in DMSO), hydroxyurea (HU, 1 M in PBS), and cytochalasin-B (600 μg/ml in DMSO) were stored at −20°C. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) with the exception of EdU (Invitrogen). CldU, Brdu, and IdU were used at concentrations of 50 μM and EdU was used at 10 μM.

2.3. RNAi-mediated depletion of WRN, BLM, and RAD51

Short hairpin (sh) RNA constructs for depletion of WRN and BLM are described [19,25], pLKO.1-based shRNA constructs against human RAD51 were purchased from Open Biosystems (Cat. No. RHS4533-MM_002875). Depletions were carried out as described [19,25].

2.4. Western blotting

Western blotting of WRN was done as described [19,25] with the rabbit α-WRN (Novus Cat. No. NB1000472A) or mouse α-WRN 195 C (provided by Dr. Opresko). Rabbit α-BLM antibody against BLM C-terminal peptide (KP1NPFLKPSYAFS was described [25]. α-RAD51 antibodies were rabbit polyclonal (Cat. No. PC130, Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA), and mouse monoclonal (Cat. No.05-530, Millipore, Temecula, CA). Mouse α-CHK1 antibody was from Santa Cruz (Cat. No. sc-8408). Phosphorylation of CHK1 and CHK2 was analyzed with a Phospho-Chk1/2 Antibody Sampler Kit (Cell Signaling, Cat. No. 9931). All proteins were visualized by ECL (Amersham) and quantified using Storm Phosphorimager and ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics). For presentation, images were saved in TIFF format, adjusted for brightness/contrast and cropped using Adobe Photoshopt, and assembled into figures in CorelDraw. Brightness/contrast adjustments were made to entire images.

2.5. Staining for BrdU incorporation and FACS

Staining for BrdU was done as described [19]. FACS data analysis and presentation were done with Summit software (Dako, Carpenteria, CA), and cell cycle phase quantitations were done with FACS express software (Phoenix Flow Systems, San Diego, CA).

2.6. Microchannel fabrication, DNA fiber stretching and replication track analysis

These procedures were done as described [19,28]. The mouse antibody against total DNA was from Chemicon (Cat. No. MAB3034). Microscopy of stretched DNAs was performed on the Zeiss Axiovert microscope with a 63× objective. Lengths of tracks were measured in raw merged images (jpeg) using Zeiss AxioVision software. Details of statistical analysis are described in figure legends.

2.7. Nucleoplasmic bridge measurements

Cells were pulse-labeled with 10 μM EdU for 1 h and then arrested with 2 mM HU for 6 h. After release from HU, cytochalasin-B was added at 2 μg/ml. Cells were harvested by trypsinization in 20 h and cytosplon onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides (Sigma). Cells were fixed for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, 0.2% Triton X-100, 20 mM Pipes pH 6.8, 1 mM MgCl2, 10 mM EGTA, washed with PBS, and stained for EdU incorporation (by Click-It reaction with AlexaFluor 594 azide according to the manufacturer’s recommendations (Invitrogen), as well as for total DNA using Hoechst 33342. Slides were mounted in Vectashield (Vector labs) and examined under 40× magnification using Zeiss Axiovert microscope and AxioVision software. Images of binucleated cells were collected. Scoring was done according to [29]. 60–200 each of EdU+ and EdU− binucleated cells in each sample were inspected for nucleoplasmic bridges.

2.8. Microscopy image presentation

Visual scoring or measurement of features in microscopy images was done in sets of multicolor jpeg files in AxioVision. For presentation, images were adjusted for brightness/contrast and cropped in Adobe Photoshop, and assembled into figures in CorelDraw. Adjustments were always done to entire images. In some cases, brightness/contrast of individual color channels was adjusted separately.

3. Results

3.1. WRN and BLM contribute additively to fork progression rates during an unperturbed S phase

We depleted WRN and/or BLM with lentiviral shRNAs, as before ([19,25] and Fig. 4A and Figs. S1A, S3C and S4B), achieving at least 80–85% depletion of the target protein(s). Growth rate was lower in WRN-depleted and, more dramatically, in BLM-depleted cell populations, which reflected the size of replicating fraction. To account for it, every assay used in this study discriminated between replicating and non-replicating fractions, or focused exclusively on replicating fraction.

We labeled cells with two nucleotide analogs (CldU and IdU) for 30 min each and used immunofluorescence to visualize tracks of replication in DNA stretched using microfluidics [28]. We measured lengths of 1st and 2nd label segments in two-segment tracks that incorporated both labels in tandem and thus correspond to ongoing
forks (Fig. 1A and B) Analysis of multiple independent experiments revealed statistically significant genotype-specific differences in track lengths (Supplemental Tables S1 and S2). Fig. 1B shows summary data for 1st label segments, as these may give a more accurate representation of fork progression rates than 2nd label segments, since they are less likely to be limited by replicon size [28]. 2nd label segment data are summarized in Tables S1 and S2. 1st segments of tracks (as well as whole tracks) were shorter in BLM-depleted cells compared to WRN-depleted cells. WRN/BLM-depleted cells had the shortest tracks, significantly different from WRN- or BLM-depleted cells. This result demonstrates that additive phenotypes can be observed using co-depletion in lieu of genetic manipulation.

3.2. Comparable, non-additive contributions of WRN and BLM to fork response to arrest by HU

Previous work suggested that BLM- or WRN-deficient cells have a decreased ability to restart and/or elongate replication forks stalled by HU [16–19]. We labeled WRN-, BLM-, and WRN/BLM-depleted cells and controls with the 1st label for 30 min prior to and then during a 6 h arrest by 2 mM HU, followed by the 2nd label

Fig. 1. WRN and BLM exert additive effects on replication fork progression in a normal S phase, and non-additive effects on fork reactivation after HU. (A) Labeling scheme. Asterisks mark sample collection time points. (B) Example of a two-color, ongoing fork in which 1st label segments were measured. Lengths (in μm) of 1st and 2nd label segments in these ongoing forks were measured in up to nine independent experiments. Mean values of 1st label segments derived in each experiment are plotted as a function of shRNA type. Different markers stand for individual experiments. See Supplemental Tables S1 and S2 for additional information. Designations here and elsewhere are: C, cells mock-depleted with no-shRNA lentivirus pLKO.1; W, WRN-depleted cells; B, BLM-depleted cells; WB, WRN/BLM-depleted cells. (C) Labeling scheme for HU arrest/recovery experiments. 2 mM HU was added at the end of a 30 min 1st labeling interval. In 6 h, HU and the 1st label were removed and 2nd label was added. (D) Ongoing forks (1st label–2nd label) and terminated or inactivated forks (1st label only) were counted to determine prevalence of ongoing forks. Track counts per experiment, for each cell type, totaled 363 on average. Fork reactivation after HU was expressed as prevalence of ongoing forks seen after HU, normalized to the prevalence of ongoing forks in untreated cells. These values were obtained from two independent experiments and averaged. Error bars are standard deviations. One-tailed P values were determined in t-tests in pair-wise comparisons between control and depleted cells. P<sub>C,W</sub> = 0.0417 (marked as *), P<sub>C,B</sub> = 0.034, P<sub>W,B</sub> = 0.065. (E) Fork reactivation in cells that have been labeled as in (C), but exposed to 0.5 mM HU for 6 h and allowed to recover from HU for 30 min. (F) Mean lengths of 2nd label segments were measured in ongoing forks w/o HU and in forks reactivating after a 6-h treatment with 0.5 mM HU. The values were obtained in two independent experiments and pooled (the average number of measurements, N, per pooled sample was 363). Error bars are 95% confidence intervals of the means. The left panel shows mean lengths w/o HU and after 0.5 mM HU, and the right panel shows differences between these means (μ<sub>no-HU</sub>–μ<sub>HU</sub>, black squares), and 95% confidence intervals for each difference (error bars). See Fig. S1C and Table S3 for Supporting Information.
after HU (Fig. 1C and Fig. S1B). Reactivation of forks after HU should result in tracks labeled in tandem with two labels. The prevalence of these two-segment tracks was quantified as a fraction of all tracks that contained the first label.

Without HU, prevalence of two-segment tracks was similar in all cell lines and measured around 70% (Fig. S1B). In HU-treated samples two-segment tracks were less prevalent, reflecting inactivation of forks by HU. Fig. 1D demonstrates this by comparing percent of ongoing forks relative to no-HU samples. As seen in Fig. 1D, WRN- or BLM-depleted cells displayed a very similar reduction in the fraction of forks that were able to resume replication within the first 30 min after release. WRN/BLM-depleted cells behaved as single-depleted cells. Both WRN- and BLM-depleted cells were able to reactivate additional forks if recovery was measured at 60 min after release.

3.3. A distinct effect of WRN-depletion on fork progression during recovery

The lengths of tracks incorporated immediately after HU can be shorter than lengths of tracks incorporated over the same period of time if no HU was present [19,30]. This effect is more pronounced in WRN-depleted cells [19]. We also observed a similar phenotype in BLM-depleted cells (not shown). However, short tracks synthesized within the first 30–60 min after HU may reflect a delay in fork reactivation, rather than a specific post-HU elongation defect. To distinguish between these possibilities, we sought conditions of HU treatment that minimize fork inactivation.

We found that incubating control and RECQ-depleted cells with 0.5 mM instead of 2 mM HU permitted measurable though slow fork progression (on average 0.1 kb/min), and did not appear to substantially inactivate forks (Fig. 1E). We next measured the lengths
of post-HU segments in these ongoing forks and compared them to no-HU controls. Whenever WRN was depleted, either alone or along with BLM, the lengths of the post-HU segments were comparatively more shortened than in controls or in BLM-depleted cells (Fig. 1F and Fig. S1C and Table S3). This agrees with the notion that coordinating fork progression during recovery may be a specific and separate function that involves WRN.

3.4. Nascent strand processing is observed during fork reactivation

While performing track length measurements in reactivated forks after HU, we noticed small but consistent fluctuations in lengths of 1st label segments. Depending on the time point of recovery, we could detect either lengthening or shortening of these segments. We reasoned this may indicate either that different populations of stalled forks are activated at different times during recovery, or that an additional event happens to the forks that have resumed replication.

In order to distinguish between the above possibilities and verify that the observed phenomenon is not peculiar to transfected cells, we used a different labeling scheme and primary human fibroblasts (Fig. 2). We incubated cells with the 1st label (IdU) for 30 min, then replaced it with the 2nd label (CldU) together with HU. After 5 h, cells were released into label-free media. Samples were taken at 0, 60, and 90 min after release (Fig. 2A). Tracks of forks ongoing before and during HU (i.e. containing 1st and 2nd label segments) were analyzed.

First, we found that in the presence of HU, on average 4–8 µm (16–24 kb) of DNA was synthesized in 5 h, depending on HU concentration (Fig. 2C). Second, during the first 60 min of recovery, from 1 to 3 µm (4–12 kb) more of labeled DNA was added, presumably from a residual intracellular pool of the 2nd label. Higher concentrations of HU were associated with post-HU addition of longer tracks of labeled DNA. This may be expected, assuming that if less DNA is synthesized during HU arrest, then the residual intracellular pool of labeled nucleotide is higher.

Importantly, at later times during recovery (90 min or later) some of the additional length gain that 2nd label segments had experienced was apparently reversed. This was evident in a reduction of average lengths of 2nd label segments (Fig. 2C and D and Fig. 2A), as well as in a decrease in 2nd to 1st segment ratios for each fork (Fig. 2E).

No comparable change occurred in the corresponding 1st label segments synthesized before HU (Fig. 2B and D). The fraction of two-segment tracks among all tracks containing the 1st label did not increase between 60 and 90 min of recovery, but in fact decreased (Fig. S2B), suggesting that reduction in overall lengths of 2nd label segments was not due to emergence of a new population of reactivated forks. Instead, the data are more consistent with removal of some of the incorporated 2nd label in the already reactivated forks, resulting in a subset of cases, in tracks that even appear not to contain any 2nd label. We will refer to this novel phenomenon as nascent strand processing, or NSP, with the caveat that we make no inference to its mechanism.

If no HU had been added, no change in 2nd label segment lengths in ongoing forks occurred during the first hour after labeling (Fig. S2C). Also, neither post-arrest addition of new DNA, nor NSP occurred if aphidicolin was substituted for HU (Fig. S2D).

3.5. WRN and BLM differentially affect post-HU addition of new DNA

WRN- or BLM-depleted SV40 transformed fibroblasts were labeled with the 1st label (CldU) before HU and with the 2nd label (IdU) during HU incubation, then released into label-free media and harvested 0, 30, 60, 120 min and 16 h after release (Fig. 3A).

Only 2nd label segments changed lengths within 2 h after HU (Figs. 3B and C and Fig. S2F), although we could detect minor shortening of 1st label segments in all cells at 16 h post HU. In BLM-depleted cells, 2nd label segments gained and lost as much length as in controls, but they did it on a delayed schedule (Fig. 3C; peak lengths at 120 min for BLM-depleted cells versus 60 min for control). The relative abundance of two-segment tracks, i.e. DNA labeled both pre- and post-HU, mostly paralleled gain and loss of 2nd label segment length (Fig. 3D).

WRN-depleted cells behaved differently than BLM-depleted cells. In WRN-depleted cells lengths of 2nd label segments did not increase as much as in controls (Fig. 3E). However, both the small gain and loss of length in 2nd label segments appeared to occur at the same time as in control. Fig. S2F shows an independent comparison of WRN-depleted and BLM-depleted cells in one experiment, illustrating the difference between the effects exerted by these two RECQ helicases on post-HU DNA synthesis.

3.6. WRN and BLM are involved in fork reactivation via a pathway that may include RAD51

Numerous studies described physical and/or functional interactions between BLM or WRN, and RAD51 [31–35], as well as altered RAD51 function in BLM or WRN mutant cells in response to HU [17,18,35–37]. RAD51 directly participates in at least a subset of pathways of fork reactivation, where it restores a fork by enabling invasion of a DNA duplex by a single-stranded 3’ DNA tail [38,39]. To ask whether activities of WRN or BLM in replication fork resumption depend on RAD51, we depleted RAD51 in SV40-transformed fibroblasts using shRNAs cloned in the same lentiviral vector backbone as WRN or BLM shRNAs. Two out of five shRNAs depleted 80–90% of the protein (Fig. S3A), and these had the most negative impact on cell growth and cell cycle progression (Fig. S3B), causing a delay of the G2/M transition, as expected [40]. We were also able to co-deplete WRN or BLM together with RAD51, using the same approach as previously with WRN/BLM co-depletions (Fig. 4A). Co-depletion of WRN and RAD51 appeared to reduce the attainable level of WRN depletion (Fig. S3C).

RAD51 depletion reduced the efficiency of fork reactivation after 6 h of HU (as expected [38]), while BLM/RAD51-depleted cells had only a slightly lower efficiency of fork reactivation than either RAD51- or BLM-depleted cells (Fig. 4B). Thus, combining RAD51 and BLM deficiencies did not have a synthetic negative effect on overall efficiency of fork reactivation. A similar result was obtained when we co-depleted WRN and RAD51 (Fig. S3D), though these data may be considered less definitive given the lower depletion level of WRN attainable in WRN/RAD51-depleted cells.

We also measured post-HU addition of new DNA and NSP in RAD51-depleted cells (Fig. S3E). Similar to WRN-depleted cells, RAD51-depleted cells added shorter segments of new DNA than controls to preexisting forks during a post-arrest “spurt” of DNA synthesis. This phenotype precludes conclusive determination whether NSP occurred or not.

At least in some cell types, RAD51 contributes to fork reactivation only after relatively short HU arrests when forks have not yet collapsed to DSBs [38]. Under these conditions RAD51 is recruited to chromatin but does not form foci, which is in contrast to long HU arrests where both RAD51 foci and DSBs are readily detectable. We looked for RAD51 foci formation under our HU treatment conditions and specifically in cells that have been replicating DNA prior to HU addition (this is the population in which ma-RTA measures fork reactivation). Cells were labeled with EdU just prior to incubation with HU (Fig. S4). We found RAD51 foci in 50–70% of Edu+ cells, whether wild type, or RECQ-depleted. In
EdU– cells, percent of RAD51 foci-positive cells was much lower and, as expected, was RECQ-dependent (control, 9.4 ± 3.6%, WRN-depleted, 17.3 ± 8.4%, BLM-depleted, 27.6 ± 8%). Prevalence of RAD51 foci in EdU+ cells likely corresponded to S phase per se rather than being a response to EdU, since nuclear density and localization of RAD51 foci did not correlate with that of EdU foci (not shown). Importantly, there was no increase in RAD51 foci-positive EdU+ cells during the first hour of recovery from HU arrest in either cell line (instead, there was a slight decline in percent of EdU+ cells containing RAD51 foci, Fig. S4). This observation is consistent with the idea that our HU treatment regimen does not cause significant fork collapse.

3.7. No selective susceptibility of replication intermediates to breakage in BLM-depleted versus WRN-depleted cells

We previously showed that in our model system, depleting BLM but not WRN resulted in reduced cell survival after a 24 h arrest with 0.5 mM HU [25]. However, our results thus far suggest no correlation between fork reactivation efficiency and increased HU sensitivity of BLM- versus WRN-depleted cells. On the other hand, both WRN or BLM-deficient cells have been shown to develop more DSBs than controls after prolonged, 12–24 h [17,35], and in some cell lines even relatively short, ~6 h [18] HU arrests, which is suggestive of fork breakage. While our RAD51 foci data may suggest that there is no wide-spread fork breakage in any of our cell lines, it is still possible that differential HU sensitivity of BLM-depleted cells in due to a minority of forks that do not resume replication after HU and instead develop DSBs or breakage-sensitive intermediates.

We used a modification of ma-RTA to measure if sites of HU-stalled replication are susceptible to breakage. DNA from some of the experimental sets described above was stretched and stained with antibodies to CldU (red) and dT (green). CldU (1st label) tracks marked locations of DNA segments that were replicated before and/or during HU arrest (Fig. 4C and D). Total DNA staining by anti dT antibody can quantify percentage of Replication-Associated breaks, i.e. the fraction of CldU tracks located at the ends of DNA molecules rather than within them. It should be noted that this approach does not discriminate between breaks that occurred in vivo and those occurring in vitro during sample processing. Instead, it merely evaluates a relative susceptibility of DNA to breakage.

We quantified RA breaks in samples from control cells arrested with HU for 6 h and allowed to recover for 60 or 120 min, and compared them to HU-untreated cells (Fig. 4D). These time points were chosen in order to let all forks that did reactivate, clear the vicinity
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Another explanation for the increased HU sensitivity of BLM-depleted cells is that these cells have an exaggerated replication stress or DNA damage checkpoint response despite the fact that replication forks do not reinitiate. We tested this by analyzing phosphorylation state of the CHK1 and CHK2 kinases in RECQ-depleted cells over a time course of recovery from HU (Fig. S5A).

We saw robust phosphorylation of CHK1 on Serines 317 and 345 in HU (Fig. S5B). Phosphorylation of CHK1 on S317 is required for recovery of replication and viability after HU arrest, while phosphorylation on S345 may have an extra role during normal mitosis [41]. After HU, clearance of S317P species was only minimally delayed in BLM-depleted cells compared to controls or WRN-depleted cells (Figs. S5C and S5D), and by 12 h after HU, CHK1 phosphorylation of S317 was back to baseline in all cell lines (Fig. S5E). Ser345–phosphorylated forms of CHK1 appeared and disappeared with similar kinetics in all cell lines for the first 10 h after HU (Fig. S5F) and did not reappear at later time points (up to 23 h,

Fig. 4. (A and B) BLM and RAD51 exert comparable, non-additive effects on fork reactivation after HU. (A) A Western blot showing examples of shRNA-mediated depletion of BLM, CHK1, or RAD51. CHK1 was used as a loading control [19,25]. Values below images represent BLM or RAD51 levels normalized to CHK1 levels. Note that depletion of BLM appears to mildly affect RAD51 levels. (B) Ongoing forks seen after 30 min recovery from 2 mM HU/6 in control, BLM-, CHK1- and BLM/RAD51-depleted cells (values expressed as percent of untreated control). Two measurements per cell type obtained in one experiment were averaged. The average N per sample was 308. Error bars are standard deviations. The one-tailed P value P = 0.01 was calculated in a t-test. (C–E) Comparable replication-associated breakage is detected in WRN, BLM-depleted cells and controls. (C) An example of stretched DNA stained with anti-dt antibody (green) and anti-CldU antibody (red); IN, a replication track is internal to a DNA molecule. (D and E) Labeling schemes with sample collection points and the graphs showing average percent of terminally located tracks among all 1st label, red tracks in the collected samples from two independent experiments. Average N per sample was 147 (panel D) and 160 (panel E). Error bars are standard deviations. HU was added at 2 mM.
not shown). We did not detect phosphorylation of CHK2 on Thr68 above baseline in any of the samples (not shown).

3.9. After a transient exposure to HU, BLM- or BLM/WRN-depleted cells experience a longer G2/M delay than WRN-depleted cells and a more extensive chromatin bridging

We next asked whether the window of activation/deactivation of CHK1 correlated with an altered cell cycle progression after HU in any of the cell lines. We used flow cytometry to compare kinetics of completion of the cell cycle by BrdU-labeled S phase cells exposed to HU (Fig. 5A, protocol 1). In the absence of HU, BLM and WRN/BLM depleted cells were only slightly slower than both control and WRN-depleted cells (not shown). After HU, WRN-depleted cells traversed to G1 somewhat slower than control (Fig. 5B and C). However, BLM-depleted cells were profoundly slower than both control and WRN-depleted cells, and appeared to persist in the late S-G2/M compartment of the cell cycle for at least 13–16 h after HU. WRN/BLM depleted cells behaved similarly to BLM-depleted cells. Importantly, BLM-depleted cells with late S/G2 DNA content persisted even after their CHK1 phosphorylation had returned to baseline, as only background levels of BrdU-positive G1 cells were found in these populations between 12 and 20 h of the time course. This cell cycle delay was the largest difference observed between BLM- and WRN-depleted cells after HU, and it extended well past the window of time taken by fork recovery, nascent strand processing, and CHK1 deactivation.

**Fig. 5.** RECQ helicase depletion causes variable degree of late S and/or G2/M delay after HU. (A) Experimental designs. Protocol 1: cells were labeled with BrdU for 2 h and then 2 mM HU was added for 6–7 h. After removal of HU, samples were taken for up to 24 h to determine cell cycle distributions of BrdU-positive cells by FACS. Protocol 2: cells were labeled with EdU for 2 h and then 2 mM HU was added for 6 h. After removal of HU, cytochalasin-B was added for 20 h, after which cells were harvested and analyzed. (B) Examples of cell cycle profiles of BrdU-positive cells at time points 7, 21 and 23 h. (C) Data from an independent experiment performed as in (A) and (B) were quantified and plotted as percent of BrdU-positive G1 cells versus time, using trendlines to connect time points. The decrease in percentage of G1 cells seen at later time points in control cells reflects their entry into the next S phase. BLM- and WRN/BLM-depleted cells exhibited only baseline quantities of BrdU-positive G1 cells. 0 time point corresponds to the addition of HU. (D) Examples of binucleated cells with no (i) nucleoplasmic bridging; (ii) thin thread bridging, and thick cord-like; (iii) or webbing-like and (iv) bridging. Blue: Hoechst 33342, red: Alexa 594-EdU. (E and F) Average distribution of normal and bridged binucleated EdU− (E) or EdU+ (F) cells in HU-treated and untreated control or RECQ-depleted cells. Results are means of three independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by t-test in pair-wise comparisons between controls and WRN- or BLM-depleted cells under the same treatment conditions and for the same class of bridges. Two-tailed P values are denoted as **P < 0.005, *P < 0.05, and are next to the respective bridge classes. P values are also given in Section 3.
One mitotic function of BLM may be to dissolve chromatin bridges [42] that may originate from sister chromatid linkage, among other causes [43,44]. Anaphase bridges are elevated in HeLa cells both in HU and BLM-dependent manner [37]. We looked for chromatin bridges in WRN- or BLM-depleted cells after HU (Fig. 5A, protocol 2). Cells were pulse-labeled with EdU prior to HU for quick visualization of S phase cells, and cytochalasin-B was added after HU to prevent loss of bridges due to cytokinesis. EdU incorporation did not affect chromatin separation (compare EdU– and EdU+ cells without HU in Fig. 5E).

We found several types of binucleated cells (Fig. 5D). Among cells with clearly separated nuclei, we detected normal separation i, and nucleoplasmic bridges (Fig. 5D). Among the latter, we observed thin, single-thread bridges ii, as well as more extensive bridging seen as thick chords or “webbing” of nucleoplasmic material between the nuclei (examples iii and iv). As expected, EdU– cells were virtually unaffected by HU, since these cells were not in S phase when HU was added, and only a small fraction of them may have entered S phase during incubation with HU. However, these cells showed higher levels of chromatin bridging associated with RECQ depletion. For example, WRN-depleted cells had an increased level of ii-type bridges compared to control (PCHW = 0.0046), and BLM-depleted cells had a higher level of iii–iv-type bridges (PCHW = 0.037).

Among EdU+ cells, the patterns were more complex. HU treatment caused less than twofold increases in ii-type bridging in control and WRN-depleted cells relative to their respective no-HU baselines (PCHW = 0.045). Interestingly, this was not observed in BLM-depleted cells (PCHW = 0.11), suggesting that WRN may participate in a BLM-independent pathway of chromatin resolution, for example, affecting the resolution step of homologous recombination [45]. On the other hand, iii–iv type bridging underwent greater than twofold, BLM-dependent increases in each cell type. In BLM-depleted cells in particular, iii–iv-type bridges were almost three times more prevalent after HU than without HU (PCHW = 0.014). In these cells, iii–iv-type bridges were seen almost in 50% of well-separated nuclei after HU. By comparison, in WRN-depleted cells, these bridges were only seen in a quarter of all well-separated nuclei. While overall bridging after HU was at the same level in WRN-depleted or BLM-depleted cells when all types of bridges were considered, it was evident that BLM-depleted cells had a higher proportion of extensive, iii–iv-type bridges than other two cell types (for example, PCHW = 0.018).

4. Discussion

4.1. WRN and BLM contribute additively to fork progression during unstressed replication

BLM-deficient cells exhibit slowed fork progression [15], while WRN-deficient cells have an increased level of asymmetrically diverging forks in early S phase, suggesting fork inactivation [14]. Our study confirmed that BLM, and to a lesser degree, WRN, are needed for normal fork progression, and we showed for the first time that when both RECQs were depleted, fork progression was slower than in single-depleted cells. Thus, BLM and WRN can partially substitute for each other or perform parallel functions, each contributing to fork progression. WRN and BLM could assist in processing Okazaki fragments [7], or unwind secondary structures [46]. Reduced fork progression in BLM deficient cells has also been connected to pyrimidine pool imbalance [47].

4.2. Roles of WRN and BLM during recovery from HU arrest

HU-sensitivity of BLM or WRN-deficient cells has proved to vary depending on cell type, depletion versus knock-out, drug concentration, and duration of the arrest [17,21,25,48,49]. Innate cell type or cell line variations in fork resistance to collapse and in the extent of fork progression inhibition by a given HU dose will likely emerge as factors contributing to this variability. That notwithstanding, one well-developed line of evidence suggests that WRN is recruited to stalled forks where it interacts with the 9-1-1 complex to prevent DSB formation and recruitment of RAD51 [17,18,22]. On the other hand, BLM can both stimulate and counteract RAD51 activities in vitro, and somoylated BLM may be recruiting RAD51 to collapsed forks in vivo [33–35].

We were interested to explore the less well studied situation where forks have been stalled but have not yet collapsed into DSBS, and where most of them are reactivated within 60 min. We thus adhered to relatively short arrests with 2 mM HU. Under these conditions, depleting WRN or BLM similarly and non-additively delays reactivation of a fraction of forks, yet BLM-depleted cells subsequently experience a more prolonged delay of cell division than WRN-depleted cells. We found no selective increase in DNA breakage susceptibility of replication forks after HU in BLM-depleted S phase cells, no evidence of increased RAD51 foci formation, and only a very minor delay in deactivation of the replication stress checkpoint. However, we saw an increase specifically in “webbing”–like chromatin bridging in BLM-depleted cells that undergo their first mitosis after HU.

As expected [38], RAD51 is important for fork recovery under our conditions, and the data were consistent with RAD51, BLM, and WRN acting within the same pathway. We also found evidence that fork reactivation and the speed with which a reactivated fork progresses for the first 30 min, may represent separate phenomena, with WRN being one of the factors involved in fork progression after HU.

To explain these results, we propose that in HU forks are remodeled in terms of their constituent regulatory proteins and DNA polymers, and perhaps progress in a regression/reversion cycle. One efficient pathway of exiting this cycle when HU is removed may be a RAD51-mediated reconstitution of an active fork via a D-loop [18,12] and Refs. therein, Fig. 6). In vitro, WRN and BLM display activities that can place them at virtually any point in these processes. Both RECQs repress fork-like substrates and reverse regression [50–53], WRN degrades a recessed 3’ end in a fork [52] and displaces RPA [54], and BLM displaces RAD51 from DNA and also stimulates strand exchange by RAD51 and D-loop extension [33,34]. In vivo, all these activities may be channeled in a particular direction by protein interactions and regulatory modifications of WRN and BLM to generate a substrate for RAD51 [55,56], and optimize D-loop formation and extension. WRN and BLM physically and/or functionally interact with RAD51 in vivo and/or in vitro (Refs. above, also [32,35,36]), and in our model they may, though are not absolutely required to affect recruitment of RAD51 to forks. If the daughter/daughter duplex of a regressed fork is not completely unwound or resolved, as may happen in the absence of WRN or BLM [57,58], the twists between daughter strands can persist as hemicatenation between sister chromatids (Fig. 6), and show as chromatin bridges at mitosis. BLM, in complex with topolla and RMI proteins can dissolve such structures [59], and it localizes to ultrafine anaphase bridges [60]. Incomplete processing of daughter/daughter duplex combined with a failure to dissolve interchromatid linkage predicts an increase in chromatin bridges in BLM-depleted cells in the first M phase after HU, and can explain the prolonged cell cycle delay in BLM though not WRN-depleted cells after HU. Consistent with this, we observed an HU- and BLM-dependent rise in extensive, webbing-like nucleoplasmic bridging.
This may suggest a more profound defect in decatenating chromatid.

Reactivated forks appear to move slower immediately after HU. This may be due to dNTP pool imbalances as well as switching to low fidelity polymerases [61–63]. We show (Figs. 1 and 3 and Figs. S2 and S3) that WRN or RAD51 depletion exacerbates slow fork progression after HU. One mechanistically attractive possibility is that without WRN or RAD51 a reactivated fork is extended by different polymerase(s) than in wild type, and/or these polymerases are more prone to pausing. Polymerase \( \eta \) was shown to extend a D-loop and it is recruited to reactivating forks with RAD51 [39,64,65], and WRN can facilitate activities of polymerase \( \eta \) in vitro [66]. However, it is unclear why the same slow extension phenotype is not observed in BLM-depleted cells, as BLM can facilitate D-loop extension in vitro [33]. Further complexity is introduced by the fact that at least one of our fork extension assays (Figs. 2 and 3) uses residual intracellular pool of labeled analog. In BLM-depleted cells endogenous dU pool size may be smaller [47], thus raising the relative concentration of the residual label.

4.3. Nascent strand processing during reactivation of forks after HU

We found that some of the label incorporated within the first 30–60 min after HU, appears to be lost within the next hour, a phenomenon we refer to as nascent strand processing, NSP. One possibility is that NSP is a response to DNA synthesis under conditions of unbalanced dNTP pools and/or NTP/dNTP ratios. Initial extension of reactivated forks by low fidelity polymerases may also invite NSP. Ratios of dNTP concentrations undergo changes during incubation with HU, and altering dNTP ratios can affect DNA polymerase misincorporation rate [67–69]. HU treatment causes misincorporation in vivo [70]. Also, post-HU DNA may contain NMPs [71]. Misincorporated dNMPs and NMPs may trigger DNA repair, resulting in concomitant loss of label from post-HU DNA. One prediction from this hypothesis is that NSP may be reduced in MMR-deficient cells, or in ribonucleotide excision deficient, RNase H2-depleted cells [71].

In BLM-depleted cells, both post-HU spurt and NSP appear to occur, though are delayed, consistent with a delay in fork reactivation. In RAD51-depleted or WRN-depleted cells post-HU segment length gain is smaller, thus making it possible that NSP is proportionately reduced. However, it is also possible that post-HU DNA in these cells contains fewer mismatches, being replicated by high-fidelity polymerases, which reduces the need for NSP.

Cases of degradation of nascent DNA strands during replication stress have been recently described as persistence of MRE11, RAD51-dependent, ≤300 nt gaps in MMS–damaged DNA replicating in Xenopus extracts [72], or as MRE11-dependent degradation of Kb-sized stretches of DNA at stalled forks during HU arrest in BRCA2-deficient but not in normal cells [73]. In contrast, we observe loss of Kb-sized nascent DNA in normal human cells during recovery from HU. Further studies will be needed to establish whether these processes are related and have a similar mechanistic significance.
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