J. Micromech. Microeng. 28 (2018) 065009 (12pp)

https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6439/aab061

Glassy carbon MEMS for novel origami-styled 3D integrated intracortical and epicortical neural probes

Noah Goshi¹, Elisa Castagnola^{1,2,9}, Maria Vomero^{3,8}, Calogero Gueli³, Claudia Cea^{1,2}, Elena Zucchini⁴, David Bjanes^{2,5}, Emma Maggiolini⁴, Chet Moritz^{2,6}, Sam Kassegne^{1,2}, Davide Ricci⁴ and Luciano Fadiga^{4,7}

 ¹ MEMS Research Lab., Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182-1323, United States of America
 ² Center for Sensorimotor Neural Engineering (CSNE), Box 37, 1414 NE 42nd St., Suite 204, Seattle, WA 98105-6271, United States of America

³ Laboratory for Biomedical Microsystems, Institute for Microsystem Technology (IMTEK),

University of Freiburg, Georges-Köhler-Allee 103, 79110 Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany

⁴ Center for Translational Neurophysiology of Speech and Communication, Istituto Italiano di

Tecnologia, Via Fossato di Mortara 17/19, 44121, Ferrara, Italy

⁵ Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America ⁶ Division of Physical Therapy, Departments of Rehabilitation Medicine and Physiology and Biophysics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States of America

⁷ Section of Human Physiology, University of Ferrara, Via Fossato di Mortara 17/19, 44121, Ferrara, Italy

E-mail: nkgoshi@ucdavis.edu, ecastagnola@sdsu.edu, maria.vomero@imtek.uni-freiburg.de, claudia.cea90@gmail.com, gerozte@gmail.com, elena.zucchini@iit.it, davidbjanes@gmail.com, emma. maggiolini@iit.it, ctmoritz@uw.edu, kassegne@mail.sdsu.edu, davide.ricci@iit.it and luciano.fadiga@iit.it

Received 14 December 2017, revised 2 February 2018 Accepted for publication 19 February 2018 Published 4 April 2018



Abstract

We report on a novel technology for microfabricating 3D origami-styled micro electromechanical systems (MEMS) structures with glassy carbon (GC) features and a supporting polymer substrate. GC MEMS devices that open to form 3D microstructures are microfabricated from GC patterns that are made through pyrolysis of polymer precursors on high-temperature resisting substrates like silicon or quartz and then transferring the patterned devices to a flexible substrate like polyimide followed by deposition of an insulation layer. The devices on flexible substrate are then folded into 3D form in an origami-fashion.

These 3D MEMS devices have tunable mechanical properties that are achieved by selectively varying the thickness of the polymeric substrate and insulation layers at any desired location. This technology opens new possibilities by enabling microfabrication of a variety of 3D GC MEMS structures suited to applications ranging from biochemical sensing to implantable microelectrode arrays. As a demonstration of the technology, a neural signal recording microelectrode array platform that integrates both surface (cortical) and depth (intracortical) GC microelectrodes onto a single flexible thin-film device is introduced.

When the device is unfurled, a pre-shaped shank of polyimide automatically comes

⁸ Previously at MEMS Research Lab., Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182-1323, United States of America.

⁹ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

MEMS Research Lab., EIS Building, Department of Mechanical Engineering, College of Engineering, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Drive, San Diego, CA 92182-1323, United States of America.

N Goshi et al

off the substrate and forms the penetrating part of the device in a 3D fashion. With the advantage of being highly reproducible and batch-fabricated, the device introduced here allows for simultaneous recording of electrophysiological signals from both the brain surface (electrocorticography—ECoG) and depth (single neuron). Our device, therefore, has the potential to elucidate the roles of underlying neurons on the different components of μ ECoG signals. For *in vivo* validation of the design capabilities, the recording sites are coated with a poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)—polystyrene sulfonate—carbon nanotube composite, to improve the electrical conductivity of the electrodes and consequently the quality of the recorded signals. Results show that both μ ECoG and intracortical arrays were able to acquire neural signals with high-sensitivity that increased with depth, thereby verifying the device functionality.

Keywords: MEMS, origami structure, microelectrode array (MEA), thin film technology, glassy carbon, PEDOT-PSS-CNT coating, neural probe

S Supplementary material for this article is available online

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Carbon, as a technology material, is finding renewed research and industrial interest. As evidenced by the continued interest in graphene and other forms of carbon such as fullerene, carbon nanotubes (CNTs), carbon nanofibers, carbon nano-composites, etc, carbon is poised to become a critical technology material with wide application in a variety of disciplines [1-3]. In addition to the traditional areas where the superior electrical and electrochemical properties of carbon have made it a material of choice, recently a renewed focus on this material has been brought by bioengineering research aimed to electrically interfacing it with biological tissues. In turn, these factors have highlighted the need for innovation in robust and versatile micro and nanofabrication technology of carbonbased devices to meet this increasing demand. In response to that, the field of glassy carbon MEMS (GC MEMS), which involves the patterning of a photosensitive polymer precursor on a silicon substrate followed by pyrolysis of the polymer layer to convert it to GC, has gained traction and an increased range of applications [4–6].

Further, the recent introduction of a new pattern transfer technology that allowed GC structures to be supported on polymeric substrates as opposed to only high-temperature resistant silicon and quartz, has enabled GC MEMS technology to enter a new phase [6-8]. This new pattern transfer technology has been demonstrated through the microfabrication of a GC-based array of microelectrodes on a flexible substrate for applications in neural sensing and stimulation, particularly for $\mu ECoG$ (micro-electrocorticography) systems. While innovation in this area is expected to continue, the next set of challenges in expanding the application of GC MEMS involve the ability to build complex 3D origami-style structures using carbon as an electrical and mechanical component, an approach similar to earlier 3D microprobes microfabricated using standard metal fabrication processes [9]. The origami-type technology presented in this research addresses this need and consists of microfabricating GC structures on high-temperature resisting substrates like silicon, quartz, or similar materials and then transferring the patterned devices onto a flexible substrate like polyimide, and finally adding photolithographically patternable 2D layers that will open up to form 3D structures. It has to be noted that while there are other reports of out-of-plane, foldable MEMS devices, they often involve fabrication steps that are incompatible with the high temperatures required for the pyrolysis of GC [10] and require stiff substrates [11]. Therefore, these reported probes are not suitable for implantable neural electrodes and involve extremely precise and time consuming manual manipulation [12, 13].

As a demonstration of this new technology, we present here a neural probe made of a microelectrode array platform that integrates both surface (μ ECoG) and penetrating (intracortical) GC electrodes onto a single flexible thin-film device. This is a natural extension, as GC has been demonstrated to be a compelling platform for brain-computer interface (BCI) applications due to its superior electrical and electrochemical properties and excellent surface chemistry that enables enhanced adhesion to conductive polymers [8]. Along these lines, understanding how the brain translates individual neural signals into behaviors, motivation, and actions, requires the recording of electrophysiological signals from large populations of neurons over multiple regions of the brain [14]. While implantable microwires [15], tetrodes [16] and multiple electrode arrays (MEAs) [17] are capable of sampling single-unit action potentials with high spatial and temporal resolution, the implantation of the number of electrodes required to monitor such a large population of neurons may not be feasible due to the possible damage to the brain tissue during implantation [18]. On the other hand, ECoG arrays are capable of sampling from large neural populations as they are placed on the surface of the brain, but do not provide the same spatial resolution as penetrating electrodes [19]. MEMS fabrication technologies have been applied to ECoG arrays to address these shortcomings and have led to improved data acquisition through an increase in electrode density [20–22], improved electrode materials and

fabrication techniques [22–24], and recordings of single unit action potentials from subdural ECoG arrays [25]. Following these improvements, ECoG arrays are becoming a platform of choice for BCI studies, mainly due to their ability to address large areas of the cortex and support chronic implants owing to their reduced invasiveness [26–28]. Furthermore, these improvements in ECoG technology have motivated the further investigation of the relationship between signals recorded from the brain surface and those generated by neurons located at different levels of the cortex to fully exploit the potential of the ECoG platform. In fact, research has already indicated a strong correlation between surface signals and the activity of underlying neurons in the visual [29] and barrel [30] cortex in rats and in the primary somatosensory [31] and primary motor [32] cortex in non-human primates.

Verification of this correlation between surface signals and the activity of the underlying neurons requires the simultaneous recording of surface and intracortical signals. However, while there has been significant research into ECoG array technology and in understanding the relationship between ECoG signals and signals from underlying neurons, improving the technology to simultaneously record these signals has been largely left unaddressed. Nearly all the aforementioned electrophysiology experiments use separate systems to record the different populations of neurons. Early experiments used single ball electrodes for ECoG recordings in conjunction with a penetrating tetrode array [31]. Later, specialized ECoG arrays were developed with multiple holes in the supporting substrate that allow the insertion of intracortical electrode arrays through the ECoG device [29-32]; however, this approach still required fabrication, placement, and connection of multiple electrode systems. Some groups have developed integrated electrode platforms to address these needs using either epoxy fixation of an intracortical MEA through an ECoG array [29] or through specialized fabrication techniques to form SU8 microneedles on the surface of a thin-film electrode array [33, 34]. However, both designs required specialized fabrication techniques, with the former demanding that each device be individually assembled, and thereby dramatically increasing both the fabrication time and variability between the assembled devices. Furthermore, these designs introduce a new limitation, in that the penetration depth of the intracortical MEA is set during device fabrication and assembly and, therefore, cannot be adjusted during the implant surgery.

We submit that the new thin-film platform presented here, that combines both intracortical and surface GC electrodes into a single device through origami-like unfolding of the substrate to 3D structure, addresses these needs. Such a device can be batch-fabricated using recently developed patterntransfer techniques [6] to incorporate GC electrodes, and has the advantage of being completely self-assembled. The device was initially fabricated in a 2D geometry that takes the final 3D form, when it is folded into a zero-insertion force (ZIF) connector. In this report, we demonstrate the ability to fabricate 3D platforms with varying geometries and multiple penetrating arrays. We also verify the ability of the traces to maintain their connectivity when folded into 3D form by electrochemical characterization. To verify *in vivo* performance of our platform, we fabricated a device consisting of single penetrating



Figure 1. MEMS process for fabricating the multi-layered thin-film GC electrodes: (a) spin-coat negative photoresist (SU-8); (b) pattern SU-8 through lithography; (c) pyrolysis; (d) spin-coat and pattern polyimide base layer; (e) metal deposition and lift-off for traces and bump-pads; (f) spin-coat and pattern the polyimide insulation layer to get bump-pads access; (g) spin-coat and pattern the polyimide folding layer (Durimide 7520); (h) etch SiO₂ with BOE to release device from wafer.

array with several microelectrodes flanked by two μ ECoG arrays with a spring like system that allows the intracortical array to be inserted to varying depths without changing the μ ECoG electrode placements. As PEDOT coatings are useful to guarantee low impedance and ideal electrode conductivity of miniaturized electrodes [33], we coated the 30 μ m diameter GC microelectrodes with PEDOT-PSS, reinforced with CNTs, which further improve their mechanical and electrical properties [35–39]. Following fabrication, we performed an *in vivo* validation of the device by recording neural activity from the vibrissae representation of the rat primary somatosensory cortex (S1 (stacks.iop.org/JMM/28/065009/nmedia)), namely the barrel cortex, leveraging the well-known cytoarchitecture and the physiology of this region [40–43].

2. Materials and methods

In this section, we discuss the design of origami-style polymeric substrate supported GC MEMS neural probes, their microfabrication, and characterizations.

2.1. Microfabrication GC microelectrode arrays

The basic core fabrication method for the pattern transfer of a GC microelectrode array on a polyimide substrate is described in detail elsewhere [6-8]. Here, we extend the technology by adding a new reinforcing layer that is selectively deposited and patterned at areas of interest that allow a 3D origami-style unfurling. This modified process is summarized in figure 1.

The microfabrication process starts with spin-coating SU8 negative photoresist (Microchem, MA) at 1000 rpm for 60 s

and soft-baking at 65 °C for 10 min and 95 °C for 30 min followed by UV exposure at ~400 mJ cm⁻². Post-exposure bake consists of 65 °C for 1 min and 95 °C for 10 min. This was followed by development of SU8 for 3-5 min and curing at 150 °C for 30 min. Pyrolysis (1000 °C in an inert N₂ environment) of the negative resist layer follows protocols described elsewhere [6–8]. After the pyrolysis step, 6 μ m layer of photopatternable polyimide (HD 4100) (HD Microsystems) was spin-coated on top of GC microelectrodes at 2500 rpms for 45 s, soft baked at 90 °C for 3 min and at 120 °C for 3 min, then cooled down to room temperature, and patterned through UV exposure at ~400 mJ cm⁻². Post-exposure bake consisted of 80 °C for 1 min. Development was performed using a sprypuddle process where QZ3501 (Fuji Film) was dispersed to form a puddle on a stationary wafer. A rinse was applied after a set time of 15 s, followed by spin-drying of the wafer (2000 rpms for 15 s and 500 rpm s⁻¹ ramp). The cycle was repeated three times and then the wafer was rinsed with SU8 developer (MicroChem). Subsequently, the polyimide layer was partial cured at 300 °C for 60 min under a N₂ environment.

Following this, metal traces were deposited using NR9-1000PY negative photoresist (Futurrex) as a sacrificial layer. Futurrex was spin coated at 500 rpms for 45 s and ramped down for 10s, then prebaked for 2 min at 150 °C followed by $380 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2} \text{ UV}$ exposure. Post exposure bake was done at 100 °C for 2 min and the sample was developed in pure RD6 developer (Futurrex Inc) for 3s. Subsequently, a 20nm chromium adhesion layer and 200 nm gold layer were deposited through sputtering. After metal deposition, a lift-off process was performed and the sacrificial layer was removed in acetone. To electrically insulate the device, 6 μ m of polyimide HD4100 (300 rpms) was spun, patterned (400 mJ cm⁻²), and cured (350 °C for 90 min under a N2 environment). An additional thicker layer (30 μ m) of polyimide (Durimide 7520, Fuji Film) that eventually became a 3D structure was spin-coated (800 rpm, 45 s) and then patterned (400 mJ cm^{-2}) on top of the insulation layer to selectively reinforce the penetrating portion of the device. Then it was developed, as previously described, and final cured at 350 °C for 90 min.

Subsequently, the device was released from the wafer through selective etching of silicon dioxide with buffered hydrofluoric acid. The device was assembled into the final 3D form and held in place by inserting both ends of the device into a custom PCB that served as the connector to the electrophysiological recording system. Representative images of devices after being released from the carrying wafer in their 2D form and of the origamistyled unfurled device assembled into connecting PCB in their 3D conformation are shown in figures 2 and 4.

2.2. Design of GC MEMS origami structures—example of 3D GC microelectrode array

To demonstrate the versatility of the new architecture and modified pattern transfer technology introduced here, we highlight the device design of a portfolio of origami-type 3D probe structures. The devices were initially designed in a 2D geometry that could be batch-fabricated on a carrying wafer and when released, folded, and inserted into a ZIF connector would take on 3D form (figures 2 and 3). The 2D geometry consisted of a series of cut-outs that, when folded, allowed the penetrating portions of the device to remain 'in-plane', while the folded areas formed the ECoG portion of the device. Figure 2 shows a portfolio of such devices with (i) single or multiple shanks that unfurl from a 2D configuration to a 3D form and (ii) flat and foldable flaps that support the structure and contain pads for electrical connections. The combination of such geometries allows probes to assume a wide variety of conformations, and hence applications. It should be stressed that the flaps that contain surface microelectrodes were patterned from polyimide (HD4100) whereas the shanks that form the penetrating microelectrode array were typically patterned from thicker polyimide, Durimide 7520.

Figure 3 shows a schematic of the device folding. Due to the cut-outs in the 2D geometry, when the device is folded upon itself, the penetrating portion of the device does not experience this backward force, and therefore remains in-plane, while the bulk of the device is folded back upon itself, and thereby forms the out-of-plane, 3D geometry of the ECoG portion. An additional layer of thicker polyimide (Durimide 7520) was patterned over the penetrating shanks to increase their mechanical robustness during 3D unfurling and allow penetration through the cortical tissue without the need for a penetration aid. The thickness of the layer of polyimide was determined by comparing the force required to penetrate the cerebral cortex of the rat, ~1.5 mN with the dura mater removed [44], to the critical buckling load of the penetrating shank calculated using the formula for Euler's critical load given below,

$$P_{\rm cr} = (\pi^2 EI)/(KL)^2,$$

where $P_{\rm cr}$ is Euler's critical load, *E* is the Young's modulus of the material, *I* is the area moment of inertia, *K* is the column effective length factor, and *L* is the length of the column. The critical loads for the penetrating portions of the different designs is given in table 1.

As the thicker layer of polyimide was only patterned over the penetrating shanks, the ECoG portion of the device would remain ultra-flexible to promote contact between the electrodes and the surface of the brain. Alternatively, a single foldable flap platform (figure 2(d)) was designed for chronic implantations in free moving animals, where disconnections between the ZIF connector and the device pose a greater concern. The ultra-flexible flap containing the ECoG electrodes can be simply held away from the penetration site using tether or forceps and following the implantation of the penetrating portion be allowed to fall back into contact with the cortex.

Assessment of the biocompatibility of the specific polyimide used (Polyimide HD4100 and Durimide 7520) for implantable medical device use, along with their suitability for peripheral nerve and cortical implants and epiretinal stimulation, has been reported in earlier studies [46–51].

2.3. Assembly of GC MEMS origami structure

To validate the patterning technology, we chose to fabricate a single-shank penetrating array as seen in figure 4 specifically designed for an acute *in vivo* validation study in a rat.



Figure 2. Origami MEMS portfolio. (a) and (b) Four-shanks origami probes. (b) and (c) Magnifications of two possible probe designs. (d) Single-shank origami probe with foldable flap.

The curved conformation the μ ECoG array substrate assumes when folded into its 3D form acts as a spring-like structure that allows the penetrating array to be inserted at varying depths, while the parts of μ ECoG array made of polyimide remains stationary. For this study, the device was designed to record from the barrel cortex in rats. The electrodes in both arrays are 30 μ m in diameter and have a center to center pitch of 300 μ m in the intracortical array and 500 μ m for the μ ECoG array. The intracortical array contains five electrodes stacked vertically, with the deepest electrode reaching a depth of at least 2.3 mm. A detailed schematic of the device, reporting the overall dimensions, diameter of the electrodes and center to center distance is provided in supplementary figure 1. This penetration depth and electrode spacing allows the device to record signals from at least one electrode for each cortical layer (from layer II to layer V). The μ ECoG array contains additional six microelectrodes (three on each side of the intracortical array) to allow comparison of surface recordings at different locations with depth recordings through the cortex.

2.4. PEDOT-PSS-CNT electrochemical deposition

Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) and carboxylated MWCNTs (COOH-CNTs, NC 3151, <4% of COOH functional groups, Nanocyl SA, Belgium) nanocomposites (PEDOT-CNT) were co-electrodeposited from a 0.5 M 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDOT, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) aqueous solution containing 1 mg ml⁻¹ of suspended COOH-CNTs and 0.6 wt% of poly(sodium 4-styrenesulfonate) (PSS) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). COOH-CNTs were suspended in ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Millipore, USA) by horn sonication (Vibra-Cell VCX130, Sonics and Materials, USA) (6s at 66% duty cycle pulses, 4 W ml⁻¹) for 30 min while keeping the solution cooled with an ice bath. PSS and the monomer were added to the suspension immediately afterwards, and the solution was kept deoxygenated by bubbling nitrogen. The electrochemical deposition was carried out using a polymerization potential of 0.8 V versus the reference electrode for 10 CV cycles. Depositions were carried out using a potentiostat/ galvanostat (PARSTAT 2273, Princeton Applied Research,



Figure 3. Schematic of the folding process and implantation of a 3D integrated intracortical and epicortical neural probe. (a) Overhead schematic of the device immediately following release from the wafer. (b) Schematic of the device folding to the final 3D form by the insertion of both ends into the ZIF connector. Due to the 2D geometry of the device, the penetrating portion is not subjected to the force back towards the ZIF connector, and therefore remains in-plane, while the ECoG electrodes are folded back towards the connector creating the 3D structure. (c) Schematic of the device implanted in the cortex of a rat. Due to the ultra-flexibility of the ECoG portion, the device is able to follow the surface of the cortex, promoting contact between the electrodes and the surface of the brain. The probe is able to bend through almost 180° angle, if needed.

Table 1. Critical buckling load calculation for the penetrating portions of the different probe designs.

Device	Width (mm)	Height (µm)	Length (mm)	Young's modulus (GPa)	Critical load (mN)
Figures 2(b) and (c)	1	30	2.5	2.5 [45]	8.8
Figure 2(d)	1	30	3.5	2.5 [45]	4.53
Figure 4	1	30	5.7	2.5 [45]	1.7

USA) connected to a three-electrode electrochemical cell with a platinum counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode.

2.5. Device characterization

Once the devices were assembled in 3D fashion, the electrochemical characterization of the devices was carried out in 0.9% NaCl aqueous solution through electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). EIS measurements were performed by superimposing a sine wave (10 mV RMS amplitude) onto the open circuit potential, in the frequency range from $1-10^5$ Hz. EIS were carried out using a potentiostat/galvanostat (Reference 600, Gamry Instruments, USA) connected to a three-electrode electrochemical cell with a Pt counter electrode and a Ag|AgCl|KCl (sat.) reference electrode.

The capacitive charging ability of the PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated GC microelectrodes was evaluated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and voltage transient in a physiological aqueous solution (NaCl 0.9%). For CVs, the potential on the working electrode was swept between 0.8 and -0.6 V versus Ag/AgCl at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹, starting at open-circuit potential and sweeping in the positive direction first. The charge transfer capacities (CTC) were calculated as the time-integral of an entire CV cycle between 0.5 and -0.5 V, or between -0.6 and

0.8 V (CTC₂). For voltage transient, a cathodic-first chargebalanced biphasic symmetric current pulse with 400 μ s cathodic half-phase period was used. The charge injection limit, defined as the maximum quantity of charge an electrode can inject before reaching the water electrolysis potential, was calculated as the time integral of the current in the loading phase normalized by the geometric area of the microelectrode.

2.6. In vivo test

The device with GC microelectrodes coated with PEDOT-PSS-CNT was *in vivo* validated. The surgical procedures were performed on one Long–Evans male rat (weight 400 g). The experimental plan was designed in compliance with the guidelines established by the European Communities Council (Directive 2010/63/EU, Italian Legislative Decree n. 26, 4/3/2014) and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for animal research of the University of Ferrara and by the Italian Ministry of Health (authorization n° 332/2015-PR). The animal was anesthetized with a mixture of Zoletil (30 mg kg⁻¹) and Xylazine (5 mg kg⁻¹) delivered intraperitoneally. The depth of the anesthesia was monitored for the entire duration of the experimental session by testing the absence of hindlimb withdrawal reflex and was maintained by additional doses of anesthetic (i.m.). Under anesthesia,





Figure 4. (a) Single-probe origami (Epi-Intra) device after being released from the carrying wafer in its 2D form. (b) Representative images of the Epi-Intra folded device assembled into the connecting PCB in its 3D conformation.

the animal was placed on stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, USA) and an incision of 2 cm was made along the midline of the cranium. The underlying muscle and connective tissue were retracted to expose the skull and a craniotomy of 5×5 mm was made on the parietal bone to expose the primary somatosensory cortex (S1) [52].

To record the intracortical neural activity, the shaft was lowered into the vibrissa region of S1 (A-P coordinates between -1.5 and -5.0 mm and M-L coordinates between 3.5 and 5.5 mm) at the maximum depth of 2 mm to enable the five penetrating electrodes to acquire signals from the different layers of the cortex. To test the recording capability of the six surface electrodes, somatosensory evoked potentials (SEP) were elicited through mechanical whiskers stimulation (see below). Eight 25 min sessions of evoked activity and two 10 min of spontaneous activity were recorded. To elicit neural activity, a vibrating system was used to produce a multi-whiskers deflection along the horizontal plane. Three different mechanical stimulation patterns were used. The whiskers, contralateral to the recorded cortex, were cut 1 cm from the base and included in a Velcro strip attached to a rod moved by a shaker (Type 4810 mini shaker; Bruel & Kjaer) controlled by a National Instruments board (Austin, TX, USA). The deflection stimulus consisted of 10 trains of 10 truncated Gaussians of 12 ms duration at 9 Hz followed by a 5 s pause. The amplitude of the stimulus was changed after each train to obtain multi-whiskers deflections respectively of Electrophysiological data were acquired using a TDT multichannel recording system 3 (Tucker Davis Technologies, USA) including a ZIF-Clip[®] head-stage with unity $(1\times)$ gain, RZ2 real-time processor, and PZ2-256 battery-powered preamplifier. Data was digitized at a sample rate of 24414 samples s⁻¹ (18-bit resolution) and transferred from RZ2 to the PC by fast fiber optic connection. Evoked and spontaneous activity data were thus stored on a PC for the subsequent offline analysis.

To analyze the recording performance of the five penetrating electrodes, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was computed on the high frequency components of the signal. The SNR was calculated as the peak-to-peak amplitude of the mean waveform detected, divided by twice the standard deviation (σ) of the noise.

For each penetration, the signal was digitally high-pass filtered (Butterworth, 4-poles) above 250 Hz and the waveform discrimination was performed at a voltage threshold of two times the root-mean square voltage of the background noise. The signal amplitude was taken as the mean peak-to-peak amplitude of the waveform detected and the σ noise was the standard deviation of the data stream after all waveforms have been removed. The Off-Line Sorter software (Plexon Inc, USA) on the continuous traces was used.

To extract the SEPs from the surface, the signal was down-sampled at 3051.8 Hz and digitally low-pass filtered (Butterworth 2nd order) below 300 Hz using MATLAB software (Mathworks, USA). The evoked responses for each amplitude of whiskers deflection were averaged from 0–100 ms after trigger pulses using the EEGLAB MATLAB toolbox and OriginPro (OriginLab, USA).

3. Results

3.1. GC MEMS origami structure

We were able to design and fabricate a platform that incorporated GC electrodes into a 3D thin-film flexible substrate that is capable of recording both epicortical and intracortical electrophysiological signals in a robust and reproducible manner. Additionally, the platform is compatible with a number of different device geometries and can be tailored to the electrophysiological study of particular interest. Folding and assembly of the different devices was accomplished in the same step and simply involved inserting both ends of the device into the ZIF clip of the PCB (figure 4(b)). The two ends of the device were designed to fit snugly into the connector (<200 μ m difference between the width of the device and connector) and the device folding could be done quickly and repeatedly. Alternatively, for devices with a smaller footprint (figures 2 and 4), the 3D form came about naturally when inserting the device into an agar phantom. The insulating polyimide layers around the μ ECoG arrays had a total thickness of approximately 8 μ m allowing them to be ultra-flexible and conform to the surface of the brain. The addition of a 30 μ m thick polyimide layer (that appears dark in appearance in



Figure 5. (a) Magnification of Epi-Intra Device. (b) Magnification of the PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated microelectrodes. (c) SEM image of the PEDOT-PSS-CNT morphology.

figures 2(d), 4(a) and (b)) over the penetrating array, increased the stiffness of the penetrating portion of the device, allowing it to penetrate both the cortical tissue and dura.

PEDOT-PSS-CNT composite was successfully polymerized on the 30 μ m diameter microelectrodes with very high localized precision, as can be seen from a representative picture of a PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated GC microelectrodes (figure 5(b)). A High-resolution SEM image of the PEDOT-PSS-CNT layer shown in figure 5(c) demonstrates a very porous morphology from which emerges a fine nanoscale CNT scaffold structure, similar to what was reported in a previous study on flat and spherical Au electrode surfaces [53, 54]. As expected, after the deposition of the PEDOT-PSS-CNT composite, there was a significant reduction in the impedance (nearly three orders of magnitude at 1 kHz) of the electrodes (figure 6(a)), from 555.1 \pm 128.1 k Ω to 3.6 \pm 1.2 $k\Omega$ at 1 kHz. The impedance of the PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated μ ECoG GC microelectrodes before and after folding remain constant (4.2 \pm 0.7 k Ω at 1 kHz). This indicates that the folding of the device does not damage the gold traces or the GC electrodes. EIS plots are reported in supporting figure S3 of the supplementary information.

The PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated GC microelectrodes present CTC_{tot} of 300 mC cm⁻² in the -0.5/0.5 V EW and of 458 mC cm⁻² the -0.6/0.8 V EW. The experimentally verified charge injection limit (Qinj) is 7.2 mC cm⁻². These results are comparable to previously reported CTCs and charge injection limit of PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated nanostructured gold microelectrodes [54, 55]. An example of CVs of PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated GC microelectrodes with two different electrochemical windows (EWs) is shown in supporting figure S2 of the supplementary information.

To validate its ability to penetrate the brain tissue and its functionality, the combined MEA was tested *in vivo* through acute intracortical and epicortical recording sessions in a rat model. The shaft of the device was able to penetrate the rat brain tissue and the two μ ECoG array areas adhered well to the brain surface without interfering with the intracortical part.

After implanting the device, we did not observe a significant change in impedance of the microelectrodes, which remained nearly identical to the impedance measured during preliminary *in vitro* tests, for both the intracortical and epicortical recording sites $(3.6 \pm 1.2 \text{ k}\Omega \text{ at } 1 \text{ kHz} \text{ before and } 3.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ k}\Omega \text{ at } 1 \text{ kHz}$ after). The impedance spectra of a representative PEDOT- PSS-CNT-coated electrode before and after an *in vivo* intracortical recording session are shown in figure 6(b).

In vivo results are schematically summarized in figure 7. The surface electrodes were able to record typical SEPs with amplitudes following those of whiskers deflection [56] (see table 2). For the penetrating electrodes, the amplitude of the recorded high frequency signals depends on their position, with smaller values detected by electrodes nearest to the surface and larger ones by the deeper electrodes, both for stimulated and spontaneous activity (see table 3 and figure 7). A similar trend was found for the mean value of the SNR calculated for each channel both for spontaneous and for stimulated activity, as shown in figure S3 (supporting information).

4. Discussion

The origami-style 3D platform presented here, to the best of our knowledge, is the first combined epicortical and intracortical recording platform in which the electrode material, size, and fabrication method are identical between the penetrating and surface electrodes. A challenge in creating such a hybrid device stems from the fact that the ideal mechanical properties for penetrating and μ ECoG arrays are different, most notably penetrating devices require a stiffer substrate to penetrate the tissue, while μ ECoG arrays need to remain ultra-flexible to better conform to the brain surface. Therefore, for many combined epicortical and intracortical recording set-ups, the penetrating and μ ECoG recording arrays are fabricated using different materials and methods, and as a result the electrode material and geometries are not consistent between the epi and intracortical arrays [30-32, 34, 35]. This makes direct comparison between the acquired signals more difficult as the differences in the electrodes must be taken into account. Our platform employed the same fabrication method for both the epicortical and intracortical arrays, and therefore the electrodes were consistent across both portions of the device. Polyimide was chosen as the substrate material due to its mechanical and insulating properties and its ability to be deposited at significantly different thicknesses based on its initial viscosity and spin-coating speed. By varying the thickness of the polyimide layers over the μ ECoG and penetrating arrays, we were able to tune the mechanical properties of the different portions of the device. Particularly, polymide HD-4100 was used as insulator layer for the foldable segment of the device because of its excellent mechanical properties and excellent resistance to thermal and chemical extremes. Durimide 7520 was added as a thickening layer of the penetrating shank because it allows for a cured film thickness up to 50 μ m. Additionally, it presents superior mechanical property retention after extended pressure cooker test (>1000h) and excellent adhesion.



Figure 6. (a) Impedance spectra (mean and deviation, n = 12) of the 30 μ m diameter microelectrodes before (black) and after PEDOT-PSS-CNT (blue) deposition. (b) Impedance spectra (mean and deviation, n = 12) of the 30 μ m diameter PEDOT-PSS-CNT coated microelectrodes before (blue) and after (green) intra- and epi-cortical *in vivo* recordings in rat brain.



Figure 7. Schematic representation of a recording session on rat vibrissae region of S1. The whiskers pad, contralateral to the recorded cortex, was in contact with a flag to produce whiskers deflection at three different amplitudes (whiskers stimulator). The shaft of the device was inserted into the cortex perpendicularly to the surface, since each electrode was able to record neural signal. On the left side of the picture is shown an example of recorded traces from the five penetrating electrodes, from the most superficial layer with small signals (Intra 1,2), to the deepest (Intra 3,4,5) with large signal (scale bar 300 μ V and 50 ms). In the figure, each trace was associated with the respective cortical layers and neuron populations during recording session. The six surface channels (ECoG 1–6) were coupled with the brain surface to record SEPs. On the right side, the small panels show an example of mean SEPs recorded after 20 cycles for each of the three amplitude deflections (scale bar 100 μ V and 20 ms). In black the SEPs elicited using a 250 μ m whiskers deflection, in blue 500 μ m, and in red 800 μ m.

Table 2. Mean SEP amplitudes for eight acquired traces under the three different whiskers stimulations (mean value $\mu V \pm SD$).

Surface electrode (ECoG 1-6)							
Whiskers deflections (μ m)	250	500	800				
Peak-to-peak amplitude	258.61 ± 52.73	248.53 ± 56.81	273.25 ± 48.85				

Table 3. Signal amplitudes for each 'intra'-cortical channel following eight whiskers stimulations and two recordings from spontaneous activity (mean value $\mu V \pm SD$).

Signal amplitudes	Intra 1	Intra 2	Intra 3	Intra 4	Intra 5
Stimulated activity Spontaneous activity	$\begin{array}{c} 851.9 \pm 153.7 \\ 458.7 \pm 92.7 \end{array}$	871 ± 159.6 524.3 ± 138.9	995.1 ± 280.1 557.0 ± 231.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1007.6 \pm 264.4 \\ 540.6 \pm 208.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1097.7 \pm 421.7 \\ 557.0 \pm 277.9 \end{array}$

As can be seen in figures 2 and 3, we are able to fabricate a variety of geometries depending on the electrophysiological study. In the example used to validate the platform (figure 3), the μ ECoG arrays were arranged on a slight convex curve. This arrangement was beneficial in two ways: (1) it improved the contact between the μ ECoG electrodes and

cortical surface and (2) it acted like a small spring, allowing the penetrating portion of the device to be inserted at varying depths without disturbing the position of the μ ECoG electrodes. Unlike other examples of combined epicortical and intracortical MEAs where the vertical distance between the penetrating and intracortical electrodes are fixed once the device is fabricated [33-35], the distance between the two sets of electrodes of this device can be altered during the implant surgery. Therefore, the reported design is less impacted by any variations between devices caused by fabrication or assembly, as the penetration depth is independent of the μ ECoG portion of the device and can be used for multiple experiments where different penetration depths may be required. As the platform is fabricated from the thin-film, flexible polymer polyimide, it lends itself well to chronic applications where large mechanical mismatches between the device and brain tissue may lead to chronic inflammation, the formation of a glial scar, and loss of device function [6]. In addition, the fact that the epicortical and intracortical arrays are integrated on a single device with one connector makes this device well suited for chronic applications by reducing the number of connections required.

Furthermore, the PEDOT-PSS-CNT coatings drastically decreased the impedance of the 30 μ m diameter μ ECoG and intracortical microelectrodes, guaranteeing an ideal electrode conductivity of miniaturized electrodes [32]. Both cortical and intracortical recordings would greatly benefit from reduction of the impedance of recording sites. In fact, a low impedance is fundamental to enhance the signal recording quality, reduce the background noise, and, consequently, increase the SNR ratio [55]. At the same time, a lower impedance with no dimensional increase enables the injection of relatively large capacitive currents whilst minimizing electrode degradation due to Faradaic effects, as reported in our previous study on similar PEDOT-PSS coated GC microelectrodes [8]. We used electrochemical deposition because it can be performed at room temperature and applied to an unconstrained variety of materials. We adopted GC as microelectrode material for its superior electrochemical properties and PEDOT-PSS because of its high conductivity and chemical stability. Further, a combination of GC and PEDOT-PSS offers an excellent adhesion better than Pt and PEDOT-PSS, as previously reported [8]. In particular, we reinforced PEDOT-PSS with CNTs because PEDOT-CNT composite outperforms plain PEDOT in terms of impedance reduction, effective surface area increase, conductivity, and mechanical stability, taking advantage of the excellent properties of CNTs [36-39]. In fact, our study confirmed that PEDOT-PSS-CNT composites remain attached to the GC microelectrodes before and after folding as well as after implantation, as supported by the impedance measurements that showed no noticeable changes (figure 6(b) and supplementary figure S3).

In summary, the recently introduced technologies [6–8] that allow for pattern transfer and the integration of GC electrodes into thin-film polymeric substrates allow us to exploit: (1) GC as microelectrode substrate and (2) flexible polymer polyimide as substrate. This provides a great advantage even compared to the state-of-the-art silicon MEMS technology, which allows to produce smaller devices, with precise and highly reproducible mechanical and geometric characteristics, but still using metal microelectrodes and a rigid substrate.

Lastly, the *in vivo* test has shown that the device was able to record neural signals with a high sensitivity to the physiology

of the specific cortex region where the electrodes were placed (i.e. the vibrissae region of S1). For ECoG, the response to variations of whiskers deflection amplitude was excellent, while for the penetrating electrodes, the waveform amplitudes of recorded high frequency are in good agreement with the anatomical characteristics of the neuronal population following the laminar structure of the primary somatosensory cortex [40–43].

5. Conclusions

In this study, we introduced a new microfabrication and pattern transfer technology that allows origami-style 3D GC MEMS structures supported on flexible polymeric substrates. These devices demonstrate the versatility of the technology, delivering a flexible, thin-film multipurpose platform that contains both surface (ECoG) and penetrating (intracortical) GC MEAs on a 3D device. The device can be batch-fabricated and is easily reproducible using pattern-transfer techniques. The platform can be fabricated in a variety of geometries and incorporates GC microelectrodes coated with PEDOT-PSS-CNT to achieve low impedance of the miniaturized electrodes. We tested the manufactured device in vivo to confirm that the shank was able to penetrate the brain tissue without buckling and that the μ ECoG arrays could adhere to the cortex without damaging it or interfering with the penetrating portion. We additionally validated the ability of the device to record neural activity from the vibrissae representation of the rat primary somatosensory cortex (S1). From the physiological point of view, the advantage of this platform is that the surface and penetrating electrode contact sites are equal in design, size, and material, allowing a much more reliable comparison between signals acquired epidurally and intracortically. In addition, the compact and simple design makes it suitable for chronic implants and long-term electrophysiological studies. In conclusion, the innovative platform presented here demonstrates that there is significant potential for GC-based thin-film technology to successfully interface with the brain in different configurations simultaneously from a single flexible sheet of polymeric substrate. Furthermore, we expect foreign body response to our thin and flexible integrated device during long-term implants to be less severe than the one arising after implanting stiff penetrating probes.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Alice Scarpellini of IIT (Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia) Electron Microscope Facilities for SEM support.

Funding

This work was supported by the Center for Sensorimotor Neural Engineering, a National Science Foundation Engineering Research Center [EEC-1028725], under the ERC program.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

ORCID iDs

Elisa Castagnola b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8070-4777 Emma Maggiolini b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9143-8993

References

- McCreery R L 2008 Advanced carbon electrode materials for molecular electrochemistry *Chem. Rev.* 108 2646–87
- [2] Schueller O J A, Brittain S T, Marzolin C and Whitesides G M 1997 Fabrication and characterization of glassy carbon MEMS *Chem. Mater.* 9 1399–406
- [3] Kassegne S *et al* 2012 Organic MEMS/NEMS-based highefficiency 3D ITO-less flexible photovoltaic cells *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 22 115015
- [4] Wang C, Jia G, Taherabadi L H and Madou M J 2005 A novel method for the fabrication of high-aspect ratio C-MEMS structures J. Microelectromech. Syst. 14 348–58
- [5] Ranganathan S, McCreey R, Majji S M and Madou M 2000 Photoresist-derived carbon for microelectromechanical systems and electrochemical applications *J. Electrochem Soc.* 147 277–82
- [6] Vomero M, van Niekerk P, Nguyen V, Gong N, Hirabayashi M, Cinopri A, Logan K, Moghadasi A, Varma P and Kassegne S 2016 A novel pattern transfer technique for mounting glassy carbon microelectrodes on polymeric flexible substrates *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 26 025018
- [7] Kassegne S, Vomero M, Gaguglio R, Hirabayashi M, Özyilmaz E, Nguyen S, Rodriguez J, Özyilmaz E, van Niekerk P and Khosla A 2015 Electrical impedance, electrochemistry, mechanical stiffness, and hardness tunability in glassy carbon MEMS μECoG electrodes *Microelectron. Eng.* 133 36–44
- [8] Vomero M, Castagnola E, Ciarpella F, Maggiolini E, Goshi N, Zucchini E, Carli S, Fadiga L, Kassegne S and Ricci D 2017 Highly stable glassy carbon interfaces for long-term neural stimulation and low-noise recording of brain activity *Nat. Sci. Rep.* 7 40332
- [9] Takeuchi S, Suzuki T, Mabuchi K and Fujita H 2004 3D flexible multichannel neural probe array *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 14 104–7
- Whitney J P, Sreetharan P S, Ma K Y and Wood R J 2011
 Pop-up book MEMS J. Micromech. Microeng. 21 115021
- [11] Zotov S A, Rivers M C, Trusov A A and Shkel A M 2011
 Folded MEMS pyramid inertial measurement unit *IEEE* Sens. J. 11 2780–9
- [12] Hui E E, Howe R T and Rodgers M S 2000 Single-step assembly of complex 3D microstructures *The 13th Ann. Int. Conf. on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems. MEMS 2000* pp 602–7
- [13] Siegel A C, Bruzewicz D A, Weibel D B and Whitesides G M 2007 Microsolidics: fabrication of three dimensional metallic microstructures in poly (dimethylsiloxane) Adv. Mater. 19 727–33
- [14] Buzsáki G 2004 Large-scale recording of neuronal ensembles Nat. Neurosci. 7 446–51
- [15] Palmer C 1978 A microwire technique for recording single neurons in unrestrained animals *Brain Res. Bull.* 3 285–9

- [16] Gray C M, Maldonado P E, Wilson M and McNaughton B 1995 Tetrodes markedly improve the reliability and yield of
- multiple single-unit isolation from multi-unit recordings in cat striate cortex *J. Neurosci. Methods* 63 43–54
 [17] Campbell P K, Jones K E, Huber R J, Horch K W and Normann R A 1991 A silicon-based, three-dimensional
- neural interface: manufacturing processes for an intracortical electrode array *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **38** 758–68
- [18] Polikov V S, Tresco P A and Reichert W M 2005 Response of brain tissue to chronically implanted neural electrodes J. *Neurosci. Methods* 148 1–18
- [19] Leuthardt E C, Schalk G, Wolpaw J R, Ojemann J G and Moran D W 2004 A brain–computer interface using electrocorticographic signals in humans J. Neural Eng. 1 63
- [20] Rubehn B, Bosman C, Oostenveld R, Fries P and Stieglitz T 2009 A MEMS-based flexible multichannel ECoGelectrode array J. Neural Eng. 6 036003
- [21] Viventi J et al 2011 Flexible, foldable, actively multiplexed, high-density electrode array for mapping brain activity in vivo Nat. Neurosci. 14 1599–605
- [22] Hotson G et al 2016 Individual finger control of a modular prosthetic limb using high-density electrocorticography in a human subject J. Neural Eng. 13 026017
- [23] Castagnola E et al 2015 PEDOT-CNT-coated low-impedance, ultra-flexible, and brain-conformable μECoG arrays IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng. 23 342–50
- [24] Khodagholy D et al 2013 In vivo recordings of brain activity using organic transistors Nat. Commun. 4 1575
- [25] Khodagholy D, Gelinas J N, Thesen T, Doyle W, Devinsky O, Malliaras G G and Buzsáki G 2015 NeuroGrid: recording action potentials from the surface of the brain *Nat. Neurosci.* 18 310–5
- [26] Schalk G and Leuthardt E C 2011 Brain–computer interfaces using electrocorticographic signals *IEEE Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 4 140–54
- [27] Ritaccio A, Brunner P, Cervenka M C, Crone N, Guger C, Leuthardt E, Oostenveld R, Stacey W and Schalk G 2010 Proceedings of the first international workshop on advances in electrocorticography *Epilepsy Behav.* **19** 204–15
- [28] Ritaccio A et al 2014 Proceedings of the 5th international workshop on advances in electrocorticography Epilepsy Behav. 41 183–92
- [29] Toda H, Suzuki T, Sawahata H, Majima K, Kamitani Y and Hasegawa I 2011 Simultaneous recording of ECoG and intracortical neuronal activity using a flexible multichannel electrode-mesh in visual cortex *Neuroimage* 54 203–12
- [30] Watanabe H, Sakatani T, Suzuki T, Sato M A, Nishimura Y, Nambu A, Kawato M and Isa T 2014 Reconstruction of intracortical whisker-evoked local field potential from electrocorticogram using a model trained for spontaneous activity in the rat barrel cortex *Neurosci. Res.* 87 40–8
- [31] Baker S N, Curio G and Roger N L 2003 EEG oscillations at 600 Hz are macroscopic markers for cortical spike bursts J. Physiol. 550 529–34
- [32] Watanabe H, Sato M A, Suzuki T, Nambu A, Nishimura Y, Kawato M and Isa T 2012 Reconstruction of movementrelated intracortical activity from micro-electrocorticogram array signals in monkey primary motor cortex *J. Neural Eng.* 9 036006
- [33] Márton G, Orbán G, Kiss M, Fiáth R, Pongrácz A and Ulbert I 2015 A multimodal, SU-8-platinum-polyimide microelectrode array for chronic *in vivo* neurophysiology *PloS One* 10 e0145307
- [34] Xiang Z, Yen S C, Sheshadri S, Xue N, Lee S H, Wang J, Thakor N V and Lee C 2015 Flexible and self-adaptive neural ribbon with three-dimensional electrodes for sciatic nerve recording *Conf. Proc. IEEE Engineering in Medicine* and Biology Society pp 3157–60

- [35] Xiang Z, Liu J and Lee C 2016 A flexible three-dimensional electrode mesh: an enabling technology for wireless brain–computer interface prostheses *Microsyst. Nanoeng.* 2 16012
- [36] Green R A, Williams C M, Lovell N H and Poole-Warren L A 2008 Novel neural interface for implant electrodes: improving electroactivity of polypyrrole through MWCNT incorporation J. Mater. Sci. 19 1625–9
- [37] Gerwig R, Fuchsberger K, Schroeppel B, Link G S, Heusel G, Kraushaar U, Schuhmann W, Stett A and Stelzle M 2012 PEDOT–CNT composite microelectrodes for recording and electrostimulation applications: fabrication, morphology, and electrical properties *Front. Neuroeng.* 5 8
- [38] Castagnola E et al 2013 Ultra-flexible and brain-conformable micro-electrocorticography device with low impedance PEDOT-carbon nanotube coated microelectrodes 2013 6th Int. IEEE/EMBS Conf. on Neural Engineering (NER) (San Diego, CA, 6–8 November 2013) pp 927–30
- [39] Chen S, Pei W, Gui Q, Tang R, Chen Y, Zhao S, Wang H and Chen H 2013 PEDOT/MWCNT composite film coated microelectrode arrays for neural interface improvement Sensors Actuators A 193 141–8
- [40] Chapin J K 1986 Laminar differences in sizes, shapes and response profiles of cutaneous receptive fields in the rat S1 cortex *Exp. Brain Res.* 62 549–59
- [41] Simons D J 1978 Response properties of vibrissa units in rat SI somatosensory neocortex J. Neurophysiol. 41 798–820
- [42] Petersen C C H 2007 The functional organization of the barrel cortex *Neuron* 56 339–55
- [43] Carvell G E and Simons D J 1998 Membrane potential changes in rat SmI cortical neurons evoked by controlled stimulation of mystacial vibrissae *Brain Res.* 448 186–91
- [44] Winnie J, Yoshida K and Hofmann U G 2006 In-vivo implant mechanics of flexible, silicon-based ACREO microelectrode arrays in rat cerebral cortex *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 53 934–40
- [45] Fujifilm 2012 Durimide[®] 7500 Photosensitive Polyimide Precursor *Technical Product Information* Revised May, 2012 (https://fujifilmusa.com/shared/bin/Durimide%20 7500_US12.pdf)
- [46] Jeon M, Cho J, Kim Y K, Jung D, Yoon E-S, Shin S and Cho I-J 2014 Partially flexible MEMS neural probe

composed of polyimide and sucrose gel for reducing brain damage during and after implantation *J. Micromech. Microeng.* **24** 025010

- [47] Stieglitz T, Beutel H, Schuettler M and Meyer J-U 2000 Micromachined, polyimide-based devices for flexible neural interfaces *Biomed. Microdevices* 2 283–94
- [48] Bossi S, Kammer S, Doerge T, Menciassi A, Hoffmann K P and Micera S 2008 Prototype development of an actuated intraneural electrode *IFESS 2008-From Movement to Mind*, 13th Annual Int. FES Society. Conf. (Friburgo, Germania, 21–25 Settembre)
- [49] Jiang X, Sui X, Lu Y, Yan Y, Zhou C, Li L, Ren Q and Chai X 2013 *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of a photosensitive polyimide thin-film microelectrode array suitable for epiretinal stimulation *J. NeuroEng. Rehabil.* 10 48
- [50] Lacour S P, Atta R, FitzGeraldc J J, Blamire M, Tarte E and Fawcett J 2008 Polyimide micro-channel arrays for peripheral nerve regenerative implants *Sensors Actuators* A 147 456–63
- [51] Sun Y, Lacour S P, Brooks R A, Rushton N, Fawcett J and Cameron R E 2009 Assessment of the biocompatibility of photosensitive polyimide for implantable medical device use J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A 90 648–55
- [52] Paxinos G and Watson C 2007 The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates 6th edn (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- [53] Castagnola E, Ansaldo A, Maggiolini E, Angotzi G N, Skrap M, Ricci D and Fadiga L 2013 Biologically compatible neural interface to safely couple nanocoated electrodes to the surface of the brain ACS Nano 7 3887–95
- [54] Castagnola E, Maggiolini E, Ceseracciu L, Ciarpella F, Zucchini E, De Faveri S, Fadiga L and Ricci D 2016 pHEMA encapsulated PEDOT-PSS-CNT microsphere microelectrodes for recording single unit activity in the brain *Front. Neurosci.* 10 151
- [55] Mesgarani N, Cheung C, Johnson K and Chang E F 2014 Phonetic feature encoding in human superior temporal gyrus *Science* 343 1006–10
- [56] Castagnola E, Ansaldo A, Maggiolini T, Ius E, Skrap M, Ricci D and Fadiga L 2014 Smaller, softer, lowerimpedance electrodes for human neuroprosthesis: a pragmatic approach *Front. Neuroeng.* 7 (https://doi. org/10.3389/fneng.2014.00008)