Investigations of heterogeneous ultrathin blends using lateral force microscopy
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SUMMARY: In order to study the glass transition of thin film polymer blends, high spatial resolution and temperature sensitivity is needed. In this paper, we emphasize the importance of the calibration of scanning parameters such as load and speed when measuring the glass transition temperature of polymers using lateral force microscopy. Once calibrated, this method is ideal for investigations of heterogeneous samples such as blends and co-polymers. We present an analysis technique for lateral force imaging using a fast and stable cooling/heating stage. This approach involves mapping the friction forces over a certain area and identifying regions of different frictional properties. The difference in the average friction force can then be plotted as a function of temperature. The friction force is expected to vary around the glass transition. Therefore, the glass transition temperature can be defined as the temperature at which the difference in the average friction force undergoes a slope change. We present investigations of blends using polystyrene mixed with poly(butylmethacrylate). The transition temperatures obtained are in good agreement with the bulk values of corresponding homopolymeric films.

Introduction

Surface structure and properties of thin polymeric films are of interest for many technological applications. In particular, blends and copolymers have assumed a central role thanks to the possibility of tailoring surface properties. 1 When cast in the shape of thin films, polymers present a wide spectrum of spinodal decomposition, from large tridimensional domains to lamellae, from micelles to cylindrical features. 2-4 Therefore, high-resolution imaging is crucial to measure material properties of these thin film polymers.

In recent years, thin homopolymeric films have been intensively investigated by scanning force microscopy (SFM). Since its inception, SFM has proved very successful in performing investigations on the nanoscale thanks to the size of the probing tip. 5 Using shear modulation SFM, the glass transition temperature can be accurately determined for thin film polymers. 6 In this technique, a lateral modulation is applied between the tip and sample and the amplitude of the response is measured as a function of temperature. Although this is a powerful technique for measuring temperature dependent transitions, the measurement is meaningful only if the surface is homogeneous. In the literature, there are not many attempts to tackle the issue of heterogeneous samples. One method is based on measuring the pull-off force as a function of the temperature. This method was proven useful in measuring the transition of pure polystyrene (PS) films of various molecular weights, 7 and can be extended to heterogenous materials by mapping the topography and pull-off force over a defined area.

In this paper, we will demonstrate that lateral force microscopy (LFM) can provide accurate measurements of the composition and material properties of phase separated components. Friction force measurements are performed via scanning the tip back and forth over long distances. 8-10 The friction force is obtained by subtraction of the lateral forces measured in the two directions. Therefore, it is an average measurement and unknown offsets and possible influences of topography are canceled out during subtraction. LFM will be used to measure changes in friction on heterogeneous samples as a function of temperature. Using a delta friction method, the transition temperatures obtained will be compared to the bulk values and to the values obtained for homopolymeric thin films. We will also discuss the influence of the scan conditions such as load and velocity on friction and pull-off force detection.
Experimental

Our SFM is a commercial system (Explorer, Thermomicroscopes, Inc.) where the cantilever position is controlled by linearized x, y, and z scanners. The sample holder was modified to house a cooling - heating stage (model R2700-2, MMR Technologies Inc.) The temperature can be varied in the range between 220 to 450 K with a precise control of $\pm 0.5 \text{ K}$. This cooling system is based on rapid gas expansion (Joule Thomson effect) and heating is controlled using an integrated thermoelectric device. The entire instrument is enclosed in a glove box that is flooded with dry nitrogen. Using this environmental chamber, a relative humidity less than 5% can be routinely achieved.

The samples were prepared by spin-casting polymer solutions from toluene onto silicon substrates treated in dilute hydrofluoric acid solution. The glass transition temperature, $T_g$, for the bulk polymer was determined by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and compared to shear modulation measurements on thick (>100 nm) homopolymeric films. For relatively thick films (> 100 nm), we found very good agreement between the shear modulation measurement and the bulk values obtained by DSC. Measurements of friction as a function of temperature were conducted on thin films of polystyrene (PS) ($M_w$ 22.2 k, Polymer Source, Inc). Shear modulation measurements were taken on thick PS films ($M_w$ 90 k, Polymer Source, Inc). Polymer blends of polystyrene (PS) and poly-butyl methacrylate (PBMA) were measured. PBMA ($M_w$ 104k, Polymer Source, Inc) and PS ($M_w$ 670k, Polymer Source, Inc) were mixed into a 50% : 50% film which was 200 nm thick. DSC measurements on the bulk homopolymers indicated the $T_g$ of PBMA and PS to 305 K and 373 K, respectively.

Our experimental approach to measuring the transition temperatures of the heterogeneous films consists of acquiring forward and backward LFM images. Then, a friction image is obtained by subtracting one image from another. This is made possible thanks to the high linearity of our scanning actuator. By analyzing histograms of the friction image, regions of different frictional properties can be identified and a value for the average friction force for each region obtained, the ‘delta friction force” ($\Delta$friction). LFM images are acquired at different
temperatures and the $\Delta$friction is plotted as a function of temperature. The glass transition temperature is defined as the temperature at which $\Delta$friction changes in slope.

**Results and Discussion**

**Critical Transitions Determined by Lateral Force Microscopy**

Figure 1 shows a plot of the friction force as a function of temperature for three loads taken a thick film of PS. It demonstrates the necessity of calibrating scanning parameters when using lateral force microscopy. The scan length was 5 $\mu$m with a speed of 5 $\mu$m/s. At low loads, the friction force remains constant over a wide range of temperatures even above $T_g$. At high loads, a transition is observed at 373 K. As the load is decreased, the transition temperature is observed to increase. At low loads (12 nN), deformation of the sample was not observed on the investigated area. At high loads (250 nN), plastic deformation was observed, i.e., bundles were created and became more pronounced above $T_g$. At intermediate loads (80 nN), deformation was not observed below $T_g$ but was apparent above the transition temperature. Similar shifts in the transition temperature have been also observed with scanning velocity. The measured transition temperature will be defined as $T_C$ in order to differentiate it from the glass transition.

From figure 1, it is evident that a $T_C$ can be observed when working at high and intermediate loads. For high load, $T_C$ corresponds well with the $T_g$ taken on the bulk polymer. From shear modulation measurements taken on thick homopolymer films, the glass transition temperature corresponds to bulk $T_g$ measurements on the polymer. The shift $T_C$ for lower loads is controlled by the relaxation time of the sample. For example, at low loads or high scanning velocities, the cantilever tip is no longer sensitive to variations at the surface. A similar effect is observed when a magician pulls a tablecloth from underneath a setting of plates and cups; at the right speed, the magician can exert a force on the tablecloth such that its movement does not effect the cups and plates. At low loads, although the polymer goes through a glass transition, due to the speed of the tip over the surface and the load applied, the force on the tip is not affected by the transition.
Figure 1: Friction force vs. temperature for three loads. The scan distance is 5 µm and the scan speed is 5 µm/s. At low loads, no apparent transition is observed. For high loads, a transition is observed at 374 K, which corresponds to the bulk T_g of the polymer. For intermediate loads, the transition temperature is shifted to increasing temperatures due to the effect of the relaxation time of the polymer. At high loads, the polymer responds quickly to the load applied by the tip; however, as low loads, the scan speed is fast enough that the tip does not sense the changes in the polymer. This low load effect is similar to a magician pulling a tablecloth from under a table setting of cups and dishes.

Many have attributed changes in the transition temperature due to pressure exerted by the tip acting as hydrostatic pressure. However, this is in contradiction to what is observed in our measurement. Since the effect of a hydrostatic pressure would lead to an increase in the transition temperature with increasing load, we can exclude contributions due to pressure exerted by the tip. In fact, since the contact area is small compared to the scan length, the dwell time over a specific area is short and lasts only a few milliseconds per cycle. Thus for the remaining scan cycle, the molecules are unconstrained and can relax. In addition, due to creep and thermal drift, it is quite unlikely that the tip ever passes the same molecules twice. Therefore, it is more likely that the observed shift in transition temperature is due to the time dependence of the viscoelastic contact between tip and sample.
Based on the viscoelastic contact and a step loading variation, we can describe the contact area as:

\[ a^3(t) = \frac{3RL_0}{8} \Phi(t), \]  

(1)

where \( L_0 \) is the load at time zero, \( R \) is the radius of the tip, and \( \Phi(t) \) is the creep function derived by assuming an appropriate viscoelastic model. Using this equation, we can describe the system with a delayed elastic model below \( T_g \) and a steady creep model for the rubbery state above \( T_g \).

\[ a^3(t) = \frac{3RL_0}{8} \left( \frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2}(1-e^{-t/t_c}) \right), \]  

(2)

\[ a^3(t) = \frac{3RL_0}{8} \left( \frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{\eta_r}t \right), \]  

(3)

where \( \eta_g \) and \( \eta_r \) are the viscosity constants below and above \( T_g \), \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are two constants characteristic of the material, and \( t_c \) is equal to \( \eta_g/E_2 \). We will take \( E_1 = E_2 = 3 \) GPa and assume that \( E_1 \) does not change at \( T_g \). In the range of temperature we explored, the main difference is represented by a large change in viscosity, as it emerges from macroscopic measurements. 13

Calibration of Lateral Force Microscopy Measurements With Shear Modulation

As discussed above, LFM-intrinsic parameters demand sample and probe specific calibration in order to determine actual glass transition temperatures. Such an in situ calibration method has been found with shear modulation microscopy. This stationary (non scanning) technique allows the determination of \( T_g \) at any location of the sample surface.6,14 Combined with the LFM approach, it offers a very powerful tool to measure phase transitions of heterogeneous surfaces such as blends. The shear modulation technique is briefly described here.

Figure 2 shows a typical example of a shear modulation measurement as a function of temperature. An increase in the response occurs at 373 K. The intersection of the linear fits is defined as \( T_g \) and corresponds well with the bulk \( T_g \) of the polymer taken using DSC. The basic principle of this technique uses a sinusoidal signal applied to the lateral motion of the
piezo (x-direction). This induces an oscillatory local perturbation of the sample surface. The amplitude of modulation is chosen below the stick-slip threshold, therefore there is no sliding between the cantilever tip and sample. The tip is kept in contact with the sample surface using a force feedback loop. The amplitude shift of the modulation response is acquired as a function of temperature.

Figure 2: Shear modulation amplitude vs. temperature. A typical shear modulation curve taken on a thick films (475 nm) of polystyrene (M₆ 90k). Increase in the amplitude response is seen at 373 K, which corresponds with the bulk transition taken with differential scanning calorimetry. Shear modulation measurements provide accurate determination of the local surface glass transition temperature of thin film polymers. Shear modulation results on thin film homopolymers were used to calibrate lateral force measurements on the polymer films.

Let us assume the shear modulation experiment can be modeled as two springs set in series with spring constants, kₛ and kₖ, representing the stiffness of the sample and the cantilever, respectively. The one-dimensional spring constant of the sample, kₛ, is proportional to the shear modulus. Assuming fully elastic deformation during the shear process, Hooke’s law applies, i.e.,
where $k^*$ is the overall spring constant of the system, and $\Delta x_s$ is the sample deformation. In contact mechanics, the quality of the contact, i.e., the contact stiffness, $k_s$, is crucial, Eq. (4). The contact stiffness is responsible for the energy transport between cantilever and sample. The contact stiffness can be expressed for an adhesive elastic sphere-plane contact as

$$k_c = 8Ga; \quad a^3 = \frac{3R}{4E} \left( L + 6\pi\gamma R + \sqrt{12\pi\gamma L + (6\pi\gamma)^2} \right),$$

with the contact radius, $a$, the radius of the spherical tip, $R$, the Young’s and shear moduli of the sample, $E$ and $G$, respectively, the externally applied load, $L$, and the interfacial energy per unit area, $\gamma$. To simplify the discussion, let us set $L=0$, which will not affect the following qualitative conclusions. We introduce the Poisson’s ratio, $\nu$, as

$$G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}.$$

Combining equation (10) and (11), the contact stiffness is rewritten as

$$k_c = 8\left( \frac{9}{2} \pi \gamma (R G(\omega))^2 \right)^{1/3},$$

where $G(\omega)$ represents the frequency dependent shear modulus. From equation (7), we can infer that the significant changes in the contact stiffness in Figure 2 are due to changes in the interfacial interaction strength, the radius of curvature, and the Poisson’s ratio.

**Transition Temperature Measurements of Polymer Blends**

Figure 3 shows lateral force images of a 50/50 blend of PBMA (104k) and PS (670k) at various temperatures. DSC measurements indicate the $T_g$ of PBMA and PS as 305K and 373K, respectively. Clear phase separations are apparent from the frictional images. Topographical images, not shown here, are similar to the frictional images and show a flat background with circular depressions. The temperature was increased from 300 to 340K in 20 degree increments (Fig. 3a-3c), the sample was then cooled to 300K (Fig. 3d). Initially frictional contrast is negligible. However, once the temperature is increased above the $T_g$ of
PBMA, the frictional contrast between valleys and the background also increases. As the temperature is increased further, the contrast between the depression and its background is greatly enhanced. Once the sample is cooled to room temperature, the initial contrast was restored.

Figure 3: The first sample is a blend made of 50% PBMA and 50% PS. Friction images are reported for increasing temperatures. The round features, which change in contrast with increasing temperature, are shown as shallow depressions on topography images. The friction forces in these depressions increase as the temperature is increased above the \( T_g \) of PBMA. Figure 3d was acquired at 300 K after the sample was cooled from its heating cycle. The initial frictional contrast, as well as the friction value, is restored at room temperature, proving the reproducibility of this technique.

In Figure 4, the \( \Delta \)friction force, as described in the experimental section, is plotted. A clear transition occurs at 305 K, corresponding to the \( T_g \) of PBMA. As the temperature increased,
Δfriction also increases. Once the sample is cooled to room temperature, the original friction value is measured on the sample as shown in Figure 4 with filled circles. From our results, we find that the depressions in the sample consist of PBMA while the background is made of PS.
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Figure 4: Δfriction as a function of temperature. An increase in the Δfriction value is observed at 305 K, which corresponds to the bulk T_g of PBMA. The change in the friction value in the round depressions is determined to be a response to changes in the PBMA phase. The reproducibility of the results is shown by the restoration of Δfriction near room temperature taken after the sample had been cooled.

This blend is an excellent test of our technique. The domains of the two phases were sufficiently large so that possible lateral confinement effects could be excluded, and we were able to easily distinguish one phase from the other by simply measuring the friction force at a certain temperatures. By using our Δfriction approach, we were able identify the exact regions undergoing the phase transition and utilized this information to identify the
composition of different phases. In addition, the observed transitions in Δfriction are in good agreement with the values obtained for the bulk polymers. Furthermore, the measurements are reproducible as shown by the restoration of the initial friction contrast and Δfriction values. Further outlook for this technique is in the application of heterogeneous samples to study the effects of lateral confinement through phase separation such as in emulsions.

Conclusions

In this paper, we report that calibration of scanning parameters, such as load and velocity, are crucial for lateral force microscopy measurements. For example, at low loads or high scanning velocities, the cantilever tip is no longer sensitive to variations at the surface. A similar effect is observed when a magician pulls a tablecloth from underneath a setting of plates and cups; at the right speed, the magician can exert force on the tablecloth that its movement does not effect the cups and plates. Without proper calibration of the scanning parameters, artifacts in the transition temperature are observed, 11 however with proper calibration, lateral force microscopy is a successful tool for studying heterogeneous surfaces.

It was demonstrated that different polymer phases are distinguishable by frictional imaging at various temperatures. In addition, with the application of our Δfriction method, it was possible to identify the composition of each phase. We conclude that lateral force microscopy is useful in studying simple systems such as homogeneous films once calibration of load and velocity parameters are made. However, its strength lies in its ability to measure complex systems such as temperature sensitive emulsions with high spatial resolution using Δfriction imaging and analysis.
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