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Context: During the past 2 decades, a major transition in the clinical characterization of psychotic disorders has occurred. The construct of a clinical high-risk (HR) state for psychosis has evolved to capture the prespsychotic phase, describing people presenting with potentially prodromal symptoms. The importance of this HR state has been increasingly recognized to such an extent that a new syndrome is being considered as a diagnostic category in the DSM-5.

Objective: To reframe the HR state in a comprehensive state-of-the-art review on the progress that has been made while also recognizing the challenges that remain.

Data Sources: Available HR research of the past 20 years from PubMed, books, meetings, abstracts, and international conferences.

Study Selection and Data Extraction: Critical review of HR studies addressing historical development, inclusion criteria, epidemiologic research, transition criteria, outcomes, clinical and functional characteristics, neurocognition, neuroimaging, predictors of psychosis development, treatment trials, socioeconomic aspects, nosography, and future challenges in the field.

Data Synthesis: Relevant articles retrieved in the literature search were discussed by a large group of leading worldwide experts in the field. The core results are presented after consensus and are summarized in illustrative tables and figures.

Conclusions: The relatively new field of HR research in psychosis is exciting. It has the potential to shed light on the development of major psychotic disorders and to alter their course. It also provides a rationale for service provision to those in need of help who could not previously access it and the possibility of changing trajectories for those with vulnerability to psychotic illnesses.

In our opinion, prevention of psychosis in the prespsychotic precursor stages is possible.

GERD HUBER, 1987

During the past 2 decades, a transition in the clinical characterization of psychotic disorders has occurred. The construct of a clinical high-risk state for psychosis (hereinafter: HR) (also known as the “at-risk mental state” [ARMS], “prodromal,” and “ultra-high-risk” [UHR] state) has evolved to capture the prespsychotic phase, describing people presenting with potentially prodromal symptoms. The importance of this HR stage of psychosis has been increasingly recognized to such an extent that an attenuated psychosis syndrome is being considered as a new diagnostic category in the DSM-5. This category was introduced with the goal of developing treatments for prevention of psychotic disorders. However, its role as a diagnosis is being debated. This new conceptualization of the HR state would see indicated prevention of psychotic disorder as just one of many treatment outcomes. Prodromal symptoms and signs of psychosis are, thus, considered pleiotropic and are related to several potential outcomes, including the development of nonpsychotic disorders, rather than being unique to psychotic disorders. Thus, the proposed syndrome in the forthcoming DSM-5 can be considered analogous to chest pain (a condition requiring diagnosis and treatment and
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possibly indicating myocardial infarction but also a sign of possible pulmonary embolism, pneumothorax, panic attack, or gastroesophageal reflux) rather than to hyperlipidemia (an asymptomatic risk factor for myocardial infarction).

Ideally, in early detection and intervention of psychosis, we would like to prevent or postpone the psychotic onset. If disease-modifying therapies or effective lifestyle preventive interventions were available, these could be started before any clinical signs appear. However, these treatments are not on the immediate horizon.17 Thus, the HR construct serves as a clinical stage in which further research is warranted. The objective of this article is to provide a comprehensive state-of-the-art review of the progress that has been made, while also recognizing the challenges that remain, based on the contributions of the leading experts in the field of prodromal psychosis.

METHODS

Relevant articles from the past 20 years retrieved in the literature search (PubMed, books, meetings, abstracts, and international conferences) were critically reviewed by worldwide experts in the field. Results are presented after consensus and are summarized in illustrative tables and figures.

RESULTS

HISTORY

Although early symptoms of psychosis have long been recognized,18 the term prodromal was first introduced by Mayer-Gross in 1932. It formally appeared in PubMed literature in 1989 in a pioneering work by Huber and Gross,20 who, influenced by Mayer-Gross’ observations, first described basic symptoms (BS) in the 1960s and initiated the first prospective early detection study in the 1980s. In 1989, Häfner et al,21 for the first time, examined the prodrome on a representative population of 232 first-admitted psychosis patients of a large catchment area in and around Mannheim, Germany, in the ABC (Age, Begin, and Course of Schizophrenia) study.22-24 It was shown that in 73% of all the patients, the disorder began with a prodromal phase, which lasted, on average, 5 years.23,24

In 1991, Jackson and McGorry26 started reliability studies to assess first-episode patients via a semistructured interview to determine the presence or absence of the prodromal symptoms. On the basis of this work, Yung et al27 established the first clinical service for potentially prodromal individuals (1995) and began investigating the predictive validity of the prospectively defined ARMS criteria, developing the first UHR psychometric instrument. These and other investigations of early detection and intervention in the prodrome and first-episode psychosis were the subject of an early collection of articles on this topic.27,28

In the following years, in the United States, Miller and McGlashan30 developed a similar psychometric instrument for quantitatively rating symptom severity for patients at UHR for psychosis.32 In Europe, the further development of the BS approach for identifying individuals at HR was grounded in the diagnostic validation of a scale for the assessment of BS (1996),33 as implemented by the investigations of the group led by Klosterkötter et al. All the previously mentioned preliminary investigations have put forth operationalized HR diagnostic criteria, as outlined in Table 1 and described in the following subsection. These criteria have been the subject of a great deal of study and validation, as well as criticism. The explosion of interest in the literature has been remarkable, as characterized in Figure 1.

HR CRITERIA

The diagnostic flowchart of HR individuals is depicted in Figure 2. Two broad sets of criteria have been used to diagnose the HR state: UHR and BS criteria (Table 1). These criteria are used in help-seeking individuals aged 8 to 40 years.

The UHR criteria have been the most widely applied in the literature to date.6,42-48 Inclusion requires the presence of 1 or more of the following: attenuated psychotic symptoms (APS), brief limited intermittent psychotic episode (BLIP), and trait vulnerability plus a marked decline in psychosocial functioning (genetic risk and deterioration syndrome [GRD]) and unspecified prodromal symptoms (UPS). Different interview measures have been developed to assess UHR features and to determine whether individuals meet the previously mentioned criteria: the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental State (CAARMS), the Structured Interview for Prodromal Symptoms (SIPS) (including the companion Scale of Prodromal Symptoms [SOPS]), the Early Recognition Inventory for the Retrospective Assessment of the Onset of Schizophrenia (ERIraos), and the Basel Screening Instrument for Psychosis (BSIP). The CAARMS was developed by Yung et al49 at the Personal Assessment and Crisis Evaluation clinic in Melbourne and has been widely used in Australia, Asia, and Europe. The ERIraos was developed by Häfner et al50 to assess schizophrenia onset, and it is used in some German and Italian studies. The BSIP was developed in the Early Detection of Psychosis Clinic in Basel by Riecher-Rössler et al.50 It differs slightly from the other 2 instruments by also including a fourth at-risk category of individuals with certain combinations of risk factors and UPS.51 Miller et al52 developed the SIPS/SOPS, which have become the instruments most used in North America and Europe. A self-rating prodromal screening questionnaire has also been developed and validated.53

Basic symptoms (BS) are subjectively experienced disturbances of different domains, including perception, thought processing, language, and attention, that are distinct from classic psychotic symptoms in that they are independent of abnormal thought content and reality testing and insight into the symptoms’ psychopathologic nature is intact.54 Studied prospectively in several studies,45,55-58 BS were originally assessed using the Bonn Scale for the Assessment of Basic Symptoms (BSABS)56,59 and, more recently, the Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, adult version (SPI-A)59 and child and youth version (SPI-CY).60 A special version for children and ado-
lescents seemed necessary to allow for developmental issues and a distinct clustering of symptoms in this age group. Besides a variety of subjective disturbances in affect, drive, stress tolerance, and body perception, these instruments focus on self-perceived cognitive and perceptual changes, ultimately clustered in partially overlapping subsets relating to the COPER criteria (10 cognitive-perceptive BS) and the COGDIS criteria (the 9 cognitive BS that are the most predictive of later psychosis). Because the UHR and BS criteria relate to complementary sets of clinical features, with the BS criteria perhaps identifying an earlier prodromal state and the UHR criteria reflecting a somewhat later phase, there is an increasing tendency for centers to use both when assessing HR individuals. Furthermore, the simultaneous presence of UHR and COGDIS seems to be associated with higher transition risks. In the German Research Network on Schizophrenia, which introduced the BS/UHR-based 2-stage model of early and late risk, the ERIraos has been used to assess UHR and BS criteria. The assumed natural history of the HR state and the model of psychosis onset developed according to the previously mentioned criteria are depicted in Figure 3.
As all HR criteria rely on help-seeking individuals, the prevalence of the HR state in the general population is unknown. The available epidemiologic research, based on fully structured lay person interviews and questionnaires for the assessment of psychotic symptoms, which have been shown to generate results different from those of clinical interviews, estimates a prevalence of approximately 4% to 8% for psychotic symptoms or psychotic-like experiences: such symptoms may be associated with a degree of distress and help-seeking behavior but do not necessarily amount to clinical psychotic disorder. However, studies in children have indicated that even frank psychotic symptoms occur in nearly every 10th child but frequently possess little clinical relevance and remit without intervention. Research is needed to examine whether current at-risk criteria must be tailored to the special needs of children. A pilot to a currently conducted study assessing HR criteria according to the SIPS and BS criteria on the telephone by trained clinicians found much lower prevalence risks of 2% in 16- to 40-year-olds. Another recent study in a general population...
loration sample of 212 adolescents who attend school (aged 11-13 years) estimated that up to 8% met the APS criteria for a risk syndrome according to the SIPS criteria, whereas only 1% did so when also considering the CAARMS disability criterion. Notably, 89% of adolescents with any APS reported distress caused by them. Thus, approximately 6.9% in this adolescent population fulfilled the APS and the distress criterion necessary to diagnose the proposed DSM-5 syndrome (see later herein).

TRANSITION CRITERIA

In the HR literature, a variety of criteria have been used to define the transition to psychosis. Typically, these criteria are based on the definition given by Yung et al. They require the occurrence of at least 1 fully positive psychotic symptom several times a week for more than 1 week. Similarly, the SIPS criteria require the presence of at least 1 fully positive psychotic symptom several times per week for at least 1 month or at least 1 fully psychotic symptom for at least 1 day if this symptom is seriously disorganizing or dangerous (Table 1).

OUTCOMES

A key concept to emerge from work in this area is that although people with potentially prodromal features are at greatly increased risk for a psychotic disorder, mostly in a relatively short period, less than 40% will actually develop one. The risk of transition to psychosis in samples of HR individuals has varied between studies, with declining risks in recent years. In a recent meta-analysis of approximately 2500 HR individuals, it was shown that there was a mean (95% CI) transition risk, independent of the psychometric instruments used, of 18% (12%-25%) at 6 months of follow-up, 22% (17%-28%) at 1 year, 29% (23%-36%) at 2 years, 32% (24%-35%) at 3 years, and 36% (30%-43%) after 3 years. (Figure 4). In individuals who will later transition to psychosis, most will develop a DSM/ICD schizophrenia spectrum disorder. Possible causes of the apparent decline in transition risks include (1) treatment of HR patients preventing or delaying psychosis onset; (2) a lead-time bias, that is, earlier detection resulting in transitions seemingly occurring later; and (3) a dilution effect, that is, more "false-positives" who are not really at risk being referred to HR services, possibly as a result of these services and their intake criteria becoming more well-known.

To date, transition estimates in the HR state have been made in samples of help-seeking individuals who were referred because they were distressed and impaired and, thus, have a higher risk of psychosis with the need for care than do those in the general population. The number of individuals in the community who meet HR criteria remains unknown (as noted previously herein), and the available instruments are not indicated for screening in the general population. In addition, little is known about the outcome in the group of HR individuals who do not convert to psychosis, as few studies provide the characteristics of these individuals. In the largest study published to date, to our knowledge, the nonconverting group demonstrated significant improvement in attenuated positive symptoms, negative symptoms, and social and role functioning. However, this group remained, on average, at a lower level of functioning than did nonpsychiatric comparison subjects, suggesting that initial prodromal categorization is associated with persistent disability for a significant proportion. Furthermore, retrospective studies of patients with schizophrenia have found that some individuals develop a prodrome-like syndrome that resolves (an "outpost" syndrome) only to develop full-blown schizophrenia some time later. In the absence of long-term follow-up data in the HR literature, it remains unclear in what proportion of these nonconverters the improvement will be permanent or only temporary. A recently completed 15-year follow-up study found that HR individuals continued to develop psychotic disorder up to 10 years after initial presentation, which suggests that outpost syndromes may be a possibility in a subset of individuals. This long-term perspective may, therefore, be in line with the 9.6-year 65% (COPER) to 79% (COGDIS) transition risk in BS studies.

CLINICAL AND FUNCTIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

In addition to HR symptoms, people who meet the criteria for HR in help-seeking populations usually present with other clinical concerns. Many have comorbid diagnoses, in particular anxiety, depression, and substance use disorders, that are clinically debilitating. High levels of negative symptoms, significant impairments in academic performance and occupational functioning, and difficulties with interpersonal relationships and substantially compromised subjective quality of life are often observed. The experience of HR symptoms per se is also associated with a marked impairment in psychosocial functioning which appears as a core feature of...
A major goal of studies of people at HR for psychosis has been to find neuroimaging indicators of psychosis vulnerability.89 Early studies focused on detecting specific volumetric reductions in regions known to be affected in schizophrenic psychoses, such as the hippocampus90,91 and the anterior cingulate cortex.92 Although significant differences have been seen compared with healthy samples, overall, these seem to be smaller than those evident in people with frank psychosis.93 Although replication of significant findings is a problem for the field as a whole, volumetric reductions in the temporal,94 cingulate,94 insular,94 prefrontal,95 and parahippocampal96 cortices have been associated with later development of psychosis. Furthermore, there is some evidence that structural brain imaging can be used to classify HR individuals in terms of their future clinical outcome.97 However, there is enormous variability in findings, highlighting the heterogeneity of the population and the likely lack of sensitivity for volumetric measures alone.

More recent studies have used alternative imaging methods to examine the extent of baseline abnormalities in HR populations. Functional magnetic resonance imaging tasks have shown changes in activation in HR samples that are intermediate between those in first-episode patients and controls,98,99 although no replication studies have been published. Results of brain spectroscopy studies have suggested some differences in metabolite concentration, but samples are small and the regions studied are highly variable.100-102 More promising are data from positron emission tomography studies, which indicate elevated striatal dopamine synthesis in HR individuals103 that is greater in those who later convert to psychosis104 and consistent with the finding of 14% elevation in established schizophrenia.105 Similarly, electrophysiologic abnormalities have been associated with the HR state.116,117 Several critical reviews and meta-analyses of structural,105,118,119 functional,120 and neurochemical imaging studies have been published. Results of the largest multicenter structural neuroimaging study in HR individuals published to date120 are depicted in Figure 6.
Advanced multimodal imaging techniques showed that dysfunction in dopamine and glutamate systems, both widely implicated in the pathogenesis of psychosis, directly correlated with altered cortical structure and functioning in HR individuals. Although further longitudinal neuroimaging studies are required to confirm the previously mentioned preliminary results, these recent findings suggest that advanced neuroimaging methods may have additional predictive value for detecting individuals at particularly high risk for psychosis.

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOSIS

Can we predict who among HR individuals will progress to full-blown psychosis? A great deal of research on this issue has been generated in the last decade, and it has been shown that prediction of actual transition in those identified with HR criteria can be further improved by a stepwise multilevel assessment. We focus herein on the clinical predictors of psychosis onset; available studies are summarized in Table 2.

An early study showed that the absence of BS in HR individuals excluded a subsequent schizophrenia with a probability of 96%. More recently, the North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study reported 5 clinical predictive variables in their sample of HR participants: genetic risk with functional decline, high unusual thought content scores, high suspiciousness and high anhedonia/asociality scores had an especially high transition risk. Prediction of psychosis could be further improved by combining the clinical markers with a family history of psychosis, neurocognitive, or electrophysiology, by investigating environmental factors, by conducting multicenter studies, or by using advanced imaging voxel-based meta-analyses, or by adopting automated analysis methods. The latter point is addressed by recent studies using multivariate neurocognitive pattern classifications to facilitate the HR diagnosis and the individualized prediction of illness transition. Similarly, multivariate neuroanatomical gray matter pattern classification was 88% for individuals with later transition and 86% for those without. However, a definitive conclusion concerning these predictions awaits replication in future studies.

TREATMENT TRIALS

Preventive interventions in psychosis are feasible and can be effective. Most trials indicate clinically meaningful advantages of focused treatment (psychological, psychopharmacologic, or neuroprotective interventions) compared with the respective contrast groups. A recent review of treatment effectiveness in the HR state concluded that receiving focused treatment from specialized services was associated with lower risk of psychosis at 12 months and at 24 to 36 months. However, no reliable recommendations can be made regarding whether psychosocial interventions, such as cognitive behavior therapy; potentially neuroprotective agents, such as omega-3 fatty acids; or antipsychotic agents are more effective for the prevention of psychosis in HR. Consequently, the safest approach is recommended, that is, psychological interventions and fish oil intake rather than antipsychotic drug treatment. Several large-scale clinical trials are under way, which will substantially increase the evidence base for best clinical practice in HR. We present herein an updated meta-analysis of available randomized controlled treatment trials in the HR population, which includes transition data up to 1 year. We confirmed a significant effect of active treatments, with a risk ratio of 0.34 (from 23% to 7%, P < .001) and a number needed to treat of 6 in the focused treatments vs the con-
the next edition of the DSM-5. The current proposal is to include a category of “attenuated psychosis syndrome” in the DSM-5 that is modeled on the UHR category of APS (http://www.dsm5.org). This new category was previously called the “psychosis risk syndrome.” However, the recent name change was an attempt to highlight current symptoms as the focus for treatment rather than the risk that the symptoms might pose for future psychotic disorder. Furthermore, the category also requires the symptoms to be sufficiently distressing and disabling to the person or a parent or guardian to lead them to seek help (eAppendix).

At present, there is no diagnostic category in the DSM or the ICD for the HR state, although the schizotypal disorder included in the psychosis section in the ICD-10 shares several similarities with the DSM-5 proposal. In conventional clinical practice, HR individuals may not receive appropriate treatment despite the fact that this group experiences symptoms that are distressing enough for them to seek help and a considerably decreased quality of life. Defining HR as a new diagnostic category may make clinicians more likely to identify

### Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome

Many of the previously noted findings have informed the ongoing debate about the inclusion of an HR syndrome in the next edition of the DSM-5. The current proposal is to include a category of “attenuated psychosis syndrome” in the DSM-5 that is modeled on the UHR category of APS (http://www.dsm5.org). This new category was previously called the “psychosis risk syndrome.” However, the recent name change was an attempt to highlight current symptoms as the focus for treatment rather than the risk that the symptoms might pose for future psychotic disorder. Furthermore, the category also requires the symptoms to be sufficiently distressing and disabling to the person or a parent or guardian to lead them to seek help (eAppendix).

At present, there is no diagnostic category in the DSM or the ICD for the HR state, although the schizotypal disorder included in the psychosis section in the ICD-10 shares several similarities with the DSM-5 proposal. In conventional clinical practice, HR individuals may not receive appropriate treatment despite the fact that this group experiences symptoms that are distressing enough for them to seek help and a considerably decreased quality of life. Defining HR as a new diagnostic category may make clinicians more likely to identify

### Socioeconomic Considerations

The preliminary cost-effectiveness literature indicated that the extra costs, compared with standard care, required in the first year of treatment are compensated for by subsequent savings associated with the prevention of transition to psychosis. These benefits are mainly related to a shortening of the duration of untreated psychosis in those who develop psychosis, resulting, for example, in less need for inpatient care and a reduction in treatment costs. Although early interventions might be associated with significant cost benefits even in the long term, future research is required to fully address their cost-effectiveness.

### Table 2. Clinical Predictors of Transition to Psychosis From the HR State in Studies Enrolling at Least 60 Individuals and Reporting Regression Models of Significant Clinical Predictors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>HR Group</th>
<th>PR, %</th>
<th>Follow-up, y</th>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Follow-up, y</th>
<th>PPV, SE, SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klosterkötter et al, 2001</td>
<td>BS 160 49 9.6</td>
<td>(1-4) Thought interference, pressure, preservation, blockages, (5) disturbance of receptive language, (6) unstable ideas of references, (7) derealization, (8-9) visual/auditory perceptual disturbances, (10) inability to discriminate between ideas and perception, fantasy, and true memory</td>
<td>65 87 54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mason et al, 2004</td>
<td>UHR 74 50 2</td>
<td>(1) Odd beliefs/magical thinking, (2) marked impairment in role functioning, (3) blunted or inappropriate affect, (4) auditory hallucinations, (5) anhedonia/asociality</td>
<td>86 84 86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yung et al, 2004</td>
<td>UHR 104 39 2.3</td>
<td>(1) Attenuated psychosis symptoms + genetic risk, (2) long duration of prodromal symptoms, (3) poor social functioning, (4) poor attention</td>
<td>81 60 93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson et al, 2011</td>
<td>UHR 291 35 2.5</td>
<td>(1) High unusual thought content scores; (2) low functioning; (3) having genetic risk with functional decline</td>
<td>64 17 94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannon et al, 2008</td>
<td>UHR 64 34 5.4</td>
<td>(1) A genetic risk for schizophrenia with recent deterioration in functioning, (2) higher levels of unusual thought content, (3) higher levels of suspicion/paranoia, (4) greater social impairment, (5) and a history of substance abuse</td>
<td>79 8 98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riecher-Rössler et al, 2009</td>
<td>UHR 245 19 1.5</td>
<td>(1) Positive symptoms, (2) bizarre thinking, (3) sleep disturbances, (4) schizotypal disorder, (5) level of functioning in the past year, (6) years of education</td>
<td>83 42 98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demjaha et al, 2010</td>
<td>UHR 122 15 2</td>
<td>(1) Negative, (2) cognitive/disorganized CAARMS domains</td>
<td>NA NA NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BS, basic symptoms; CAARMS, Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental State; HR, clinical high risk for psychosis; NA, not available; PPV, positive predictive value; PR, psychosis risk; SE, sensitivity; SP, specificity; UHR, ultra high risk.

a Schulte-Lutter et al found no significant predictive power for Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale negative scores.
b Model based on cognitive-perceptive symptoms.
c Five-factor model.
d Model requiring the presence of at least 1 of the 4 potential predictors.
e Three-factor model.
f Combined model.
g Six-factor model (schizotypal disorder: symptom criteria >1 year); resulting in prognostic index with 4 risk classes (PR 3.5, 8.0, 18.4, 85.1).
and treat these individuals. Although available evidence suggests that most HR individuals will not develop a psychotic disorder (at least within 3 years of presentation), the purpose of clinical management at this stage is not solely to prevent the later onset of frank illness but also to ameliorate the presenting symptoms, problems, and functional deficits. These are often more of a concern to the individual than is their long-term risk of transition. This finding is consistent with the newly proposed diagnostic label of attenuated psychosis syndrome rather than risk syndrome. Moreover, when HR individuals are engaged at this stage and then later develop psychosis, the delay before the latter is treated can be markedly reduced, and the first episode may be less traumatic. For clinical practice, a formal diagnosis will be markedly reduced, and the first episode may be less traumatic. For clinical practice, a formal diagnosis will allow the development of treatment, not only prevention-related approved interventions; will grant access to the health care system; and will allow the establishment of rules to guide treatment, thus avoiding undertreatment and overtreatment.

On the other hand, there are also counter-arguments against the inclusion of the HR state in the DSM-5. Regarding the implied risk of a full-blown disorder, concerns about the substantial number of false-positive patients who are not actually at risk for psychotic disorder and the declining transition risk over the recent years remain. An additional concern is that there is still the danger that people meeting the criteria will be incorrectly conceptualized as being on the psychosis spectrum and that an irreversible lifelong underlying “process” has started. Unintended consequences might then ensue, including stigma across different settings and cultures of care, discrimination, and unnecessary treatment. In particular, antipsychotic drugs (which may have significant effects on the brain) may be used, despite these medications not being recommended in any clinical guidelines for the treatment of HR individuals (see Table 4). Diagnostic creep may occur, resulting in lowering of the HR threshold and a subsequent reduction in transition risk. Finally, we may not yet know enough about factors in addition to the HR criteria that potentially increase the risk.

### Table 3. Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Treatment Trials in HR Individuals Including Transition Data Up to 1 Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>HR Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Focused Treatment</th>
<th>Contrast Group</th>
<th>DI, mo</th>
<th>NNT at 1 y (Focused vs Contrast)</th>
<th>Transition at 1 y</th>
<th>Meta-analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McGorry et al, 2002</td>
<td>CAARMS</td>
<td>Risperidone, 1-2 mg + CBT + NBI (n=28)</td>
<td>NBI (n=28)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 (2.1–18.3)</td>
<td>19.3 vs 35.7, NS</td>
<td>0.541 (0.225-1.297) .17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrison et al, 2004</td>
<td>CAARMS based</td>
<td>CT (n=37)</td>
<td>Monitoring (n=23)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5 (2.3-63.8)</td>
<td>5.7 vs 21.7, NS</td>
<td>0.263 (0.057-1.205) .09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGlashan et al, 2006</td>
<td>SIPS</td>
<td>Olanzapine, 5-15 mg + PUFA (n=31)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=29)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5 (2.3-inf)</td>
<td>16.1 vs 37.9, NS</td>
<td>0.425 (0.168-1.075) .07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amminger et al, 2010</td>
<td>CAARMS</td>
<td>ω-3 PUFA, 1.2 g (n=41)</td>
<td>Placebo (n=40)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5 (2.6-13.7)</td>
<td>4.8 vs 27.5, Sig</td>
<td>0.175 (0.041-0.746) .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yung et al, 2011</td>
<td>CAARMS</td>
<td>Risperidone, 0.5-2 mg + CT (n=43)</td>
<td>CT + placebo (n=44)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.7 vs 9.1, NS</td>
<td>0.516 (0.100-2.698) .43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addington et al, 2011</td>
<td>SIPS</td>
<td>CBT (n=27)</td>
<td>ST (n=24)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8 (3.7-inf)</td>
<td>0 vs 12.5, NS</td>
<td>0.128 (0.007-2.350) .17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bechdolf et al, 2012</td>
<td>EIPS</td>
<td>IPI (n=63)</td>
<td>SC (n=65)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8 (4.2-27.3)</td>
<td>3 vs 16.9, Sig</td>
<td>0.178 (0.039-0.799) .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td>273</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.6 vs 23</td>
<td>0.335 (0.219-0.575) &lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CAARMS, Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental State; CBT, cognitive behavior therapy; CT, cognitive therapy; DI, duration of intervention; EIPS, early initial prodromal state (COPER or first-degree relatives with psychosis plus functional decline); HR, clinical high risk; inf, infinite; IPI, integrated psychological intervention (CT, social skills, psychoeducation for family, and cognitive remediation); NA, not assessed; NBI, needs-based intervention; NNT, number needed to treat; NS, nonsignificant differences between focused treatment and contrast group; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; RR, risk ratio; SC, supportive counseling; Sig, significant; SIPS, Structured Interview for Prodromal Symptoms; ST, supportive therapy.

### Table 4. Treatment Guidelines for the Psychosis High-Risk State Proposed by Different International Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Psychiatric Association</td>
<td>“Careful assessment and frequent monitoring”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Psychiatric Association</td>
<td>“Should be offered monitoring”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists</td>
<td>“Antipsychotic medication not normally prescribed unless symptoms are directly associated with risk of self-harm or aggression”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Institute of Health</td>
<td>“Use of antipsychotic medication is doubtful, behavioral cognitive treatment is recommended”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German Association for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Neurology</td>
<td>“Continuous care and follow-up; if relevant symptoms reaching the level of a disorder occur, CBT and sociotherapy should be offered; if psychotic symptoms emerge antipsychotics should be offered”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** CBT indicates cognitive behavior therapy.
Two decades of research into the prodromal phase of first-episode psychosis has brought important new knowledge. Nevertheless, many questions remain unanswered, and important challenges are still ahead. First, although there are indications that HR individuals show psychopathologic, functional, neurocognitive, and structural brain abnormalities, it is unclear to what extent these findings reflect psychiatric distress in general or are unique features associated with being on the path to a full-blown psychotic disorder. Thus, more longitudinal research comparing individuals who develop psychotic disorder with those who do not is still needed. In addition, the inclusion of other psychiatric groups as controls would help distinguish between general psychiatric symptoms and those specific to the HR state. Second, there is a need to recognize the importance of and to investigate outcomes other than schizophreniform psychosis. The focus to date has been on positive symptoms, both in terms of the inclusion criteria for the HR state and the definition of transition to psychoses. However, there is increasing evidence that negative symptoms are also meaningful measures of clinical outcomes. At present, HR individuals with poor social and role function, neurocognitive impairments, and high levels of negative symptoms may still be classified as “nontransitions” because their positive symptoms have not reached the conventional threshold for frank psychosis. Thus, these outcomes may be more relevant to an underlying schizophrenia construct than to positive symptoms alone. It is also important to ascertain whether the HR criteria detect people at risk for other nonpsychotic disorders. Third, children, adolescents, and adults may need to be considered separately in terms of presenting features, predictors, and treatment needs given their different developmental stages. Fourth, we may need to refine our thinking around trait and state risk factors for the disorder. For example, do certain neurocognitive abnormalities that are milder in HR individuals than in psychotic individuals represent state risk factors that will deteriorate further with progression of illness? Or is their intermediate nature due to the HR sample consisting of some people at risk and some not at risk? It is also important to characterize the heterogeneity of the HR subgroups as we do not know whether patients with GRD, BLIP, APS, or BS criteria have differences in neurobiological features and outcome. The fifth challenge is to test what we have learned so far in order to develop and evaluate interventions that can delay, ameliorate, or even prevent psychosis onset and illness progression or that can prevent comorbidity of other psychiatric disorders. For example, given evidence of social cognitive deficits in HR patients, could therapies addressing emotion recognition be effective? Replication of the finding that fish oil may prevent psychosis is needed; antidepressants, mood stabilizers, and neuroprotective factors, such as N-acetyl cysteine, may also have potential benefits. Future research could also identify potential critical intervention points where success may alter the life course of illness. Sixth, provision of services to HR individuals across different cultures and mental health structures needs consideration. Different models of service will result in different populations seeking help. An HR service that is co-located with a psychosis service may be expected to receive referrals that have a different transition risk than one located more in the community or developed as part of a general youth service, although the latter would reduce stigma more effectively. Thus, treatment models must be tailored toward the presenting problems and the expected transition risk. Initial treatment guidelines proposed by various international organizations are summarized in Table 4.

In summary, the relatively new field of HR research in psychosis is exciting. It has the potential to shed light on the development of major psychotic disorders and to alter their course. It also provides a rationale for service provision to those in need of help who could not previously access it and the possibility of changing trajectories for those with vulnerability to psychotic illness. Challenges remain and we must be mindful of premature intellectual closure in the area. There is still much work to be done.
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