

Do mycobacteria produce endospores?

Bjorn A. Traag^a, Adam Driks^b, Patrick Stragier^c, Wilbert Bitter^d, Gregory Broussard^e, Graham Hatfull^e, Frances Chu^f, Kristin N. Adams^f, Lalita Ramakrishnan^f, and Richard Losick^{a,1}

^aThe Biological Laboratories, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138; ^bDepartment of Microbiology and Immunology, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, IL 60153; ^cInstitut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique-Unité Propre de Recherche R 9073 Université Paris-Diderot, 75005 Paris, France; ^dDepartment of Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, VU University Medical Centre, 081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ^eDepartment of Biological Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260; and ^fDepartments of Microbiology, Medicine, and Immunology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Edited by Emil C. Gotschlich, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY, and approved November 6, 2009 (received for review October 1, 2009)

The genus *Mycobacterium*, which is a member of the high G+C group of Gram-positive bacteria, includes important pathogens, such as *M. tuberculosis* and *M. leprae*. A recent publication in PNAS reported that *M. marinum* and *M. bovis* bacillus Calmette–Guérin produce a type of spore known as an endospore, which had been observed only in the low G+C group of Gram-positive bacteria. Evidence was presented that the spores were similar to endospores in ultrastructure, in heat resistance and in the presence of dipicolinic acid. Here, we report that the genomes of *Mycobacterium* species and those of other high G+C Gram-positive bacteria lack orthologs of many, if not all, highly conserved genes diagnostic of endospore formation in the genomes of low G+C Gram-positive bacteria. We also failed to detect the presence of endospores by light microscopy or by testing for heat-resistant colony-forming units in aged cultures of *M. marinum*. Finally, we failed to recover heat-resistant colony-forming units from frogs chronically infected with *M. marinum*. We conclude that it is unlikely that *Mycobacterium* is capable of endospore formation.

sporulation | tuberculosis

The pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is the leading cause of death worldwide by a single bacterial pathogen (1). An insidious feature of *M. tuberculosis* is the mysterious phenomenon of latency in which the pathogen is able to persist in asymptomatic individuals, only to emerge and cause disease many years later (1). Recently, Ghosh et al. (2) reported that the species *M. marinum* and *M. bovis* bacillus Calmette–Guérin, a species of the *M. tuberculosis* complex, produce a type of spore known as an endospore. This discovery, if true, is potentially of great medical significance because it could help explain latency.

Endospores are unique among bacterial spores in that they are produced inside of another cell (the mother cell) and, upon maturation, are released as free spores by lysis of the mother cell (3, 4). They are readily recognized under phase-contrast microscopy by their phase bright (refractile) appearance. They also exhibit diagnostic features under electron microscopy, such as a protein shell consisting of an inner coat and an electron dense, outer coat (5, 6). Endospores are composed of numerous molecules found, thus far, only in bacterial endospores. These molecules include most of the proteins that encase the spore in a protective shell (called the coat), a family of DNA-protective proteins known as SASP that are bound to the chromosome, and a unique small molecule, dipicolinic acid. All previously known examples of endospore-forming bacteria are members of the low G+C group of Gram-positive bacteria (Firmicutes) belonging either to *Bacilli* or to *Clostridia*, and in all cases in which a genome sequence is available, orthologs of genes involved in endospore formation are readily seen. The *Mycobacterium* genus is a member of the high G+C group of Gram-positive bacteria (Actinobacteria) for which there are no prior claims of endospore formation. Certain members of the group, such as *Streptomyces*, do produce spores, but spores of a fundamentally different kind that are not produced inside a mother cell (7).

Because of the potentially high significance of the discovery of Ghosh et al. (2) for the treatment of tuberculosis, we investigated their claims by carrying out genome sequence analysis and by testing for the production of endospores and for heat-resistant colony forming units by *Mycobacterium marinum* in vitro and in a frog model.

Results and Discussion

***Mycobacterium* and *Streptomyces* Genomes Lack Orthologs of Highly Conserved Endospore Genes.** We carried out genome sequence analysis by using BLAST and Psi-BLAST of the 15 *Mycobacterium* genomes (including those of *M. marinum* and *M. bovis*) and the 18 *Streptomyces* genomes present in the National Center for Biotechnology Information database of microbial genomes. The analysis revealed no orthologs of any of the signature genes for endospore formation (4). Examples are the absence of genes for the above mentioned SASP family, *spoIVA*, which encodes a highly conserved morphogenetic protein required for coat assembly, *spoIIR* and *spoIIGA*, which mediate the activation of a mother-cell-specific transcription factor, *spoIID*, which governs the process by which the forespore is engulfed by the mother cell, *spoIIIAE*, a critically important membrane protein produced in the mother cell, and the *spoVF* operon, which encodes a dipicolinic acid synthetase. [Certain clostridia do, however, lack *spoVF* and generate dipicolinic acid via an electron transfer flavoprotein that is widely distributed among both endospore-forming and nonendospore-forming bacteria (D. Popham, personal communication).] These genes encode proteins that are almost identical among *Bacillus* species (E values close to zero), including species that are distantly related to each other, such as *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis*. In contrast, no reliable homologies were detected against predicted proteins from *Mycobacterium* genomes. Another example is *sigG*, which encodes the forespore-specific transcription factor σ^G . The σ^G protein is related to a family of regulatory proteins found in nonendospore forming bacteria but σ^G itself has residues that distinguish the sporulation transcription factor from other members of the family.

The authors cite examples of *M. marinum* sporulation genes but they are not in fact diagnostic of endospore formation, as shown on the related pages of the GTOP database (<http://spock.genes.nig.ac.jp/~genome/search.html>). For example, *spoOJ* (CAB16133.1) encodes a member of the ParB family of proteins involved in DNA segregation (8), *spoIIIE* (CAB13553.1) encodes a member of a family of DNA translocases (9), and *spoVE* (CAB13394.1) is a homolog of *mrdB*, encoding a rod-shape determining membrane protein (10, 11). The *spoVK* (CAB13626.1) homolog cited by the authors corresponds to the 3' half of a gene

Author contributions: B.A.T., A.D., P.S., W.B., G.B., G.H., F.C., L.R., and R.L. designed research; B.A.T., A.D., P.S., G.B., F.C., and K.N.A. performed research; P.S. and W.B. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; B.A.T., A.D., P.S., W.B., G.B., G.H., F.C., and L.R. analyzed data; and B.A.T., A.D., P.S., W.B., G.H., F.C., L.R., and R.L. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: losick@mcb.harvard.edu.

forming units were recovered from other dilutions from the same liver homogenate nor from the two other frogs infected for 10 weeks. In summary, our results provide little or no support for the hypothesis that latency by *M. marinum* is mediated by the production of heat-resistant spores.

The report of Ghosh et al. (2) is not the first or only claim of spore formation by *Mycobacterium* (21, 22), which goes all the way back to the original paper of Robert Koch (23). However, these spore-like inclusions turned out to be neutral lipid bodies (24, 25) and in another case probably a contamination (26). Still, we cannot rule out the possibility that *M. marinum* produces spores of some kind under conditions that could not be replicated in our laboratories. What remains most difficult to accept about the work of Ghosh et al. (2) is the representation that

Mycobacterium produces bona fide endospores of striking similarity to those of a particular species, *B. subtilis*. If this were true, it would be an extraordinary case of convergent evolution in which a completely distinct mechanism leads to the same outcome or an equally extraordinary case of divergence in which the orthologs of signature genes can no longer be detected. A more likely explanation is that the endospores, and those of Fig. 2B in particular, are not of *M. marinum* but rather of a low G+C, Gram-positive bacterium, such as *B. subtilis*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank J. Nodwell, M. Eisen, E. Rubin, and I. Smith for helpful comments. This work was supported by a Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) Rubicon grant to B.T., and NIH Grants GM18568 (to R.L.), AI064494 (to G.H.), and AI 36396 (to L.R.).

- Kochi A (1994) Global challenge of tuberculosis. *Lancet* 344:608–609.
- Ghosh J, et al. (2009) Sporulation in mycobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:10781–10786.
- Piggot PJ, Cootte JG (1976) Genetic aspects of bacterial endospore formation. *Bacteriol Rev* 40:908–962.
- Stragier P, Losick R (1996) Molecular genetics of sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Annu Rev Genet* 30:297–341.
- Driks A (2002) Maximum shields: The assembly and function of the bacterial spore coat. *Trends Microbiol* 10:251–254.
- Warth AD, Ohye DF, Murrell WG (1963) The composition and structure of bacterial spores. *J Cell Biol* 16:579–592.
- Flårdh K, Buttner MJ (2009) *Streptomyces* morphogenetics: Dissecting differentiation in a filamentous bacterium. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 7:36–49.
- Lin DC, Grossman AD (1998) Identification and characterization of a bacterial chromosome partitioning site. *Cell* 92:675–685.
- Sciochetti SA, Piggot PJ (2000) A tale of two genomes: Resolution of dimeric chromosomes in *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus subtilis*. *Res Microbiol* 151:503–511.
- Ikeda M, et al. (1989) Structural similarity among *Escherichia coli* FtsW and RodA proteins and *Bacillus subtilis* SpoVE protein, which function in cell division, cell elongation, and spore formation, respectively. *J Bacteriol* 171:6375–6378.
- Joris B, Dive G, Henriques A, Piggot PJ, Ghuysen JM (1990) The life-cycle proteins RodA of *Escherichia coli* and SpoVE of *Bacillus subtilis* have very similar primary structures. *Mol Microbiol* 4:513–517.
- Abdallah AM, et al. (2007) Type VII secretion—mycobacteria show the way. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 5:883–891.
- Serafini A, Boldrin F, Palù G, Manganelli R (2009) Characterization of a *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* ESX-3 conditional mutant: Essentiality and rescue by iron and zinc. *J Bacteriol* 191:6340–6344.
- Errington J, et al. (1992) Structure and function of the *spoIIIJ* gene of *Bacillus subtilis*: A vegetatively expressed gene that is essential for sigma G activity at an intermediate stage of sporulation. *J Gen Microbiol* 138:2609–2618.
- Roels S, Driks A, Losick R (1992) Characterization of *spoIVA*, a sporulation gene involved in coat morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 174:575–585.
- Kim H, et al. (2006) The *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat protein interaction network. *Mol Microbiol* 59:487–502.
- Driks A (2007) Surface appendages of bacterial spores. *Mol Microbiol* 63:623–625.
- Grant IR, Ball HJ, Rowe MT (1996) Thermal inactivation of several *Mycobacterium* spp. in milk by pasteurization. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 22:253–256.
- Hardie RM, Watson JM (1992) *Mycobacterium bovis* in England and Wales: Past, present and future. *Epidemiol Infect* 109:23–33.
- Ramakrishnan L, Valdivia RH, McKerrow JH, Falkow S (1997) *Mycobacterium marinum* causes both long-term subclinical infection and acute disease in the leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*). *Infect Immun* 65:767–773.
- Csillag A (1961) Spore formation and 'dimorphism' in the mycobacteria. *J Gen Microbiol* 26:97–109.
- Briege EM, Glauert AM (1956) Sporelike structures in the tubercle bacillus. *Nature* 178:544.
- Koch R (1882) Die aetiologie der tuberculose. *Berliner Klinische Wochenschrift* 19:221–230.
- Wältermann M, Steinbüchel A (2005) Neutral lipid bodies in prokaryotes: Recent insights into structure, formation, and relationship to eukaryotic lipid depots. *J Bacteriol* 187:3607–3619.
- Garton NJ, Christensen H, Minnikin DE, Adegbola RA, Barer MR (2002) Intracellular lipophilic inclusions of mycobacteria in vitro and in sputum. *Microbiology* 148:2951–2958.
- de Wit D, Mitchison DA (1993) DNA analysis demonstrates that *mycococcus* forms are not mycobacteria. *Tuber Lung Dis* 74:96–99.
- Catalano FA, Meador-Parton J, Popham DL, Driks A (2001) Amino acids in the *Bacillus subtilis* morphogenetic protein SpoIVA with roles in spore coat and cortex formation. *J Bacteriol* 183:1645–1654.
- Bauer T, Little S, Stöver AG, Driks A (1999) Functional regions of the *Bacillus subtilis* spore coat morphogenetic protein CotE. *J Bacteriol* 181:7043–7051.
- Silvaggi JM, Popham DL, Driks A, Eichenberger P, Losick R (2004) Unmasking novel sporulation genes in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Bacteriol* 186:8089–8095.