

Tuberculous Granuloma Induction via Interaction of a Bacterial Secreted Protein with Host Epithelium Hannah E. Volkman, *et al. Science* **327**, 466 (2010); DOI: 10.1126/science.1179663

This copy is for your personal, non-commercial use only.

If you wish to distribute this article to others, you can order high-quality copies for your colleagues, clients, or customers by clicking here.

Permission to republish or repurpose articles or portions of articles can be obtained by following the guidelines here.

The following resources related to this article are available online at www.sciencemag.org (this information is current as of May 30, 2010):

Updated information and services, including high-resolution figures, can be found in the online version of this article at: http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/327/5964/466

Supporting Online Material can be found at: http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1179663/DC1

A list of selected additional articles on the Science Web sites **related to this article** can be found at:

http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/327/5964/466#related-content

This article **cites 30 articles**, 13 of which can be accessed for free: http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/327/5964/466#otherarticles

This article has been **cited by** 4 article(s) on the ISI Web of Science.

This article appears in the following **subject collections**: Microbiology http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/collection/microbio

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published weekly, except the last week in December, by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. Copyright 2010 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science; all rights reserved. The title *Science* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

REPORTS

- M. C. Harrisingh, Y. Wu, G. A. Lnenicka, M. N. Nitabach, J. Neurosci. 27, 12489 (2007).
- 28. We thank P. Nakatani (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute) for the FH cassette; P. Sassone-Corsi (University of California, Irvine) for BMAL1 antiserum; M. J. Weber (University of Virginia), D. Mochly-Rosen (Stanford University), P. Blumberg (National Cancer Institute), and M. Grossi (University of Rome), respectively, for RACK1, C2, PKCα, and MYOD cDNAs; M. Liu for expert

technical assistance; N. Vujovic for help with transactivation assays; and L. Lande-Diner for comments on the manuscript. Supported by grants from the Edward R. and Anne G. Lefler Center and the G. Harold and Leila Y. Mathers Charitable Foundation (C.J.W.), an Edward R. and Anne G. Lefler Center postdoctoral fellowship (M.S.R.), a Swiss National Science Foundation grant (D.K.), and an EMBO postdoctoral fellowship (C.B.).

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/327/5964/463/DC1 Materials and Methods SOM Text Figs. S1 to S6 References

3 August 2009; accepted 7 December 2009 10.1126/science.1180067

Tuberculous Granuloma Induction via Interaction of a Bacterial Secreted Protein with Host Epithelium

Hannah E. Volkman,^{1*} Tamara C. Pozos,^{2*}† John Zheng,² J. Muse Davis,³ John F. Rawls,^{4,5} Lalita Ramakrishnan^{6,7,8}‡

Granulomas, organized aggregates of immune cells, are a hallmark of tuberculosis and have traditionally been thought to restrict mycobacterial growth. However, analysis of *Mycobacterium marinum* in zebrafish has shown that the early granuloma facilitates mycobacterial growth; uninfected macrophages are recruited to the granuloma where they are productively infected by *M. marinum*. Here, we identified the molecular mechanism by which mycobacteria induce granulomas: The bacterial secreted protein 6-kD early secreted antigenic target (ESAT-6), which has long been implicated in virulence, induced matrix metalloproteinase–9 (MMP9) in epithelial cells neighboring infected macrophages. MMP9 enhanced recruitment of macrophages, which contributed to nascent granuloma maturation and bacterial growth. Disruption of MMP9 function attenuated granuloma formation and bacterial growth. Thus, interception of epithelial MMP9 production could hold promise as a host-targeting tuberculosis therapy.

uberculous infection begins with recruitment of monocytes to a peripheral infection site where they engulf mycobacteria and migrate to deeper tissues (1, 2). Additional macrophages and other immune cells then aggregate with the infected cells to form granulomas (3). Granulomas, recognized as pathological hallmarks of tuberculosis for over a century, were thought to curtail infection by encasing mycobacteria (4). However, visualization of granuloma formation in transparent zebrafish larvae infected with Mycobacterium marinum (Mm) has revealed that the early granuloma serves to expand bacterial numbers (5, 6). An infected macrophage induces granuloma formation by promoting recruitment of additional phagocytes

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†Present address: Pediatric Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Children's Hospitals and Clinics of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55102, USA. (*6*). Upon its death, multiple newly arriving macrophages phagocytose it and thereby become infected. Concerted iteration of these processes makes the early granuloma a site for bacterial expansion (*6*). Mycobacteria direct these granulomaforming processes via their region of difference–1 (RD1) virulence locus that encodes the ESAT-6 secretion system–1 (ESX-1) secretion system (*5*, *6*). The host factors coopted in RD1-mediated granuloma formation remain unknown.

In a host gene expression survey comparing zebrafish larvae infected with wild-type Mm (WT) or RD1-deleted Mm (Δ RD1) (5, 6), we identified matrix metalloproteinase 9 (mmp9) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2b (timp2b) as being RD1-induced during granuloma formation at 5 days post infection (5 dpi) (Fig. 1, A and B; tables S1 to 4; and fig. S1, A and B). To control for $\Delta RD1$'s attenuated infection at 5 dpi (5), we confirmed RD1-dependent gene induction using higher $\Delta RD1$ inoculations that produced similar bacterial burdens at 5 dpi with the expected paucity of $\Delta RD1$ granulomas (5, 6) (Fig. 1, A and C, and fig. S1C). At 1 dpi, only mmp9 was induced, suggesting that timp2b induction at 5 dpi was a compensatory response to increased mmp9 (Fig. 1D). Mmp9 is a gelatinase, and gelatin zymography confirmed that RD1-dependent mmp9 mRNA expression resulted in increased Mmp9 gelatinase activity (Fig. 1E). In contrast, mRNA expression and activity of another gelatinase Mmp2 were not altered by infection (fig. S1A and Fig. 1E).

MMP9 is implicated in the pathogenesis of several inflammatory conditions (7, 8) and is highly expressed in human tuberculosis as well as in the mouse model of tuberculosis (9-12)(table S1). In mice, MMP9 activity correlates to increased macrophage migration and granuloma formation; however, it is reported to be a host resistance factor, perhaps because its expression is associated with variable effects on infection in different genetic backgrounds (10). In humans, MMP9 clearly mediates susceptibility as its increased activity is correlated with worse outcomes (9). To test mmp9's role in promoting granuloma formation and virulence, we knocked down its expression transiently with three modified antisense oligonucleotides (morpholinos) (1, 13) (fig. S2). The morpholinos, singly or in combination, reduced gelatinase activity reliably up to 4 dpi with activity returning to control levels by 5 dpi (fig. S2). WT infection of morpholino-injected embryos (morphants) resulted in attenuated infection sharing several features of $\Delta RD1$ infection of control embryos. First, morphants displayed reduced numbers of bacteria and granulomas, as well as increased host survival (Fig. 2, A to C). Second, kinetic analyses of granuloma formation in the morphants confirmed a specific granulomaforming deficit (Fig. 2, D to F). We found a dynamic link between Mmp9 activity, granuloma formation, and bacterial expansion: Bacterial burdens and granuloma formation differed only up to 4 dpi, returning to control levels by 5 dpi contemporaneous with restoration of Mmp9 activity (Fig. 2, A to E, and fig. S2). Finally, although the RD1 locus promotes macrophage recruitment to nascent granulomas, it is not required for initial phagocyte migration to infecting bacteria when they are still extracellular (5, 6). Similarly, mmp9 morphants displayed normal macrophage migration to extracellular bacteria when injected into the hindbrain ventricle (fig. S3).

RD1 probably contributes to granuloma expansion through pleiotropic effects that include inducing apoptosis of infected macrophages and recruiting new uninfected macrophages (5, 6, 14–16). In contrast, Mmp9 was not required for RD1-induced cell death; morphant and control granulomas in WT infection contained similar numbers of TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated deoxy-uridine triphosphate nick end labeling)–positive cells, whereas control granulomas in Δ RD1 infection exhibited the expected reduction (13) (Fig. 2G). Thus RD1-induced apoptosis is Mmp9 independent and cannot mediate bacterial ex-

¹Molecular and Cellular Biology Graduate Program, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98155, USA. ²Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98155, USA. ³Immunology and Molecular Pathogenesis Graduate Program, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. ⁴Department of Cell and Molecular Physiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. ⁵Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA. ⁶Department of Microbiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98155, USA. ⁷Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98155, USA. ⁸Department of Immunology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98155, USA.

[‡]To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lalitar@u.washington.edu

pansion in the absence of new macrophage recruitment, and Mmp9-mediated acceleration of macrophage recruitment to granulomas is an independent mediator of pathogenesis. Multiple cell types express *MMP9* in many inflammatory conditions (7). In the context of tuberculosis, it is induced in cultured monocytes infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

WΤ

(9, 17–19) and in epithelial cells (20). In advanced human tuberculosis, induced expression is reported in some monocytes and multinucleated giant cells abutting necrotic centers of

Fig. 1. RD1-dependent Mmp9 induction. (**A**) Representative fluorescence images of 5-dpi embryos used for gene expression studies in (**B** and **C**). Embryos in the top and middle panels were injected with similar doses of WT and Δ RD1, respectively (WT dose of 193 ± 36 and Δ RD1 dose of 217 ± 63), where Δ RD1 bacterial burdens are lower than those of WT at 5 dpi. Embryo in bottom



panel was injected with ~ five times as much \triangle RD1 (\triangle RD1-high) to achieve bacterial burdens similar to those of WT at 5 dpi [5-dpi bacterial burdens were 1601 ± 1071 for WT and 1531 ± 1011 for \triangle RD1-high, not significant (NS)]. Arrows, granulomas; arrowheads, single infected macrophages. Scale bars, 400 µm. (B and C) Relative gene expression levels (mean ± SEM of at least three biological replicates) of 5-dpi (B) WT- and \triangle RD1-infected embryos and (C) WT and \triangle RD1-high—infected embryos. Although there appears to be a dose-dependent induction of *mmp9* by \triangle RD1 [compare induction in (B) and (C)], the difference is not significant (*P* = 0.2). (**D**) Relative gene expression levels (mean ± SEM of three biological replicates) 1 day after injection with 721 ± 39 WT or 484 ± 147 \triangle RD1 (NS). **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01 (Student's *t* test). (**E**) Gelatin zymography of embryos 5 dpi with 200 WT or 700 \triangle RD1, or mock-infected. Controls are purified human MMP9 and MMP2.





Fig. 2. Mmp9 promotes granuloma formation and virulence. (**A**) Fluorescence images of representative control (con) and *mmp9* morphant (MO) embryos 4 dpi with 116 WT. Arrows, granulomas; arrowheads, single infected macrophages. Scale bars, 400 μ m. (**B**) Bacterial burdens of all 4-dpi embryos determined by fluorescence pixel counts (FPC) (*31*). (**C**) Survival of con and MO embryos (n = 30 each) infected with 150 colony-forming units (CFU) of WT or mock-infected (n = 20 each). Median survival was 10 days for infected MO and 9 days for infected con (P = 0.02; log-

rank test) and no different for uninfected MO and con. Top horizontal bar denotes duration of MO activity (fig. S2). (**D** to **F**) Kinetics of granuloma formation in con and MO embryos infected with 101 WT. Data in (D) were analyzed by Fisher's exact test of a contingency table. Bars in (E) and (F) represent the mean \pm SEM (Student's *t* test). (**G**) Median number of TUNEL-positive cells per con or MO granuloma 4 dpi with 37 CFU of WT and con granulomas 4 dpi with 585 Δ RD1 (one-way analysis of variance; *P* = 0.003, with Dunnet's multiple comparison test).

REPORTS

lymph node granulomas (18, 21) and in epithelial cells proximal to lung granulomas (20). To understand how the RD1-Mmp9 axis mediates granuloma formation, we assessed localization of mmp9 expression during this process. Fluorescence whole-mount in situ hybridization (FISH) (1) revealed RD1-dependent mmp9 induction in 5-dpi embryos in cells associated with granulomas as well as in distal single cells (Fig. 3A). Multiplex FISH combining the mmp9 and macrophagespecific fms probes, or mmp9 and neutrophilspecific mpo probes (1), showed that the distal single cells consisted largely of neutrophils with a minor macrophage contribution (figs. S4 and S5). However, mmp9 expression by neutrophils and macrophages was unlikely to be relevant for granuloma formation because their mmp9 expression induced by infection was RD1 independent, and most granulomas contained

few, if any, of these cells (Fig. 3B and figs. S4 and S5).

Differential interference contrast (DIC) and confocal microscopy revealed that granulomaassociated mmp9 expression was localized to epithelial cells proximate to infected macrophages (22) (Fig. 3B and movie S1). Expression was restricted to specific epithelial cell types: Epidermal cells adjacent to the granuloma expressed mmp9, whereas immediately overlying peridermal cells did not (22) (movie S1). Epidermal cellspecific expression was highlighted in granulomas forming in muscle where mmp9 was expressed not by the immediately surrounding myocytes but by their closest epidermal neighbors (Fig. 3C and movie S2). Every granuloma analyzed had proximate *mmp9*-expressing epithelial cells (n =35 granulomas in 9 fish), including the smallest identifiable macrophage aggregates (fig. S6 and

movie S3). Thus Mmp9 induction is critical for granuloma formation from the very earliest stages and probably in later stages as well, given RD1's continued influence on granuloma structure in chronic tuberculous infection (5, 23).

Bacteria residing in macrophages could induce epithelial cell *mmp9* in two ways: (i) RD1 might induce macrophage signals such as secreted cytokines (24, 25) that in turn elicit *mmp9* secretion by epithelial cells, or (ii) bacteria (26, 27) or bacterial products (28) released from macrophages might interact directly with epithelial cells. To distinguish between these mechanisms, we assessed *mmp9* induction in *pu.1* morphants that lack macrophages and in which infection results in extracellular mycobacterial growth (1). *pu.1* morphants exhibited RD1-dependent *mmp9* induction, suggesting that bacteria or their products interact directly with epithelial cells to induce



Fig. 3. *mmp9* is selectively induced in epithelial cells neighboring infected macrophages. (**A**) *mmp9* FISH images of embryos 5 days after mock infection or infection with 78 CFU of WT or 130 CFU of \triangle RD1. Arrows, *mmp9* expression corresponding to granulomas; arrowheads, single *mmp9*-expressing cells. Scale bars, 400µm. (**B** and **C**) Images of WT granulomas after dual *mmp9* and *fms* FISH. (B) Fluorescence (left), DIC (middle), and overlay (right) images. e, epidermal cell; p, peridermal cell; MΦ, macrophage. Scale bar, 20 µm. Also see

movie S1. (C) Fluorescence and DIC overlay of nascent WT muscle granuloma (left). Dotted white circles outline bacterial clusters discerned by DIC microscopy. Fluorescence data have been deconvolved. Right panel represents threedimensional reconstruction from fluorescence image of the same lesion with bacterial locations pseudocolored blue, showing complete absence of *mmp9* expression in adjacent muscle, and strong *mmp9* expression in nearest neighboring epidermal cells. Scale bar, 20 μ m. Also see movie S2.

Fig. 4. Mycobacterial ESAT-6 is sufficient to induce *mmp9* in epithelial cells independent of Myd88 and TNF signaling. (A to D) Relative *mmp9* expression analyzed by real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction of (A) con or *pu.1* morphant embryos 3 dpi with 84 WT or 126 \triangle RD1 (represents one biological replicate), or



(B) 34-hpf (hours post fertilization) embryos 4 hours after injection with WT or \triangle RD1 bacterial supernatant. Bars represent the means \pm SEM of three biological replicates. (C) Thirty-four-hpf con embryos 4 hours after injection with 4.8 × 10⁻¹⁷ mol of purified ESAT-6 or CFP-10, or 4.9 × 10⁻¹⁷ mol of ESAT-6 plus 5.0 × 10⁻¹⁷ mol of CFP-10. Bars represent the means

(\pm SEM) of five biological replicates. (D) Thirty-four—hpf con embryos, *myd88* morphants, or *tr1* morphants 4 hours after injection with 5.7 × 10⁻¹⁷ mol of purified ESAT-6. Bars represent the means \pm SEM of four biological replicates (*pu.1* morphant), or three biological replicates (*myd88* and *tr1* morphants).

mmp9 by a macrophage-independent mechanism (Fig. 4A).

The observation that uninfected epithelial cell mmp9 induction can occur distant from infection foci (Fig. 3C and movie S3) implicated an RD1-dependent secreted determinant rather than direct bacterial contact with epithelial cells. Indeed, injection of WT but not Δ RD1 bacterial supernatants rapidly induced mmp9 expression (Fig. 4B). The ESX-1 secretion system secretes five proteins that are all mutually codependent for secretion, so distinguishing their individual roles in virulence has been difficult (14, 15). We pursued ESAT-6 as the lead candidate for inducing mmp9 for two reasons: ESAT-6 mediates virulence independent of secretion (15), and its pore-forming activity (29, 30) could allow it direct access to epithelial cells. Injection of $4.8 \times$ 10⁻¹⁷ mol of purified ESAT-6 was sufficient to induce mmp9 within 4 hours (Fig. 4C). In contrast, 5.0×10^{-17} mol of 10-kD culture filtrate protein (CFP-10), thought to bind ESAT-6 and serve as its chaperone (15), failed to induce mmp9 significantly (Fig. 4C). Moreover, coinjection of CFP-10 and ESAT-6 did not augment the induction observed with ESAT-6 alone, confirming an ESAT-6-specific effect (Fig. 4C). Finally, similar to RD1-competent bacteria (Fig. 4A), ESAT-6 induced mmp9 in pu.1 morphants (Fig. 4D), consistent with a direct interaction with epithelial cells. We next asked if epithelial cell mmp9 induction was dependent on MyD88 and TNF (tumor necrosis factor) signaling, as each can enhance mycobacterial induction of mmp9 in cultured cells under certain conditions (19, 20). ESAT-6 induced mmp9 in myd88 and tnf-receptor 1 (tr1) morphants (Fig. 4D), suggesting a previously unknown pathway for this epithelial cell-specific interaction. Moreover, TNF-independent induction of mmp9 is consistent with the finding that TNF does not mediate granuloma formation either in the presence or absence of bacterial RD1 (13).

Thus, ESAT-6 functions in virulence by promoting granuloma formation via interaction with epithelial cells, previously regarded as bystanders in the pathogenesis of tuberculosis (fig. S7). The cooption of epithelial cells may offer mycobacteria a means of amplifying MMP9 secretion in the vicinity of a single infected macrophage to establish the granuloma niche. In addition, the differential induction of inflammatory programs in macrophages and epithelial cells may generate a hospitable growth niche in macrophages while harnessing epithelial cells to facilitate the chemotaxis of additional macrophages for niche expansion (6) (fig. S7). Our work provides a mechanistic explanation for the implication of MMP9 in human susceptibility to tuberculosis (9, 11, 12) and suggests targeted inhibition of its expression as a host-directed antituberculous therapy. Because increased MMP9 is detrimental in both tuberculosis and a variety of noninfectious inflammatory conditions (7), interception of this pathway may have broad utility in treating a

variety of inflammatory conditions in addition to tuberculosis.

References and Notes

- 1. H. Clay et al., Cell Host Microbe 2, 29 (2007).
- 2. A. J. Wolf et al., J. Immunol. 179, 2509 (2007).
- 3. D. O. Adams, Am. J. Pathol. 84, 164 (1976).
- T. Ulrichs, S. H. Kaufmann, J. Pathol. 208, 261 (2006).
- 5. H. E. Volkman et al., PLoS Biol. 2, e367 (2004).
- 6. J. M. Davis, L. Ramakrishnan, Cell 136, 37 (2009).
- P. E. Van den Steen et al., Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 37, 375 (2002).
- K. J. Greenlee, Z. Werb, F. Kheradmand, *Physiol. Rev.* 87, 69 (2007).
- 9. N. M. Price et al., J. Immunol. 166, 4223 (2001).
- 10. J. L. Taylor et al., Infect. Immun. 74, 6135 (2006).
- 11. K. J. Park et al., Respiration 72, 166 (2005).
- 12. P. Sheen et al., Eur. Respir. J. 33, 134 (2009).
- H. Clay, H. E. Volkman, L. Ramakrishnan, *Immunity* 29, 283 (2008).
- P. A. DiGiuseppe Champion, J. S. Cox, Cell. Microbiol. 9, 1376 (2007).
- R. Simeone, D. Bottai, R. Brosch, *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 12, 4 (2009).
- S. C. Derrick, S. L. Morris, *Cell. Microbiol.* 9, 1547 (2007).
- 17. J. C. Chang et al., Thorax 51, 306 (1996).
- N. M. Price, R. H. Gilman, J. Uddin, S. Recavarren, J. S. Friedland, J. Immunol. **171**, 5579 (2003).
- 19. S. Shi et al., J. Exp. Med. 198, 987 (2003).
- P. T. Elkington et al., Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 37, 431–437 (2007).
- 21. X. W. Zhu, N. M. Price, R. H. Gilman, S. Recarvarren, J. S. Friedland, J. Infect. Dis. **196**, 1076 (2007).
- D. Le Guellec, G. Morvan-Dubois, J. Y. Sire, Int. J. Dev. Biol. 48, 217 (2004).
- 23. D. R. Sherman *et al.*, *J. Infect. Dis.* **190**, 123 (2004).
- 24. I. C. Koo et al., Cell. Microbiol. 10, 1866 (2008).

- S. A. Stanley, S. Raghavan, W. W. Hwang, J. S. Cox, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 13001 (2003).
- L. M. Stamm *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* **198**, 1361 (2003).
 M. Hagedorn, K. H. Rohde, D. G. Russell, T. Soldati, *Science* **323**, 1729 (2009).
- 28. D. G. Russell, Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 5, 39 (2007).
- 29. T. Hsu et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 12420 (2003).
- 30. M. I. de Jonge et al., J. Bacteriol. 189, 6028 (2007).
- 31. Materials and methods are available as supporting
- material on Science Online.
- 32. We thank J. I. Gordon, W. Parks, D. Raible, D. Sherman, K. Urdahl, and P. Elkington for advice and discussion: D. Beery and R. Kim for help with microinjections; and J. Cameron, L. Swaim, and H. Wiedenhoft for fish facility maintenance. We also thank K. Winglee for developing FPC analysis methods, R. Burmeister for graphic design, and D. Tobin, B. Cormack, W. Parks, D. Stetson, R. Berg, and F. Chu for review of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Burroughs Wellcome Fund (L.R.), the Pew Scholars Program (J.F.R.), the NIH (L.R. and J.F.R.), an American Heart Association predoctoral fellowship (H.E.V.), a Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society postdoctoral award, the Children's Health Research Center new investigator award, an NIH diversity supplement (T.C.P.), and a National Defense Science and Engineering predoctoral fellowship (1.M.D.).

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1179663/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S7 Tables S1 to S4

References Movies S1 to S3

24 July 2009; accepted 19 November 2009 Published online 10 December 2009; 10.1126/science.1179663 Include this information when citing this paper.

Evolution of MRSA During Hospital Transmission and Intercontinental Spread

Simon R. Harris,^{1*} Edward J. Feil,^{2*} Matthew T. G. Holden,¹ Michael A. Quail,¹ Emma K. Nickerson,^{3,4} Narisara Chantratita,³ Susana Gardete,^{5,6} Ana Tavares,⁵ Nick Day,^{3,7} Jodi A. Lindsay,⁸ Jonathan D. Edgeworth,^{9,10} Hermínia de Lencastre,^{5,6} Julian Parkhill,¹ Sharon J. Peacock,^{3,4} Stephen D. Bentley¹†

Current methods for differentiating isolates of predominant lineages of pathogenic bacteria often do not provide sufficient resolution to define precise relationships. Here, we describe a highthroughput genomics approach that provides a high-resolution view of the epidemiology and microevolution of a dominant strain of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). This approach reveals the global geographic structure within the lineage, its intercontinental transmission through four decades, and the potential to trace person-to-person transmission within a hospital environment. The ability to interrogate and resolve bacterial populations is applicable to a range of infectious diseases, as well as microbial ecology.

The development of molecular typing techniques has been instrumental in studying the population structure and evolution of bacterial pathogens. Sequence-based approaches, such as multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (1), have resulted in large searchable databases of the most clinically important species. However, MLST defines variation within a very small sample of the genome and cannot distinguish between closely related isolates. Full-genome sequencing provides a complete inventory of microevolutionary changes, but this approach is impractical for large population samples. The use of nextgeneration sequencing technologies, such as Illumina Genome Analyzer, bridges this gap by mapping genome-wide single-nucleotide poly-