Afferent Regulation of Chicken Auditory Brainstem Neurons: Rapid Changes in Phosphorylation of Elongation Factor 2
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ABSTRACT

The relationships between protein synthesis and neuronal survival are poorly understood. In chicken nucleus magnocellularis (NM), significant alterations in overall protein synthesis precede neuronal death induced by deprivation of excitatory afferent activity. Previously we demonstrated an initial reduction in the overall rate of protein synthesis in all deprived NM neurons, followed by quick recovery (starting at 6 hours) in some, but not all, neurons. Neurons with recovered protein synthesis ultimately survive, whereas others become “ghost” cells (no detectable Nissl substance) at 12–24 hours and die within 48 hours. To explore the mechanisms underlying this differential influence of afferent input on protein synthesis and cell survival, the current study investigates the involvement of eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2 (eEF2), the phosphorylation of which reduces overall protein synthesis. Using immunocytochemistry for either total or phosphorylated eEF2 (p-eEF2), we found significant reductions in the level of phosphorylated, but not total, eEF2 in NM neurons as early as 0.5–1 hour following cochlea removal. Unexpectedly, neurons with low levels of p-eEF2 show reduced protein synthesis at 6 hours, indicated by a marker for active ribosomes. At 12 hours, all “ghost” cells exhibited little or no p-eEF2 staining, although not every neuron with a comparable low level of p-eEF2 was a “ghost” cell. These observations demonstrate that a reduced level of p-eEF2 is not responsible for immediate responses (including reduced overall protein synthesis) of a neuron to compromised afferent input but may impair the neuron’s ability to initiate recovery signaling for survival and make the neuron more vulnerable to death.
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The importance of afferent input to neural integrity has been well demonstrated in many systems (Guillery, 1973; Kalil, 1980; Trune, 1982; Clarke and Egloff, 1988; Rubel and Fritzsch, 2002; Vankirk and Byrd, 2003). Neuronal cell death induced by afferent deprivation involves significant alterations in the overall rate of protein synthesis as well as in the expression level of certain apoptotic and antiapoptotic proteins (Steward and Rubel, 1985; Garden et al., 1994; Mostafapour et al., 2000, 2002; Wilkinson et al., 2002, 2003; Robinson et al., 2003; Karnes et al., 2009). However, it is not fully understood how overall protein synthesis and translation of specific proteins are regulated by afferent inputs and how this regulation influences cell survival.

One important way in which protein synthesis is regulated is through phosphorylation-induced modulation of the activity of eukaryotic translation elongation factor 2 (eEF2). eEF2 catalyzes changes in ribosome conformation for elongation of the amino acid chain during the elongation phase of protein translation (Nairn and Palfrey, 1987; Jørgensen et al., 2006; Kaul et al., 2011). When phosphorylated, eEF2 loses its affinity for the ribosome, slowing or stopping translation and overall protein synthesis.
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rons die and others survive under the same challenge. Nal survival by addressing the question of why some neurons dies quickly within 2 days, whereas others survive the course of cellular changes resulting in cell death or survival. A subpopulation of neurons (BM and RB, 1985; Hashisaki and RB, 1989; Parmer et al., 1999; Arora et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2006; Nakamura et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011).

The role of eEF2 phosphorylation-mediated protein synthesis in neuronal cell survival, however, has been considerably less well-studied. Although tight regulation of eEF2 phosphorylation by sensory input and glutamate neurotransmission has been documented extensively (Marin et al., 1997; Scheetz et al., 1997, 2000; Chotiner et al., 2003; Sutton et al., 2004, 2006, 2007; Lenz and Avruch, 2005; Cossenza et al., 2006; Nosyreva and Kavali, 2010; Autry et al., 2011), this regulation is studied mostly for its involvement in local dendritic protein translation and activity-dependent synaptic plasticity (Scheetz et al., 2000; Park et al., 2008; Verpelli et al., 2010). In contrast, whether and how eEF2 activity affects the survival of a neuron after a variety of challenges remains for the most part unknown. One exception is a study in cultured cortical neurons in which eEF2 phosphorylation induces suppression in overall protein translation, apparently protecting neurons from glutamate excitotoxicity (Marin et al., 1997).

Here we identify a novel relationship among eEF2 phosphorylation, overall protein synthesis, and afferent-regulated cell survival and/or death in chicken brainstem auditory pathways. In birds, the nucleus magnocellularis (NM) contains a homogeneous population of neurons that is comparable to bushy neurons in the mammalian anteroventral cochlear nucleus (AVCN). NM and AVCN neurons receive excitatory input from the ipsilateral auditory nerve. Deprivation of this excitatory input by removal of the cochlea induces age-dependent cell death in both nuclei (Born and RB, 1985; Hashisaki and RB, 1989; Moore, 1990; Tierney et al., 1997; Rubel and Fritzsch, 2002; Mostafapour et al., 2000, 2002). In NM, the time course of cellular changes resulting in cell death or survival has been studied in detail: a subpopulation of neurons dies quickly within 2 days, whereas others survive (Born and RB, 1985). Thus NM provides an excellent model for identifying critical signaling required in neuronal survival by addressing the question of why some neurons die and others survive under the same challenge.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the overall rate of protein synthesis is a reliable indicator of the fate of individual neurons following afferent deprivation. Shortly after cochlea removal, all deprived NM neurons exhibit reduced overall protein synthesis (Steward and RB, 1985; Born and RB, 1988) and metabolic activity (Heil and Scheich, 1986; Born et al., 1991). Six hours later, approximately 70% of deprived neurons begin to recover synthetic and metabolic activity and ultimately survive, whereas the remaining 30% continue with low levels of synthetic activity and die within 2 days following polyribosome degradation and mitochondrial vacuolization (Born and RB, 1985; Steward and RB, 1985; Garden et al., 1994, 1995a,b). Thus, a persistent reduction in the overall rate of protein synthesis marks cell death following afferent deprivation. Two remaining questions are how afferent deprivation leads to the initial reduction in protein synthesis and why the later recovery takes place in some but not all neurons.

By using immunocytochemistry, the current study demonstrates rapid reductions in the level of phosphorylated, but not total, eEF2 in NM neurons following ipsilateral cochlea removal. Many neurons with low levels of p-eEF2 are not able to recover adequate protein synthesis levels and eventually die, demonstrating a robust relationship between the level of p-eEF2 and neuron survival following afferent deprivation. Given that eEF2 phosphorylation reduces overall protein synthesis, we suggest that the observed reduction in the level of p-eEF2 is not directly related to the early reduction in overall protein synthesis of deprived NM neurons but may contribute to a failure in initiating or upregulating recovery/survival pathways in challenged neurons.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fifty-seven white leghorn chicken hatchlings 4–10 days old were used for this study. Eggs were obtained from Featherland Farms (Eugene, OR) and incubated and hatched at a University of Washington vivarium. All procedures were approved by the University of Washington Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. All efforts were made to minimize the pain and discomfort of animals and to minimize the number of animals used.

Cochlea removal

Deprivation of excitatory afferent input to NM neurons on the right side of the brain was produced by removal of the right basilar papilla (the avian cochlea). Excitatory afferent input to the left NM remained intact so that NM neurons on the left side of the brain served as a within-
animal control. The surgical procedure was described previously (Born and Rubel, 1985). Briefly, animals were anesthetized with a mixture of 40 mg/kg ketamine and 12 mg/kg xylazine. A small incision was made to widen the external ear canal. The tympanic membrane and middle ear ossicle were removed, and the cochlea was pulled out through the round window. The removed cochlea was floated on water and examined with a dissecting microscope to verify complete removal. Only animals with a complete removal of the right cochlea were used for further tissue processing and data analyses. Animals were allowed to survive for 0.5 (n = 7), 1 (n = 9), 3 (n = 7), 6 (n = 11), 12 (n = 9), or 48 (n = 4) hours. An additional 10 unoperated animals were used as controls.

Antibody characterization

Four primary antibodies were used for immunocytochemistry. To avoid staining saturation and to ensure the ability of detecting bidirectional changes in staining optical density, the optimal concentration for each antibody was determined by testing a series of dilutions ranging from 1:500 to 1:50. Immunogen, host species, clone type, manufacturer’s information, as well as dilution used for each antibody, are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Immunogen</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-eEF2</td>
<td>Synthetic peptide corresponding to residues at amino terminus of human eEF2; “FTVDQIRAIMDKKANIR”</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-p-eEF2</td>
<td>Synthetic phosphopeptide corresponding to residues surrounding Thr56 of human eEF2; “GETRFIDTRK”; the phosphor-threonine is indicated in lower case</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1:250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y10B</td>
<td>A hybridoma was made by fusing spleen cells from a mouse model for autoimmune disease with the myeloma SP 2/0; the antibodies produced were screened, and the antibody for rRNA was identified by its specificity for nucleic acid motifs common to most rRNA molecules</td>
<td>Provided by Dr. Joan Steitz</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>1:500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-MAP2</td>
<td>Bovine brain MAP2 (aa 997–1332)</td>
<td>Chemicon International</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal</td>
<td>1:1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The optimal primary antibody concentration was obtained by running a series of concentration tests to avoid floor or ceiling truncation, including a negative control omitting primary antibody. eEF2, eukaryotic elongation factor 2; p-eEF2, phosphorylated eEF2; MAP2, microtubule-associated protein 2.

Figure 1. Western blot assay of anti-eEF2 and anti-p-eEF2 in HEK293 cells (A) and in chick dorsocaudal brainstem (B). Molecular weight standards (left) were used to determine relative sizes of labeled proteins. Anti-eEF2 detects a single band of approximately 95 kDa in both HEK293 cells and chick brainstem regardless of phosphatase treatment. Anti-p-eEF2 detects a single band of the same molecular weight in chick brainstem and HEK293 cells under normal conditions but is absent in HEK293 cells following phosphatase treatment.

in HEK293 cells with or without treatment of phosphatase, which dephosphorylates eEF2 (Fig. 1A).

Anti-p-eEF2 detects endogenous levels of eEF2 only when phosphorylated at Thr56. It does not recognize eEF2 phosphorylated at other sites; however, eEF2 cannot be phosphorylated at its other phosphorylation site, Thr58, without first being phosphorylated at Thr56, and double-phosphorylated eEF2 has activity nearly identical
to that of single-phosphorylated eEF2 (Redpath et al., 1993). According to the data sheet from the manufacturer, this anti-p-eEF2 antibody recognizes chicken p-eEF2 at 95 kDa, which we confirmed by performing a Western blot on chicken brainstem tissue. The anti-p-eEF2 antibody recognized a single band with the appropriate molecular weight (Fig. 1B). In addition, this antibody detects a band of ~95 kDa in HEK293 cells in normal buffer. This band is absent in HEK293 cells treated with phoshatase (Fig. 1A).

The Y10B antibody for ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was obtained from pooled supernatant collected from the Y10B hybridoma that was originally provided by Dr. Joan Steitz at Yale University. The production of the antibody was described previously (Lerner et al., 1981). The Y10B antibody immunoprecipitates whole ribosomes as well as all sizes of phenol-extracted rRNA, indicating that it recognizes a nucleic acid motif common to many rRNAs (Lerner et al., 1981; Garden et al., 1994). This antibody has been used extensively in previous studies in the chicken auditory brainstem nucleus as a marker for active ribosomes and overall protein synthesis (Garden et al., 1994, 1995a,b; Hyson and Rubel, 1995; Kim et al., 2005). The staining pattern in the current study is comparable to that reported previously.

Anti-microtubule-associated protein 2 (anti-MAP2) detects endogenous levels of MAP2a and MAP2b protein, a neuronal marker that associates with microtubules, neurofilaments, and actin filaments. According to the manufacturer’s data sheet, anti-MAP2 recognizes chicken MAP-2 as a 300-kDa band on Western blot analysis. This antibody has been used as a somatodendritic marker in previous studies in the chicken brain (Yamaguchi et al., 2008, 2011) and, more specifically, in chicken auditory brainstem nuclei, including NM (Wang and Rubel, 2008; Wang et al., 2009). The staining pattern in the current study is comparable to that reported from these studies.

**Western blot**

A Western blot immunoassay was conducted to confirm the specificity of the anti-eEF2 and anti-p-eEF2 used in the present study. For phosphatase treatment, HEK293 cells were incubated in a lysis buffer with calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP; No. M0290S; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) at 1 unit/μg protein for 30 minutes at 37°C before harvest. Brain protein samples were harvested from the NM and the surrounding region in the dorsocaudal brainstem of chicks. Molecular weight standards were used to determine relative sizes of labeled proteins. The Western blot procedure for this tissue has been described previously (Wang et al., 2009). Briefly, all samples were homogenized in lysis buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail (No. P8340; Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Each sample (5 μg protein) was boiled for 5 minutes to denature protein and loaded onto a 4–20% SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The gel was run for 100 minutes at 100 V. Protein was then electroblotted to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat milk and probed with the antibodies (1:1,000). Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:3,000; Bio-Rad) were used for detection with enhanced chemiluminescent reagents (ECL; Amersham, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, England). An antibody against β-actin (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) was used as a protein loading control.

**Immunocytochemistry**

After the surgery and designated survival periods, animals were deeply anesthetized and transcardially perfused with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 0.01 M), followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. Brains were removed from the skull, postfixed overnight, and cryoprotected in 15% and 30% sucrose in PBS. The brains were then frozen with dry ice and sliced coronally at 30 μm on a sledge freezing microtome. Alternate consecutive sections were collected in PBS and labeled by single or double immunocytochemistry.

For single immunocytochemistry for eEF2 or p-eEF2, free-floating sections were incubated in a primary antibody solution with normal goat serum (1:200) at 4°C overnight. This was followed by incubation with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:400; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) at 4°C overnight. Sections were then incubated in avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex solution (1:100; ABC Elite Kit; Vector Laboratories) for 1 hour at room temperature. Immunoreactivity was visualized by incubating sections for 6–10 minutes in 0.045% 3,3-diaminobenzidine (Sigma) with 0.03% hydrogen peroxide. Sections were mounted on glass slides, then dehydrated, cleared, and coverslipped with DPX mounting medium (EMS, Hatfield, PA).

For double immunocytochemistry, after primary antibody incubation, sections were incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies, Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit and Alexa 568 goat anti-mouse (1:200; Nos. A11008 and A11004, respectively; Invitrogen Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 2 hours at room temperature. For double labeling of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity and Nissl substance, sections were first immunolabeled for p-eEF2 using Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody and Nissl substance. Sections were then mounted on glass slides and coverslipped with Fluoromount (No. 0100-01; Southern Biotechnology, Birmingham, AL).
Quantification of changes in eEF2 and p-eEF2 immunoreactivities

All measurements were conducted with sections labeled with single immunocytochemistry. Four to eight animals were used for each survival group and each antibody. For each animal, three sections were chosen: one each from the caudal, intermediate, and rostral regions of NM, as close as possible to the 25%, 50%, and 75% positions in the caudal-rostral axis of the nucleus, respectively. For each section, NM neurons on each side of the brain were imaged via brightfield optics with a 63 lens on a Zeiss Axioplan microscope. For areas containing lightly labeled neurons, an additional image was captured using differential interference contrast to help identify cell and nuclear boundaries. Neurons whose boundaries could not be unambiguously identified or those that did not contain a well-defined nucleus in the images were excluded from analyses. For each included neuron, the mean gray value of the cytoplasm (the nucleus was excluded) was measured in ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) and converted to optical density by using a step tablet. No further image adjustments were made except for the images used for illustration.

To allow quantified comparison between sides and survival groups, the optical density of each neuron was normalized to generate a z-score via a method that has been used extensively to quantify changes in staining intensities at the individual cell level (Born and Rubel, 1988; Garden et al., 1994, 1995a,b; Hyson and Rubel, 1995; Karnes et al., 2010). Briefly, for each section, the mean and standard deviation of the optical density were calculated across all measured neurons in the left (intact) NM and used as standards to which the optical density of each individual neuron in the same section on that side of the brain or on the opposite side (deprived side) was normalized. A neuron’s z-score, therefore, represents the number of standard deviations that its optical density is away from the mean optical density of the left (intact) NM. This calculation is described by the following formula, with OD representing optical density:

\[
\text{z-score} = \frac{(\text{OD}_{\text{individual}} - \text{mean OD}_{\text{left NM}})}{\text{standard deviation of OD}_{\text{left NM}}}
\]

z-scores from the same side of all three sections of the same animal were compiled as a single database. Frequency histograms of z-scores were graphed for individual animals and compiled across all animals in each survival group, referred to as individual and grouped histograms, respectively.

To quantify differences in staining intensity among survival times, the following analyses were conducted. The first analysis examined changes in the overall range of staining intensities between the deprived and the control side of the brains at each survival time. The distribution of z-scores in the left NM defines the normal dynamic range of the staining intensity, and 95% of neurons have a z-score between 2 and -2. In the right (deprived) NM, z-scores above 2 and below -2 indicate increased and decreased staining intensities, respectively. The percentages of neurons with z-scores above 2 and below -2 were calculated for the right (deprived) NM of each individual animal. The percentages were used as individual data points for comparisons between different survival groups and unmanipulated animals, using Student’s unpaired t-test to determine significance. For the second analysis, z-scores of all neurons in each side of NM in each animal were averaged. The averaged z-scores were used as individual data points for comparison between the deprived and intact sides of the same survival groups using Student’s paired t-test. In addition, we compared the average z-scores of the deprived side of each experimental group to unmanipulated animals using Student’s unpaired t-test. In these analyses \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Correlation analyses of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity with Y10B immunoreactivity and Nissl stain

These analyses were conducted using fluorescently double-labeled sections. Four animals were used for each correlation analysis. For each animal, two or three well-labeled sections were chosen from the intermediate region of NM. For each section, two or three images were taken from each side of NM using an Olympus FV-1000 Confocal microscope with a 20 lens. The two fluorescent channels were imaged sequentially to avoid bleedthrough between channels. Only neurons with an identifiable cell boundary and a well-defined nucleus were included in the analyses. For each neuron, the integrated optical density of the labeling within the cytoplasm was measured for each channel in ImageJ. Once all neurons in an image had been measured, the integrated optical density of each neuron was normalized to the median integrated density of all measured NM neurons in the same image and the same channel. The normalized integrated density for p-eEF2 immunoreactivity was graphed in a scatterplot as a function of the normalized integrated density for Y10B immunoreactivity or as a function of the normalized integrated density for Neurotrace labeling, from neurons within individual sections or by combining all neurons across sections and animals. Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed to determine the significance of the correlation between p-eEF2 and Y10B labeling or between p-eEF2 and Neurotrace labeling (Prism; GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA).
Imaging
digital images of selected sections were captured with a Zeiss Axioplan microscope and collected in SlideBook (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO) or by using confocal microscopy (Fluoview 1000; Olympus, Center Valley, PA). Image brightness and contrast adjustments were performed in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA).

RESULTS
Expression and phosphorylation of eEF2 in normally innervated NM neurons
The expression and localization of eEF2 in normally innervated NM neurons were examined using an antibody recognizing eEF2 protein independent of its phosphorylation status. Most, if not all, NM neurons exhibit strong eEF2 immunoreactivity in the cytoplasm, in contrast to light labeling in the nucleus (Fig. 2A). To validate the use of the left NM as an intra-animal control in evaluating changes in eEF2 immunoreactivity in the right NM following removal of the right cochlea, we quantified relative z-scores of the optical density of eEF2 labeling in individual NM neurons in unmanipulated animals (see Materials and Methods). Both the mean and the distribution of z-scores are comparable between left and right NMs in individual animals (Fig. 2E) as well as when neurons from multiple animals are combined (Fig. 2G).

Because eEF2 activity is regulated mostly by its phosphorylation, in that eEF2 is inactivated once phosphorylated (Ryazanov et al., 1988), we further examined the level and distribution of p-eEF2 in NM neurons using a phosphospecific (Thr56) eEF2 antibody. As expected, p-eEF2 is concentrated mostly in the cytoplasm of NM neurons (Fig. 2B). Notably, the intensity of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity varies dramatically among individual neurons from virtually background levels to prominent staining, suggesting that individual NM neurons differ from each other in their p-eEF2 level at any given moment under physiological conditions. Although the neurons containing levels of cytoplasmic p-eEF2 labeling as low as the background occur infrequently (2.4% of all measured neurons in control animals), they often cluster (Fig. 2B, arrowheads) and are found in any part of the NM. We verified that the cells containing little or no p-eEF2 labeling are intact, healthy neurons by double labeling NM neurons with both p-eEF2 and MAP2, a somatodendritic marker. MAP2 labels every neuron in NM (Fig. 2C), whereas the level of p-eEF2 in the same neurons varies largely (Fig. 2D). Both the mean and the distribution of z-scores of optical density of p-eEF2 are comparable between the left and the right NMs in individual animals (Fig. 2F) as well as when neurons from multiple animals are combined (Fig. 2H).

Unchanged eEF2 protein level following cochlea removal
To explore whether eEF2 protein level is altered in afferent-deprived NM neurons, we examined changes in total eEF2 immunoreactivity between 0.5 and 48 hours following removal of the right cochlea. No notable difference in eEF2 immunoreactivity was detected at any of these time points between the left (intact) and the right (deprived) NM neuronal populations (Fig. 3). The distributions of z-scores between the two NMs were largely comparable in individual animals (Fig. 3C,F,I) as well as when neurons from multiple animals were combined, although the dynamic range of z-scores in the deprived NM appeared slightly larger than that in the intact NM at some survival times, in particular at 0.5 hours (Fig. 4). The percentages of deprived neurons having a z-score below $-2$, between 2 and $-2$ (representing the 95% confidence interval of the distribution), and above 2 were not significantly different from these values in the intact NM (Fig. 5A; $n = 4$–6 animals for each group; Student’s unpaired t-test was used for this and all following analyses unless stated otherwise). The mean z-score of eEF2 immunoreactivity of deprived NM neurons was not significantly different from the intact NM in any survival group (Student’s paired t-test). In addition, the mean z-score of eEF2 immunoreactivity averaged from all neurons in the deprived NM was not significantly different between control and each survival group (Fig. 5C). We conclude that afferent deprivation induced by cochlea removal does not significantly alter eEF2 protein levels within 48 hours.

Reduced p-eEF2 level in NM neurons following cochlea removal
Changes in the immunoreactivity for p-eEF2 were then examined at the same time points following cochlea removal. At 0.5 hours following the surgery, more neurons in the deprived NM exhibited p-eEF2 immunoreactivity at very low levels than neurons in the intact NM of the same animal (Fig. 6A,B; $n = 6$ animals). The distribution of z-scores shifted toward negative in individual cases (Fig. 6C) as well as when neurons from all animals in the survival group are combined (Fig. 7A). An average of 23.6% neurons had a z-score less than $-2$ compared to 7.6% in the control group, although this difference was not significant (Fig. 5B). The mean z-score of deprived NM neurons was not significantly different from the intact NM at this time point (Student’s paired t-test). In addition, the mean z-score averaged from all measured neurons in the deprived NM was lower than that calculated from the
Figure 2. Immunoreactivity for eEF2 and p-eEF2 in normally innervated NM neurons. A,B: Differential interference contrast (DIC) images of NM neurons labeled by eEF2 (A) or p-eEF2 (B) immunoreactivity. Note high variability of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity across NM neurons. Arrowheads indicate a cluster of very lightly labeled neurons. Image contrast, brightness, and gamma were adjusted in Adobe Photoshop CS4 for optimal viewing in this and subsequent figures. Paired sections always had identical manipulations of these properties. C,D: Fluorescent images of the same section through NM neurons double labeled for MAP2 (C) and p-eEF2 (D) immunoreactivity. Arrows indicate neurons that exhibit high levels of both MAP2 and p-eEF2, and arrowheads indicate neurons that exhibit a high level of MAP2 and a low level of p-eEF2 labeling. Dashed lines outline the border of two lightly labeled cells in D. E,F: Frequency histograms of z-scores of NM neurons labeled for eEF2 (E) or p-eEF2 (F) from an individual animal. G,H: Grouped frequency histograms of z-scores of NM neurons labeled for eEF2 (G) or p-eEF2 (H) complied from all control animals. Each neuron represents an individual data point in these histograms. For both eEF2 and p-eEF2 immunoreactivities, the distribution of z-scores is comparable between the left (gray bars) and right (black bars) NMs. Each bar represents the total number or percentage of neurons with a z-score within ±0.5 of the assigned value of the bin, and this applies to all histograms in this and subsequent figures. Scale bars = 20 μm in A (applies to A,B); 10 μm in C (applies to C,D).
unmanipulated animals, although this difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 5C).

Changes first observed at 0.5 hours became more prominent between 1 and 6 hours. On average, more neurons in the deprived NM exhibited low levels of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity (Fig. 6E,H,K, arrowheads), with 25.6%, 32.4%, and 47.7% of neurons having a z-score less than −2 at 1 hour (n = 8 animals), 3 hours (n = 6 animals), and 6 hours (n = 6 animals), respectively, which were all significantly greater percentages than in the control (Fig. 5B). The mean z-score of deprived NM neurons was significantly lower than that for the intact NM at each of these time points (Student’s paired t-test). In addition, the mean z-score averaged from all measured neurons in the deprived NM was significantly lower than that of unmanipulated animals at these time points (Fig. 5C).

At 12 hours, these changes seemed to be less dramatic: an average of 32.9% of neurons had a z-score less than −2 and this was not significantly different from the control (Fig. 5A; n = 6 animals). However, the mean z-score of deprived NM neurons remained significantly lower than that in the intact NM at this time point (Student’s paired t-test). In addition, the mean z-score averaged from all measured neurons in the deprived NM at 12 hours was significantly lower than that of unmanipulated animals (Fig. 5C). It is important to note that these less dramatic changes probably resulted from undersampling of neurons with very low levels of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity, instead of indicating a recovery from reduced p-eEF2 immunoreactivity. Some of the neurons might not have matched the criteria for being included in data analyses because of poorly defined nuclear and cell

![Image](https://example.com/image1.png)

**Figure 3.** Time course of eEF2 immunoreactivity at 1 hour (A–C), 6 hours (D–F), and 48 hours (G–I) following unilateral cochlea removal. Each row represents an individual animal from a survival group and is organized from left to right in the following order: an image of the left (intact) NM (for example in the first row, A), an image of the right (deprived) NM (B), and individual frequency histograms of z-scores in both NMs (C). Each neuron represents an individual data point in these histograms. No notable difference in eEF2 immunoreactivity between the intact and the deprived NMs is detected at any time points. The distribution of z-scores is comparable between the two NMs at all time points. Scale bar = 20 μm.
boundaries at this time. As described below, some neurons with low levels of p-eEF2 eventually degrade and die. Interestingly, there were significantly fewer neurons with a z-score above 2 at 12 hours compared with the control.

As expected, by 48 hours, the volume of the deprived NM was smaller, and fewer neurons were visible with p-eEF2 or Nissl staining than in the intact NM (data not shown; see Born and Rubel, 1985). The distribution of staining intensities for p-eEF2 immunoreactivity of the survived neurons in the deprived NM was within the normal range of the immunoreactivity in the intact NM (Figs. 5B, 6P–R, 7F; n = 4 animals), and the mean z-score of deprived NM neurons was not significantly different from that for the intact NM at this time point (Student’s paired t-test). In addition, the mean z-score averaged from all measured neurons in the deprived NM was not significantly different from that of unmanipulated animals (Fig. 5C).

In summary, cochlea removal does not lead to significant changes in the intensity of eEF2 immunoreactivity but does produce significant and rapid reductions in the intensity of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity in NM neurons. Therefore, the observed reductions in p-eEF2 are due to changes in the phosphorylation status of existing eEF2 proteins rather than decreases in the total amount of eEF2 protein.

Correlation of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity with cell survival in NM

To explore the relationship of eEF2 phosphorylation with cell survival or death in NM neurons, we examined...
the correlation between p-eEF2 immunoreactivity and cell death in NM induced by cochlea removal. Dying neurons can be identified by a ghost-like appearance in Nissl-stained sections as early as 12 hours following the surgery, well before their final degradation at 24–48 hours (Born and Rubel, 1985; Steward and Rubel, 1985). We double labeled these NM neurons with p-eEF2 immunocytochemistry and Neurotrace, a fluorescent Nissl marker (Fig. 8). As expected, intensities of both p-eEF2 immuno-

reactivity and Neurotrace labeling varied greatly across NM neurons, particularly in the deprived NM at 12 hours. The level of cytoplasmic Neurotrace labeling was associated with the level of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity; neurons with a lower level of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity tended to have a lower level of Neurotrace labeling (Fig. 8A,B,D). Correlation analyses revealed significant correlations of normalized intensities of these two types of labeling at the individual cell level in deprived NM (Fig. 8F; n = 460 neurons; P < 0.0001). In particular, we observed “ghost” neurons with low cytoplasmic and high nuclear Neurotrace labeling (Fig. 8A,B; arrowheads in insets), indicating a condensed nucleus and the onset of apoptosis. Most, if not all, ghost neurons exhibited no reliable p-eEF2 immunoreactivity above the background level, although not every neuron with low p-eEF2 levels was a ghost neuron (Fig. 8A,B; asterisks in insets). We detected a similar and significant correlation of p-eEF2 and Neurotrace labeling in the intact NM of the same animals (Fig. 8C,E; n = 403 neurons; P < 0.0001), but there was a significant group of cells with low levels of both labels in the deprived group that does not appear on the intact side (box in Fig. 8D).

Correlation of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity with overall protein synthesis in NM

A ghost-like appearance of afferent-deprived NM neurons indicates the onset of apoptosis. To exclude the possibility that the absence of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity in ghost neurons is purely a result of compromised cell integrity, we explored the correlation of p-eEF2 level with cell survival at an earlier time point, before the onset of irreversible apoptosis. To achieve this goal, we double labeled NM neurons with p-eEF2 and Y10B immunocytochemistry at 6 hours following cochlea removal. Y10B is a reliable marker for the overall rate of protein synthesis and cell survival in NM neurons at 6 hours after cochlea removal (Garden et al., 1994). More importantly, deprived NM neurons can be rescued from death by restoring afferent activity at 6 hours, which restores synthetic and metabolic activities of deprived NM neurons (Born and Rubel, 1988). Similar to what we found by double labeling with p-eEF2 and Neurotrace, intensities of both p-eEF2 and Y10B immunoreactivity varied greatly across neurons in deprived and intact NM (Fig. 9), and the level of cytoplasmic Y10B was highly correlated with the level of p-eEF2 on both sides of the brain (Fig. 9; n = 460 and 406, respectively; P < 0.0001). Again, examining the raw data from individual cases revealed a significant group of neurons with abnormally low levels of both labels in the deprived NM, which does not appear on the intact side. Representative examples from individual case are shown in Figure 9C,D.
Figure 6. Time course of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity at 0.5 hours (A–C), 1 hour (D–F), 3 hours (G–I), 6 hours (J–L), 12 hours (M–O), and 48 hours (P–R) following unilateral cochlea removal. Each row represents an individual animal from a survival group and is organized from left to right in the following order: an image of the left (intact) NM (for example in the first row, A), an image of the right (deprived) NM (B), and individual frequency histograms of z-scores in both NMs (C). Each neuron represents an individual data point in these histograms. Arrows and arrowheads in the images indicate examples of darkly and lightly labeled neurons, respectively. Arrows in the histograms point out two visually detectable peaks of the z-score distribution in the deprived NM (black bars). Note that not all animals exhibit two readily detected peaks. At 48 hours, the distribution of the immunoreactivity is comparable between two NMs. Scale bar = 20 μm.
DISCUSSION

This study examines changes in eEF2 activity following deprivation of excitatory afferent input and explores its involvement in protein synthesis regulation and cell survival in the chicken NM. Our data demonstrate three major conclusions. First, under normally innervated conditions, individual NM neurons differ in p-eEF2 level at any given biological moment. Second, afferent input dramatically regulates eEF2 activity posttranslationally in NM neurons. Afferent deprivation causes rapid decreases in the level of p-eEF2 but not total eEF2. Third, the level of p-eEF2 in individual NM neurons is associated with the overall rate of protein translation and cell survival at later time points following afferent deprivation. Potential mechanisms underlying afferent regulation of eEF2 phosphorylation as well as functional implications of eEF2 phosphorylation in protein translation and cell survival are discussed below.

Afferent regulation of eEF2 activity

Afferent deprivation leads to posttranslational modification of eEF2 in NM neurons, consistent with the notion that eEF2 activity is regulated mostly by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (Kaul et al., 2011). Given that eEF2 has a half-life of greater than 16 hours (Terada et al., 1994), it is not surprising that no significant change in the total level of eEF2 is detected in deprived NM neurons within 12 hours despite a dramatic reduction in global protein synthesis during this period (Steward and Rubel, 1985; Born and Rubel, 1988). However, it is worth noting that eEF2 protein level is subject to regulation of intrinsic neuronal activity at the subcellular level. For example, neuronal activity evoked by high potassium regulates total eEF2 in nerve growth cones (Iizuka et al., 2007).

We believe that the neurotransmission interruption that is induced by cochlea removal is responsible for the observed reduction in the level of p-eEF2 in NM neurons. Cochlea removal leads to immediate cessation of action potentials of the auditory nerve and NM neurons (Born et al., 1991); death of ganglion cells does not occur for 24 hours or longer, and degenerating axons are not detected in NM until 48–72 hours (Lurie and Rubel, 1994). In a number of neuronal types, eEF2 phosphorylation is strongly correlated with sensory stimulation (Scheetz et al., 1997), glutamate application (Marin et al., 1997; Lenz and Avruch, 2005), and activation of metabotropic or ionotropic glutamate receptors (Marin et al., 1997; Scheetz et al., 1997, 2000; Chotiner et al., 2003;
Sutton et al., 2004, 2006, 2007; Cossenza et al., 2006; Nosyreva and Kavalali, 2010; Autry et al., 2011). In addition, blocking action potential-mediated transmission rapidly regulates the level of p-eEF2 in cultured hippocampus neurons (Piccoli et al., 2007; Sutton et al., 2007; Verpelli et al., 2010). Verpelli et al. (2010) further reported that

Figure 8. Correlation of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity with Neurotrace staining in NM neurons at 12 hours following cochlea removal. A, B: Images of p-eEF2 immunoreactivity (A) and Neurotrace labeling (B) in the same section in the deprived NM at 12 hours following cochlea removal. Neurons with a lower intensity of p-eEF2 labeling tend to have a lower level of Neurotrace labeling. Arrowheads indicate “ghost neurons” without reliable immunoreactivity for p-eEF2. Arrows indicate neurons with high intensities of both labels. Occasionally, neurons with a low level of p-eEF2 have a high level of Neurotrace staining (stars). Dashed lines outline the border of two lightly labeled neurons. White boxes indicate the locations of the insets. C, D: Scatterplots from a representative individual animal with unilateral cochlea removal showing integrative p-eEF2 intensity as a function of integrative Neurotrace intensity in intact (C) and afferent-deprived (D) NM neurons from the same section. Note a group of neurons (box in D) with abnormally low intensities for both labels present in the deprived, but not the intact, NM. E, F: Scatterplots of normalized grouped data; p-eEF2 intensity as a function of normalized Neurotrace intensity in intact (E) or afferent-deprived (F) NM neurons. All cells were combined from multiple sections and animals. Pearson’s correlation coefficient and significance are indicated for each plot. Scale bar = 25 μm in A (applies to A, B); 12.5 μm for insets.
this regulation has differential effects on eEF2 phosphorylation in dendrites and the soma, which is consistent with 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) neurotransmission having opposite effects on eEF2 phosphorylation in neurites and the soma of Aplysia sensory neurons (Weatherill et al., 2011). This subcellular specificity suggests that...
neurotransmission regulation of eEF2 activity is tightly associated with its specific function on site. Chicken NM neurons are mostly adendritic, providing a relatively simple model in which to explore cellular and molecular events involved in rapid regulation of eEF2 phosphorylation by neurotransmission.

Multiple mechanisms may be involved in the reduction in the level of p-eEF2 in afferent-deprived NM neurons. Such a reduction can result from a combination of a decrease in eEF2 phosphorylation and/or an active increase in eEF2 dephosphorylation. eEF2 phosphorylation is controlled mostly by eEF2 kinase, a calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase (Kaul et al., 2011). Calcium elevation or influx increases eEF2 phosphorylation through activation of eEF2 kinase (Marín et al., 1997; Iizuka et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009). Because the eEF2 phosphorylation system has a rapid turnover rate (Gschwendt et al., 1988; Arora et al., 2005), the level of p-eEF2 in a cell is largely controlled by the activity of eEF2 kinase. In chick NM, afferent deprivation leads to a rapid increase in the basal level of intracellular calcium concentration (Zirpel et al., 1995a,b; Zirpel and Rubel, 1996), which presumably should activate eEF2 kinase and thus enhance eEF2 phosphorylation, which is the opposite of what we observed. This paradox implicates additional regulatory pathways in controlling the level of p-eEF2 in NM neurons, such as cAMP-dependent protein kinase, which controls eEF2 kinase activity by phosphorylation (Mitsui et al., 1993; Redpath and Proud, 1993), or protein phosphatase 2A, which dephosphorylates eEF2 (Gschwendt et al., 1989). This suggestion is supported by a report that the induction of eEF2 phosphorylation by alcohol is controlled by an increase in cAMP-activated protein kinase, but not eEF2 kinase, and a decrease in protein phosphatase 2A activity (Hong-Brown et al., 2007).

We are unable to determine whether afferent deprivation affects the level of p-eEF2 in all, or only a subpopulation of, deprived NM neurons. It appears that in some animals a substantial percentage of deprived NM neurons maintains normal levels of p-eEF2, suggesting that they either start with a high level of p-eEF2 before the manipulations or undergo no or relatively small reductions after the manipulations. Dynamic approaches that are able to monitor the same neurons before and after afferent deprivation will help to clarify this issue.

eEF2 phosphorylation and protein synthesis

It has been well documented that phosphorylation inactivates eEF2, leading to decreased protein synthesis (Ryazanov et al., 1988; Carlberg et al., 1990; Redpath et al., 1993). In nonneuronal cell types, phosphorylation of eEF2 correlates with decreased translation during mitosis (Celis et al., 1990) and energy deprivation (Horman et al., 2002). Consistently, insulin-stimulated eEF2 dephosphorylation leads to enhanced protein synthesis (Redpath et al., 1996; Diggle et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2000). In addition, in hippocampal neurons, activity-dependent phosphorylation of eEF2 inhibits local protein translation in dendrites, whereas dephosphorylation promotes local translation (Sutton et al., 2007).

In the chicken NM, cochlea removal causes a dramatic reduction in protein synthesis in all deprived NM neurons at 0.5–3 hours (Steward and Rubel, 1985; Born and Rubel, 1988). If this reduction results from changes in eEF2 phosphorylation, we would expect to see increases in eEF2 phosphorylation in all deprived NM neurons. Unexpectedly, we observed dramatic decreases in eEF2 phosphorylation in a large number of deprived NM neurons. This paradox raises the possibility that afferent-regulated eEF2 phosphorylation does not account for the initial reductions in protein synthesis.

Protein synthesis regulators other than eEF2 may be responsible for the initial decrease in translation. Candidates include other elongation factors such as eEF1 (Scheetz et al., 2000; Browne and Proud, 2002), whose translation and phosphorylation are also regulated by glutamate and neuronal activity (Antion et al., 2008; Grange et al., 2009; Barrera et al., 2010). In addition, regulation of protein synthesis may take place at the initiation step of protein translation (Nakamoto, 2009; Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009; Hernández et al., 2010; Jackson et al., 2010). It is interesting to note that regulation of the initiation and elongation steps can take place simultaneously but selectively alter different subcellular compartments (Kanhem et al., 2006).

Although eEF2 dephosphorylation is unlikely to be responsible for the initial broad decrease in protein synthesis, the current study demonstrates that the overall rate of protein synthesis is correlated with the level of p-eEF2. At later time points after cochlea removal (6 and 12 hours), neurons that are apparently unable to regain synthetic activity are the ones with abnormally lower levels of p-eEF2. This observation suggests that eEF2 phosphorylation is associated with signaling critical for the recovery of protein synthesis. Identification of the inducer(s) of protein suppression in NM neurons is required to elaborate further the relationship between protein synthesis and eEF2 phosphorylation.

It is interesting to know how eEF2 phosphorylation is related to protein synthesis in the intact NM. The current study demonstrates that p-eEF2 level is highly correlated with Y10B intensity in intact NM; neurons with a higher level of p-eEF2 tend to have a higher level of Y10B. If we assume that the level of Y10B is correlated with the rate of protein synthesis in intact NM similarly to the case in
deprived NM, this observation would suggest that neurons with a low level of p-eEF2 probably have a low rate of protein synthesis. Hence, the overall protein synthesis in intact NM neurons may not be regulated by phosphorylation of eEF2 in a way similar to that in other systems. Alternatively, it is possible that the amount of active (unphosphorylated) eEF2 in both normally innervated and deprived NM neurons is sufficient for protein synthesis, whereas the level of p-eEF2 is associated with protein synthesis and other cellular events through distinct mechanisms. If a certain condition causes an increase in phosphorylation of eEF2 to a level at which there is not enough active eEF2 for overall protein synthesis, a correlation of p-eEF2 with protein synthesis may be observed in the expected manner; i.e., neurons with a lower level of p-eEF2 tend to have a higher level of protein synthesis.

**eEF2 phosphorylation and cell survival/death**

eEF2 phosphorylation-mediated cell survival and apoptosis have been documented in a number of systems. It appears that this mediation acts through two distinct mechanisms. The first mechanism is through eEF2 phosphorylation-induced suppression of overall protein translation. It is proposed that suppression of protein synthesis first affects antiapoptotic proteins, because they are disproportionately short lived relative to their proapoptotic counterparts (for review see White-Gilbertson et al., 2008). This proposal is supported by the requirement for the presence and activity of antiapoptotic proteins and active translational machinery for cell survival (Adams and Cooper, 2007; Willis et al., 2007). eEF2 phosphorylation and the resulting suppression of protein translation might also have protective effects against excitotoxicity-induced neuronal death, although the exact processes remain unknown (Marin et al., 1997). The second mechanism of eEF2 phosphorylation-mediated cell survival is associated with the function of p-eEF2 in promoting a number of specific proteins. For example, eEF2 phosphorylation-mediated Bcl-xL synthesis is critical for the growth and survival of cancer cells (Arora et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2006; Nakamura et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011).

The data presented here and from several previous studies suggest a novel pattern of eEF2 phosphorylation-mediated cell survival and death. After afferent deprivation, NM neurons with relatively high levels of p-eEF2 tend to have a healthier looking Nissl staining and ribosomal RNA (reported by Y10B immunoreactivity) and presumably tend to survive. Consistently, dying neurons always exhibit a low level of p-eEF2. Deprivation-induced cell death probably results from persistent protein synthesis suppression affecting the levels of apoptotic and antiapoptotic proteins differentially. Cell survival following the same manipulation likely is due to the recovery of protein synthesis and/or other survival signals initiated or upregulated by p-eEF2. Among identified proteins whose synthesis is promoted by eEF2 phosphorylation, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (Verpelli et al., 2010; Autry et al., 2011), Arc/Arg3.1 (Park et al., 2008; Kuipers et al., 2009), and Bcl-xL (Zhang et al., 2011) have been associated with cell survival and apoptosis. Whether these proteins are involved in eEF2 phosphorylation-mediated cell protection and apoptosis following afferent deprivation requires further investigation. It is interesting to point out that Bcl-2, another Bcl-2 family member like Bcl-xL, is expressed in NM and AVCN neurons. Overexpression or pharmacologically induced increases in the level of Bcl-2 have been shown to prevent afferent deprivation-induced cell death in NM and AVCN neurons (Mostafapour et al., 2002; Bush and Hyson, 2006). However, whether Bcl-2 is involved in determining cell fate in this type of cell death is less clear, because the time course of changes in Bcl-2 protein level following cochlea removal has not been determined, although Bcl-2 mRNA level is altered at long-term survival times (Wilkinson et al., 2002; Harris et al., 2008).

Finally, it must be pointed out that loss of p-eEF2 might not necessarily indicate ensuing cell death. Some deprived NM neurons exhibit no or little p-eEF2 but do not have a “ghost”-like appearance at 12 hours after cochlea removal. One possibility is that a threshold level of p-eEF2 is required for cell survival and that these neurons lacking p-eEF2 may turn into “ghost cells” later and subsequently die. Alternatively, these neurons may survive, a possibility that would suggest the involvement of survival signaling initiated by cellular events beyond the p-eEF2 mechanism.
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