Page 1.   *SHAHAPTIN YAKIMA INDIAN LANGUAGE -I*
          Heritage College, Toppenish, WA 98948
          Virginia Beavert, Developer-Instructor

FA96
VERB CONJUGATIONS:

	Miimish winana	*Past tense of "to go"*
1.	*Wina-naash*	*I went*
2.	*Wina-naam*	*You went*
3.	*I-wina-na*	*He/she went*
4.	*Pa-wina-na*	*They went*
5.	*Wina-na-pam*	*You(folks) went*
6.	*Wina-na-tash*	*We all (plu. excl) went*
7.	*Wina-na-mtk*	*We all (incl.) went*

	Wina(ikuuk)	*Present tense of "to go"*
8.	*Wina-shaash*	*I am going*
9.	*Wina-shaam*	*You are going*
10.	*I-wina-sha*	*He/she is going*
11.	*Pa-wina-sha*	*They are going*
12.	*Wina-sha-pam*	*You (plural) are going*
13.	*Wina-sha-tash*	*We all (plural) are going*
14.	*Wina-sha-namtk*	*We all(plu. incl.))are going*

	Wina-"ixwi"	*Future tense of "to go"*
15.	*Wina-taash*	*I will go*
16.	*Wina-taam*	*You will go*
17.	*I-wina-ta*	*He/she will go*
18.	*Pa-wina-ta*	*They will go*
19.	*Wina-ta-pam*	*You all will go*
20.	*Wina-ta-tash*	*We (plural) will go*
21.	*Wina-ta-namtk*	*We all (incl)will go*

NOTE:Recently, during my research. I found a notation by Dr. Melville Jacobs, University of Washington, state that the suffix -nan, natash is classified as exclusive, because it is talking about a special circle of people, not including others. The suffix - nam, namtk is classified as inclusive because nam means "you" which includes the "other person."
    I have always stated that there are times when my definitions are not accurate until I research certain questionable words. This is one of them.