Agenda: Peer Review Workshop on Application of Intrinsic Potential Modeling to 4 Coastal Rivers

October 31, 21012 University of Washington, Olympic Natural Resources Center, Forks WA

8:30 am Welcome & Introductions, Goals, and Methods of Workshop

Dr. David Ford, Director, ONRC; Miranda Wecker, ONRC Facilitator; and Devona Ensmenger, Wild Salmon Center

9:00 am IP Modeling Overview and Application to the Outer Coast

- At what geographic scales are region wide IP model analyses producing useful results?
- Is Level 6 (sub watershed, HUC12) scale the optimum scale?

9:45 am Break

10:00 am Peer Review: IP Model Results for Chinook in 4 Coastal Rivers

Key Questions

- Are separate regional models are needed for Chinook?
- What ranges define high, medium, and low IP for Chinook?
- Is default HS Curve set suitable for Chinook? If not, what modifications need to be made to the curves?
- What cutoffs would help define the fish bearing network?
- What additional intrinsic and extrinsic parameters would significantly improve the model?
- What would the HS curves for these additional parameters look like?

11:30 am Check in on Peer Review Approach

12 pm Lunch

1 pm Peer Review: IP Model Results for Coho

Key Questions

- Are separate regional models are needed for Coho?
- What ranges define high, medium, and low IP for Coho?
- Is default HS Curve set suitable for Coho? If not, what modifications need to be made to the curves?
- What cutoffs would help define the fish bearing network?
- What additional intrinsic and extrinsic parameters would significantly improve the model?
- What would the HS curves for these additional parameters look like?

2 pm Peer Review: IP Model Results for Steelhead

Key Questions

- Are separate regional models are needed for Steelhead?
- What ranges define high, medium, and low IP for Steelhead?
- Is default HS Curve set suitable for Steelhead? If not, what modifications need to be made to the curves?
- What cutoffs would help define the fish bearing network?
- What additional intrinsic and extrinsic parameters would significantly improve the model?
- What would the HS curves for these additional parameters look like?

3 pm Break

3:15 pm Peer Review: IP Model Results for Chum

Key Questions

- Are separate regional models are needed for Chum?
- What ranges define high, medium, and low IP for Chum?
- The default curves do not represent Chum habit well on the OWC, therefore what modifications need to be made to the curves to improve it?
- What cutoffs would help define the fish bearing network?
- What additional intrinsic and extrinsic parameters would significantly improve the model?
- What would the HS curves for these additional parameters look like?

4:00 pm Wrapup and Adjourn

Homework Assignment: Developing an IP Model for Sockeye

- What aspect of Sockeye habit is appropriate for IP modeling? Should the focus be on spawning? Rearing? Both?
- What intrinsic parameters are most relevant for constructing a Sockeye model?
- If there are parameters that do not lend themselves well to reach based modeling, what might be the best workaround(s)?
- What would the HS curves look like?
- What cutoffs would help define the fish bearing network?