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Object perception seems effortless to us, but it depends on intensive neural processing across multiple stages in ventral pathway visual cortex. Shape information at the retinal level is hopelessly complex, variable and implicit. The ventral pathway must somehow transform retinal signals into much more compact, stable and explicit representations of object shape. Recent findings highlight key aspects of this transformation: higher-order contour derivatives, structural representation in object-based coordinates, composite shape tuning dimensions, and long-term storage of object knowledge. These coding principles could help to explain our remarkable ability to perceive, distinguish, remember and understand a virtual infinity of objects.

Introduction
The world is familiar and comprehensible to us because we recognize and understand the objects it contains. We identify, distinguish and evaluate objects based on their shapes, which range from simple (letters and numbers) to extremely complex (faces). This is one of the most computationally daunting tasks the brain performs, owing to the complexity and variability of the input data (retinal images of objects) and the high dimensionality of object shape. It seems trivial or even transparent to us only because one of the two major pathways in visual cortex [1] is dedicated to continuously processing object information with extraordinary accuracy and rapidity [2**]. This object-processing pathway (also known as the ventral, temporal or ‘what’ pathway) transforms retinal signals into object representations that are explicit enough to support our vivid appreciation of object structure, compact enough to be stored in memory, and stable enough to generalize across different viewing conditions (Figure 1).

The neural algorithms that make this possible are not yet understood, and it has proven difficult to duplicate human visual performance using computer vision systems at the present stage.

The ventral pathway runs from primary visual cortex (V1) and secondary visual cortex (V2) through area V4 and then into a series of further processing stages in ventral occipitotemporal cortex. In humans, these further stages include area V8 [3] (alternatively labeled V4 by some authors), the lateral occipital complex [4], and parts of the fusiform and parahippocampal gyri [5,6]. The general functionality of these areas can be studied using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), which has revealed, for example, regions specialized for face, body and scene processing [6–8]. Algorithmic-level shape processing can be studied using electrode recordings in monkeys, which have similar visual capacities and a highly analogous organization of ventral visual cortex (V1, V2, V4 and multiple stages in inferotemporal cortex [IT], including face and body patches) [9]. Here, we review human and monkey experiments from the past two years that shed light on how the ventral pathway transforms retinal signals into useful object representations.

Higher-order contour derivatives
It is well-established that the first stage in the ventral pathway transformation involves the extraction of local orientation and spatial frequency information [10]. Orientation is a first-order derivative that efficiently encodes elongated contrast regions that correspond to object contours. As a result, the transformation that occurs in V1 maximizes sparseness (minimizes the number of active neurons) in the representation of natural images [11,12]. This constitutes a major step towards more compact representation of useful object information.

At subsequent processing stages in area V2, area V4 and posterior IT, neurons process progressively larger image regions. On these larger scales, contour orientation is more likely to change, either gradually (broad curves) or abruptly (sharp curves or angles). There is now substantial evidence that ventral pathway neurons explicitly encode these orientation changes in terms of higher-order contour derivatives. Earlier experiments [13] showed that V4 neurons can exhibit combined selectivity for orientation and curvature (rate of change in orientation, a second-order derivative; V4 neurons can even be sensitive to spirality, a third-order derivative [14]). For example, a given V4 neuron might respond most strongly to curved convex boundaries that project toward the right. Recent experiments indicate that curvature processing begins by the V2 level [15,16] and that curvature representation is prominent across multiple higher stages in IT [17,18]. As...
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Many theories of shape processing are based on the idea of structural representation — that is, shape description in terms of object parts and their positional and connectional relationships. Structural codes are compact, because even complex shapes comprise a manageable number of parts. Structural codes are highly generative, because even a limited basis set of different elements can be combined in so many ways. Thus, a finite number of neurons that encode object parts can represent a virtual infinity of object shapes, in the same way that just 26 letters of the alphabet can represent millions of words. Explicit representation of object structure would also explain our immediate cognitive access to information about parts and their relationships; if asked to describe an object, we usually talk about its parts and how they are put together. Finally, structural representations could be more stable across changes in viewing conditions. A key tenet of most structural theories is that part relationships are represented in a spatial reference frame centered on the object itself. This would require a major transformation of position information from the original retinotopic reference frame, but it would confer stability across changes in object position. Additionally, stability across viewing distance could be achieved if the reference frame scaled with object size, and stability across viewing angle could be achieved if the reference frame rotated with some definable axis in the object itself.

Electrode recording experiments in the monkey ventral pathway have yielded evidence of structural representation in an object-centered reference frame. V4 neurons tuned for contour fragment orientation and curvature are also strongly sensitive to the object-relative position of contour fragments. For example, a given V4 neuron might respond to objects that have convex curvature at the bottom right (e.g., a lowercase ‘b’) but not to those that have convex curvature at the top right (e.g., a lowercase ‘p’). Structural coding in an object-based reference frame is even more prominent at the next processing stage in posterior IT, where neurons integrate information about multiple contour fragments (typically 2–4) [17]. The example cell in Figure 2a is sensitive to concavities oriented towards the lower right and concavities oriented towards the lower left. (This was determined by fitting response functions across a large number of stimuli not shown here.) As in V4, the same local contour information evokes strong responses in a variety of global shape contexts (top rows, Figure 2a). Also as in V4, responses are acutely sensitive to object-relative position: the same configuration of two concavities evokes little or no response when it appears to the right of object center rather than to the left (Figure 2a, bottom rows). In more anterior parts of IT, sensitivity to object-relative position seems to be organized at the columnar level [29].

Because curvature signals efficiently encode even larger contour fragments that encompass multiple orientations, they represent a further step towards compact object representation. They also explicitly encode a fundamental aspect of shape that has strong perceptual valence for human observers [20–23]. For example, a recent study demonstrates that, contrary to standard theory, texture boundaries do not depend exclusively on orientation discontinuities; curvature discontinuities alone produce striking texture boundaries, reflecting the strong representation of curvature at the neural level [24]. It has also recently been shown that similar curvatures in close spatial proximity interfere perceptually [25], consistent with the idea of coarse basis-function coding of curvature at the neural population level [26].
strikingly, neurons in the superior temporal sulcus that are involved in representing body motion seem to operate in a reference frame not only centered but also oriented with respect to the body, because the same motion can evoke responses across different body orientations [30].

At the V4 level, where most neurons represent individual parts (contour fragments) and their object-centered positions, configurational relationships between parts are implicit in the population response [26] — that is, they could be inferred by comparing object-relative positions. In IT, integration across part signals could generate...
explicit representations of multipart configurations (with a concomitant gain in compactness). However, linear summation of part signals would be inherently ambiguous, because a given response level could correspond to a variety of parts or part combinations. In fact, response functions in posterior IT range from primarily linear to primarily nonlinear, and there is a strong trend towards greater nonlinearity across time following stimulus onset [31]. The example cell in Figure 2b is sensitive to concavities oriented towards the lower right and concavities oriented towards the upper left. At early time points, \( \sim 100 \) ms following stimulus onset, this cell responds to stimuli containing just one part or the other (upper rows) in addition to stimuli containing both parts (bottom rows). This early response phase can be accurately modeled as a linear summation across two tuning regions in the contour fragment domain (blue curve, bottom histogram). By \( \sim 200 \) ms, however, responses to individual parts drop out, and the response function of the neuron resolves into an explicit signal for the multipart configuration (of two apposed concavities defining a narrow diagonal neck). This later phase is captured by a nonlinear product term based on the two tuning regions (green curve). Likewise, across the neural population, linear summation dominates at early time points, and nonlinear selectivity for multipart configurations evolves gradually, peaking near 200 ms after stimulus onset. The delayed emergence of explicit signals for multipart configurations could explain why shape judgments that depend on structural nuances

Evidence for a polar domain centered on the average face. (a) Schematic representation of neural face space, with the mean or average face at the center. Along radial directions (red ellipse), faces that have the same basic identity or set of geometric characteristics would become increasingly distinct or caricature-like. Along circumferential directions (green annulus), face identity would change. (b) fMRI cross-adaptation experiment in the fusiform face area (FFA). Successive presentations of a single face stimulus (blue circle in a) evoke low activity owing to response adaptation (blue bar). Successive presentations of faces that differ in identity evoke higher activity because they stimulate different neural populations (green bar). Successive presentations of faces that have the same identity but different distinctiveness evoke lower activity (red bar). This cross-adaptation between stimuli indicates that the same neural population is being stimulated. Other experiments in the same study show that overall activity increases with distance from the average face. Together, these results suggest that any given FFA neuron responds along one specific direction radiating outwards from the average face, and that its response level encodes distinctiveness along that direction. (c) The lack of a similar cross-adaptation difference in early visual cortex (V1/V2) shows that the FFA results are not explained by low-level stimulus factors (for further details, see [45]). (d) An analogous experiment on individual neurons in monkey inferotemporal cortex (IT). Each of the four subplots represents the responses of one neuron. The colored balls indicate response rates for the average face (center), for stimuli along radial morph lines leading outwards towards four distinct faces, and for stimuli along circumferential morph lines between the distinct faces. Dark red balls correspond to low response rates, and red, orange, yellow and white correspond to increasingly higher response rates (for details, see [46]). Panels (a–c) are reproduced, with permission, from [45]; (d) is reproduced, with permission, from [46].
(e.g. recognizing individual faces) take longer than other shape judgments [32,33].

**Composite tuning dimensions for face representation**

Further integration can produce composite tuning dimensions that summarize large amounts of geometric detail. For example, our exquisite expertise in discriminating faces must depend on high-level neurons that are sensitive to complex combinations of simpler structural parameters [34]. Face perception is so specialized that face-selective regions of cortex can be identified at the gross anatomical level. These regions seem to be truly specialized for faces, and not just for general discriminatory expertise [35] or configurational processing [36]. They contain an overwhelming preponderance of face-selective neurons [37**], and local electrical stimulation of these areas evokes face percepts [38**]. (However, not surprisingly, there is evidence that this powerful neural machinery can be co-opted for other complex shape-processing tasks [39].)

If geometric tuning dimensions are sufficiently complex, we no longer have immediate cognitive access to the underlying structural information. In describing faces, we do not report exact distances between the eyes, nose and mouth. Instead, we describe faces by category (e.g. ‘feminine’ and ‘Caucasian’) or by comparison (e.g. ‘similar to Pamela Anderson’). Such descriptions must reflect highly composite dimensions developed through exposure to many exemplars. The ‘morph lines’ between exemplars would become the tuning dimensions in which neurons encode identity [40]. Psychophysical experiments demonstrate that this dimensionality can be manipulated by controlling exposure to exemplars [41**].

One idea that has gained recent support is that face-processing dimensions are defined relative to an ‘average’ face, learned through statistical integration across the huge sample of faces we encounter during life. This might explain the effectiveness of caricature in conveying identity [42,43]. Psychophysical experiments have shown that face adaptation biases perception in the direction from the adapting face to the average face [44]. Consistent with this, activity in the fusiform face area (FFA) increases with distance (distinctiveness) from the average face but not with distance from a non-average face [45]. In addition, FFA responses cross-adapt for stimuli that lie along the same direction from the average face but not for stimuli that lie along tangential directions (Figure 3). These fMRI results and corresponding data at the single-cell level [46] suggest a polar organization of face dimensionality centered on the average face.

---

**Figure 4**

Explicit representation of learned object associations. This neuron was recorded from the hippocampus of a human subject in the course of clinical procedures to treat epilepsy (for details, see [57]). It responded strongly to different images of the actress Pamela Anderson and also to the letter string ‘Pamela Anderson’ (but not to other face images or letter strings). Similar results were observed for many other neurons. Such neurons explicitly encode relationships between visually dissimilar objects. We are extremely adept at learning such relationships and thus expanding our understanding of visual objects. Even someone newly exposed to American culture could quickly make the connection between Pamela Anderson’s name and face. (The numbers above each stimulus are not relevant to the results presented here.) Reproduced, with permission, from [57].
Long-term storage of object knowledge

Face perception is the extreme example of lifelong shape learning, but other aspects of object perception likewise depend on long-term memory and continual calibration. Object perception is highly inferential: the visual system learns inductive principles from the environment, taking advantage of its peculiar properties to optimize coding. For example, experience teaches that the most common lighting direction is from above, and we take advantage of that prior knowledge to derive three-dimensional shape from shading patterns. Psychophysical measurements show that even basic priors such as this can be adapted by recent experience [47].

Long-term memory may also be critical for consistent recognition of objects under changing viewing conditions, especially across viewpoint rotations [48]. For moderate rotations (up to ~60°), there seems to be a computational mechanism for generalizing across views [49]. For larger rotations, the retinal appearance of a given object can change dramatically or even completely, and without prior experience generalization is poor and neural selectivity in IT is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50].

Even position invariance might depend on long-term memory and continual calibration. Neural selectivity in IT is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50]. For these larger prior experiences generalization is poor and neural selectivity is correspondingly low [50].
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Concluding remarks

Transformation of object shape information in the ventral pathway is one of the most computationally complex tasks the brain performs. Correspondingly, it is one of the most difficult processes to understand. At present, we have only superficial knowledge of how object representations become compact, explicit and stable enough to support our remarkable perceptual abilities. At intermediate stages of processing, we know that transformations are geometric in nature, and include extraction of higher derivatives and multipart structure in object-based coordinates. At higher stages, transformations depend on long-term memory: neurons are tuned in composite dimensions based on experience with familiar object categories, and object knowledge is embodied by neurons signaling learned associations. Further progress towards a unified understanding of ventral pathway function will require more quantitative analysis of geometric and mnemonic information processing.
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