Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of SnO₂ Nanosheets Photoanodes for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells
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ABSTRACT: SnO₂ nanosheets were synthesized using microwave-assisted hydrothermal growth and used as photoanodes for dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs) and demonstrated much better photoelectrical energy conversion performance than that of SnO₂ synthesized with traditional hydrothermal growth, due to a significant decrease in charge diffusion distance and charge recombination. The crystallinity and microstructure of the samples were investigated by means of X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning, and transmission electron microscopy (SEM/TEM). The specific surface area and pore size distribution were determined by means of nitrogen sorption isotherms. The interfacial charge transfer process and the charge recombination were characterized by electrochemical impedance spectrum (EIS) and intensity modulated photocurrent/photovoltage spectra (IMPS/IMVS) measurements. The DSCs assembled with SnO₂ nanosheets as photoanodes from microwave-assisted synthesis exhibited much enhanced energy conversion efficiency, which is attributed to a higher open-circuit voltage due to less charge recombination, and a large short-circuit current density due to both large surface area and effective light scattering effect.

INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, since the demonstration of titanium dioxide (with a band gap of 3.2 eV) nanoparticles coated with dye molecules to convert solar energy to electricity in a simple and low-cost way by O’Regan and Grätzel,¹ synthesis of wide band gap oxide nanomaterials with controlled shapes and sizes has been an extensive research area for dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs).²,³ Improving solar energy conversion efficiency while at the same time reducing costs by controlling nanomaterials’ shapes, sizes, and other properties has become a significant domain.⁴ Although a record power conversion efficiency of higher than 13% has been achieved with use of TiO₂ nanoparticle films through the molecular engineering of porphin sensitizers in DSCs,⁵ further improvement with TiO₂ is difficult due to its relatively small electron mobility.⁶,⁷ SnO₂ as a semiconducting oxide when used as a photoanode in DSCs promises many advantages: (1) Compared to the poor electron mobility of anatase TiO₂ (0.1−1 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹), it has much higher electron mobility (100−200 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹).⁸−¹⁰ (2) It has a larger band gap (3.6 eV) and a more-negative conduction band minimum (~−4.8 eV) than that of anatase TiO₂ (~−4.2 eV), and thus can enhance light harvesting in the near-infrared spectral region when combined with a small band gap sensitizer. (3) There are fewer oxidative holes in the valence band, contributing to long-term stability of DSCs.¹¹ In fact, SnO₂ as a possible replacement of TiO₂ photoanode in DSCs with various morphologies, such as nanoparticles,¹²,¹³ nanofibers,¹⁴ nanowires,¹⁵ nanotubes,¹⁶ and hollow nanospheres,¹⁷−²⁰ has been successfully synthesized and characterized. For example, Cheng et al.²¹ reported the synthesis of single-crystalline SnO₂ nanorods with small size (15−20 nm in length and 2.5−5 nm in diameter) by hydrothermal treatment of TiCl₄. Wu et al.²² prepared 3D hierarchical SnO₂ nanostructures composed of 2D nanosheets. TiO₂-coated multilayered SnO₂ hollow microspheres have achieved 5.65% photoconversion efficiency.²³ Among various techniques used for SnO₂ synthesis, hydrothermal growth is one of the most common methods, which can form the desired size and morphology by manipulating the reactant ratio and reaction parameters.²⁴ In contrast to the cumbersome and long-running processing nature of hydrothermal synthesis, microwave-assisted synthesis offers rapid processing speed, homogeneous heating, and simple control of processing conditions, and thus has attracted much attention in the past few years.²⁵ Ding et al.²⁶ reported the synthesis TiO₂ nanocrystals via a microwave-assisted process and demonstrated that anatase nanocrystals are highly crystalline, low in Ti³⁺ defect, and free of aggregation. Hu et al.²⁷ synthesized the linked single-crystalline ZnO rods using the microwave-assisted process, demonstrating that microwave-assisted solution-phase routes can fabricate the linked ZnO rods without templates, seeds, or surfactants and are suitable for large-scale production. The possibility to alter the physical and chemical properties of nanoscale materials through varying the crystal shape and size is...
a primary research. \textsuperscript{28–30} Chemical synthesis in a liquid phase through microwave irradiation mainly involves dipolar polarization and ionic conduction heating mechanisms. \textsuperscript{25} The use of microwave-assisted synthesis of SnO\textsubscript{2} is assumed to lead to different properties compared with hydrothermal synthesis.

This paper reports a microwave-assisted synthesis of assembled SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets and their characterization and application as photoanodes in DSCs. The assembled SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets photoanode, with large specific surface area, effective light-scattering, and an easy path for electron transport with long lifetime, demonstrated appreciably increased short-circuit photocurrent density, reduced charge recombination, and consequently high power conversion efficiency. The possible mechanism was discussed about the difference between SnO\textsubscript{2} structures of microwave-assisted synthesis and hydrothermal synthesis.

\section{EXPERIMENTAL METHODS}

\textbf{Synthesis of SnO\textsubscript{2} Nanosheets. Microwave-Assisted Aqueous Method.} First, SnCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (0.90 g, 4.0 mmol) and Na\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{7}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (2.94 g, 10 mmol) were dissolved in distilled water (10 mL) and stirred for 5 min. Then NaOH (0.2 M, 10 mL) was added to the above solution with continuous stirring to form a homogeneous solution. The solution was transferred to a 35 mL reaction tube and reactor cavity of CEM Discover microwave system. The synthesis parameters were set as $T = 180$ °C, dwell time $= 2$ h, power $= 120$ W, and pressure $= 17$ bars. The obtained precipitate was separated by centrifugation at 8000 rpm for 30 min and rinsed 3 times with distilled water and 3 times with acetone. Finally the product was dried under vacuum at room temperature overnight. Calcination was conducted in an electrical furnace in air at 450 °C for 4 h with a heating rate of 5 deg/min and cooled in the static air.

\textbf{Hydrothermal Method.} In a typical experiment, \textsuperscript{31} SnCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (4.0 mmol) and Na\textsubscript{2}C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{7}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (10 mmol) were dissolved in distilled water and stirred for 5 min. Then NaOH (0.2 M) aqueous solution was added to the above solution with continuous stirring to form a homogeneous solution. The mixture (10 mL) was transferred to a 25 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and then heated in an oven at 180 °C for 12 h with a heating rate of 5 deg/min. The obtained precipitate was separated by centrifuge at 8000 rpm for 30 min and rinsed 3 times with distilled water and 3 times with acetone. Finally the product was dried at room temperature overnight. Calcinations were conducted in an electrical furnace in air at 450 °C for 4 h with a heating rate of 5 deg/min and cooled in the static air.

\textbf{Preparation of SnO\textsubscript{2} Paste.} SnO\textsubscript{2} powders (0.18 g) were placed in an agate mortar, and 5.0 mL of ethanol was added dropwise into the mortar. The SnO\textsubscript{2} powders were ground for 30 min. The ground SnO\textsubscript{2} was then transferred to a solution of terpineol (0.73 g) and ethyl cellulose (0.09 g) in a 10 mL beaker under magnetic stirring. The dispersion was homogenized by means of ultrasonic and magnetic stirring overnight.

\textbf{Fabrication of DSCs.} A layer of SnO\textsubscript{2} film was prepared by the doctor blade technique. The film was sintered at 500 °C for 60 min in air to remove any organic compounds. The resulting SnO\textsubscript{2} films were then immersed in 100 mM TiCl\textsubscript{4} aqueous solution in a closed vessel at 70 °C for 30 min. Then the films coated with TiCl\textsubscript{4} aqueous were annealed at 500 °C for 30 min before dye sensitization. The electrodes with a cell area of 0.25 cm\textsuperscript{2} were immersed in a 0.25 mM N719 sensitizer dye for 18 h.

The counter-electrodes were Pt coated FTO, and the electrolyte was contained I$^\text{−}$/I$^{3\text{−}}$ redox. The DSCs with TiCl\textsubscript{4} treatment and without TiCl\textsubscript{4} treatment were designed by SnO\textsubscript{2} (hydrothermal), SnO\textsubscript{2} (microwave), and SnO\textsubscript{2} (hydrothermal)-untreated, and SnO\textsubscript{3} (microwave)-untreated.

\textbf{Characterization.} X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were conducted on an X’Pert PROS (Philips Co.) with a radiation of Cu K\textalpha{} ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurements were undertaken by using a field emission environmental scanning electron microscope (SU8020, Hitachi Co.). A transmission electron microscope (TEM) and a high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) were used to study the morphology and microstructure of the materials by a JEM-2010 (JEOL) instrument. N\textsubscript{2} adsorption--desorption isotherms were recorded on an ASAP2020 instrument (Micromeritics Co.), and the specific surface areas (SBET) were calculated with the BET equation. The desorption isotherm was used to determine the pore size distribution, using the Barret–Joyner–Halender (BJH) method. The concentration of desorbed dye in film was calculated from UV–vis absorption spectra (UV-3600, Shimadzu). The photovoltaic performance of DSCs was measured under a solar simulator (Oriel Sol 3A Solar Simulator, 94063A, Newport Stratford Inc.), equipped with a 300 W xenon lamp (Newport) and a Keithley digital source meter (Keithley, 2400) controlled by Testpoint software. The irradiation intensity was calibrated to 100 mW-cm\textsuperscript{-2} with a standard reference crystalline silicon solar cell (Newport, Stratford Inc., 91150 V). The incident monochromatic photon-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE), plotted as a function of excitation wavelength and EIS measurements, was recorded by IM6ex (Germany, Zahner Company), using light emitting diodes (Germany, Zahner Company). The EIS data were fit to the equivalent circuits by using Zview software (Scribner Associates). Impedance measurements were carried out under illumination from LED. The intensity modulated photocurrent/photovoltage spectra (IMPS/IMVS) measurements were carried out with the same instrument used for EIS measurements. The LED provided both dc and ac components of the illumination.

\section{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

\textbf{Characterization of SnO\textsubscript{2} Nanosheets.} Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of SnO\textsubscript{2} (hydrothermal) and SnO\textsubscript{2} (microwave), both of which have the tetragonal rutile structure with lattice constants of $a = 4.738$ Å and $c = 3.187$ Å (JCPDS card 41–1445). No other impurity crystal is detectable, which suggests that both microwave-assisted growth and hydrothermal growth can effectively form high purity tetragonal rutile SnO\textsubscript{2} crystal.

Figure 2 gives the SEM images showing (a, b) SnO\textsubscript{2} (hydrothermal) nanostructure and (c, d) SnO\textsubscript{2} (microwave) nanostructure. Both methods can form SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets with almost identical appearance. However, a closer look reveals the appreciable size difference of nanosheets formed by the two methods. Microwave-assisted synthesis resulted in smaller SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets than those by hydrothermal growth. The SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets grown by the hydrothermal method have a thickness of 20–25 nm and a length of 270 nm, while the SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets grown by microwave-assisted synthesis have a thickness of 10 nm and a length of 160 nm approximately. The high-resolution TEM image (Figure 2, b and d inset) indicates that the assembled SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets have a lattice spacing of 0.25 nm and a length of 270 nm, while the SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets grown by microwave-assisted synthesis have a thickness of 10 nm and a length of 160 nm approximately. The high-resolution TEM image (Figure 2, b and d inset) indicates that the assembled SnO\textsubscript{2} nanosheets have a lattice spacing of
0.335 and 0.237 nm, corresponding to the (110) lattice plane and the (200) lattice plane of tetragonal rutile SnO$_2$, respectively.

Figure 3 illustrates the nucleation and growth processes influenced by high and low ramping and cooling rates, and the growth process generally can be divided into three regions.$^{32}$ First, there is no nucleation before the concentration reaches the minimum supersaturation required for nucleation (cf. Region I). Once homogeneous nucleation starts, the nucleus growth starts concurrently and very rapidly (cf. Region II).$^{33}$ When the concentration falls below a critical nucleation concentration, nucleation stops but growth continues (cf. Region III).

Scheme 1 is the proposed schematic illustration of the formation process for the SnO$_2$ nanostructure. Nanoparticles are formed by nucleation at a different rate at the beginning of the hydrothermal or microwave synthesis process. The microwave synthesis process can reach the reaction temperature in 3 min, while it needs a few hours in the hydrothermal growth process. The nucleation speed is affected by the concentration and temperature. Hydrothermal growth is a slow-heat process, thus the solution reaches supersaturation after a long time and the supersaturation is low leading to a
wide size distribution of initial nuclei. While microwave-assisted synthesis raised the solution to a desired temperature in just a few minutes, it leads to the creation of abrupt supersaturation resulting in a very high nucleation density in a very short time. During and/or immediately after the initial nucleation, the nuclei or small particles form aggregates. Because of the difference in heating mode between hydrothermal method and microwave-assisted synthesis, the microwave method can form more nuclei with a narrower size distribution due to very high heating rate and homogeneous temperature distribution compared to the hydrothermal method leading to the formation of fewer nuclei with broader size distribution. Small particles aggregate to form the core of the flower-like structure and grow preferentially with growth inhibition in the [0 0 1] direction, leading to the formation of large, but thin, nanosheets. Therefore, SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) formed big nanosheets, while SnO$_2$ (microwave) had small length and thin thickness nanosheets.

The assembled SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) and SnO$_2$ (microwave) nanosheets were further characterized by means of nitrogen sorption isotherms at 77 K, and the corresponding pore size distribution is presented in Figure 4. It is found that the SnO$_2$

\[ \text{(microwave)} \] 

have a BET surface area of 107.5 m$^2$/g with an average Barret–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) pore diameter of 10.5 nm and a pore volume of 0.41 cm$^3$/g while the SnO$_2$(hydrothermal) have a 42.6 m$^2$/g BET surface area with a BJH pore diameter of 10.5 nm and a 0.22 cm$^3$/g pore volume.

Owing to the size and surface area differences between use of the hydrothermal method and the microwave-assisted approach to form the SnO$_2$ nanostructure, it has a strong effect on the amount of dye absorption. Consequently the amount of dye absorption influences the performance of DSCs. Thus, the curves of dye absorption can be seen in Figure 5 and details summarized in Table 1. The SnO$_2$ (microwave) film provides a much higher dye loading of 2.61 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$, while that for the SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) film is only 1.62 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ × 10$^{-7}$ mol cm$^{-2}$. The improved dye loading can enhance the light harvesting efficiency, thereby the photocurrent density, and finally the cell conversion efficiency. According to the BET surface area data, the SnO$_2$ (microwave) sample has 2.5 times larger surface area than the SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) sample, whereas it has 1.6 times more dye loading than SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal). In theory, the value of surface area has the same effect on the amount of dye absorption. Here are two possible reasons for the differences between BET surface area data and dye loading data: (1) Samples used in the UV–vis absorptions spectra of dyes detached from SnO$_2$ have been treated with 100 mM TiCl$_4$ aqueous solution, hence TiO$_2$ can alter the surface area and influence the dye adsorption. There are different amounts of TiO$_2$ adsorbed on the surface of SnO$_2$ because large surface area favors more TiO$_2$ deposition. (2) The same volume of the SnO$_2$ pastes with different pore volume leads to a different weight of two SnO$_2$ pastes. Although the SnO$_2$ (microwave) sample has a 2.5 times larger surface area of 107.5 m$^2$/g than the 42.6 m$^2$/g of the SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) sample, the effective exposure area ($S_{\text{BET}}$/pore volume) has small increases in fact. This is what causes the amount of dye adsorption to not be equal to the BET surface area. These two crucial reasons affect the radio of BET surface area and the amount of dye adsorption.

The light scattering ability of films was measured by the UV–vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. As shown in Figure 6, the diffuse reflection of the SnO$_2$ (microwave) film, which was almost 55%, is much higher than that of the SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) film, which was 27%, at 513 nm wavelength.

Characterization of Photovoltaic Properties of DSCs. The incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectra from the cells are characterized and shown in Figure 7. The incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency clearly indicates that SnO$_2$ (microwave) with almost 40% is much higher than SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) with 30%. Despite the incident photon-to-current conversion efficiency, the SnO$_2$ (microwave) electrode has improved compared with SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal), but it is still a bit low because the value of IPCE is the comprehension result, related to the light harvesting efficiency, electron injection efficiency, dye regeneration efficiency, and charge collection efficiency.

To investigate the interfacial charge transfer process in SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) and SnO$_2$ (microwave) film electrodes, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements are employed in the frequency range of 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz. Figure 8 shows the Nyquist plots of SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) and SnO$_2$ (microwave) measured at forward bias of the open-circuit voltage under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ and the equivalent circuit, inset.
From the Nyquist plots the smaller semicircle occurring at higher frequencies represents the parallel connection of charge-transfer resistance ($R_k$) and the interfacial capacitance ($C_k$) at the counter electrode/electrolyte interface. The larger one at the lower frequency region is related to the combination of charge-transfer resistance occurring at the oxide/dye/electrolyte interface ($R_{pt}$) and the chemical capacitance that stands for the change of electron density ($C_{pt}$). The semicircle of SnO$_2$ (microwave) is larger than that of SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal), probably owing to the reduced electron transfer process in the photoanode. The larger semicircle at lower frequencies of SnO$_2$ (microwave) is much larger than that of SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal). The values of $R_{pt}$ corresponding to SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) and SnO$_2$ (microwave) are 29Ω and 53Ω, respectively. This is taken as an evidence that SnO$_2$ (microwave) film electrodes have less charge recombination than SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) photoanodes.

The electron transport and charge recombination of the DSCs based on SnO$_2$ (hydrothermal) and SnO$_2$ (microwave) films were further characterized by intensity modulated photocurrent spectroscopy (IMPS) and intensity modulated photovoltage spectroscopy (IMVS). They are conducted under illumination of a LED light source ($\lambda = 530$ nm) with different light intensities from 20 to 100 W m$^{-2}$. In Figure 9, $\tau_d$ and $\tau_r$ are the electron transit time and the lifetime, which is also the recombination of electrons and electrolyte. Table 2 summarizes the two kinds of film results from the IMPS and IMVS plots under a light intensity of 97 W m$^{-2}$. $\tau_d$ and $\tau_r$ can be calculated from the following expression: $\tau_d = 1/2\pi f_d$ and $\tau_r = 1/2\pi f_r$, where $f_d$ and $f_r$ are the characteristic frequency minimum of the IMPS and IMVS imaginary components, respectively, along with the increasing light intensity. The electron diffusion coefficient ($D_n$) in SnO$_2$ films is described by the analytical expression $D_n$.
Table 2. Detailed IMPS and IMVS Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DSCs</th>
<th>( \tau_d ) (ms)</th>
<th>( \tau_r ) (ms)</th>
<th>( D_n ) ((\mu m^2\ s^{-1}))</th>
<th>( L_n ) ((\mu m))</th>
<th>( \lambda_{cc} ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SnO(_2) (microwave)</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>7553</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO(_2) (hydrothermal)</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>6002</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Electron transit time (\( \tau_d \)), electron lifetime (\( \tau_r \)), electron diffusion coefficient (\( D_n \)), electron diffusion length (\( L_n \)), and charge-collection efficiency (\( \lambda_{cc} \)) of dye-sensitized solar cells based on SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) and SnO\(_2\) (microwave) under a light intensity of 97 W m\(^{-2}\).

\[ d^2/2.35\tau_d \] where \( d \) is the film thickness. Combining the following equations \( L_n = (D_n \times \tau_d)^{1/2} \) and \( \lambda_{cc} = 1 - \tau_d/\tau_r \), the electron diffusion length (\( L_n \)) and charge-collection efficiency (\( \lambda_{cc} \)) can be calculated.

As shown in Figure 9, the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film shows longer lifetimes (\( \tau_r \)) than the SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) film. The reasons why the electron lifetime has significantly improved are possibly that (1) the SnO\(_2\) from the microwave-assisted synthesis method has smaller nanosheets which can be more easily crystallized at the same sintering temperature and (2) the compact structure of SnO\(_2\) from the microwave-assisted synthesis method can greatly reduce the electron recombination because of fewer reactions with iodide ions in the electrolyte. However, in theory, SnO\(_2\) from the microwave-assisted synthesis method used to form DSC has a shorter electron lifetime than the SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) film since a larger surface area would also offer more sites for charge recombination. The positive and negative effects result in the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film having a much longer lifetime than the SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) film, even triple the lifetime. The \( \tau_d \) parameter of the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film device DSC is similar to the SnO\(_2\) of the hydrothermal method, indicating the transport times of the two cells are parallel. No matter what kind of synthesis method is used to form the assembled SnO\(_2\) nanosheets, this will lead to many trapping sites and boundaries due to their hierarchical structures. As a result, these trapping sites of the hierarchical structures give rise to countless trapping/detrapping events. This is the reason why the SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) film and the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film have similar transport times. \( L_n \) represents the average travel distance of electrons before recombining with others. The value of \( L_n \) indicates that the injected electron could either transit to the external effectively. Because the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film has a longer electron lifetime than the SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) film and a higher diffusion coefficient (\( D_n \)), the electron diffusion length (\( L_n \)) of the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film is also high. The charge collection efficiency (\( \lambda_{cc} \)) is the integrated result of the competition between the collection of photoinjected electrons and recombination. The calculated charge collection efficiency of the SnO\(_2\) (microwave) film is 90%, much more than the 60% of the SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) film. This can be attributed to the much longer electron lifetime in SnO\(_2\) grown with the microwave-assisted synthesis.

Finally, Figure 10 presents the \( J–V \) curves of DSC devices with photoanodes made of SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) and SnO\(_2\) (microwave) nanostructures. As shown in Table 3, the photoconversion efficiency of SnO\(_2\) (microwave) is 3.34%, enhanced 31% from the photoconversion efficiency of SnO\(_2\) (hydrothermal) at 2.55%. The main reason is the improved dye loading, therefore the short-circuit photocurrent density has greatly improved. On the other hand, using the microwave-assisted synthesis method to form the nanostructure can minimize the oversized SnO\(_2\) nanosheets from the hydrothermal method. The small nanosheets can shorten the distance between the semiconductor photoanode and the electrolyte, thereby forming a direct path and contact.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Microwave-assisted synthesis was demonstrated to produce SnO\(_2\) nanosheets with substantially reduced sheet size as compared with ones synthesized by the SnO\(_2\) hydrothermal method. Consequently, dye loading and light scattering in the microwave-assisted synthesized SnO\(_2\) nanosheets as photoanodes in dye-sensitized solar cells were appreciably enhanced. In addition, microwave-assisted synthesized SnO\(_2\) nanosheets have a longer electron lifetime, and less electron recombination than the hydrothermal grown SnO\(_2\) electrodes; collectively, the short-circuit photocurrent density has been greatly increased.
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