

Malliavin calculus and central limit theorems

David Nualart

Department of Mathematics
Kansas University

Seminar on Stochastic Processes 2017
University of Virginia
March 8-11 2017

Outline

- 1 A brief introduction to Malliavin calculus
- 2 Stochastic integral representations
- 3 Central and noncentral limit theorems
- 4 Central limit theorem for the self-intersection local time of the fractional Brownian motion

Multiple stochastic integrals

- H is a separable Hilbert space.
- $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{X(h), h \in H\}$ is a Gaussian family of random variables in (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with zero mean and covariance

$$E(X(h)X(g)) = \langle h, g \rangle_H.$$

- For $q \geq 2$ we define the q th *Wiener chaos* as

$$\mathcal{H}_q = \overline{\text{Span}}\{h_q(X(g)), g \in H, \|g\|_H = 1\},$$

where $h_q(x)$ is the q th Hermite polynomial.

- *Multiple stochastic integral* of order q :

$$I_q : \left(H^{\hat{\otimes} q}, \sqrt{q!} \|\cdot\|_{H^{\otimes q}} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_q$$

is a linear isometry defined by $I_q(g^{\otimes q}) = h_q(X(g))$.

Wiener chaos expansion

Assume \mathcal{F} is generated by \mathcal{H}_1 . We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_q,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R}$. Any $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$F = E(F) + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} I_q(f_q),$$

where $f_q \in H^{\hat{\otimes} q}$ are determined by F .

Example: Let $B = \{B_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be a Brownian motion. Then, $H = L^2([0, T])$ and $X(h) = \int_0^T h_t dB_t$. For any $q \geq 2$, $H^{\hat{\otimes} q} = L^2_{\text{sym}}([0, T]^q)$ and I_q is the iterated Itô stochastic integral:

$$I_q(h) = q! \int_0^T \dots \int_0^{t_2} h(t_1, \dots, t_q) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_q}.$$

Wiener chaos expansion

Assume \mathcal{F} is generated by \mathcal{H}_1 . We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega) = \bigoplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_q,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R}$. Any $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$F = E(F) + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} I_q(f_q),$$

where $f_q \in H^{\hat{\otimes} q}$ are determined by F .

Example: Let $B = \{B_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be a Brownian motion. Then, $H = L^2([0, T])$ and $X(h) = \int_0^T h_t dB_t$. For any $q \geq 2$, $H^{\hat{\otimes} q} = L^2_{\text{sym}}([0, T]^q)$ and I_q is the iterated Itô stochastic integral:

$$I_q(h) = q! \int_0^T \dots \int_0^{t_2} h(t_1, \dots, t_q) dB_{t_1} \dots dB_{t_q}.$$

Malliavin Calculus

- \mathcal{S} is the space of random variables of the form

$$F = f(X(h_1), \dots, X(h_n)),$$

where $h_i \in H$ and $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- If $F \in \mathcal{S}$ we define its *derivative* by

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X(h_1), \dots, X(h_n)) h_i.$$

DF is a random variable with values in H .

- For any $p \geq 1$, $\mathbb{D}^{1,p} \subset L^p(\Omega; H)$ is the closure of \mathcal{S} with respect to the norm

$$\|DF\|_{1,p} = (E(|F|^p) + E(\|DF\|_H^p))^{1/p}.$$

- The adjoint of D is the *divergence* operator δ defined by the duality relationship

$$E(\langle DF, u \rangle_H) = E(F\delta(u))$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,q}$ and $u \in \text{Dom } \delta \subset L^p(\Omega; H)$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

- In the Brownian motion case, an adapted process $u \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]) \sim L^2(\Omega; H)$ belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ and $\delta(u)$ coincides with the Itô's stochastic integral:

$$\delta(u) = \int_0^T u_t dB_t$$

- If u not adapted $\delta(u)$ coincides with an anticipating stochastic integral introduced by Skorohod in 1975. A stochastic calculus can be developed for the Skorohod integral (N.-Pardoux 1988).

- The adjoint of D is the *divergence* operator δ defined by the duality relationship

$$E(\langle DF, u \rangle_H) = E(F\delta(u))$$

for any $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,q}$ and $u \in \text{Dom } \delta \subset L^p(\Omega; H)$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

- In the Brownian motion case, an adapted process $u \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]) \sim L^2(\Omega; H)$ belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ and $\delta(u)$ coincides with the Itô's stochastic integral:

$$\delta(u) = \int_0^T u_t dB_t$$

- If u not adapted $\delta(u)$ coincides with an anticipating stochastic integral introduced by Skorohod in 1975. A stochastic calculus can be developed for the Skorohod integral (N.-Pardoux 1988).

Meyer inequalities

Theorem (Meyer 1983)

For any $p > 1$ and $u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,p}(H)$ satisfying $E(u) = 0$,

$$E(|\delta(u)|^p) \leq c_p (E(\|Du\|_{H \otimes H}^p)).$$

- 1 A proof based on the boundedness in L^p of the Riesz transform was given by Pisier.

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a one-parameter semigroup of contraction operators on $L^2(\Omega)$, defined by

$$T_t(F) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} I_q(f_q),$$

where $F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q)$.

- *Mehler's formula*: For any $t \geq 0$ and $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$T_t(F) = \tilde{E}(F(e^{-t}X + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\tilde{X})),$$

where \tilde{X} is an independent copy of X and \tilde{E} denotes the mathematical expectation with respect to \tilde{X} .

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- $\{T_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a one-parameter semigroup of contraction operators on $L^2(\Omega)$, defined by

$$T_t(F) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} e^{-qt} I_q(f_q),$$

where $F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_q)$.

- *Mehler's formula*: For any $t \geq 0$ and $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$T_t(F) = \tilde{E}(F(e^{-t}X + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2t}}\tilde{X})),$$

where \tilde{X} is an independent copy of X and \tilde{E} denotes the mathematical expectation with respect to \tilde{X} .

The generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- The infinitesimal generator of the semigroup T_t in $L^2(\Omega)$ is given by

$$LF = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T_t F - F}{t} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} -q l_q(f_q),$$

if $F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} l_q(f_q)$.

- The domain of L is

$$\text{Dom } L = \left\{ F \in L^2(\Omega), \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^2 q! \|f_q\|_2^2 < \infty \right\} = \mathbb{D}^{2,2}.$$

The generator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- The infinitesimal generator of the semigroup T_t in $L^2(\Omega)$ is given by

$$LF = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T_t F - F}{t} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} -q l_q(f_q),$$

if $F = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} l_q(f_q)$.

- The domain of L is

$$\text{Dom } L = \left\{ F \in L^2(\Omega), \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q^2 q! \|f_q\|_2^2 < \infty \right\} = \mathbb{D}^{2,2}.$$

Stochastic integral representations

Question: Given $F \in L^2(\Omega)$, with $E[F] = 0$, find $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$ such that

$$F = \delta(u).$$

(I) **Brownian motion case:** If we require u to be *adapted*, then it is unique and given by the *Clark-Ocone* formula:

$$u_t = E(D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t),$$

where \mathcal{F}_t is the filtration generated by the Brownian motion. That is,

$$F = E[F] + \int_0^T E(D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t) dB_t.$$

Stochastic integral representations

Question: Given $F \in L^2(\Omega)$, with $E[F] = 0$, find $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$ such that

$$F = \delta(u).$$

(I) **Brownian motion case:** If we require u to be *adapted*, then it is unique and given by the *Clark-Ocone* formula:

$$u_t = E(D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t),$$

where \mathcal{F}_t is the filtration generated by the Brownian motion. That is,

$$F = E[F] + \int_0^T E(D_t F | \mathcal{F}_t) dB_t.$$

CLT for local time increments

- Let

$$L_t^x = \int_0^t \delta_x(B_s) ds$$

be the Brownian local time. Clark-Ocone formula has been used to give a simple proof of the following central limit theorem (Hu-N. '09):

Theorem

$$h^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (L_t^{x+h} - L_t^x)^2 dx - 4th \right) \Rightarrow 8 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_t}{3}} \eta,$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$, where $\alpha_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L_t^x)^2 dx$ and η is a $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of B .

(II) Second integral representation:

$$u = -DL^{-1}F.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following property:

Proposition

$F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $DF \in \text{Dom} \delta$ if and only if $F \in \text{Dom} L$ and in this case

$$\delta(DF) = -LF.$$

Therefore, taking into account that $E[F] = 0$, we get

$$F = LL^{-1}F = -\delta(DL^{-1}F).$$

- In the Brownian motion case, u is unique among all processes with a chaos expansion $u_t = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_{q,t})$, such that $f_{q,t}(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is symmetric in all $q+1$ variables t, t_1, \dots, t_q .

(II) Second integral representation:

$$u = -DL^{-1}F.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following property:

Proposition

$F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $DF \in \text{Dom}\delta$ if and only if $F \in \text{Dom}L$ and in this case

$$\delta(DF) = -LF.$$

Therefore, taking into account that $E[F] = 0$, we get

$$F = LL^{-1}F = -\delta(DL^{-1}F).$$

- In the Brownian motion case, u is unique among all processes with a chaos expansion $u_t = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_{q,t})$, such that $f_{q,t}(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is symmetric in all $q+1$ variables t, t_1, \dots, t_q .

(II) Second integral representation:

$$u = -DL^{-1}F.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following property:

Proposition

$F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $DF \in \text{Dom}\delta$ if and only if $F \in \text{Dom}L$ and in this case

$$\delta(DF) = -LF.$$

Therefore, taking into account that $E[F] = 0$, we get

$$F = LL^{-1}F = -\delta(DL^{-1}F).$$

- In the Brownian motion case, u is unique among all processes with a chaos expansion $u_t = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_{q,t})$, such that $f_{q,t}(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is symmetric in all $q+1$ variables t, t_1, \dots, t_q .

(II) Second integral representation:

$$u = -DL^{-1}F.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following property:

Proposition

$F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $DF \in \text{Dom}\delta$ if and only if $F \in \text{Dom}L$ and in this case

$$\delta(DF) = -LF.$$

Therefore, taking into account that $E[F] = 0$, we get

$$F = LL^{-1}F = -\delta(DL^{-1}F).$$

- In the Brownian motion case, u is unique among all processes with a chaos expansion $u_t = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} I_q(f_{q,t})$, such that $f_{q,t}(t_1, \dots, t_q)$ is symmetric in all $q + 1$ variables t, t_1, \dots, t_q .

Convergence to a mixture of normal laws

- Let $F = \delta(u)$. Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \langle DF, u \rangle_H \sim S^2 \\ \langle u, h \rangle_H \sim 0, \forall h \in H \end{array} \right\} \implies \mathcal{L}(F) \sim \mathcal{L}(S\eta),$$

where η is a $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of X .

Theorem (Nourdin-N. '10)

Let $F_n = \delta(u_n)$, where $u_n \in \mathbb{D}^{2,2}(H)$. Suppose that $\sup_n E(|F_n|) < \infty$ and

- (i) $\langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H \xrightarrow{L^1} S^2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) For all $h \in H$, $\langle u_n, h \rangle_H \xrightarrow{L^1} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, F_n converges stably to ηS , where η is a $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of X (that is, $(F_n, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (S\eta, X)$).

Convergence to a mixture of normal laws

- Let $F = \delta(u)$. Then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \langle DF, u \rangle_H \sim S^2 \\ \langle u, h \rangle_H \sim 0, \forall h \in H \end{array} \right\} \implies \mathcal{L}(F) \sim \mathcal{L}(S\eta),$$

where η is a $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of X .

Theorem (Nourdin-N. '10)

Let $F_n = \delta(u_n)$, where $u_n \in \mathbb{D}^{2,2}(H)$. Suppose that $\sup_n E(|F_n|) < \infty$ and

- (i) $\langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H \xrightarrow{L^1} S^2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) For all $h \in H$, $\langle u_n, h \rangle_H \xrightarrow{L^1} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then, F_n converges stably to ηS , where η is a $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of X (that is, $(F_n, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (S\eta, X)$).

Sketch of the proof:

- Suppose that $(F_n, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (F_\infty, X)$. We need to show that

$$E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} | X) = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} S^2}. \quad (1)$$

- Set $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and define

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = E(e^{i\lambda F_n} Y).$$

- We compute the limit of $\phi'_n(\lambda)$ in two ways:

1. Using weak convergence:

$$\phi'_n(\lambda) = iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} F_n Y) \rightarrow iE(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} F_\infty Y).$$

2. Using Malliavin calculus and our assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_n(\lambda) &= iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} F_n Y) = iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} \delta(u_n) Y) \\ &= iE(\langle D(e^{i\lambda F_n} Y), u_n \rangle_H) \\ &= -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_n} \langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H Y) + iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} \langle u_n, DY \rangle_H) \\ &\rightarrow -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} S^2 Y). \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof:

- Suppose that $(F_n, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (F_\infty, X)$. We need to show that

$$E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} | X) = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} S^2}. \quad (1)$$

- Set $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and define

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = E(e^{i\lambda F_n} Y).$$

- We compute the limit of $\phi'_n(\lambda)$ in two ways:

1. Using weak convergence:

$$\phi'_n(\lambda) = iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} F_n Y) \rightarrow iE(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} F_\infty Y).$$

2. Using Malliavin calculus and our assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_n(\lambda) &= iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} F_n Y) = iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} \delta(u_n) Y) \\ &= iE(\langle D(e^{i\lambda F_n} Y), u_n \rangle_H) \\ &= -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_n} \langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H Y) + iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} \langle u_n, DY \rangle_H) \\ &\rightarrow -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} S^2 Y). \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of the proof:

- Suppose that $(F_n, X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} (F_\infty, X)$. We need to show that

$$E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} | X) = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} S^2}. \quad (1)$$

- Set $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and define

$$\phi_n(\lambda) = E(e^{i\lambda F_n} Y).$$

- We compute the limit of $\phi'_n(\lambda)$ in two ways:

1. Using weak convergence:

$$\phi'_n(\lambda) = iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} F_n Y) \rightarrow iE(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} F_\infty Y).$$

2. Using Malliavin calculus and our assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_n(\lambda) &= iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} F_n Y) = iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} \delta(u_n) Y) \\ &= iE(\langle D(e^{i\lambda F_n} Y), u_n \rangle_H) \\ &= -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_n} \langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H Y) + iE(e^{i\lambda F_n} \langle u_n, DY \rangle_H) \\ &\rightarrow -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} S^2 Y). \end{aligned}$$

- As a consequence,

$$iE(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} F_\infty Y) = -\lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} S^2 Y).$$

- This leads to a linear differential equation satisfied by the conditional characteristic function of F_∞ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} | X) = -S^2 \lambda E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} | X),$$

and we obtain

$$E(e^{i\lambda F_\infty} | X) = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2} S^2}.$$

Remarks:

- It turns out that

$$\langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H = \|u_n\|_H^2 + \langle u_n, \delta(Du_n) \rangle_H.$$

Therefore, a sufficient condition for (i) is:

$$(i') \quad \|u_n\|_H^2 \xrightarrow{L^1} S^2 \text{ and } \langle u_n, \delta(Du_n) \rangle_H \xrightarrow{L^1} 0.$$

- Comparison with the *Asymptotic Knight Theorem* for Brownian martingales (Revuz-Yor):

If $\{u_n, n \geq 1\}$ are square-integrable adapted processes, then, $F_n = \delta(u_n) = \int_0^T u_n(s) dB_s$ and the stable convergence of F_n to $N(0, S^2)$ is implied by the following conditions:

- (A) $\int_0^t u_n(s) ds \xrightarrow{P} 0$, uniformly in t .
- (B) $\int_0^T u_n(s)^2 ds \rightarrow S^2$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Remarks:

- It turns out that

$$\langle u_n, DF_n \rangle_H = \|u_n\|_H^2 + \langle u_n, \delta(Du_n) \rangle_H.$$

Therefore, a sufficient condition for (i) is:

$$(i') \quad \|u_n\|_H^2 \xrightarrow{L^1} S^2 \text{ and } \langle u_n, \delta(Du_n) \rangle_H \xrightarrow{L^1} 0.$$

- Comparison with the *Asymptotic Knight Theorem* for Brownian martingales (Revuz-Yor):

If $\{u_n, n \geq 1\}$ are square-integrable adapted processes, then, $F_n = \delta(u_n) = \int_0^T u_n(s) dB_s$ and the stable convergence of F_n to $N(0, S^2)$ is implied by the following conditions:

- (A) $\int_0^t u_n(s) ds \xrightarrow{P} 0$, uniformly in t .
- (B) $\int_0^T u_n(s)^2 ds \rightarrow S^2$ in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Applications

- *Weighted Hermite variations of the fractional Brownian motion*: Assume $\frac{1}{2q} < H < 1 - \frac{1}{2q}$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n f(B_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^H) h_q(n^H(B_{\frac{k}{n}}^H - B_{\frac{k-1}{n}}^H)) \xrightarrow{\text{Stably}} \sigma_{H,q} \int_0^1 f(B_s^H) dW_s,$$

where W is a Brownian motion independent of B^H (Nourdin, Réveillac, Tudor, N.).

- *Itô's formulas in law*: Noncentral limit theorem for symmetric integrals with respect to the fractional Brownian motion for critical values of the Hurst parameter (Burdzy, Swanson, Nourdin, Réveillac, Harnett, N., Binotto).

Rate of convergence:

Theorem (Nourdin-N.-Peccati '16)

Let $F = \delta(u)$, where $u \in \mathbb{D}^{2,2}(H)$. Let $S \geq 0$ be such that $S^2 \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and let η be a $N(0, 1)$ random variable independent of X . Then for any $\varphi \in C_b^3$

$$\begin{aligned} |E[\varphi(F)] - E[\varphi(S\eta)]| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi''\|_\infty E[|\langle u, DF \rangle_H - S^2|] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \|\varphi'''\|_\infty E[|\langle u, DS^2 \rangle_H|]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Use interpolation method:

$$E[\varphi(F)] - E[\varphi(S\eta)] = \int_0^1 g'(t) dt,$$

where $g(t) = E[\varphi(\sqrt{t}F + \sqrt{1-t}S\eta)]$.

Stein's method for normal approximation

- Stein's lemma:

$$Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \Leftrightarrow E(f(Z)Z) = \sigma^2 E(f'(Z)) \quad \forall f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

- Let $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and fix h such that $E(|h(Z)|) < \infty$. Stein's equation

$$\sigma^2 f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - E(h(Z))$$

has a unique solution f_h satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} f_h(x) = 0$.

- If $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$, then $\|f_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $\|f_h'\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$. So, for any random variable F , taking $h = \mathbf{1}_B$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}(F, Z) &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |P(F \in B) - P(Z \in B)| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{TV}} |E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - Ff(F)]|, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C}_{TV} is the class of functions with $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $\|f'\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$.

Stein's method for normal approximation

- Stein's lemma:

$$Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \Leftrightarrow E(f(Z)Z) = \sigma^2 E(f'(Z)) \quad \forall f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

- Let $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and fix h such that $E(|h(Z)|) < \infty$. Stein's equation

$$\sigma^2 f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - E(h(Z))$$

has a unique solution f_h satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} f_h(x) = 0$.

- If $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$, then $\|f_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $\|f_h'\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$. So, for any random variable F , taking $h = \mathbf{1}_B$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}(F, Z) &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |P(F \in B) - P(Z \in B)| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{TV}} |E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - Ff(F)]|, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C}_{TV} is the class of functions with $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $\|f'\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$.

Stein's method for normal approximation

- Stein's lemma:

$$Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2) \Leftrightarrow E(f(Z)Z) = \sigma^2 E(f'(Z)) \quad \forall f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

- Let $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, and fix h such that $E(|h(Z)|) < \infty$. Stein's equation

$$\sigma^2 f'(x) - xf(x) = h(x) - E(h(Z))$$

has a unique solution f_h satisfying $\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{-x^2/2\sigma^2} f_h(x) = 0$.

- If $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$, then $\|f_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $\|f'_h\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$. So, for any random variable F , taking $h = \mathbf{1}_B$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TV}(F, Z) &= \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |P(F \in B) - P(Z \in B)| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{TV}} |E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - Ff(F)]|, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C}_{TV} is the class of functions with $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sigma} \sqrt{\pi/2}$ and $\|f'\|_\infty \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$.

Case $S^2 = \sigma^2$

This leads to the following result:

Theorem

Suppose that $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ satisfies $F = \delta(u)$, where $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$. Let Z be a $N(0, \sigma^2)$ random variable. Then,

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, u \rangle_H|].$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - Ff(F)] &= E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - \delta(u)f(F)] \\ &= E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - \langle u, D[f(F)] \rangle_H] \\ &= E[f'(F)(\sigma^2 - \langle u, DF \rangle_H)]. \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, taking $u = -DL^{-1}F$, we get

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, -DL^{-1}F \rangle_H|].$$

Case $S^2 = \sigma^2$

This leads to the following result:

Theorem

Suppose that $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ satisfies $F = \delta(u)$, where $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$. Let Z be a $N(0, \sigma^2)$ random variable. Then,

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, u \rangle_H|].$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - Ff(F)] &= E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - \delta(u)f(F)] \\ &= E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - \langle u, D[f(F)] \rangle_H] \\ &= E[f'(F)(\sigma^2 - \langle u, DF \rangle_H)]. \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, taking $u = -DL^{-1}F$, we get

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, -DL^{-1}F \rangle_H|].$$

Case $S^2 = \sigma^2$

This leads to the following result:

Theorem

Suppose that $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ satisfies $F = \delta(u)$, where $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$. Let Z be a $N(0, \sigma^2)$ random variable. Then,

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, u \rangle_H|].$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - Ff(F)] &= E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - \delta(u)f(F)] \\ &= E[\sigma^2 f'(F) - \langle u, D[f(F)] \rangle_H] \\ &= E[f'(F)(\sigma^2 - \langle u, DF \rangle_H)]. \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, taking $u = -DL^{-1}F$, we get

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, -DL^{-1}F \rangle_H|].$$

Normal approximation on a fixed Wiener chaos

Proposition

Suppose $F \in \mathcal{H}_q$ for some $q \geq 2$ and $E(F^2) = \sigma^2$. Then,

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{q\sigma^2} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\|DF\|_H^2)}.$$

Proof: Using $L^{-1}F = -\frac{1}{q}F$ and $E[\|DF\|_H^2] = q\sigma^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[\sigma^2 - \langle DF, -DL^{-1}F \rangle_H] &= E\left[\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{q}\|DF\|_H^2\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\|DF\|_H^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Normal approximation on a fixed Wiener chaos

Proposition

Suppose $F \in \mathcal{H}_q$ for some $q \geq 2$ and $E(F^2) = \sigma^2$. Then,

$$d_{TV}(F, Z) \leq \frac{2}{q\sigma^2} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\|DF\|_H^2)}.$$

Proof: Using $L^{-1}F = -\frac{1}{q}F$ and $E[\|DF\|_H^2] = q\sigma^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[|\sigma^2 - \langle DF, -DL^{-1}F \rangle_H|] &= E\left[\left|\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{q}\|DF\|_H^2\right|\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sqrt{\text{Var}(\|DF\|_H^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

- Using the product formula for multiple stochastic integrals, one can show that $\text{Var}(\|DF\|_H^2)$ is equivalent up to a constant to $E[F^4] - 3\sigma^4$. This leads to the Fourth Moment Theorem (Nualart-Peccati'05, Nourdin-Peccati'08):

Theorem

Fix $q \geq 2$. Let $F_n \in \mathcal{H}_q$, $n \geq 1$ be such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(F_n^2) = \sigma^2.$$

The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $d_{TV}(F_n, Z) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.
- (ii) $E(F_n^4) \rightarrow 3\sigma^4$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iii) $\|DF_n\|_H^2 \rightarrow q\sigma^2$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Applications and extensions

- **Book:** Nourdin and G. Peccati '12: *Normal Approximations with Malliavin Calculus : From Stein's Method to Universality*.
- **Webpage:** <https://sites.google.com/site/malliavinstein/home>.
- **Applications:** Exact Berry Esséen asymptotics, quantitative Breuer-Major theorems, ...
- **Generalizations:** Functionals of the Poisson processes, convergence to nongaussian distributions (Gamma, second chaos, invariant measures of diffusions,...).

Self-intersection local time of the fBm

- The d -dimensional fractional Brownian motion ($d \geq 2$) with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ is a zero mean Gaussian process $\{B_t^H, t \geq 0\}$ with covariance

$$E[B_t^{H,i} B_s^{H,j}] = \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{2} (t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

- Its *self-intersection local time* on $[0, T]$ is formally defined by

$$L_T = \int_0^T \int_0^t \delta_0(B_t^H - B_s^H) ds dt.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} E[L_T] &= \int_0^T \int_0^t E[\delta_0(B_t^H - B_s^H)] ds dt \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^T \int_0^t |t - s|^{-Hd} ds dt < \infty \Leftrightarrow Hd < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Varadhan's renormalization

Let $p_\epsilon(x) = (2\pi\epsilon)^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/2\epsilon}$, and set

$$L_{T,\epsilon} = \int_0^T \int_0^t p_\epsilon(B_t^H - B_s^H) ds dt.$$

The following results were proved in [Hu-Nualart '05]:

- (i) If $Hd < 1$, then $L_{T,\epsilon} \xrightarrow{L^2} L_T$, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.
- (ii) If $\frac{1}{d} \leq H < \frac{3}{2d}$, then $L_{T,\epsilon} - E[L_{T,\epsilon}] \xrightarrow{L^2} \tilde{L}_T$, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.
- (iii) If $\frac{3}{2d} < H < \frac{3}{4}$, then we have the convergence in law:

$$\epsilon^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4H}} [L_{T,\epsilon} - E[L_{T,\epsilon}]] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, T\sigma_{H,d}^2) \quad (2)$$

as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. (Example: $H = \frac{1}{2}$ and $d \geq 3$)

- The proof of (2) is based on the chaos expansion

$$\Phi_{T,\epsilon} := \epsilon^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4H}} [L_{T,\epsilon} - E[L_{T,\epsilon}]] = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} J_m(L_{T,\epsilon})$$

and the application of the Fourth Moment Theorem to each projection $J_m(L_{T,\epsilon})$, $m \geq 2$, as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.

- A first ingredient in the proof is the convergence of the variance:

$$E[\Phi_{T,\epsilon}^2] \xrightarrow{\epsilon \downarrow 0} I_T,$$

where

$$I_T = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\substack{0 < s < t < T \\ 0 < s' < t' < T}} [(\lambda\rho - \mu^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} - (\lambda\rho)^{-\frac{d}{2}}] ds dt ds' dt',$$

with the notation $\lambda = |t - s|^{2H}$, $\rho = |t' - s'|^{2H}$ and $\mu = E[(B_t^{H,1} - B_s^{H,1})(B_{t'}^{H,1} - B_{s'}^{H,1})]$.

Functional CLT

Theorem (Jaramillo-N. '17)

If $\frac{3}{2d} < H < \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$\{\epsilon^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4H}} [L_{T,\epsilon} - E[L_{T,\epsilon}]], T \geq 0\} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \{\sigma_{H,d} W_T, T \geq 0\},$$

where W is a standard Brownian motion.

- The proof of the convergence of the finite dimensional distributions can be done by the same method as the CLT for T fixed.
- The main difficulty is to show the tightness property of the laws. For this we need an estimate of the form

$$E [|\Phi_{T,\epsilon} - \Phi_{S,\epsilon}|^p] \leq C_{p,d,H} |T - S|^{p/2}, \quad (3)$$

for some $p > 2$.

- We know that this is true for $p = 2$, and in this case, we need to estimate a double integral over essentially three types of regions:
 - 1 $[s', t'] \subset [s, t]$
 - 2 $[s', t'] \cap [s, t] = \emptyset$
 - 3 $s < s' < t < t'$ (the intervals overlap)
- However, for $p = 4$ we have to deal with 4 intervals $[s_i, t_i]$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the number of different regions is very large and each integral is too complicated.
- **Question:** How to show the estimate (2)?

Proving tightness using Malliavin calculus

- Fix $S \leq T$ and define $Z_\epsilon = \Phi_{T,\epsilon} - \Phi_{S,\epsilon}$.
- We can write

$$Z_\epsilon = -\delta DL^{-1}Z_\epsilon.$$

Using that $E[DL^{-1}Z_\epsilon] = 0$ and Meyer inequalities, yields

$$\|Z_\epsilon\|_p \leq c_p \|D^2 L^{-1}Z_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega; (\mathfrak{H}^d)^{\otimes 2})},$$

where \mathfrak{H} is the Hilbert space associated with the covariance of the fBm.

- We know that

$$Z_\epsilon = \epsilon^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{4H}} \int_{\substack{s < t \\ S < t < T}} (p_\epsilon(B_t^H - B_s^H) - E[p_\epsilon(B_t^H - B_s^H)]) ds dt.$$

- Also $L^{-1}(F - E[F]) = -\int_0^\infty T_\theta F d\theta$, where $\{T_\theta, \theta \geq 0\}$ is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup.

- As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^2 L^{-1} Z_\epsilon &= \int_0^\infty D^2 T_\theta Z_\epsilon d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\substack{s < t \\ S < t < T}} D^2 T_\theta [\rho_\epsilon(B_t^H - B_s^H)] ds dt d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\substack{s < t \\ S < t < T}} D^2 \tilde{E} [\rho_\epsilon(e^{-\theta}(B_t^H - B_s^H) + \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\theta}}(\tilde{B}_t^H - \tilde{B}_s^H))] ds dt d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\substack{s < t \\ S < t < T}} D^2 \rho_{\epsilon + (1 - e^{-2\theta})(t-s)^{2H}}(e^{-\theta}(B_t^H - B_s^H)) ds dt d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\substack{s < t \\ S < t < T}} e^{-2\theta} \rho''_{\epsilon + (1 - e^{-2\theta})(t-s)^{2H}}(e^{-\theta}(B_t^H - B_s^H)) \mathbf{1}_{[s,t]}^{\otimes 2} ds dt d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Finally, using Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Z_\epsilon\|_p^2 &\leq c_p^2 \|D^2 L^{-1} Z_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega; (\mathfrak{H}^d)^{\otimes 2})}^2 = c_p^2 \|DL^{-1} Z_\epsilon\|_{(\mathfrak{H}^d)^{\otimes 2}}^2 \|p\|_{p/2} \\
 &\leq c_p^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} \int_{\substack{s < t \\ S < t < T}} \int_{\substack{s' < t' \\ S < t' < T}} e^{-2\theta - 2\beta} \mu^2 \\
 &\quad \times \|p''_{\epsilon+(1-e^{-2\theta})} (e^{-\theta} (B_{t'}^H - B_{s'}^H))\|_p \\
 &\quad \times \|p''_{\epsilon+(1-e^{-2\beta})} (e^{-\beta} (B_t^H - B_s^H))\|_p ds dt d' s dt' d\theta d\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

- This leads to

$$\|Z_\epsilon\|_p^2 \leq C_{p,d,H} \mathcal{I} |T - S|^p,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{\substack{0 < s < t < T \\ 0 < s' < t' < T}} \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda \rho} ((1 + \lambda)(1 + \rho) - \mu^2)^{-\frac{d}{p}} ds dt ds' dt' < \infty,$$

provided $2 < p < \frac{4Hd}{3}$.

Remarks:

- Critical case $H = \frac{3d}{2}$: A logarithmic factor is needed for the central limit theorem to hold true, but the functional version is open.
- Case $H > \frac{3}{4}$: In this case,

$$\epsilon^{-\frac{d}{2} - \frac{3}{2H} + 1} (L_{T,\epsilon} - E[L_{T,\epsilon}]) \xrightarrow{L^2} c_{d,H} \sum_{j=1}^d X_T^j,$$

where X_T^j is a Rosenblatt-type random variable, defined as the double stochastic integral of $\delta_{\{s=t\}}$ with respect to $B^{H,j}$ on $[0, T]^2$.

- The case $H = \frac{3}{4}$ is open.

Thanks for your attention !