Nevada Office of Rural Health Recruitment & Retention Symposium

Gary Hart, PhD

WWAMI Rural Health Research Center WWAMI Center for Health Workforce Studies University of Washington Seattle, Washington

Las Vegas, Nevada January 10, 2007



Two Typical Shortage Situations

Subject to Regional Supply



Demand Issues

Recruitment and Retention Issues

Three-Legged Stool Cost Quality Access

Three-Legged Stool Cost There needs to be

Access

Quality

There needs to be balance -- note that volume of triangle diminished!

Rural CHC Workforce

Gary Hart, Ph.D.

Rural Health Research Center University of Washington Seattle, Washington

National Health Center Workforce Survey Study

University of Washington Rural Health Research Center University of South Carolina Rural Health Research Center National Association of Community Health Clinics (NACHC)

Funding & Collaboration: Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) Office of Rural Health Policy (Core) Bureau of Primary Health Care (NACHC and in-kind) Bureau of Health Professions (in-kind)

National Health Center Survey Study

Core Research Team:

U of Washington: Gary Hart, Roger Rosenblatt, Holly Andrilla, Eric Larson U of South Carolina: Jan Probst & Mike Samuels (currently at U of Kentucky) NACHC: Tom Curtin (NACHC)

Other staff from rural health research centers at U of WA and U of SC, and NACHC are involved.

In addition, representatives from FORHP, BPHC, and BHPr have been involved, especially Joan Van Nostrand, Dick Lee, and Christine Hager.

Initial Study Questions

- Determine current staffing needs of federally funded health centers (HCs) by provider type
- Determine the most important HC recruitment issues
- Contrast workforce issues for HCs by their characteristics and locations, <u>with</u> special emphasis on rural

Why HCs Important?

- Backbone of nation's formal safety net system
- Federal government substantially expanding HCs
- Shortages of providers for the HCs can limit their ability to provide needed safety net health care services
- We lack a clear understanding of the extent to which HCs are experiencing health provider shortages

Limitations

These results are:

- Data still being analyzed for FP paper (first paper in JAMA in March)
- Limited data on sites
- Some statistics not yet applied
- ***** Based on reports of CEOs

Adaptive behavior -- cannot fill positions after a long time -- give up or change practice style and substitute

Data Sources 2004 survey of all HCs 2003 HC Uniform Data System (UDS) ZIP demographic data County demographic data RUCAs & PCSAs Travel distances (larger cities & Hosp) Economic Research Service Amenity Index and other taxonomies (e.g., persistent poverty counties)

Survey Methods

- Pretest with centers, experts etc. and revisions
- ***** 3-page mail questionnaire, & reminder postcards
- * 2 mailings and phone follow-up
- ✤ 79% overall response rate (rural 98%)
- * Data coding with quality control
- Data entry with verification
- Weights and SUDAAN: Nationally Representative
- Geographic site algorithm
- * Analyses (e.g., crosstabs, statistics, regressions)

Center Selection Funded by 330 Program (e.g., CHC) and At least 1 general clinical site and ✤ In 2003 UDS and ✤ At least 1 medical encounter and ✤ More than 0 medical FTEs



Source: 2003 UDS

Grantees & Sites by Rural-Urban Status (Federally Funded Health Centers)



Grantees: 531, 104, 88, & 123.

Source: 2004 Center Survey, n=731

Planned Center Expansions

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Source: 2004 Center Survey, n=731

Planned Center Expansions

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Health Center Providers

Number Center FTE Providers

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Number Center FTE Providers

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



% Centers Actively Recruiting for Vacancies

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Vacancies Rates For Those Being Actively Recruited

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



of FTE Vacancies Being Actively Recruited

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Contribution of Selected Programs

Obligation Serving Physician Staff by Program

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Obligation Serving Physician Staff by Program

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



§

Obligation Serving Physician Staff by Program

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



In other words:

Of all the physicians practicing in health centers, the following percentages are <u>currently</u> working off service obligations: <u>Urban</u> 25% Large Rural 40% Small Rural 48% Isolated Small Rural 57%

Current Obligation Serving Physicians as Percent of All Physicians by Obligatin and Location Types (Federally Funded Health Centers: 2004)



Obligation Serving Dentist & RN Staff by Program



§

Vacancies

FP Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Hart

Source: 2004 Center Survey

OB/GYN Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Source: 2004 Center Survey

RN Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



NP Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)


PA Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Dentist Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



FP Vacancy Rates by Location Type

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



FP Vacancy Rates by Census Division

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Dentist Vacancy Rates by Census Division

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



FP Vacancy Rates by State

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Recruiting Difficulty

Difficulty Recruiting RNs, NPs, & FPs

(Federally Funded Health Centers)

4 = Very Difficult 1 = Very Easy



Recruiting RNs Most Difficult

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Source: 2004 Center Survey

Vacancy Duration

Months Recruiting for Dentist Vacancy*

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Months Recruiting for FP/GP Vacancy*

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Reasons for Vacancies

Reasons For Difficulty Recruiting FPs

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Provisionary FP Regression Results Hypotheses about factors! For example: --fewer docs: higher vacancy --poorer: higher vacancy --high minority patients: higher vacancy

Provisionary Regression Results

Hart

So far, two types of regression dependent variables:

► Vacancy yes or no (if 20% +)

➢ Vacancy rate (those with vacancy)

Significant Factors

- Persistent poverty (+)
- Higher volume grantee (-)
- > Urban (-)
- Higher older patients (+)
- Government economy county (+)
- Higher unemployment (+)
- Higher non white (+)
- Higher private pay (-)
- PCSA high PC/pop (-)

Not Significant Factors Amenity index Grantee type (CHC, MCH etc.) Distance to city

Improving Recruiting

How Can We Improve Our Ability to Recruit?

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Formal Retention Plan

(Federally Funded Health Centers)



Conclusion #1

- 1) HCs are the foundation of the nation's formal safety-net
- 2) President Bush's goal is to double HC capacity
- 3) To meet the President's goal, we will need at least <u>3900 FPs</u>, <u>4100 RNs</u>, <u>2100 dentists</u> FTEs etc. to be employed by the HCs over the next few years
- 4) Vacancies vary dramatically by the characteristics of the health centers and are especially severe in poor small rural locals

Conclusion #2

- 5) Efforts to solve these staffing challenges for HCs will take considerable focus, effort, creativity, and funding federal and state sources
- 6) The efforts will be worth it because success is measured in terms of health care for the most needy and vulnerable of the nation's population



Rural Health Research Center

http://depts.washington.edu/uwrhrc/

Others:

Center for Health Workforce Studies Rural-Urban Commuting Areas (RUCAs) RIC (Regional Information Center)



Questions

Current shortages of FPs ***** Future reductions of FPs Federal \$ down for FP support Lack of student interest in FP **Who will serve rural?** What mean? Med school expansion -- PC? * IMGs, DOs, PAs, NPs etc.

Family Medicine March Match Rates: 1994-2006



Figure A-4 FP Residency FTE Training by State (2000 FP Residency Survey & Non Response Data)



Figure A-2 FP Residency FTE Rural Training Per Rural Population by State (2000 FP Residency Survey & Non Response Data: 1998 Population)



MD009

Figure 1-3: Location of FTE FM Residency Training Compared to Population (2000 FM Residency Director Survey, n= 435)



Figure 1-6: Rural & Urban FM Residency Training by Location of Parent Program (2000 FM Residency Director Survey, n= 435)

