The National Wraparound Initiative

Jane Adams, Eric Bruns, Trina Osher, Janet Walker, Jim Rast, Nancy Koroloff, Pat Miles, John VanDenBerg, and the National Wraparound Initiative advisory group

11th Annual Building on Family Strengths Conference, Portland, Oregon May 7, 2004

Why we think wraparound is important

Wraparound was a response to overly professionalized and restrictive services
 Systems of care values demand that care management be provided to families with children who need intensive service and supports

President's New Freedom Initiative demand care that is individualized to meet the family's needs



Why we (still) think wraparound is important

Research has found poor outcomes for treatments (including "evidence-based practices") delivered in "real world" settings
 Why?

- Families don't think treatments they get are relevant
- Lack of "fit" between family needs and actual services/supports received
- Lack of full engagement of families
- Programs and systems are not engineered to support flexible, individualized care



Prevalence of "Wraparound"

Estimated 200,000 youth engaged in services delivered via Wraparound approach (Faw, 1999)

Recent survey found 42 of 46 State Mental Health liaisons report Wraparound approach being used in their state (Burchard, 2002)

Majority of CMHS-funded Systems of Care sites report utilizing Wraparound process



Wraparound Process **Principles** Family-driven **Team-based Collaborative** Community-Based Culturally Competent **2** Individualized Strengths based **Natural Supports ver**Unconditional Control based



The Fidelity Problem in Wraparound

- "Values speak" substitutes for concrete practice steps
- Many things are referred to as Wraparound
 Lacking consistent standards, description of provider practices, and accompanying measures
- Results in
 - Confusion for families, staff, communities
 - Many programs achieving poor outcomes
 - A poorly developed research base overall



Existing Theory

Wraparound is "consistent with" socialecological (Bronfenbrenner) and systems (Munger) theories

Also consistent with theories of familycentered (Allen and Petr) and strengthsbased approaches



However, to date....

Connections between these theories and wraparound outcomes have not been explored in detail

Little work focused on integrating available research into the theory



Additional Challenges

 Principles not "fixed" or mandatory
 Expected outcomes not specified
 Theory development is post-hoc





Practice What individual team members DO Process -> How the team functions

Plan: We know ...

->

•What we are trying to accomplish

- How we are going to do that
- •Whether our strategy

Wor

<u>Cohesiveness</u> = We •We have shared goals that we *can* accomplish •We respect each other

Outcome What happens as a result

Outcomes

<u>Value based</u> <u>practice</u>:

Techniques, procedures, and structures promote values

A patchwork of relevant evidence

Some evidence within children's mental health (family voice, collaboration)
 Some evidence from closely allied human service contexts (family, youth voice, natural supports)
 Some (stronger) evidence from farther afield

(team, collaboration)



More than the sum of its parts?

Expresses a philosophical commitment, but does nevertheless need a "technology" for realizing the philosophy in practice



Theory development within the NWI

Build consensus for...

- A set of principles for wraparound practice at the team level
- A set of supporting policies and practices that are necessary at the agency/organization and system levels if teams are to practice in this manner

Describe a theory of change that reflects this consensus as well a available evidence.



Hospitable System (Policy and Funding Context)

Supportive Organizations

(lead and partner agencies)

Effective Team

CHALLENGE

Bringing better understanding and some consensus about a widespread practice for which multiple innovations have been created but not compiled into a fully described model...



Goals

 To provide the field with a better understanding about what high quality wraparound is

To provide the field with a better understanding of what is required to do highquality wraparound

- To allow for better evaluation of wraparound's impact
 - E.g., determine indicators of high-quality wraparound implementation



Goals

 To allow for replication of wraparound process models that are found to have positive impact

To bring providers, trainers, researchers, parents/ advocates together into a learning and sharing community



Supported by:

- Maryland Dept of Juvenile Services
- Maryland Mental Hygiene Administration
- US DHHS Center for Medical and Medicaid Services
- Technical Assistance Partnership, American Institutes for Research



Proposed outputs

- Agreed upon definitions and terminologies for the wraparound process
- Agreed upon description of the wraparound principles, specified for a team and family
- Theory of change for wraparound, based on theory and research (from many disciplines)
- Clear description of the phases and activities in a wraparound process



Proposed outputs

Examples of tools that a provider might use to accomplish wraparound activities

E.g., procedures, exercises, forms

- Types of skills needed by wraparound facilitators to conduct the work
- Required supports for teams and providers (System and Organizational Standards)
 With Strategies for how to get these supports in place



Outputs, continued

- Training and quality assurance procedures tied to phases, activities, and standards
- Fidelity and implementation measures tied to phases, activities, and standards



Initiative Methods Philosophic principles of wraparound process Manuals, training materials, and literature on wraparound Framework of necessary conditions Small coordinating group that does initial work

Initial definitions, lit reviews, compile practices, etc.



Initiative Methods

Active engagement with innovators and trainers nationally

- Nominate specific tools, procedures, and practices
- Contribute specific frameworks and approaches to ensuring high-quality wraparound
- National Advisory group: 60 members
 - Set priorities for needed products
 - Nominate innovative practices
 - Participate in consensus-building
 - Review process, products, and outputs
- Web-enabled Delphi process



National Initiative participants

National Advisory Group

National Innovators and Trainers

> Core Coordinating Group



Examples of initial products

- Revised set of wraparound principles (handout)
- Phases and activities of wraparound
 Skill sets for wraparound facilitators



Phases of wraparound

Engagement and team preparation
Initial plan development
Implementation
Transition



Wraparound phases and activities

Phases of the Wraparound Process	Teams have <u>support</u> from program and system	Providers and team members have necessary <u>skills</u>	Intende
Phase 1: Engagement and Team Preparation	Major Tasks •Engage the family •Engage other team members •Respond to immediate		and Ind Succes High fic
Phase 2: Initial Plan Development	•Develop crisis or safetyp •Make appropriate team a plan logistics	lan 100LS	wrapar proces Meetin family
Phase 3: Implementation	 Implement wraparound p Track progress, evaluate strategies, celebrate succ Revisit and update plan Maintain/build team cohesiveness and trust 	TOOLS	Accomp team ge Ultimat outcom child, fa program commu
Phase 4: Transition	 Plan for cessation of form wraparound process Commencement & celebration Follow-up with family 	mal <i>TOOLS</i>	

Intended Results and Indicators of Success

High fidelity to the wraparound process

Meeting child and family needs and accomplishing team go<u>als</u>

Ultimate outcomes for child, family, program, and community

Skill sets for wraparound facilitators

Ability to clearly describe the wraparound process and its potential benefits for participants Understanding of evidence based practices for children with mental health needs and their families Organizing details Eliciting individual perspectives Blending/ Integrating multiple perspectives

Skill sets for wraparound facilitators

Counteracting power imbalances Effective team functioning Crafting an individualized, strengthsbased, community-based plan Interacting with others in a strengthsbased way Ability to interact with the wider community and system context in a way that promotes team goals



Website

Website for the NWI planned soon at: www.rtc.pdx.edu. Planned to include:
Resources on the research base for and the practice of wraparound
Information about the NWI
Password-protected access to the current tasks of the NWI



More information

Co-coordinators

 Eric Bruns – <u>ebruns@psych.umaryland.edu</u>, 410-328-0731

 Janet Walker – janetw@pdx.edu, 503-725-8236

