Workshop Evaluation Results

1. Rate the overall quality of the workshop (42 responses)

![Bar chart showing the distribution of responses for overall quality.]

- Excellent: 10%
- Very Good: 50%
- Good: 20%
- Fair: 5%
- Poor: 5%

2. The goals of the workshop were clear (42 responses)

![Bar chart showing the distribution of responses for goal clarity.]

- Strongly agree: 30%
- Agree: 70%
- Disagree: 0%
- Strongly disagree: 0%
3. Select all that apply to the balance between presentations (plenary) and breakout groups (42 responses)
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4. The design of the workshop facilitated exchange of expertise among participants (42 responses)
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Environmental Effects of Tidal Energy Development: A Scientific Workshop
5. Did this workshop increase your understanding of potential effects of tidal energy development on the ocean environment? (40 responses)

6. Has this workshop provided you new viewpoints and insights? (40 responses)
7. Will you apply information learned at this workshop to tidal energy-related projects? (39 responses)

8. What was most valuable about this workshop?

- Diversity of expertise assembled to address questions.
- Stressor/receptor breakout group discussions.
- Interaction and networking opportunities.

9. What was least valuable about this workshop?

- Time spent populating stressor/receptor matrices.
- Time limitations for breakout groups.
- Frustrations with having to generalize stressor/receptor interactions when concerns were specific to sites, species, and devices.

10. How would you improve similar workshops?

- Additional time within each breakout group (+1 day).
- Focus on specific scenarios, rather than general cases.
- Presentations by device developers on what monitoring and mitigation approaches have been employed as part of their projects.