## Ambient Particulate Air Pollution and Blood Pressure in Peri-urban India

Ariadna Curto,<sup>a</sup> Gregory A. Wellenius,<sup>b</sup> Carles Milà,<sup>a</sup> Margaux Sanchez,<sup>a</sup> Otavio Ranzani,<sup>a</sup> Julian D. Marshall,<sup>c</sup> Bharati Kulkarni,<sup>d</sup> Santhi Bhogadi,<sup>c</sup> Sanjay Kinra,<sup>f</sup> and Cathryn Tonne<sup>a</sup>

**Background:** Evidence linking long-term exposure to particulate air pollution to blood pressure (BP) in high-income countries may not be transportable to low- and middle-income countries. We examined cross-sectional associations between ambient fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) and black carbon (BC) with BP (systolic [SBP] and diastolic [DBP]) and prevalent hypertension in adults from 28 peri-urban villages near Hyderabad, India.

**Methods:** We studied 5531 participants from the Andhra Pradesh Children and Parents Study (18–84 years, 54% men). We measured BP (2010–2012) in the right arm and defined hypertension as SBP  $\geq$ 130 mmHg and/or DBP  $\geq$ 80 mmHg. We used land-use regression models to estimate annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> and BC at participant's residence. We applied linear and logistic nested mixed-effect models stratified by sex and adjusted by cooking fuel type to estimate associations between within-village PM<sub>2.5</sub> or BC and health.

**Results:** Mean (SD)  $PM_{2.5}$  was 33 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (2.7) and BC was 2.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> (0.23). In women, a 1 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in  $PM_{2.5}$  was associated with 1.4 mmHg higher SBP (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.12, 2.7), 0.87 mmHg higher DBP (95% CI: -0.18, 1.9), and 4% higher odds of hypertension (95% CI: 0%, 9%). In men, associations with SBP (0.52 mmHg; 95% CI: -0.82, 1.8), DBP (0.41 mmHg; 95% CI: -0.69, 1.5), and hypertension (2% higher odds; 95% CI: -2%, 6%) were weaker. No associations were observed with BC.

Submitted June 13, 2018; accepted March 19, 2019.

- The authors report no conflicts of interest.
- Data is available through a formal collaborator request to APCAPS (see: http://apcaps.lshtm.ac.uk/apply-to-collaborate/). The computing code required to replicate the results reported is by contacting the corresponding author.

Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. ISSN: 1044-3983/19/3004-0492 DOI: 10.1097/EDE.00000000001014

492 | www.epidem.com

**Conclusion:** We observed a positive association between ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  and BP and hypertension in women. Longitudinal studies in this region are needed to corroborate our findings.

**Keywords:** Ambient air pollution; Black carbon; Blood pressure; Cardiovascular health; Hypertension; Lower-middle income country; India; Particulate matter

(Epidemiology 2019;30: 492-500)

H igh blood pressure (BP) is the leading risk factor for allcause mortality and morbidity globally.<sup>1</sup> The prevalence of high BP has increased over the past decades<sup>2</sup> and is projected to increase by 60% by 2025.<sup>3</sup> Although high BP is a worldwide public health concern, 80% of the burden is in lowand middle-income countries.<sup>4</sup> Of all adults with high BP in 2015 (1.1 billion), an estimated 44% lived in South and East Asia and 18% in India.<sup>2</sup>

Besides genetic and lifestyle factors, environmental factors such as air pollution can affect BP.<sup>5</sup> A number of studies have reported an association between short-term changes (i.e., hours to days) in ambient levels of fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) and BP.<sup>6–9</sup> Relatively fewer studies have assessed the association between long-term (i.e., months to years) exposure to  $PM_{2.5}$  and BP, with most<sup>6–12</sup> (but not all<sup>13–17</sup>) studies reporting a positive association. Identifying the key sources of  $PM_{2.5}$  responsible for the observed associations remains an area of intense interest, with some evidence that combustionrelated particles, often assessed as black carbon (BC), may be particularly relevant for cardiovascular health.<sup>8,18,19</sup>

Air pollution levels in low- and middle-income countries are typically higher than in high-income countries, with 59% of air-pollution associated deaths occurring in Asia.<sup>20</sup> Despite the combined burden from high BP and air pollution in low- and middle-income countries, to date, most studies evaluating the association between long-term exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> and BP have been conducted in high-income countries.<sup>6–9,18,21,22</sup> Findings from these studies may have limited transportability to populations in low- and middle-income countries because of a confluence of genetic, lifestyle, and environmental differences.<sup>9,21,23,24</sup> Epidemiologic studies in lowand middle-income countries can therefore shed light on the

Epidemiology • Volume 30, Number 4, July 2019

From the "ISGlobal, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>b</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, RI; <sup>c</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington, WA; <sup>d</sup>National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad, India; <sup>e</sup>Public Health Foundation of India, Indian Institute for Public Health, Hyderabad, India; and <sup>f</sup>Department of Non-communicable Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom.

Supported by grants 084674/Z from the Wellcome Trust, 336167 from the European Research Council, and RYC-2015–17402 to investigator CT from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness.

**SDC** Supplemental digital content is available through direct URL citations in the HTML and PDF versions of this article (www.epidem.com).

Correspondence: Cathryn Tonne, ISGlobal- Campus Mar, Barcelona Biomedical Research Park (PRBB), Doctor Aiguader 88, 1st floor, 08003 Barcelona, Spain. E-mail: cathryn.tonne@isglobal.org.

exposure-response relation in populations exposed to higher ambient concentrations. Moreover, in low- and middle-income countries, the sources of ambient PM are potentially different than those found in high-income countries,<sup>23,24</sup> implying differences in particle composition and toxicity.

There are various calls for greater understanding of the etiologic role of ambient air pollution in cardiovascular health in low- and middle-income countries,<sup>7,21,22,24–26</sup> especially in India.<sup>22,24</sup> In response, we examined associations between long-term exposure to ambient particulate air pollution, systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and prevalent hypertension in adults from peri-urban India.

#### **METHODS**

### **Study Population and Ethics**

We used data from the third follow-up of the Andhra Pradesh Children and Parents Study (APCAPS) intergenerational cohort.<sup>27</sup> This cohort included individuals enrolled in the first follow-up (2003–2005), who were born during 1987–1990 (i.e., index children). The cohort was expanded in the third follow-up (2010–2012) to include their parents and siblings (eFigure 1; http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510). Questionnaire and vascular health data were collected from 6944 participants between 2010 and 2012, at one time point per participant. We included adults ( $\geq$ 18 years) and nonpregnant women (n = 6,227; 1315 index children and 4912 family members).

This study was approved by the ethics committees of the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (London, UK), the National Institute of Nutrition (Hyderabad, India), the Indian Institute of Public Health (Hyderabad, India), and Parc de Salut MAR (Barcelona, Spain). Signed informed consent forms were obtained from all participants.

### **Study Area**

Participants resided in 28 villages in a peri-urban area<sup>28</sup> (770 km<sup>2</sup>) southeast of Hyderabad (Figure 1). Villages differed regarding their degree of urbanization, population size (from 546 to 21,262 people in 2013), proximity to Hyderabad (29–66 km), socioeconomic status, and primary cooking fuel.

### **BP** Measurements

We measured SBP and DBP in the right arm in a sitting position after 5 minutes of rest using an oscillometric device (Omron HEM 7300; Omron, Matsusaka Co., Japan) and an appropriate-sized cuff. Measurements were made in clinics established in study villages as part of APCAPS. Participants were asked to refrain from performing vigorous exercise, eating or drinking anything other than water, smoking or taking drugs 30 minutes before the measurement. Three consecutive BP readings were obtained, leaving 1 minute between successive readings. We used the average of the three readings as the estimate of BP in the main analyses, and the average of the last two of the three BP readings in sensitivity analyses. Research staff recorded the room temperature. We defined hypertension as SBP  $\ge 130$  mmHg and/or DBP  $\ge 80$  mmHg.<sup>29</sup>

## **Air Pollution Exposure**

Within the framework of the CHAI project (Cardiovascular Health effects of Air pollution in Andhra Pradesh, India),<sup>30</sup> we estimated annual average ambient concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and BC at participants' residential address using landuse regression models developed for the study area.<sup>31</sup> Briefly, two monitoring sessions were performed in two seasons between 2015 and 2016 in 23 sites of the study area. Adjusted R<sup>2</sup> was 58% for PM<sub>2.5</sub> model and 79% for BC model.<sup>31</sup>

### Covariates

We collected data on socio-demographic, health, lifestyle, and household characteristics via questionnaire administered by a trained interviewer. The questionnaire (available at: http://apcaps.lshtm.ac.uk/questionnaires/) also included questions related to dietary intake over the past year (evaluated through a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire) and physical activity over the preceding week. Development and validation of the APCAPS questionnaire sections is described elsewhere.<sup>32,33</sup> We assessed socio-economic status using the Standard of Living Index (SLI), a household level asset-based scale based on principal component analysis and designed for the Indian population.<sup>27</sup> Tertiles were derived to identify low, middle, and high SLI. We measured height (in m) and weight (in kg) during the clinic visit. We calculated body mass index (BMI) accordingly (weight divided by squared height).

## **Data Analysis**

We identified potential confounders using prior evidence and bivariate associations with the outcome and/or the exposure, as illustrated using DAGitty 2.3<sup>34</sup> in a directed acyclic graph (eFigure 2; http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510). Given the importance of sex as a determinant of baseline health status, socio-economic and lifestyle factors, and time-activity patterns influencing residential exposure,35 we decided a priori to stratify all analysis by sex, but we also report results for the whole study population. We excluded participants with missing data on sex (n = 5), household ID (n = 82), BP (n = 3), and land-use regression-predicted estimates (n = 580). We also excluded participants with SBP - DBP < 15 mmHg (n = 5)and those in whom BP was measured in the left arm (n = 21); leaving 5531 participants for analysis (1165 index children and 4366 family members). Missingness of some covariates varied by village; we therefore multiply imputed missing data in our covariates using the method of chained equations.<sup>36</sup> We created m = 20 imputed datasets<sup>37</sup> using the same covariates included in the model 4 dataset (see below) as input and pooled each *m* estimate using Rubin's rules.<sup>38</sup>

Participants lived in 2296 households (on average two participants per household) within 28 villages. To estimate within-village associations between  $PM_{2.5}$  or BC and health, we applied nested (linear for BP and logistic



FIGURE 1. Map of the study area. Figure is available in color online.

for hypertension) mixed-effects models in which both the within and between village exposure–outcome relation were modeled explicitly, an approach referred to as within–between model specification.<sup>39,40</sup> Compared with random-effects estimation, within–between specification is better suited to model scenarios in which the exposure may be correlated with the random effects (thereby being subject to bias), sample size is large, and within-group variability of the exposure is limited.<sup>41</sup> Although conceptually analogous to fixed-effects estimation, within–between specification has the advantage of adjusting for the between-group unobserved effects using fewer degrees of freedom.<sup>40</sup> We used the following regression equation (all components expressed in scalar form):

$$y_{\text{vhi}} = \beta_0 + \beta_w \left( x_{\text{vhi}} - x_v \right) + \beta_B x_v + \left( u_v + u_{\text{vh}} + e_{\text{vhi}} \right) + \text{covariates},$$

where  $y_{vhi}$  represents the outcome in village v, household h and individual i;  $\beta_0$  represents a constant;  $\beta_w$  represents the withinvillage effect estimated as the effect of the difference between the individual exposure  $(x_{vhi})$  and the village mean  $(\bar{x}_v)$  on the outcome;  $\beta_B$  represents the village mean exposure (between effect); *u* represent the random intercepts for the nested house-hold  $(u_{vh})$  within village  $(u_v)$ ; and  $e_{vhi}$  the error term.

Household air pollution is an additional important source of personal and ambient air pollution in this region. We, therefore, explored the role of type of primary cooking fuel (biomass vs. clean) as potential confounder through adjustment and as a potential effect measure modifier through stratified analyses in women. For each air pollution metric and continuous outcome, we fitted the following regression models:

- Model 1 (basic): adjusted for age, antihypertensive medication, and mean village concentration
- Model 2 (cooking fuel adjusted): model 1 + cooking fuel
- Model 3 (main): model 2 + education attainment, SLI, physical activity, environmental tobacco smoke, active smoking (only in men), alcohol, room temperature, and salt intake
- Model 4 (including potential mediators): model 3 + BMI and diabetes

494 | www.epidem.com

Results are expressed as change in BP outcome (in mmHg) per 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in within-village PM<sub>2.5</sub> and per interquartile range width (IQRW) increase in within-village BC. For prevalent hypertension as a dichotomous outcome, we only fit model 3. We explored potential nonlinearity for all continuous covariates (age, physical activity, temperature, salt intake, and BMI) by adding a natural spline with three degrees of freedom. The full model allowing for nonlinearity in age is shown in eTable 1(http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510). For categorical covariates, we used the same categories as shown in Table 1.

To assess the robustness of our findings, we conducted multiple sensitivity analyses using model 3: (1) defining the outcome as the average of the last two BP readings, since the first BP reading can be higher than subsequent ones; (2) excluding participants taking antihypertensive medication (n = 195); (3) conducting a leave-one-village-out analysis (i.e., removing each of the villages one at a time); and (4) including village as a fixed effect with only a random intercept for household. As secondary analysis, we refit models 3 and 4 stratified by age ( $\leq$ 40 years vs. >40 years) while adjusting for sex and age. Analyses were conducted with R (version 3.5.0) using packages "mice"<sup>36</sup> and "Ime4".<sup>42</sup>

#### RESULTS

#### Participants' Characteristics and BP Levels

Of the 5531 participants included 54% were male participants, had a mean age of 38 years, and had a mean BMI

#### TABLE 1. Participants' Characteristics, Exposure Levels, and Blood Pressure

	n (% missing) <sup>a</sup>	All	Men	Women
Categorical variables				
Men (%)	5531 (0)	54	-	-
Formal education (%)	5530 (0.02)			
Without (either illiterate or literate)		53	38	70
With any kind		47	62	30
Standard of living index (%)	5171 (6.5)			
Low		33	34	38
Medium		33	36	35
High		33	30	27
Smoking status (%)	5530 (0.02)			
Never		83	68	99
Former (stopped 6 months ago)		1	2	0
Current (within last 6 months)		16	30	0.2
Exposure to ETS at home (%)	5530 (0.02)	31	74	63
Alcohol intake frequency (%)	5529 (0.04)			
Never		32	20	45
Occasional (monthly or special occasions)		36	35	37
Regular (daily or weekly)		32	44	17
Primary cooking fuel (%)	5184 (6.3)			
Clean (gas or electricity)		42	43	40
Biomass		58	57	60
Self-reported hypertension (%)	5375 (2.8)	6	6	6
Antihypertensive medication (%)	5373 (2.9)	3	3	3
Measured hypertension (%)	5531 (0)	46	52	39
Self-reported diabetes (%)	5530 (0.02)	2	3	2
Continuous variables				
Age (years); mean $\pm$ SD	5531 (0)	$37.7 \pm 13.3$	$37.3 \pm 14.9$	$38.1 \pm 11.3$
Physical activity (METs-week); mean $\pm$ SD	5235 (5.4)	$1.6 \pm 0.21$	$1.6 \pm 0.21$	$1.7 \pm 0.21$
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ); mean $\pm$ SD	5519 (0.2)	$21.1 \pm 3.8$	$20.9 \pm 3.6$	$21.4 \pm 4.1$
Temperature of the room (°C); mean $\pm$ SD	5531 (0)	$26.4 \pm 2.8$	$26.3 \pm 2.8$	$26.5 \pm 2.8$
Salt intake (g/day); mean $\pm$ SD	5523 (0.1)	$6.4 \pm 3.4$	$6.9 \pm 3.7$	$5.8 \pm 2.9$
Ambient $PM_{2.5}$ (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ); mean ± SD	5531 (0)	$32.8 \pm 2.7$	$32.8 \pm 2.7$	$32.9 \pm 2.7$
Ambient BC ( $\mu g/m^3$ ); mean $\pm$ SD	5531 (0)	$2.5 \pm 0.23$	$2.5 \pm 0.23$	$2.5 \pm 0.23$
SBP (mmHg); mean $\pm$ SD	5531 (0)	$120.9 \pm 15.9$	$124.0 \pm 16.2$	$117.8 \pm 14.9$
DBP (mmHg); mean ± SD	5531 (0)	$79.4 \pm 12.5$	$81.3 \pm 12.9$	77.7±11.6

<sup>a</sup>% missing based on 5531 sample size; % distributions for a given covariate are based on complete cases. Values correspond to data prior to multiple imputation.

BC indicates black carbon; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; ETS, environmental tobacco smoke; MET, metabolic equivalent task; PM<sub>2.5</sub>, particles < 2.5 µm in diameter; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation.

© 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

www.epidem.com | 495

of 21 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 1). Compared with men, women tended to be older, more physically active, had less formal education, higher BMI, lower household SLI, and consumed less tobacco and alcohol. Few participants (6%) reported previous diagnosis of hypertension, although we identified 46% of participants as hypertensive, based on the measured BP. On average, men had higher SBP (124 mmHg vs. 118 mmHg), DBP (81 mmHg vs. 78 mmHg), and prevalent hypertension (52% vs. 39%) than women.

#### **Air Pollution Levels**

Ambient annual averages were 33  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (range 24–38) for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 2.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (range 1.6–3.1) for BC (Table 1). The IQRWs of within-village levels were 0.34  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and 0.13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for BC. BC had more within-village variability than PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Figure 2). All participants were exposed to higher annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> than the World Health Organization guideline (10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) and the US Environmental Protection Agency (12  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) air quality standard. Almost all participants (96%) had exposures above the European Union Air Quality Standards (25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

# Associations Between Air Pollution and BP and Hypertension

Crude models and models 1 and 2 are presented in eTable 2 (http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510). Models 3 and 4 are presented in Table 2. A 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in within-village PM<sub>2.5</sub> was associated with 1.4 mmHg (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.12, 2.7) higher SBP among women (Table 2; Model 3). The association for DBP was also positive but

smaller in magnitude (0.87 mmHg; 95% CI: -0.18, 1.9). In men, associations between PM2 5 and SBP (0.52 mmHg; 95% CI: -0.82, 1.8) and DBP (0.41 mmHg; 95% CI: -0.69, 1.5) were smaller when compared with women. BC was not associated with either SBP or DBP in either men or women. When further adjusting for BMI and diabetes, which may be considered either confounders or potential causal intermediates between air pollution and hypertension, associations were generally similar but slightly weaker for PM<sub>2.5</sub> in men and for BC in women (Table 2; Model 4). In the whole study population (men and women), a 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in within-village PM<sub>25</sub> was associated with 0.98 mmHg (95% CI: -0.02, 2.0) higher SBP and 0.64 mmHg (-0.18, 1.5) higher DBP. BC was not associated with either SBP (-0.03 mmHg; -0.53, 0.48) or DBP (0.002 mmHg; -0.41, 0.41). Stratified analyses by age are presented in eTable 3; http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510. There was slight indication of stronger PM2 5-SBP and weaker PM<sub>25</sub>–DBP associations in the older (vs. younger) group. However, differences in point estimates were small. Stratified analyses of cooking fuel for women are presented in eTable 4; http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510. Although the point estimate between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and SBP was larger in women using biomass, there were mainly no differences between the two groups.

A 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in within-village PM<sub>2.5</sub> was associated with an adjusted odds ratio of hypertension of 1.04 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.09) in women, 1.02 (0.98, 1.07) in men, and 1.03 (1.00, 1.07) across both the sexes (data only shown in the main text). For each 0.13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in within-village BC, the adjusted odds ratio of hypertension was 1.01 (0.99, 1.03) in



**FIGURE 2.** Box plots of estimated  $PM_{2.5}$  (panel A) and BC (panel B) at residence according to village. BC indicates black carbon; LUR, land-use regression model;  $PM_{2.5}$ , particles < 2.5  $\mu$ m in diameter.

	Men (n = 2,979)				Women (n = 2,552)			
	SBP		DBP		SBP		DBP	
	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI
PM <sub>2.5</sub>								
Model 3	0.52	-0.82, 1.8	0.41	-0.69, 1.5	1.4	0.12, 2.7	0.87	-0.18, 1.9
Model 4	0.42	-0.86, 1.7	0.31	-0.74, 1.4	1.5	0.19, 2.7	0.91	-0.08, 1.9
Black carbon								
Model 3	-0.20	-0.86, 0.47	-0.04	-0.59, 0.51	0.15	-0.52, 0.81	0.11	-0.41, 0.64
Model 4	-0.27	-0.91, 0.37	-0.12	-0.64, 0.41	0.01	-0.64, 0.65	-0.03	-0.53, 0.47

TABLE 2.	Associations Between	<b>Residential Ex</b>	posure to Particle	s and Blood Pressur	e According to Sex
----------	----------------------	-----------------------	--------------------	---------------------	--------------------

Changes in SBP and DBP are expressed as unit increase in mmHg per 1 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and per IQRW increase in black carbon (=0.13 µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

Model 3 (main): adjusted for age, antihypertensive medication, mean village concentration, cooking fuel, education attainment, standard of living index, physical activity, environmental tobacco smoke, active smoking (only in men), alcohol, room temperature, and salt intake.

Model 4 (including potential mediators): model 3 + body mass index and diabetes.

CI indicates confidence interval; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; IQRW, interquartile range width; PM, st particles < 2.5 µm in diameter; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

women, 0.99 (0.97, 1.02) in men, and 1.00 (0.99, 1.02) when including both men and women.

#### Sensitivity Analyses

Results were similar in sensitivity analyses (eTable 1; http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510). When excluding participants taking antihypertensive medication (model S2), the effect of  $PM_{2.5}$  on DBP in women was slightly stronger (0.97; 95% CI: -0.09, 2.0) per 1 µg/m<sup>3</sup> increase in  $PM_{2.5}$ . When using fixed rather than random effects for village (model S3), which more stringently controls for differences between villages, we observed a very similar point estimate for the association between  $PM_{2.5}$  and SBP in women. Also in women, results were fairly robust to the exclusion of specific villages, with exception of villages 1 and 14 (Figure 3), possibly because of the high number of participants in these villages. The pattern was similar for men (eFigure 3; http://links.lww.com/EDE/B510).

#### DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study, we observed positive associations between long-term exposure to ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  and BP and prevalent hypertension among women. Stronger associations were found for SBP than DBP. Associations in men were weaker and included the null. Long-term exposure to BC was not associated with BP or hypertension either in women or men. Results were robust in sensitivity analyses. Models adjusting for primary cooking fuel (biomass vs. clean) suggest that  $PM_{2.5}$ –SBP association in women was independent of type of fuel used for cooking.

Previous studies have reported sex-adjusted estimates or have focused only on one sex,<sup>9</sup> making comparison of our sex-specific results difficult. Sex-specific (or gender-specific) effects of air pollution are often determined by differences in time–activity patterns. In the study population, women spend the majority of their time near home (83% of the daytime vs. 57% for men).<sup>35</sup> This suggests that residence-based exposure estimates may be more relevant for women than for men in this setting, and may explain why we observed stronger associations between  $PM_{2.5}$  and BP in women. Women cooking with solid fuels have generally higher SBP and DBP than clean fuel users.<sup>43</sup> In a study by Liu et al<sup>10</sup> in China, higher levels of ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  were associated with higher SBP in individuals using solid fuels for cooking. However, our stratified analysis in women was not sufficiently powered to assess whether the association observed between ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  and SBP may be modified by the cooking fuel used.

Most studies investigating long-term ambient PM in relation to BP have been conducted either in urban areas where air pollution is typically dominated by traffic sources or in highincome countries where PM25 concentrations are considerably lower ( $<20 \ \mu g/m^3$ ) than in our study (33  $\mu g/m^3$ ). Our study is likely more comparable to two nationwide studies conducted in China, which include rural areas and with similar ambient  $PM_{2.5}$  levels ( $\geq 30 \ \mu g/m^3$ ).<sup>10,11</sup> Both studies found stronger PM<sub>25</sub>-SBP associations than PM<sub>25</sub>-DBP, which is consistent with our results. Liu et al. found a 0.60-mmHg (95% CI: 0.05, 1.1) increase in SBP and 0.02-mmHg increase in DBP (95% CI: -0.30, 0.34) per 42  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in adults  $\geq$ 35-years-old.<sup>10</sup> Lin et al. found an increase in both SBP (1.3 mmHg; 95% CI: 0.04, 3.6) and DBP (1.0 mmHg; 95% CI: 0.31, 1.8) per 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in middle-aged ( $\geq$ 50 years) adults.<sup>11</sup> The magnitude of our PM<sub>25</sub>–SBP association in women was ~10 times greater than these Chinese studies (after rescaling all estimates to 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase). A range of factors may explain the higher magnitude of association observed in our study versus some prior studies. First, our study had a high prevalence of undiagnosed (87%) and untreated (93%) hypertension, which may make this population more comparable to high-risk subgroups elsewhere. Second, many prior studies have focused on differences in exposures between-cluster (e.g., between-city) rather than within-cluster. Estimates of association between- versus within-cluster may be susceptible to different biases and thus provide different insights into the true



**FIGURE 3.** Regression coefficients for the association between fine particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) and blood pressure in women after the leave-one-village-out approach. Error bars represent 95% confidence interval. Dashed black line corresponds to the zero level. Red dashed line corresponds to the systolic blood pressure (SBP) coefficient from the model considering all villages (showed for reference), whereas blue dashed line corresponds to diastolic blood pressure (DBP) coefficient. Figure is available in color online.

effect of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure on BP. Third, published studies have used a range of approaches to estimate air pollution exposures, including satellite-based methods with relatively course spatial resolution ( $10 \times 10$  km).<sup>10,11</sup> Satellite-based methods may have limited ability to estimate small-area variations in air pollution exposures and may have larger exposure measurement error than the land-use regression models, leading to smaller health effects estimates.<sup>44</sup> Fourth, differences in particle composition and toxicity may contribute to apparent heterogeneity across studies.

Few studies have investigated the relation between middle- or long-term exposure to BC (or PM25 absorbance, comparable to BC) and BP.<sup>16,17,44-47</sup> All were conducted either exclusively in urban areas<sup>16,17,47</sup> or in the USA and in older (mostly men) adults (~70 to 80 years).44-46 Although results were heterogeneous, they indicated positive associations between ambient BC and BP. Our lack of association is surprising, particularly because the BC land-use regression model had better performance and captured more local spatial variability compared with the PM25 land-use regression model.<sup>31</sup> A possible explanation is that ambient BC in this setting has a different toxicologic profile compared with settings where it is dominated by traffic.<sup>16,17,45-48</sup> Further studies are needed to explore the role of ambient BC in cardiovascular health in low- and middle-income countries, perhaps with greater emphasis on source apportionment and composition or toxicity of particles.

The biologic mechanisms linking BP and air pollution likely differ according to PM<sub>2.5</sub> constituents, timing and duration of exposure, and underlying susceptibility of individuals.<sup>6,49</sup> Current knowledge indicates that inhaled particles can acutely induce pulmonary oxidative stress and inflammation, and also provoke an initial imbalance in the autonomic nervous system, stimulating the sympathetic response, and subsequently elevating BP due to an increase in arterial vaso-constriction.<sup>6,8</sup> Long-term PM exposures can also trigger endothelial injury or dysfunction, perhaps driven by an increase in reactive oxygen species, and thus adversely alter systemic hemodynamics and increase risk of hypertension.<sup>6,8,49</sup>

Our study overcomes several limitations of previous studies. We collected demographic data for ~100% of all living residents of the study villages (eFigure 1; http://links.lww. com/EDE/B510). Adults ( $\geq$ 18 years) surveyed (n = 63,128) are similar to our adult study participants in terms of age (mean age of 38 years in both the general population and participants), sex (51% vs. 54% men), and education (47% vs. 53% without education; with slightly more women without education in our study sample) (eTable 5; http://links.lww. com/EDE/B510). Participants are therefore considered to be representative of the general population of this peri-urban area in South India. Regarding exposure assessment, land-use regression models provide finer spatial resolution and likely lower exposure measurement error compared with exposure estimates derived solely from satellite imagery or proximity

#### 498 | www.epidem.com

of residence to fixed-site monitoring stations. Limitations of our study, however, should be considered while interpreting the results. Because of the cross-sectional design, we could not ensure that exposure preceded the outcome or investigate the influence of timing of exposure on BP. There were a few years between the BP measurement (2010-2012) and the air pollution monitoring campaign (2015-2016), although geographic predictors used in land-use regression models were from 2012 to 2013. We assume that the spatial pattern of sources in the study area remained constant between 2010 and 2015. Previous research supports this assumption in settings dominated by traffic sources<sup>50</sup> but no comparable evidence is available for peri-urban or rural areas. Although we considered a wide range of potential individual and household confounding factors, we cannot rule out the possibility of unmeasured confounding in the observed associations. Nonetheless, our model formulation allowed separating between and within village effects, thus accounting for factors that may vary across villages (e.g., exposure to other copollutants linked to high BP). The fairly wide CIs likely reflect the limited variability of the within-village exposure and/or the random measurement error in the outcome by measuring BP in a single occasion.<sup>29</sup>

In conclusion, our study suggests that long-term exposure to ambient fine particulate matter is positively associated with BP in women, independently of the type of fuel used for cooking. Additional epidemiologic evidence is needed to corroborate our findings, ideally from studies using longitudinal data, to better inform the potential cardiovascular health benefits of air pollution control policies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all participants of the APCAPS and CHAI studies as well as the study teams who made the research possible. We thank Dr David Donaire-Gonzalez for his conceptual and statistical support and Albert Ambròs for elaboration of Figure 1. ISGlobal is a member of the CERCA Programme, Generalitat de Catalunya, Spain.

#### REFERENCES

- GBD 2016 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet*. 2017;390:1345–1422.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in blood pressure from 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19.1 million participants. *Lancet*. 2017;389:37–55.
- Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, Muntner P, Whelton PK, He J. Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. *Lancet*. 2005;365:217–223.
- Lawes CM, Vander Hoorn S, Rodgers A; International Society of Hypertension. Global burden of blood-pressure-related disease, 2001. *Lancet.* 2008;371:1513–1518.
- Brook RD, Weder AB, Rajagopalan S. "Environmental hypertensionology" the effects of environmental factors on blood pressure in clinical practice and research. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2011;13:836–842.

- Brook RD, Rajagopalan S. Particulate matter, air pollution, and blood pressure. JAm Soc Hypertens. 2009;3:332–350.
- Liang R, Zhang B, Zhao X, Ruan Y, Lian H, Fan Z. Effect of exposure to PM2.5 on blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hypertens. 2014;32:2130–2140; discussion 2141.
- Giorgini P, Di Giosia P, Grassi D, Rubenfire M, Brook RD, Ferri C. Air pollution exposure and blood pressure: an updated review of the literature. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2016;22:28–51.
- Yang BY, Qian Z, Howard SW, et al. Global association between ambient air pollution and blood pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Pollut*. 2018;235:576–588.
- Liu C, Chen R, Zhao Y, et al. Associations between ambient fine particulate air pollution and hypertension: a nationwide cross-sectional study in China. *Sci Total Environ.* 2017;584–585:869–874.
- Lin H, Guo Y, Zheng Y, et al. Long-term effects of ambient PM2.5 on hypertension and blood pressure and attributable risk among older Chinese adults. *Hypertension*. 2017;69:806–812.
- Zhang Z, Guo C, Lau AKH, et al. Long-term exposure to fine particulate matter, blood pressure, and incident hypertension in taiwanese adults. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2018;126:017008.
- Madsen C, Nafstad P. Associations between environmental exposure and blood pressure among participants in the Oslo Health Study (HUBRO). *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2006;21:485–491.
- Fuks KB, Weinmayr G, Foraster M, et al. Arterial blood pressure and long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution: an analysis in the European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE). *Environ Health Perspect.* 2014;122:896–905.
- Liu C, Fuertes E, Tiesler CM, et al. The associations between trafficrelated air pollution and noise with blood pressure in children: results from the GINIplus and LISAplus studies. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2014;217:499–505.
- Bilenko N, van Rossem L, Brunekreef B, et al. Traffic-related air pollution and noise and children's blood pressure: results from the PIAMA birth cohort study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2015;22:4–12.
- Chen SY, Wu CF, Lee JH, et al. Associations between long-term air pollutant exposures and blood pressure in elderly residents of Taipei city: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2015;123:779–784.
- Magalhaes S, Baumgartner J, Weichenthal S. Impacts of exposure to black carbon, elemental carbon, and ultrafine particles from indoor and outdoor sources on blood pressure in adults: a review of epidemiological evidence. *Environ Res.* 2018;161:345–353.
- Janssen NA, Hoek G, Simic-Lawson M, et al. Black carbon as an additional indicator of the adverse health effects of airborne particles compared with PM10 and PM2.5. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2011;119:1691–1699.
- Cohen AJ, Brauer M, Burnett R, et al. Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015. *Lancet*. 2017;389:1907–1918.
- Su TC, Chen SY, Chan CC. Progress of ambient air pollution and cardiovascular disease research in Asia. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2011;53:369–378.
- 22. Yamamoto SS, Phalkey R, Malik AA. A systematic review of air pollution as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in South Asia: limited evidence from India and Pakistan. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2014;217:133–144.
- GBD MAPS Working Group. Burden of Disease Attributable to Major Air Pollution Sources in India. Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute; 2018.
- Pant P, Guttikunda SK, Peltier RE. Exposure to particulate matter in India: a synthesis of findings and future directions. *Environ Res.* 2016;147:480– 496.
- Newell K, Kartsonaki C, Lam KBH, Kurmi OP. Cardiorespiratory health effects of particulate ambient air pollution exposure in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Planet Health.* 2017;1:e368–e380.
- Burroughs Peña MS, Rollins A. Environmental exposures and cardiovascular disease. *Cardiol Clin.* 2017;35:71–86.
- Kinra S, Radha Krishna KV, Kuper H, et al. Cohort profile: Andhra Pradesh Children and Parents Study (APCAPS). *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43:1417–1424.
- Waldman L, Bisht R, Saharia R, et al. Peri-urbanism in globalizing India: a study of pollution, health and community awareness. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2017;14:980.

www.epidem.com | 499

- 29. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: executive summary: a Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. JAm Coll Cardiol. 2018;71:2199–2269.
- Tonne C, Salmon M, Sanchez M, et al. Integrated assessment of exposure to PM2.5 in South India and its relation with cardiovascular risk: design of the CHAI observational cohort study. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2017;220:1081–1088.
- Sanchez M, Ambros A, Milà C, et al. Development of land-use regression models for fine particles and black carbon in peri-urban South India. *Sci Total Environ.* 2018;634:77–86.
- Bowen L, Bharathi AV, Kinra S, Destavola B, Ness A, Ebrahim S. Development and evaluation of a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire for use in urban and rural India. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2012;21:355–360.
- 33. Matsuzaki M, Sullivan R, Ekelund U, et al. Development and evaluation of the Andhra Pradesh Children and Parent Study Physical Activity Questionnaire (APCAPS-PAQ): a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;16:48.
- Textor J, Hardt J, Knüppel S. DAGitty: a graphical tool for analyzing causal diagrams. *Epidemiology*. 2011;22:745.
- 35. Sanchez M, Ambros A, Salmon M. et al. Predictors of daily mobility of adults in peri-urban South India. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14:E783.
- Buuren, S. van, Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. mice : multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. J Stat Softw. 2011;45.
- Graham JW, Olchowski AE, Gilreath TD. How many imputations are really needed? Some practical clarifications of multiple imputation theory. *Prev Sci.* 2007;8:206–213.
- Rubin DB. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 1987.
- Mundlak Y. On the pooling of time series and cross section data. Econometrica. 1978;46:69
- Bell A, Jones K. Explaining fixed effects: random effects modeling of timeseries cross-sectional and panel data. *Polit Sci Res Methods*. 2015;3:133–153.

- Clark TS, Linzer DA. Should I use fixed or random effects? *Polit Sci Res Methods*. 2015;3:399–408.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J Stat Softw. 2015;67.
- 43. Arku RE, Ezzati M, Baumgartner J, et al. Elevated blood pressure and household solid fuel use in premenopausal women: analysis of 12 Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) from 10 countries. *Environ Res.* 2018;160:499–505.
- 44. Jerrett M, Turner MC, Beckerman BS, et al. Comparing the health effects of ambient particulate matter estimated using ground-based versus remote sensing exposure estimates. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2017;125:552–559.
- Schwartz J, Alexeeff SE, Mordukhovich I, et al. Association between long-term exposure to traffic particles and blood pressure in the Veterans Administration Normative Aging Study. *Occup Environ Med.* 2012;69:422–427.
- 46. Wellenius GA, Wilhelm-Benartzi CS, Wilker EH, et al. Ambient particulate matter and the response to orthostatic challenge in the elderly: the Maintenance of Balance, Independent Living, Intellect, and Zest in the Elderly (MOBILIZE) of Boston study. *Hypertension*. 2012;59: 558–563.
- Zhong J, Cayir A, Trevisi L, et al. Traffic-related air pollution, blood pressure, and adaptive response of mitochondrial abundance. *Circulation*. 2016;133:378–387.
- Brook RD, Sun Z, Brook JR, et al. Extreme air pollution conditions adversely affect blood pressure and insulin resistance: the air pollution and cardiometabolic disease study. *Hypertension*. 2016;67:77–85.
- 49. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S, Pope CA 3rd, et al; American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, and Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity and Metabolism. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2010;121:2331–2378.
- Wang R, Henderson SB, Sbihi H, Allen RW, Brauer M. Temporal stability of land use regression models for traffic-related air pollution. *Atmos Environ.* 2013;64:312–319.