## DAMAGE TOLERANCE & NOTCH SENSITIVITY OF COMPOSITE SANDWICH STRUCTURES

Dan Adams Martin Raming Marcus Stanfield Brad Kuramoto

Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT

**AMTAS Autumn 2019 Meeting** 

Seattle, WA

A Center of Excellence A Center of Excellence Advanced Materials in Transport Aircraft Structures A part of the EAA Joint Advanced Materials & Structures November 5, 2019



## **FAA Sponsored Project Information**

- Principal Investigators: Dr. Dan Adams Dr. Mike Czabaj
- Graduate Student Researchers:

Martin Raming

Marcus Stanfield

**Brad Kuramoto** 

• FAA Technical Monitor: Dave Stanley

**Primary Collaborators:** 

- Boeing (Charles Park)
- Hexcel (Lance Smith)
- ASTM Committee D30 on Composites





## **Project Overview:** Primary Research Emphases

### **Sandwich Fracture Mechanics**

- Development of standardized test methods for facesheet/core disbond growth
- Building block approach for assessment of disbond growth in sandwich structures

### Sandwich Damage Tolerance

- Assessment of predictive capabilities for damage formation and growth
- Development of standardized test methods for damage tolerance

### Sandwich Notch Sensitivity

- Assessment of predictive capabilities for sandwich composite notch sensitivity
- Development of standardized test methods for notch sensitivity









THE

UNIVERSITY

OF UTAH

## Status Update:

### **Mode I Sandwich Fracture Mechanics Test Method**

### **Standardization of Single Cantilever Beam (SCB) Test**

- Completed three rounds of ASTM balloting; fourth upcoming
- Recent changes:
  - Mode mixity: "Mode I dominant"
  - Acceptable disbond location: within top one-fourth of core
  - Discussion of possible failure modes and their acceptability added



(1) Disbonding at face sheet/core interface









(3) Kinking of disbonding into the core

Failure modes 1 and 2 are acceptable Failure mode 3 is not acceptable





A part of the FAA Joint Advanced Materials & Structures Center of Excellence

## **Current SCB Discussion Item: Pausing Test for Crack Tip Measurement**

- **Current procedure leads to long tests** 
  - 5-30 minutes without initiation toughness measurements
  - 10-60 minutes with initiation toughness measurements
- **Accelerated loading rate requires** ulletpausing for crack length measurement
- Minimal effect on measured G<sub>c</sub> ullet
- Minimal crack growth observed while • paused under load
- Modified procedure under review by ullet**Sandwich Disbond Task Group**





## *Recent Focus:* Single Cantilever Beam (SCB) Fatigue Test

- Follow-on *Standard Practice* to existing SCB test
- Several previous individual efforts within CMH-17 Sandwich Disbond Task Group
- Draft test procedure identified for upcoming round robin testing



• Sandwich specimens to be fabricated at University of Utah and distributed to round robin participants

6

- IM7/8552 woven fabric prepreg facesheets
- Nomex honeycomb core
- Metlbond 1515-4 film adhesive





### *Recent Focus:* Sandwich Mixed Mode Bend (MMB) Test

- Enlarged/simplified version of test fixture used for composite laminates (ASTM D6671)
- High percentage Mode II possible (up to ~80%)
- Round-robin testing exercise planned
- Draft ASTM standard in progress
- Collaboration with Technical University of Denmark (DTU, Dr. Christian Berggreen))





## Prototype Test Fixture: Sandwich Mixed Mode Bend Test

- Accommodates 50 mm x 300 mm specimens used in SCB testing
- Adjustable loading span lengths
- Specimen connections at disbond using bonded hinge halves
- Adjustable position of loading yoke to produce desired mixedmode loading condition









High % Mode II

8

UNIVERSIT

OF UTAH



### Status Update:

### Mode II Separated End-Notched Flexure (S-ENF) Test

9

- Modified three-point flexure test
- Use of tensioned wire to achieve facesheet/core separation
- No core removal required
- Adjustable wire height and span
- High % Mode II (>80%) for all sandwich configurations studied
- Cell buckling at crack tip with no crack growth for some honeycomb core configurations
- Under further investigation with FAU collaborators (Dr. Leif Carlsson)









UNIVERSIT

OF UTAH

## **Recent Results: Sandwich ENF Test Results**

### Mode II Disbond growth, no core crushing

- Facesheet thickness;  $t_f = 0.045$  in.
- Nomex Honeycomb core
  - 0.5 *in*. thick
  - $8 \ln/ft^3$  density
- Pre-cracked with SCB test method
- Area method used for calculation





Mode I and Mode II Fracture Toughness





## Damage Tolerance Test Methods For Sandwich Composites

#### **Edgewise Compression After Impact (SCAI)**



- Preferred damage tolerance test method for laminates
- High interest level for sandwich composites
- Second balloting completed this summer 2019
- Updates in progress



#### Four-Point Flexure After Impact (4-FAI)



- Constant bending moment and zero shear in damaged section
- Damaged facesheet can be loaded in compression or tension
- Initial draft practice completed
- Initial ASTM ballot submission pending

11



## Notch Sensitivity Test Methods For Sandwich Composites

### **Sandwich Open Hole Flexure**



- Initial draft practice completed
- Ready for ASTM ballot submission

### **Standard Configuration**

- Width: 3 in.
- Hole diameter : 0.5 in.
- Span: 24 in.



### Sandwich Open Hole Compression



Initial draft standard in progress

### **Standard Configuration**

- Width: 4 in.
- Height: 8 in.
- Hole Diameter: 0.67 in.



## Sandwich Fracture Mechanics: Mode II and Mixed-Mode Testing Challenges

For sandwich composites with Nomex Honeycomb Core...

- Cell buckling near crack tip with no disbond growth
- Analytical and numerical models don't account for core constraint
- Effective core stiffness increase due to constraint effect



#### Core buckling in ENF test





## Sandwich Fracture Mechanics: Open-Face Sandwich Specimen

- Facesheet only on bottom of flexure specimen
- Investigate response of core in disbond region and near crack tip
- Investigate constraint effects
- Validation of numerical models







Tabbed open-face sandwich specimen





## Sandwich Fracture Mechanics: Modeling and Analysis Approach



## Sandwich Fracture Mechanics: Discrete Modeling of Honeycomb Core

- Investigate constraint effects observed in experiments
- Extract effective modulus increase due to constraint effects in honeycomb core
- Validate homogenized core model
- Determine stress levels at which core failure/buckling occurs
- Predict mode-mixity using VCCT
  - Single Cantilever Beam test
  - Mixed Mode Bend test
  - End Notched Flexure test



Cell measurement using digital microscope





## Discrete Modeling of Honeycomb Core: Initial Model Development

- Initial "bare core" tension testing
- Tuning of material properties using flatwise compression and flatwise shear testing
  - Validation testing









A part of the FAA Joint Advanced Materials & Structures Center of Excellence

## Discrete Modeling of Honeycomb Core: Validation in Flexural Loading

- "Open-face" four point flexure testing (no upper facesheet)
- Constrained and unconstrained regions of core
- Discreet core model matches initial portion of test
- Used to develop homogenized core model in disbond region



Discrete Modeling of Honeycomb Core: Facesheet Constraint Effects

- Four-point flexure loading
- Use of nodal forces and displacements
- ts
- Calculation of effective modulus thru core thickness
- Unconstrained region matches "bare core" modulus



## **Development of Homogeneous Honeycomb Core Model**



- Simplified model incorporating constraint effects in disbond regions
- Investigate mode mixity for disbond growth in test methods using VCCT (SCB, MMB, ENF)
- Calibrate interfacial cohesive elements for higher building block analysis of sandwich disbond







MMB test simulation with homogenous core model



## Development of Homogenous Core Model: Facesheet Constraint Effects

- Core moduli values obtained from discrete core modeling
- Partitions created, different properties applied in thru-thickness regions
- No constraint effects in region of sandwich disbond



# Summary

• Several sandwich composite test methods currently in the ASTM standardization process

(Fracture mechanics, damage tolerance, and notch sensitivity)

- Round-robin testing activities initiated to investigate three sandwich disbond test methods
- Investigating proper honeycomb core modeling in vicinity of sandwich disbonds with focus on use in building block approach
- Wrapping up assessment of predictive capabilities for sandwich composite notch sensitivity & damage tolerance



## Thank you for your attention!

# **Questions?**





A part of the FAA Joint Advanced Materials & Structures Center of Excellence