

Development of a Building Block Approach for Crashworthiness Testing of Composites

Dan Adams University of Utah

AMTAS Autumn 2017 Meeting November 8, 2017





FAA Sponsored Project Information

- Principal Investigators:
 Dr. Dan Adams
- Graduate Student Researchers:
 Mark Perl, Michael Terry, Dalton Ostler
- FAA Technical Monitor:

Allan Abramowitz

• Collaborators:

Boeing: Mostafa Rassaian, Kevin Davis Engenuity LTD: Graham Barnes Hexcel: Audrey Medford





Overview:

CMH-17 Crashworthiness Working Group

- Founded in 2005
- Original focus on automotive composites
- Recent focus on aviation applications
- Testing, Analysis, and Certification subgroups
- Two previous exercises/phases in testing & analysis
- Current focus: Phase III crashworthiness building block exercise
 - Monthly teleconferences
 - Meet at CMH-17 Wichita, KS, Thurs Nov 16th, 10:15-12:15, 1:30-3:30





Current Focus: Crashworthiness Building Block Development

Phase III Activity

- Focus on FAA Crashworthiness
 Certification
- Building on Phase I & II activities
- Testing to support analysis development and evaluation







Δ

Phase III Challenge Problem: Composite Cargo Floor Stanchion

- Central stanchion consisting of four primary members
 - Strut #3 (primary crush member)
 - Floor beam
 - Frame
 - Skin
- Initial sizing based on 6g vertical loading condition (Altair Engineering)
 - Cross section geometry
 - Laminate ply orientations
 - Laminate thickness







Primary Crush Member: C-Channel Struts

Traditional Design: Use of 0°, ±45°, and 90° plies

Material: IM7/8552 unitape prepreg

Geometry: C-channel

Laminate: "Hard" laminate

- 50% 0°, 25% ±45°, 25% 90° (50/25/25)
- 16 plies (@ 0.0072 in.), 0.115 in. thickness



Laminate Summary: Altair Traditional Design:

Two laminates of interest:

- 1) (50/25/25) 50% 0°, 25% ±45°, 25% 90° 16 ply thickness: 8 0's 4 ±45's 4 90's
 - Strut #3 (primary crush member)
 - Floor Beam
- 2) (25/50/25) 25% 0°, 50% ±45°, 25% 90°
 - 24 and 64 ply thickness
 - Frame (64 plies)
 - Skin (24 plies)







Initial Testing Activities: Laminate Design for Crashworthiness

- Flat-coupon crush testing
- Tailor laminate to achieve stable crush, high energy absorption
- Mini round-robin to evaluate proposed crush test fixtures and draft standard











Flat Coupon Crush Testing: Unsupported and Pin-Supported









Previous Research Results: Crush Modes Affect Energy Absorption



Fragmentation [F]

- Short axial cracks
- Shear failure from compressive stresses
- Extensive fiber fracture

Brittle Fracture [B]

- Intermediate length cracks
- Combines characteristics from other failure modes

Fiber Splaying [S]

- Long axial cracks
- Frond formation
- Delamination dominated





Laminate Design for Crashworthiness: (50 25 25) Hard Laminate

- "Hard" Laminates (50/25/25) to be tested:
- [90₂/±45/0₄]_S
- [90₂/0₂/±45/0₂]_S
- [90/+45/0₂/90/-45/0₂]_S
- [±45/90₂/0₄]_S
- [±45/90/0/90/0₃]_S
- <u>Hybrid laminates</u> with fabric layers
- [(0/90)_f/±45/0₂]_S
- [(±45)_f/90₂/0₄]_S
- [(±45)_f/90/0/90/0₃]



- ±45 fabric layer on outside
- Outer fabric layer, greater ply dispersion









High SEA in previous study

Stiffest plies at midplane

- Ply dispersion while maintaining SEA
 - 45's on outside, high SEA previous study
 - 45's on outside, greater ply dispersion

Laminate Design for Crashworthiness: (25 50 25) Quasi-Isotropic Laminate

Quasi-isotropic laminates (25/50/25) to be tested:

- [90/±45/0]_{2S} Dispersed plies, stiffest plies at midplane
- [90₂/(±45)₂/0₂]_S Blocked plies, stiffest plies at midplane
- [(±45)₂/90₂/0₂]_S 45's on outside
- [±45/90/0]_{2S} 45's on outside, greater ply dispersion

Hybrid laminates – with fabric layers

- [(0/90)_f/±45/90/±45/0]_S 0/90 fabric layer on outside
- $[(\pm 45)_f/(\pm 45)_f/90_2/0_2]_S \pm 4$
 - ±45 fabric layer on outside









Flat Coupon Crush Test Results: Hard Laminates

All laminates produced good energy absorption



- 50% 0°, 25% ±45°, 25% 90°
- No significant difference due to fabric layers in Hybrid laminates
- Minimal variation between laminates investigated
 - Laminates preselected based on past experiences

Flat Coupon Crush Test Results: Quasi-Isotropic Laminates

Fewer 0° plies produces lower SEA



- Fabric placed on exterior of laminate
- No significant difference due to fabric layers in Hybrid laminates
- Minimal variation in pin-supported tests
 - Laminates preselected based on past experiences

Flat Coupon Crush Test Results: Laminates Comparison



High Speed Video Examination: $[90_2/0_2/\pm45/0_2]_s$



High Speed Video Examination: $[90_2/0_2/\pm45/0_2]_s$



High Speed Camera View

High Speed Video Examination: [(0/90)_{f2}/±45/90/±45/0] Quasi-Isotropic Laminate



High Speed Video Examination: Hybrid Quasi-Isotropic, Unsupported Condition



 $[(0/90)_{f_2}/\pm 45/90/\pm 45/0]_{s_1}$

- High percentage of laminate exhibits splaying
- Unstable crush
- Reduced energy absorption
- Minimal debris cloud



Dynamic Materials Characterization: Compression Testing

- Use of "double dog-bone" specimen
- Dynamic compression test fixture similar to crush fixture
- Variable drop height to control strain rate
- High crosshead mass used to ensure constant strain rate over test duration
- Digital Image Correlation used to determine strain rate
- Used to investigate changes in modulus and strength at strain rates between 5-30 ε/sec













Dynamic Materials Characterization: V-Notched Shear Testing

- Modification to V-Notched Rail Shear Test, ASTM D7078
 - Compression loaded
 - Use in drop tower
- Allows for testing of various laminates
- Use of Digital Image Correlation (DIC) to measure strains during testing
- Challenges with inertial effects producing load oscillations













Dynamic Materials Characterization: ±45° Tensile Shear Testing

- Compression-loaded fixture produces tension load in specimen
- Dynamic analog to ASTM D3518
 - Use of ±45° laminate
 - Tension loaded
 - Load using drop tower
- Use of Digital Image Correlation (DIC) to measure strains during testing













Thank you for your attention!

Questions?



