

Evaluation of Friction Stir Weld Process and Properties for Aircraft Application

<u>MMPDS Initiatives</u>: Process Path Independence & In-Situ Fastener Qualification









Evaluation of Friction Stir Weld Process and Properties for Aircraft Application



Motivation and Key Issues

- FSW & FSSW are emergent joining technologies

- Aerospace applications are being developed to take advantage of cost benefits, part count reduction, lead-time flexibility, lowered environmental & ergonomic impacts, etc., of these joining processes
- However, each lacks sufficient supporting industry standards & design allowables data for safe, consistent industry-wide implementation
- Objective
 - Incorporate FSW & FSSW design allowables data into MMPDS
 - Based on a performance and procedure specification methodology
 - Supported by developing industry standards (e.g. AWS, ISO, etc.)
- Approach
 - Develop & demonstrate protocols for incorporating FSW & FSSW data into the MMPDS Handbook collaboratively
 - Demonstrate process path independence approach for butt & lap joints
 - Develop FSSW as "In Situ" fasteners & qualify as installed fasteners

Wichita State University

FAA Sponsored Project Information





- Dwight Burford, PhD, PE
- Christian Widener, PhD
- Jeremy Brown, M.S.
- FAA Technical Monitor
 - Curt Davies

J

- Industry Participation
 - Boeing IDS: John Baumann, St. Louis; David Ogan, Wichita
 - Bombardier Aerospace: Ken Poston, Ireland; Bruce Thomas, Montreal; Leo Kok, Toronto; Richard Meeske, Wichita
 - Cessna Aircraft: Ron Weddle & Ali Eftekhari, Wichita
 - Hawker Beechcraft: Byron Colcher & Phil Douglas, Wichita
 - Spirit AeroSystems: Casey Allen, Mike Cumming, Mark Ofsthun, & Gil Sylva, Wichita

Wichita State University





Qualification Initiatives

- Performance Specifications
- Butt & Lap Joint Initiatives
- Path Independent Study
- In Situ Fasteners





Wichita State University

Outline



Industry Specs (AWS, ISO, etc.) MMPDS* Data

*Metallic Materials Properties Development & Standardization (formerly MIL-HDBK-5)

Wichita State University



- The key to developing allowables for any material or process is that the expected variation must be understood and controllable within certain limits.
- Friction Stir Welding (FSW) is a relatively new thermomechanical processing and joining technique <u>under</u> <u>investigation</u> for its <u>potential inclusion</u> in the MMPDS or other similar standard properties data reference.

Wichita State University





Path Independence Coupon & Fixture



- Material
 - 0.250 inch 2024-T351 bare
 - Provided by Cessna Aircraft
 - Three heat lots, randomized
 - Machined into 4" x 12" coupons
- Test Fixture
 - Side clamps
 - 4000 series anvil



- Test Coupons
 - (3) Tensile (ASTM E-8)
 - (3) Metallography
 - (1) Fatigue





• FSW Allowables

Statistically calculated minimum strength values that can be used for design.

• What is needed to calculate allowables?

- An understanding of the expected variation.
 - Random variation
 - Process controlled variation
- A statistical procedure for calculating allowables.
- A sufficient number of representative samples to gain the necessary confidence that the process is repeatable, reliable, and under control.

Wichita State University





- Welding Parameters
 - Strength varies from Low (<50% Joint Efficiency) up to the theoretical maximum for a given tool.
- Tool Design

J

- Affects the theoretical maximum for a given alloy/thickness combination.
- Site / Facility
 - Varies up to theoretical maximum, and is affected by fixturing, machine type, control system tuning, operator, lab conditions, etc.
- Base Material
 - Joint strengths can be affected by large variations in parent material strength and material thickness.

Wichita State University





- Stable process zones were identified for three of the tools during the second round DOE
 - S-basis values were calculated for each tool
 - T_{99} and T_{90} values were calculated for pooled data from the three tools.
- Acceptable parameters were found for the remaining tools, but were not fully optimized.



Wichita State University

Tensile Test Results

JMS







Wichita State University

JMS ANOVA Analysis using 4 – FSW Tools



A Center of Excellence

Transport Aircraft Structure



Reported Data on 2024-T3

Table 1: Reported Tensile Results for As-Welded 2024-T3*



Material Thickness	Ultimate Tensile Strength	Weld Elongation (%)	Ref.			
0.040-in. (1 mm)	58.9 ksi (406 MPa)	6.0	5			
0.064-in. (1.6 mm)	66.9 ksi (461 MPa)	11	6			
0.080-in. (2 mm)	64.7 ksi (446 MPa)	13.0	7			
0.080-in. (2 mm)	64 ksi (441 MPa)	16.3	70% of t	ho		
0.090-in. (2.25 mm)	53 ksi (366 MPa)		average	s reported		
0.100-in. (2.5 mm)	71.1 ksi (490 MPa)	17	in literatu	ure would		
0.125-in. (3.2 mm)	63.5 ksi (438 MPa)	12.2	meet the	se		
0.160-in. (4 mm)	62.7 ksi (432 MPa)	7.6	calculate	ed T99		
0.200-in. (5 mm)	59.5 ksi (410 MPa)	5.1	60% of t	ho		
0.250-in. (6.35 mm)	60.9 ksi (420 MPa)		averade	s reported		
Average	62.5 ksi (431 MPa)	11	in literatu	ure would		
Std. Dev.	4.90 ksi (33.8 MRa)	4.5	meet these			

*2024 is a precipitation strengthened Al alloy that naturally ages to a stable temper within 96 hrs

Calculated Path Independence T₉₀ value was also 62.5 ksi

The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence

values.



- Yield strength appears to be much less sensitive to welding parameters.
- For the large Wiper[™] tool (FSW07026) over the entire DOE (17 welds):
 - UTS = 62.9 ± 3.46 ksi
 - YS = 45.1 ± 0.84 ksi
- For the Triflute[™] tool (FSW07
 - UTS = 62.2 ± 5.21 ksi
 - YS = 45.5 ± 0.90 ksi







- Screening Tests
 - Stress level targeted for $10^4 10^5$ cycles.
 - Load 5000 lbs, R = 0.1, 20 Hz
 - Purpose to evaluate if optimum parameters based on strength and failure mode are also optimum for fatigue.





Typical Fatigue Failures from Screening Test

- Welding defects can be readily identified in the fracture surface of welded coupons
- When defects were not present, the fatigue performance was observed to be close to parent material.



Wichita State University

mm

The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence

Transport Aircraft Structur



Wichita State University



The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence





- SCC Testing 32 samples PER ASTM G64, G47, and G58
 - 4 point bend 2-in. apart 23 ksi verified by strain gauge
 - Alternate Immersion 3.5% NaCl
 - As-welded tested to parent material rating 50% of Y.S. for LT
 - TEST COMPLETE After 40 days No Failures Meets Parent Specification









J



- It has been demonstrated that a number of different tool designs can be used to produce a sound friction stir welded joint in 0.250-in. 2024-T351.
- These results are in reasonable agreement with reported results for 2024-T3 in a range of thicknesses.
- This is not to suggest that one tool may not provide any particular advantage over another in terms of productivity, fatigue, etc.
- For allowables or a performance specification, it is unnecessary to define exact tool geometries.
 - Tool geometries would be found in the weld process specifications of individual suppliers or producers, but should not be a requirement to meet performance goals
- The next phase is round robin testing...

Wichita State University





- Performance Specifications
- Butt & Lap Joint Initiatives
- Path Independent Study
- In Situ Fasteners

Outline











Lap Joint Initiative: In Situ Fasteners



- Benefits of Friction Stir Swept Spot Joints
 - Discrete fastener locations
 - Separated by parent material (similar to rivets)
 - Discontinuous HAZ along joint line
 - Dual-thickness joint vs. hole with filler (e.g. rivet)
 - "Pad up" effect vs. stress concentration (rivet hole)
 - Long-term stiffness & stress concentration considerations, e.g. in aging aircraft
 - Elimination of filler material, i.e. fastener
 - Fabricate fastener in place by mechanically working parent material (finer grain)
 - Produces integral fastener
 - Supports part count reduction

Wichita State University



Lap Joint Initiative: In Situ Fasteners



- Benefits of friction stir swept spot joints (cont'd)
 - Tailorable spot size and shape
 - More latitude than with rivets (diameter constraints, etc.)
 - Orient shape to control stress, crack growth, etc.
 - Placement of advancing vs. retreating side on periphery of spot (i.e. in situ fastener)
 - Rapid installation (minimal HAZ)
 - Randomize sequence of installation (to lower distortion)
 - Potentially installed via robot vs. gantry
 - Lower cost solution
 - Field installation & repairs
 - Simplified tooling (lower normal and lateral forces)
 - Compatible with faying surface sealants

Wichita State University



In Situ Fasteners Qualified as Installed Fasteners



• Approach

- Develop & test a methodology for qualifying different types of friction stir spot welding (FSSW) joints as *in situ* fastener systems
- Treat individual "spots" as installed fasteners
 - Parent material is used to form an integral mechanical fastener *in place* between two or more materials joined by a lap joint

Background

- In both static & dynamic tests, appropriately designed FSSW (e.g. swept spots) joints are proving stronger than rivets
 - Spots are integral with the parent material
 - Their size and shape can be tailored to support design
 - They appear to provide favorable residual stresses & a pad up effect
- FSSW joints are expected to be the most straightforward friction stir-related technology to qualify for inclusion in the MMPDS because they are the most like mechanical fasteners, e.g. discrete.



Wichita State University

A Center of Evceller







Wichita State University



FSSW Through Surface Sealant Results



- Surface treated material with the PR-1432 GP sealant have strength equivalent to that of Bare with no sealant.
 - Sealants can increase the strength of the coupon
 - Surface treatments and sealants appear to increase scatter
- Calculated S-basis strengths
 - S-basis ultimate load per spot = 1113 lbs
 - 30 coupons, 3 parameter sets, 6 batches



Wichita State University

JMS

Handbook Data / Tables



A Center of Excellence

Table 8.1.2.2(m). Static Joint Strength of 120° Flush Shear Head Aluminum Alloy (7050-T731) Solid Rivets in Machine-Countersunk Aluminum Alloy Sheet				um Alloy								CEL	AM			
Rivet Type	. MS14218E* (F _m = 43 ksi)											c e ster composi	of excellence t les and advanced maler			
Sheet Material	Clad 2024-T3								_							
Rivet Diameter, in. (Nominal Hole Diameter, in.) ^b	1/8 (0.1285)	5/32 (0.159)	3/16 (0.191)	7/32 (0.228)	1/4 (0.257)	9/32 (0.290)	5/16 (0.323)									
	Ultimate Strength, lbs															
Sheet thickness, in.:																
0.025	215						Pin tool (part # and description)			WSU	07-0055-04	00-06 - Co	unterflow Pir	n Tool		
0.032	307	° 346					Sheet material					2024-T3				
0.040	<mark>434</mark>	478	° <mark>529</mark>				FSSW Diameter, in.	1/8	5/32	3/16	7/32	1/4	9/32	2/7	5/16	1/3
0.050	508	673	732	° 806			(nominal swept diameter of pin tool)	(0.125)	(0.15625)	(0.1875)	(0.21875)	(0.250)	(0.28125)	(0.295)	(0.3125)	(0.333)
0.063	536	781	1045	1135	° 1200	1285					Ultim	ate Strengt	h, Ibs			
0.071	554	803	1110	1365	1445	1530	Sheet thickness, in.									
0.080	558	827	1140	1565	1735	1835	0.025								.	
0.090		854	1175	1605	1990	2200	0.032								.	
0.100			1205	1645	2030	2525	0.040					1003		1164	1	1315
0.125			1230	1740	2140	2650	0.050								1	
0.160				1755	2230	2820	0.063								1	
0.190						2840	0.003								1	
Rivet shear strength ^d	558	854	1230	1755	2230	2840	0.071								1	
							0.080								1	
				325			0.090									
1 miles (100)	10.200	2000	21. 148	100			0.100								.	

0.125

	HEALTHY AND
	CALIFORNIA CONTRACTOR AND A DESCRIPTION
	DAMESCREWS CAR A CONSULT
	2 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
	ALCONTRACTOR OF STREET
	CONTRACTOR STATE
	A STREET COLOR STORY STREET
	A PART CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF
	STATES COULD BE STREET
10000	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT
1000	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
	AUXINGUISINGA AUXINE
1000	CHURCH STRUCK BEINGSMON
	CONTRACTOR AND INCOME.
	THE REPORT OF TH
	CONTRACTOR AND AND ADDRESS OF
	and the second sec
	THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OWNE
	The second s
	AND TO SALVE AN A REAL OF
	ALC: NO. OF ALC: NO. OF ALC: NO.
	and the second s
	and the second se
	- a ser a
	The second s
	ANY STREET, OLD TRANSPORT
	The second se
	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.
	And the second se
	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF
	and some of the second s
	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
	The Party of the Barry of
	WARDER AND A STREET
	THE REPORT OF STREET, SALAR STRE
	CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP
Long to the	AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS
-	AND IN THE OWNER OF A DESCRIPTION
	Manufacture of the second of the second
	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

Pin tool (part # and description)	WSU-07-0055-0400-01 - Concave Trivex Pin Tool										
Sheet material	2024-T3										
FSSW Diameter, in.	1/8	5/32	3/16	7/32	1/4	9/32	2/7	5/16	1/3		
(nominal swept diameter of pin tool)	(0.125)	(0.15625)	(0.1875)	(0.21875)	(0.250)	(0.28125)	(0.295)	(0.3125)	(0.333)		
		Ultimate Strength, lbs									
Sheet thickness, in.											
0.025					l I						
0.032					l I						
0.040					801		827		950		
0.050					ļ I						
0.063					l I						
0.071					l I						
0.080					l I						
0.090					l I						
0.100					l I						
0.125					l I						

Wichita State University



A Look Forward





- Expected Outcomes & Benefit to Aviation
 - Verified qualification methodology & procedure
 - Testing & certification
 - Controls & acceptance criteria
 - Consistent & safe designs
 - Organized & certified design data
 - MMPDS (Mil HDBK 5) type data
 - S, A, & B basis
 - Design Parameters and Process Guides
 - Process & performance Specifications
 - Comparative data

- Cost effective lean/green aerospace technology
 - Low energy use
 - Reduced cycle/manufacturing time
 - Part count reduction
 - Reduced weight
 - Low emissions, environmentally friendly (no sparks, fumes, noise, or harmful rays)
 - Low Ergonomic Impact
- Future needs
 - Continued program support towards implementation
- Wichita State University