

### CRASHWORTHINESS OF COMPOSITE FUSELAGE STRUCTURES – MATERIAL DYNAMIC PROPERTIES

K.S. Raju Department of Aerospace Engineering









Crashworthiness of Composite Fuselage Structures – Material Dynamic Properties



### Motivation and Key Issues



Hull D (1991) Comp. Sci Tech, 40.

Bannerman & Kindervater (1984) in Structural Impact and Crashworthiness

Bolukbasi & Laananen (1995) Composites, 26.

Carruthers, Kettle & Robinson (1998) Appl Mech Rev, 51.

Wichita State University

- Crashworthiness
  - maintain survivable volume
  - dissipate kinetic energy  $\rightarrow$  alleviate occupant loads
- Energy absorption
  - Composite structures /energy absorption (EA) devices
    - Controlled failure modes
    - Maximize damage volume
    - Provision for sustained stability
  - Influencing factors
    - EA device geometry
    - Material
    - Rate sensitivity (?)





Crashworthiness of Composite Fuselage Structures – Material Dynamic Properties





- Objective(s)
  - Literature Review
  - Material property characterization at different strain rates (10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)
    - Phase-1 : Tension, Compression & Shear
      - » Development of test apparatus & fixtures for high strain rate testing
      - » Split Hopkinson Pressure Bar (SHPB) Apparatus
    - Phase-2 : Open Hole Tension, Interlaminar Shear, Pin Bearing
    - Phase-3 : Fracture Toughness (mode I & II)
    - Phase-4 : Characterization of EA device (in progress)

Wichita State University



## FAA Sponsored Project Information



- Principal Investigators & Researchers
  - K.S. Raju
  - H. Lankarani, P. Sriram
  - J.F. Acosta, C.K. Thorbole, A. Deshpande, V. Mariyanna, N. Pratap
- FAA Technical Monitor
  - Alan Abramowitz
- Other FAA Personnel Involved
  - Curtis Davis, J. Zvanya
- Industry Participation
  - Spirit Aerosystems
  - Raytheon

Wichita State University

#### Crack Tip Opening Rate, Yct (m/s)Quasi-static $\iff$ Impact TITLING TITLING TITLING Kusaka et al., Comp. Sci. and Tech., 58, 1998 O Fracture toughness (unstable Fracture toughness (stable) 400 Fracture toughness $G_{IC}$ , $J/m^2$ + Arrest toughness 300 500 mm/min- Ref. 17 200 10 m/sec Ref. 19 100 Region I Region I Region II 10<sup>2</sup> $10^{-2}$ $10^{-1}$ 100 101 103 104 105 $10^{6}$ Wichita Sta Loading rate $\dot{G}_{I}$ , J-s/m<sup>2</sup>

The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence

### • Smiley et al.,

- AS4/3501-6, APC-2 PEEK ; Mode-I
- Kasuka et al.,
  - Toray T300/2500; Mode-I
- Aliyu & Daniel
  - AS4/3501-6 ; Mode-I
- Cantwell et al.,
  - AS4/PEEK, IM6/PEEK, T300 fabric/toughened epoxy, T300 uni/epoxy; Mode-II
- Béguelin et al.,
  - IM6/PEEK; Mode-I
- Fracasso et al...
  - Unitape carbon/PEEK; Modes I &II
- Compston et al.,
  - E-glass/vinyl ester ( 411-45, 8084, 9EXL, 8X33, 8S22) ; Mode-II

6

Smiley et al





#### JMS Rate effects on Toughness ... Past work



#### Wichita State University

Material Systems

JMS

\_

#### The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence

0.06

In-plane Shear Strain (rad)

0.02

0.08

0.1

A Center of Excellence

Transport Aircraft Structures



Double Cantilever Beam (DCB) specimen
 ASTM D5528



- L = 6 inches
- b = 1inch
- a = 1.5 2 inches

0.0003" thick Kapton film insert

Wichita State University



# **Experimental Details**





- Slow rate tests (10<sup>-3</sup>, 10<sup>-2</sup> & 10<sup>-1</sup> in/s)
  - MTS Electromechanical testing machine
- Medium rate tests (1, 10 & 50 in/s)
  - MTS High Rate servo system



Crosshead ( actuator) displacement)

Smiley & Pipes, J.Comp. Matls., Vol.21, 1987 Ph. Beguelin et al., J. Phys. III, 1, 1991 Cantwell, J. Comp. matls., Vol.31, No.14, 1997 Kusaka et al., Comp. Sci. and Tech., 58, 1998 Compston et al., Comp. Sci and Tech., 61, 2001 Fracasso et al., Comp. Sci. and Tech., 61, 2001



#### Wichita State University



#### The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence

A Center of Excellence



# **Experimental Details**

10

8

6

0.5

Load P (lbf)



-2500

5000

-7500

-10000

2.5

Strain  $\mu\epsilon$ 

- Additional instrumentation
  - Strain gages
    - Estimation of crack length
    - Crack initiation/propagation (at speeds that do not facilitate visual observation) – (Ref. Aliyu & Daniel)



 $a \approx \left(\frac{3\delta sh}{16\varepsilon_1}\right)$  based on Euler - Bernoulli beam theory

**Displacement**  $\delta$  (in)

1

1.5

2

GAGE -1

GAGE -2

Wichita State University







- Comparison at slow speed
  - NB321/7781 exhibits a ductilestable\* behavior while NB321/3k70 exhibits brittleunstable behavior\*
  - Both Toray materials exhibited brittle unstable behavior. Crack propagation in unitape material was observed to be ductile stable while the PWCF was brittleunstable during propagation
    - Unitape material with precrack exhibits ductile-stable behavior



\* Smiley & Pipes, J.Comp. Matls., Vol.21, 1987

Wichita State University



Presentation of Toughness data



- $\dot{\delta}$  ~ Crosshead ( actuator) displacement rate (Cantwell)
- $\dot{\varepsilon}$  ~ Reference strain rate (Mall)

 $\Rightarrow \dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{3h\dot{\delta}}{4a^2}$  (based on Euler - Bernoulli theory)

à ~ Crack propagation velocity (high speed)

Wichita State University



Presentation of Toughness data



 $\dot{y}_{CT} \sim \text{Crack tip opening rate (Smiley & Pipes)}$ e  $\approx 2t_{PLY}$ 



$$\dot{y}_{CT} = \frac{3\delta \ e^2}{2a^2}$$

based on Euler - Bernoulli beam theory

Wichita State University



Wichita State University

G<sub>IC</sub> (Ib-in/in<sup>2</sup>)



 7781 system exhibited a gradual decrease in G<sub>IC</sub> with rate, similar to observations of Béguelin et al.

3k70P did not exhibit a strong trend

Data scatter (additional tests)

- gage data was used to determine loads corresponding to fracture
  Crack tip opening rate determined at a distance of e=2t<sub>PLY</sub> from the crack tip
- used)
  At test speeds of 10 and 50 in/s, strain gage data was used to determine loads
- deviation from non-linearity (7781) per ASTM D5528 (modified beam theory used)
- systems
   Based on maximum load (PWCF) and deviation from non-linearity (7781) per ASTM D5528 (modified beam theory
- Mode-I fracture toughness for Newport

JMS

Results..NB321 systems





Center of Evreller



10

9

8 7

6

5

### Mode-I fracture toughness for Toray systems

- Based on maximum load and modified beam theory per ASTM D5528
- At test speeds of 10 and 50 in/s, strain gage data was used to determine loads corresponding to fracture
- Crack tip opening rate determined at a distance of  $e=2t_{PLY}$  from the crack tip
- T700G-12k-50C system exhibits a gradual decrease in G<sub>IC</sub> and a sharp decrease at a rate of 10<sup>-2</sup> similar to observations of Smiley & Pipes.
- T800/3900-2B exhibits an increasing trend (Aliyu & Daniel)

#### Wichita State University

Results...Toray systems

#### The Joint Advanced Materials and Structures Center of Excellence

10<sup>-1</sup>

**10**<sup>-2</sup>



10<sup>-3</sup>

T800/3900-2B Unitape

**10**⁻⁵

10<sup>-6</sup>

 $10^{-7}$ 

T700G-12k-50C/3900-2 PW

**10**<sup>-4</sup>

Crack Tip Opening Rate  $y_{ct}$  (in/s)



JMS



# In Progress



- Mode-I fracture toughness
  - propagation data (using strain gages)
  - specimens pre-cracked at 0.00083 in/s
  - Examination of fracture surfaces
- Mode-II fracture toughness
  - End Notch Flexure (ENF) configuration
  - Testing/data reduction nearing completion
- Rate sensitivity of EA device
  - Corrugated beam
  - Testing under progress

Wichita State University



- Benefit to Aviation
  - Rate sensitive test data for candidate material systems (6)
    - Rate sensitivity due to geometric or material effects ?
    - Can be utilized for applications involving dynamic loading
    - Design/Modeling of EA devices

Wichita State University



# A Look Forward



### Future needs

- Material characterization conducted on scaled down specimen (short gage lengths and thin) to facilitate higher strain rates and lower failure loads (machine limitation). The uniformity of stress/strain over the gage region is questionable and failure modes & strengths may not be realistic.
- Scaling studies over a limited strain rate range are required to leverage the use of existing test data for modeling purposes
  - Tensile and compressive behavior



Wichita State University