

Damage Tolerance Test Method Development For Sandwich Composites

2012 Technical Review Brad Kuramoto, Dan Adams University of Utah







FAA Sponsored Project Information

- Principal Investigator: Dr. Dan Adams
- Graduate Student Researcher:
 - Brad Kuramoto
- FAA Technical Monitor
 David Westlund
- Collaborators:

Boeing Materials Sciences Corporation









BACKGROUND: DAMAGE TOLERANCE

Damage Resistance Versus Damage Tolerance

Damage Resistance: "Concerned with the creation of damage due to a specific impact event" *

Variables: <u>Facesheet</u>: material, layup, thickness

Core: type, density, thickness

Test specimen: size, shape, boundary conditions

Damage Tolerance: "Concerned with the structural

response and integrity associated with a given damage state of a structure" *

Variables: <u>Damage state</u>: type, extent, location

Sandwich configuration: same facesheet and core variables as above

<u>Test type</u>: loading, specimen size, support conditions

* Tomblin, Lacy, Smith, Hooper, Vizzini, and Lee, "*Review of Damage Tolerance for Composite Airframe Structures*, DOT/FAA/AR-99/49, August, 1999.









BACKGROUND:

Damage Tolerance Test Methods for Sandwich Composites

- Damage tolerance test methods for monolithic composites have reached a relatively high level of maturity
 - Damage Resistance: ASTM D 7136 Drop-Weight Impacting
 - Damage Tolerance: ASTM D 7137 Compression After Impact

Less attention to sandwich composites...until recently

- SAMPE/ASTM D30 Panel at Joint Meeting October 2009
 - "Damage Resistance and Damage Tolerance of Sandwich Structures" Dan Adams, organizer, panelist Carl Rousseau, moderator

- ASTM D30 publishes standard for sandwich damage resistance

 ASTM D7766 (2011) "Standard Practice for Damage Resistance Testing of Sandwich Constructions"

- SAMPE/ASTM D30 Panel at Joint Meeting October 2011

"Damage Resistance of Composite Sandwich Structures"

Dan Adams, organizer

Carl Rousseau, moderator









RESEARCH OBJECTIVES:

Damage Tolerance Test Methods for Sandwich Composites

- Evaluate candidate test methodologies
- Develop a standardized ASTM test method
- Compare residual strength results of sandwich panels using proposed test methods



Where Do We Start?

What is the intended usage of a damage tolerance test method for sandwich composites?

Ideas from "relevant" test methods, discussions with industry personnel, and the literature:

- Quality assurance
- Material ranking/selection/specification
- Establishing design properties/allowables
- Research and development activities
- Product development









Intended Usage Likely to Affect Test Method

Material ranking/selection/specification
– Specify a sandwich panel configuration

Example: D 7137: Specified lay-up and target laminate thickness for CAI testing

- Establishing design properties/allowables
 - Allow a wide range of sandwich panel configurations

Example: C 364: Edgewise compression strength of sandwich panels









7

CANDIDATE TEST CONFIGURATIONS:

Damage Tolerance of Sandwich Composites



Edgewise Compression

- Preferred DT test method for monolithic laminates
- High interest level for sandwich composites



Four-Point Flexure

moment and zero shear

Damaged facesheet can

in damaged section of

Constant bending

panel

Boeing



Gougeon Brothers, Inc.

Pressure Loading

- Simply supported sandwich panel
- Distributed load
- Of interest for pressure loaded applications





be placed under





Edgewise Compression Testing For Damage Tolerance:

Testing Considerations

Test fixture support of sandwich specimen

- End supports
 - Clamping of top and bottom
 - Potting of core
- Side edge supports
 - Knife edge (pinned)
 - Clamped (reduce rotation)
- Method of specimen alignment
- Strain measurement
 - Alignment
 - Determination of load paths













Edgewise Compression Testing For Damage Tolerance:

Scaling of Test Results

Applying results to composite sandwich structures

- Sandwich configuration
- Specimen size
- Specimen support conditions
- Type of damage
- Extent of damage relative to specimen size













Edgewise Compression Testing

Testing with Supported Gage Section



University of Utah









UNIVERSITY of UTAH

Edgewise Compression Testing

Testing with Unsupported Gage Section



NASA Langley



NASA Langley



12





Damage Tolerance Testing:

Four-Point Flexure Testing



Within central test region:

- No shear forces/stresses
- Uniform bending moment









Four-Point Flexure Testing For Damage Tolerance:

Testing Considerations

- Location of Damage: tension or compression loading?
- Required length of central test section of panel
 - Minimum distance from damage region to loading points
- Required length of outer regions of panel
 - Sufficient distance to develop bending moment
- Core requirements for shear stress outer panel sections
- Facesheet /core requirements at loading points



Four-Point Flexure Testing For Damage Tolerance:

Examples of Efforts to Date



Boeing



University of Utah



15





Four-Point Flexure Testing:

Undesirable Failures



Core failure in outer region



Failure at loading point







Failure at core material splice



Four-Point Flexure Testing:

Acceptable Failures





Failure of facesheet

Delamination/buckling of facesheet









Uniform Pressure Test

Based on Existing Standard: ASTM D 6416

- Simulates hydrostatic pressure loading
- Pressure loading of sandwich panel using pressure bladder
- Test machine used to press bladder against test panel
- Quasi-static or cyclic fatigue loading
- Size of sandwich panel dependent on sandwich properties



Current usage primarily in marine industry









Uniform Pressure Test



Gougeon Brothers, Inc.



12 in. x 12 in. test panel 22-kip test machine (Gougeon Brothers, Inc.)









Development of an ASTM Standard:

Damage Tolerance of Sandwich Composites

Process Includes:

- Review of Similar/Relevant Standards
- Establish intended usage(s)
- Develop suitable test fixturing
- Establish suitable range of sandwich configurations
 - Facesheet parameters
 - Core parameters
- Specify suitable specimen geometries
- Develop proper test procedures









Initial Experimental Evaluation

Damage Tolerance of Sandwich Composites

- Investigate all three proposed test methods
 - Edgewise compression
 - **Four-point flexure** —
 - Uniform pressure loading (D6416)
- **Determine residual strength of sandwich panels** using the three test methods
- Initial comparison : Sensitivity to idealized impact ulletdamage











Initial Experimental Evaluation

Use of Idealized Impact Damage

- G11 glass/epoxy facesheets & Nomex honeycomb core
- "Idealized" damage: 1 in. and 3 in. hole in facesheet
- Develop a recommended procedure for each method
- Initial assessment of damage tolerance
 - Develop familiarity with each test method
 - Identify additional issues requiring investigation
 - Initial assessment of each test method
 - Identification of test method limitations





Benefits to Aviation Include...

- Standardized damage tolerance test method for sandwich composites
- Test results used to predict damage tolerance of sandwich composites
- Research results on scaling of results towards composite sandwich structures













Thank you for your attention!

Questions?





JOINT ADVANCED MATERIALS & STRUCTURES CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

