Equity & medical impoverishment into cost-effectiveness: Extended Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (ECEA)

Presenter:

Stéphane Verguet Department of Global Health University of Washington Email: verguet@uw.edu



FRED HUTCHINSON CANCER RESEARCH CENTER SEATTLE BIOMED SEATTLE CHILDREN'S

Overview

• Background

A new perspective on economic evaluation

• ECEA example

Public finance of rotavirus vaccination

Background

• Traditional economic evaluation focus Cost-effectiveness of technical interventions (e.g. antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS)



 \rightarrow burden, costs, equity, medical impoverishment

Health system objectives

- Improving health and the distribution of health in the population
- Prevention of medical impoverishment
- Fairness in the financial contribution toward health

The WORLD HEALTH REPORT 2000

Health Systems: Improving Performance

Murray & Frenk (2000); World Health Report 2000

Distribution of health & equity



5

Income Quintile (Poorest to Richest)

Medical impoverishment: e.g. borrowing



Consequences of publicly financed interventions

• Health gains

Burden of disease averted (e.g. deaths averted)

• Financial consequences for households

Public finance "crowds out" treatment which is privately financed (= cost savings for households)

• Financial protection benefits for households

Public finance provides "insurance" from catastrophic expenditures

Economic evaluation of policy levers

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (CEA)



Extended Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (ECEA)

- (1) Distributional consequences across wealth strata of populations
- (2) Financial risk protection benefits for households

ECEA Methods

• Applied to the *Disease Control Priorities* assessments DCP3 Disease Control Priorities

economic evaluation for health

www.dcp-3.org info@dcp-3.org

• ECEA Methods Paper – DCP3 Working Paper No.1 "Universal Public Finance of Tuberculosis Treatment in India: An Extended Cost-Effectiveness Analysis" by Verguet S, Laxminarayan R & Jamison DT

9

Case study

Vaccine 31 (2013) 4902-4910



Public finance of rotavirus vaccination in India and Ethiopia: An extended cost-effectiveness analysis

Stéphane Verguet^{a,*}, Shane Murphy^a, Benjamin Anderson^b, Kjell Arne Johansson^c, Roger Glass^d, Richard Rheingans^{b,e,f}

^a Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

^b Department of Environmental & Global Health, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

^c Research Group in Global Health: Ethics, Economics and Culture, Department of Public Health & Centre for International Health, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

- ^d Fogarty International Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States
- ^e Center for African Studies, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States
- ^f Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States



Rotavirus burden of disease

5 countries account for > 50% of all rotavirus deaths (300,000 deaths): (Tate et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012)

- D.R. of the Congo
- Ethiopia (5% of global rotavirus deaths)
- India (30% of global rotavirus deaths)
- Nigeria
- Pakistan

11

Public finance program for rotavirus vaccination



Financial risk protection benefits

• Different measures of medical impoverishment:

- Threshold-based approach (Xu et al. 2003; Wagstaff, 2010)
- Forced asset sales & forced borrowing (Kruk et al. 2009)
- Number of cases of poverty averted: estimate number of individuals crossing poverty line because of medical expenses
- Money-metric value of insurance provided (McClellan and Skinner 2006; Finkelstein and McKnight 2008; Verguet, Laxminarayan and Jamison, 2012)



Income Quintile (Poorest to Richest)

14



Income Quintile (Poorest to Richest)



Health gains & financial protection afforded, per \$1M spent

I: Poorest II: Poorer III: Middle IV: Richer V: Richest



How does each HIV intervention map itself?

Poverty cases averted & deaths averted, per \$100,000 spent



I: Poorest II: Poorer III: Middle IV: Richer V: Richest

How do HIV interventions map themselves?

Poverty cases averted & deaths averted, per \$100,000 spent



Design of a basic HIV package

FRP



Deaths averted

Acknowledgements

BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation

- Dean Jamison
- Rachel Nugent
- Carol Levin
- Zach Olson

Email: verguet@uw.edu