

1. Project Overview

• It can be challenging to evaluate **the geo**morphic impact of large outburst floods because they are relatively rare events that aren't often observed directly, but we can **inte**grate numerical models and observations from the field to advance our understanding of the role of these events in shaping the landscape

• We use a historical landslide-dam outburst flood event in the eastern Himalaya to develop an approach to investigate the geomorphic impact of outburst floods, including prehistoric megafloods in the region

 We simulate the modern Yigong River landslide-dam outburst flood using depth-averaged 2D shallow water equations in GeoClaw and produce hydraulic conditions downstream that are consistent with observed patterns of erosion and deposition

 Our ongoing work uses GeoClaw to simulate ancient glacial-outburst megafloods (>10⁶ m³/s) to inform our understanding of the role of these events in shaping the Yarlung-Siang-Brahmaputra river valley



4. GeoClaw results match observed patterns of erosion

Landslides and mass wasting:

 Landsat-7 imagery (pictured right) taken shortly after the event illustrates extensive scour and landsliding along the channel just upstream of the Tsangpo Gorge

 Here GeoClaw simulations indicate conditions favorable to erosion for long periods of time; we simulate water depths up to 68 m with bed shear-stresses that peak above 14 kPa





Flood scour and trim line:

• Flood left behind a high-water mark or trim line (pictured below) preserved over time in vegetation growth along the the flood pathway



 We surveyed these marks and mapped over 60 km of trim line remotely with Google Earth imagery; simulated inundation with the best fit dam height (h=2265 m) are consistent with these geomorphic features:



EP33C-1083: Reconciling geomorphic observations with simulations of a modern landslide-dam outburst flood using GeoClaw software

Michael D. Turzewski¹ (mturzewski@gmail.com), Katharine W. Huntington¹, Randall J. LeVeque² University of Washington, ¹Department of Earth and Space Sciences, ²Department of Applied Mathematics

lasted 62 days producing a ~3 km³ lake

fined regions

• We ran final simulations with the range of best fit dam heights/lake volumes presented in Delaney and Evans (2015)

Snapshots of GeoClaw simulations:

GeoClaw Simulations at t=0 hr



Google Earth Imagery

5. Simulated inundation matches observed patterns of deposition **Identifying Slackwater Deposits in the Field:**

• We mapped and observed 12 slackwater deposits in hydraulically sheltered areas such as terraces and local tributaries

• Pictured **right**: large slackwater deposit near entrance of Siyom tributary and close-up of laminations present in deposits

GeoClaw results at location of deposits:

• We ran GeoClaw simulations with the range of dam heights/lake volumes and evaluated which models sufficiently inundated locations of observed deposits:

		<u></u>	
Dam Height	Impounded Volume	Peak Discharge at	No. of Inundated
(m.a.s.l.)	on SRTM 3" (km ³)	Tongmai Bridge	Slackwater
		(m³/s)	Deposits
2260	1.79	1.27 x 10 ⁵	4/12
2265	2.05	1.61 x 10 ⁵	6/12
2270	2.32	1.93 x 10 ⁵	8/12

Right: Simulated stage at locations of observed deposits 1-12 using minimum and maximum dam heights; Deposits 1, 5, 6, and 9 were sufficiently inundated by the full range of dam heights

Simulated water depth at two inundated deposits



3. GeoClaw: Initialization and tuning

• We simulate the outburst flood in GeoClaw on a custom digital elevation model derived from SRTM 1-arc second (30 m) and 3-arc second (90 m) datasets, and assume instantaneous landslide-dam failure/removal

 Adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) built into GeoClaw allows us to characterize the outburst flood over its entire pathway (>450km) in addition to observing finer details of the flow in re-

• We first tested the performance of the model with various maximum grid resolutions and a range of values for the bed roughness parameter, Manning's n (**shown right**)

• A grid-resolution of 30 m was chosen for full simulations of the flood and we refine in regions of interest by a factor of 2 (15m)

GeoClaw Simulations at t=2.08 hr





Simulated depth in Tsangpo Gorge ~75 km downstream with varying grid-resolutions from 15m to 85m (left), and simulated depth in the gorge with varying roughness values from n=0.03-0.04 (**right**)

We compare simulated discharge to estimated peak discharge from Shang et al. (2003) at Tongmai Bridge using range of dam heights:





Potential sources of error:

1) Innaccurate GPS positions of slackwater deposits along the channel

2) Relatively coarse (30m) resolution of SRTM1" dataset

Lack of base flow component in Geo-Claw; monsoon stage not accurately represented by base of DEM





6. Conclusions

 GeoClaw accurately simulates observed hydraulic conditions at Tongmai Bridge with the range of published credible dam heights/lake volumes

- GeoClaw simulations indicate sustained high-depths and bed shear-stresses in steep parts of the channel where the flood triggered landslides
- Despite uncertanties GeoClaw simulations inundate a majority of surveyed flood deposits using the range of dam heights/lake volumes
- GeoClaw is a suitable tool to investigate the impact of high-magnitude outburst floods on the landscape

7. Future Work

 More sophisticated dam breach scenarios will be explored in the near future to examine the effect of different rates of dam removal

 Simulations of the Bridge of the Gods flood (Columbia River, Washington USA) will be performed to test the performance of GeoClaw with higher-resolution topographic datasets as well as a base flow component

• GeoClaw simulations will be used to investigate ancient megaflood events sourced from the Yarlung River in the eastern Himalaya

L. Berger, M.J., George, D.L, LeVeque, R.J., and Mandli, K.T. (2011). The GeoClaw software for depth-averaged flows with adaptive refinement. Advances in Water Resources, 34, 1195-1206. 2. Delaney, K.B., & Evans, S.G. (2015). The 2000 Yigong landslide (Tibetan Plateau), rockslide-dammed lake and outburst flood: review, remote sensing analysis, and process modeling. Geomorphology, 246, 377-393.

3. Denlinger, R.P., & O'Connell, D.R.H. (2009). Simulations of cataclysmic outburst floods from Pleistocene Glacial Lake Missoula. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 122(5-6), 678-689. 4. George, D.L. (2011). Adaptive finite volume methods with well-balanced Riemann solvers for modeling floods in rugged terrain: Application to the Malpasset dam-break flood (France, 1959). International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, 66, 1000–1018.

5. Shang, Y., Yang, Z., Li, L., Liu, D., Liao, Q., & Wang, Y. (2003). A super-large landslide in Tibet in 2000: background, occurrence, disaster, and origin. Geomorphology, 54(3-4), 225-243.