## Probability

## Predicting outcomes

The goal: Estimating the chances of a particular outcome actually occurring

## Why bother?

Consider this pedigree:


## Probability:

- of an inevitable event=
- of an impossible event=

If $x, y$, and $z$ are the only possible outcomes of an event, $P(x)+P(y)+P(z)=$

## Imposing multiple conditions

## Product rule

The probability that two or more independent events will occur (event $x$ and event $y$ and ...)

## Examples

What is the probability that III-I will be aa?

I


## Relaxing the criteria

## Sum rule

The probability of an outcome that can be achieved by more than one way (event $x$ or event $y$ or...)

- When you pick a card...probability that it is a red 5 ?


## - Probability that III-I is homozygous ?



## Probabilities of sets of outcomes

## Binomial expansion

...to determine the probability of a specific set of outcomes in a number of trials that could each have either of two possible outcomes
e.g., determining the probability of I female and 4 male children in a family with 5 children

Equation: $\quad(a+b)^{5}=1$
$a^{5}+5 a^{4} b+10 a^{3} b^{2}+10 a^{2} b^{3}+5 a b^{4}+b^{5}$
I. Find the term where the exponents match the numbers you want
2. Substitute the individual probabilities
$\Rightarrow$ fraction of 5-children families expected to have I daughter and 4 sons:

# Evaluating results... <br> Assessing the goodness of fit 

$\chi^{2}$ analysis - How likely is it that the deviation from the predicted values is due to chance alone?

Null hypothesis - that there is no real difference between observed and predicted results

Example: flipping a coin to decide if it's a trick coin...

## $\chi^{2}$ analysis:

I. Compute $\chi^{2}$ value:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum \frac{(\text { observed }- \text { expected })^{2}}{\text { expected }}
$$

## 2. Determine df (the \# of degrees of freedom)

## 3. Look up $P$ value in $\chi^{2}$ table

## Exercise:

Are the results of this Drosophila cross consistent with independent assortment of the two genes (si ${ }^{+}$ and spa ${ }^{+}$)? Can you explain these results? [Hint: refer back to the chromosome theory of inheritance.]

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{s v^{+}}{\mathrm{sv}} & \frac{\mathrm{spa}}{} & & \mathrm{spa} \\
& & & \frac{\mathrm{sv}}{\mathrm{svv}}
\end{array} \frac{\mathrm{spa}}{\mathrm{spa}}
$$

\# of progeny Phenotype of progeny
759

$$
\mathrm{sv}^{+} \mathrm{spa}^{+}
$$

2
$s v^{+}$spa

0
sb spa ${ }^{+}$
770
sp spa

Remember that $\mathrm{sv}^{+}$and $\mathrm{spa}^{+}$are the dominant phenotypes; ss and spa are recessive.

## Chi-square table

| P $\Rightarrow$ | 0.995 | 0.975 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | $\langle\mathbf{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | df |
| I | . 000 | . 000 | 0.016 | 0.455 | 2.706 | 3.841 | 5.024 | 6.635 | 7.879 | I |
| 2 | 0.010 | 0.051 | 0.211 | 1.386 | 4.605 | 5.991 | 7.378 | 9.210 | 10.597 | 2 |
| 3 | 0.072 | 0.216 | 0.584 | 2.366 | 6.251 | 7.815 | 9.348 | 11.345 | 12.838 | 3 |
| 4 | 0.207 | 0.484 | 1.064 | 3.357 | 7.779 | 9.488 | 11.143 | 13.277 | 14.860 | 4 |
| 5 | 0.412 | 0.831 | 1.610 | 4.351 | 9.236 | 11.070 | 12.832 | 15.086 | 16.750 | 5 |
| 6 | 0.676 | 1.237 | 2.204 | 5.348 | 10.645 | 12.592 | 14.449 | 16.812 | 18.548 | 6 |
| 7 | 0.989 | 1.690 | 2.833 | 6.346 | 12.017 | 14.067 | 16.013 | 18.475 | 20.278 | 7 |
| 8 | 1.344 | 2.180 | 3.490 | 7.344 | 13.362 | 15.507 | 17.535 | 20.090 | 21.955 | 8 |
| 9 | 1.735 | 2.700 | 4.168 | 8.343 | 14.684 | 16.919 | 19.023 | 21.666 | 23.589 | 9 |
| 10 | 2.156 | 3.247 | 4.865 | 9.342 | 15.987 | 18.307 | 20.483 | 23.209 | 25.188 | 10 |

