

Graduate and Professional Student Senate

Executive Meeting Minutes Session 10

January 18, 2017 | HUB 303

Members Present:

GPSS President Soh Yeun (Elloise) Kim
GPSS Vice President of Internal Affairs Sarah Loeffler
GPSS Vice President of External Affairs James Moschella
GPSS Treasurer Michaella Rogers
GPSS Secretary Randy Siebert
Graduate School Liaison Kelly Edwards
GPSS Executive Senator Monica Cortes Viharo
GPSS Executive Senator Laura Taylor
GPSS Executive Senator Erin Firth
GPSS Executive Senator Peder Digre
ASUW Director of Internal Policy Taylor Beardall

Call to Order 5:35 pm

Approval of Agenda

5:35 pm

Sarah Loeffler Moves to make amendments to the agenda by editing Jan. 18 Senate Meeting Agenda to Jan. 25th Senate Meeting Agenda. Also, by adding midpoint Senate survey update after Olympia Update.

Michaella Rogers Seconds.

Michaella Rogers Moves to approve the agenda as amended.

Erin Firth Seconds.

Approval of Minutes

5:36 pm

Randy Siebert Moves to approve the Minutes as presented.

Laura Taylor Seconds.

Olympia Update

5:36 pm

James Moschella: For the Capital Budget act the administration has taken a lead on pushing it, he has taken initiative on size improvements, renovations for T hall, and the new population health building. Some budgets have fully funded some things some have not he is not sure what

kind of budget they will get yet. For fee based programs, after the meetings, the administration wants to work on these issues and the outlook for solving fee based issues is positive. Sexual assault issues, which he hasn't been pushing as much this week but his team is working hard. They are working on the APA requirements, exempting issues from APA so that they can be dealt with as necessary. There has been some pushback from Republicans, a lot of stuff around protecting accusers vs. victims. On mental health, there have been prime sponsors on mental health bills. Also, working on veteran's mental health bill is being worked on. Suicide prevention bill was dropped today in the Houses for mental health so we will be supporting and pushing that as well. The outlook is very positive there. For, textbooks and open educational resources, two bills have been dropped in House (Republican, and Democratic). We have an organization do not specifically support either. Childcare wasn't on the UW legislative agenda, however there is an agreement with legislators to have a taskforce for the bill and there will be graduate representation on that taskforce. If anyone wants to send suggestions for what that taskforce may address that would be great. Finally, there is a bill pushing a faculty regent. A few legislators have asked how we feel about it, the regents won't have voting rights they are different than student regents.

Laura Taylor: Pretty neutral on faculty regents.

James Moschella: That's where most people stand. A change in decision making doesn't really affect us either way.

Monica Cortes Viharo: She is slightly more positive about faculty regent because regents in here and other regions are political appointees without background in education. Having faculty regent gives context for what we do.

Elloise Kim: She has no objections to adding a faculty Senate member, but as someone who has been in Board of Regent meetings, there are faculty senate chairs and provost are a good voice for faculty members. Board of Regents is a special place where students have more of a voice. She is not against it, but she is not for it either. Neutrality is the best option here in her opinion.

James Moschella: On another note, if there is anyone with a strong story about sexual assault, veterans' mental health etc. let James know because they want some testimonies. Also, Feb. 7th is lobby day please register for that day.

Senate Survey update

5:44 pm

Sarah Loeffler: In total, we have had 17 responses. Not a lot, but feedback we have gotten is great feedback. There is not really a time limit on this. She wants to send out a few reminders before she closes the survey. Most responses have been from newer Senators. Seems like there is a lot of confusion about what their role as a Senator is still. Some people, still don't know what GPSS is or does. There seems to be confusion on Resolutions, particularly how to voice and them discuss properly. Also, people want to know how to get involved, they think some veteran Senators are dominating the voice of the conversation. Maybe we could pair up old and new Senators to guide new Senators.

Some people wanted community members and Ana Marie Cauce as speakers for some Senate meetings. Also, some people didn't understand what speakers are for. On a positive note, there were some people who mentioned they wanted to see more professional development, which is something GPSS is currently working on. These are the takeaways so far. Maybe we can have a discussion on how to reiterate some of these points.

Laura Taylor: This is her third year in the Senate, she remembers that her first year she was unsure about what she was doing in the Senate. In the beginning, she also felt that veterans dominated the conversation as well. She thinks the Senate is a learn by experience kind of thing. Also, this year we had our first senate meeting at a different location and different time so we might have lost some Senators who could have benefited from education. Maybe because it is new quarter we could do a little mingle, and education session to bring new Senators up to date.

Elloise Kim: We have expected Senators to grow up as time goes by. However, our goal is to help them adapt faster. The briefing at the beginning of the year was one of those attempts. However, many Senators weren't there at that time. Maybe we could do a brief overview of their job, again.

Sarah Loeffler: Maybe we could make small groups with new and old senators to give them an opportunity to ask questions. The Surveys in general gives us an opportunity to make adjustments and not wait for an entire year to turnover. Also, makes people feel heard. Trying to address this, shows we are listening and we are trying to make the Senate work for everyone.

Randy Siebert: Do you have any ideas about how to get guest speakers?

Monica Cortes Viharo: Explaining why you are doing what you are doing is key, framing a guest, a brief introduction about goals and guidelines for how Senators can use information would be helpful.

Elloise Kim: Would a brief outline/introduction in emails work?

Monica Cortes Viharo: Verbal introduction works better.

Kelly Edwards: Brief verbal introduction also helps those who are speaking frame themselves and what they may want to focus on.

Elloise Kim: She will help set that up.

Laura Taylor: How are guest speakers set up?

Elloise Kim: Sometimes we contact them, sometimes they contact us to get student feedback

Randy Siebert: Urban planning contacted us.

Laura Taylor: It may be worth mentioning if someone contacts us for certain issues.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Also, if you give guidelines for what is best for speakers to focus on that might be good.

Elloise Kim: What is a good way to help senators understand their job and how to do it.

Laura Taylor: Her first year, they had a breakout session where they had older and newer senators mix. Newer senators could ask why older senators came back etc. Newer senators could ask questions on their mind.

Randy Siebert: In her department, she is also the president of the Jackson school graduate council. Might also be good for Senators to be in contact with their advisors.

Laura Taylor: Not everything in the announcement is relevant to our students so we pick and choose what's most relevant and send it on a list serv.

Sarah Loeffler: On survey, they did ask how people disseminated information. Seemed like most people said email is their method of choice.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Will we share results of the survey in the meeting?

Sarah Loeffler: Sam and her will put together a report, and it's up to us whether we present this.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Think it could be helpful, in that it shows people they aren't alone in having certain uncertainties. Her first couple of Senate meetings they made circles with others and talked. Also, a Senator buddy system might be good.

Sarah Loeffler: Maybe we could put a list together of mentor Senators, that are willing to answer questions newer Senators may have.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Maybe even pairing up Senators would be good.

Peder Digre: Noticed there is not a lot of time for Senators to talk to other Senators. Maybe having a breakout session during Good of the Order would be good.

Erin Firth: Would be useful to talk to all of the Senator's Graduate program advisor on duties and requirements for Senators, thus if they [advisors] know they can tell their Senators as they come and go. Advisors are there longer than Senators.

Sarah Loeffler: So maybe an orientation with advisors then check-ins throughout the year would be helpful.

Erin Firth: Having a two-page white paper with a Senator's job description would be good.

Randy Siebert: We have pamphlets with Senator's job descriptions.

Erin Firth: We could modify those.

Elloise Kim: White pagers seem like a shortened version of the Senator's handbook that is more useful.

Erin Firth: And it could be digital.

Kelly Edwards Loves that idea, she thinks some orientation presentations are during a super busy time for incoming Graduates. Agrees that Advisors are great keepers of duties for new Senators. Loves conversation about interaction between Senators. Maybe having stickers on people's nametags that distinguish their year in the Senate could be good. Also, maybe having

five minutes where senators need to find a Senator with different colored stickers and talk about a prompt could help.

Elloise Kim: So far there are lots of ideas: Group activities, briefings, white papers, pairings. Thinks that one of the committees can make a white paper. The officers can talk about it.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Part of it, could also be saying that part of the duty of Executive Senators is to be a mentor for new Senators. Maybe Executive Senators could facilitate activities for new Senators. That is something more the Executive Senators could do. They could mentor people.

Elloise Kim: When is the open house?

Sarah Loeffler: We haven't scheduled it yet.

Elloise Kim: There was some discussion to have an open house in the office, maybe that would be a good opportunity for the Executive Senators to have a conversation with new Senators.

Kelly Edwards: For some of these five minutes' exchanges that are being talked about it could be good to hear why someone came back to the Senate in general.

Elloise Kim: Thinks we have pretty good ideas to develop next week. If you have suggestions for discussion topics for small groups during meetings, email it to the officers.

Sarah Loeffler: With the survey, they will send out a few reminders to fill them out. Also, Executive Senators can remind people to fill out the survey.

Review of Jan.11 Senate Meeting

6:04 pm

Elloise Kim: Thinks we need to have a discussion about Resolution quality.

Laura Taylor: Thinks that is the first time in the history of the Senate where there was an administrative rebuttal of a Resolution, and it made it awkward.

Randy Siebert: She called the WAC (Waterfront Activities Center) representatives earlier to get information, and he was stated he was planning to come for a while.

Laura Taylor: Spoke to two WYC (Washington Yacht Club) people after the meeting, and warned them to refine what they were saying before they come back. People felt like their time was wasted. That is what she observed.

Taylor Beardall: They came in to discuss at ASUW, and she asked how their earlier meeting went. They said they were kicked out of the Senate meeting in the GPSS, because they used a condescending tone with a student. ASUW was also critical, but ASUW suggested working with liaisons to the IMA. They explained it is not in best interest to use a Resolution to solve this issue.

Elloise Kim: They have to have a good conversation with the other party and need to present a fair record on what has happened. Those were two conditions she put when the Senate tabled the Resolutions.

Randy Siebert: There were also some suggestions by Senators about how to make it [Resolution] better, but they seemed really offended the suggestions.

Laura Taylor: Also, she spoke to the Senator that supported it, but she sounded like she supported it but not really. Sounds like the two-invested people that are not in the Senate are taking advantage of a person in the Senate that is not really into the issue.

Elloise Kim: Thinks everybody understands that GPSS Senate is not a battleground for issues. Best to fact check Resolutions in the future.

Randy Siebert: Also, thinks it's important not to determine importance of a Resolution.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Thinks meeting went well, because the system worked. Senate felt Resolution wasn't good so it was tabled.

Elloise Kim: During the last meeting, we decided the Executive Senators should not assign importance, hope to get better quality resolutions in the future.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Now we have anecdotal information to share with authors of a Resolution, if we feel they are putting GPSS in a mediator position.

Elloise Kim: Thinks there are Parliamentary Procedural ways to deal with issues.

Randy Siebert: Maybe it is sneaky of administration of the WAC to come to the meeting. However, thinks it's important for the Senate to get both sides of things.

Erin Firth: Thinks he was very factual, and Senate felt the same way.

Elloise Kim: However, GPSS is here to speak for students.

Laura Taylor: They [WYC] didn't have any data on the number of Graduate students in their program.

Peder Digre: We will be reviewing things through SAF and we can bring this up and ask about it there.

Jan. 18 Senate Meeting Agenda

6:13pm

Elloise Kim: The rationale behind the guest is because she chairs the diversity committee on the Board of Regents and at this moment as we enter a new administrative regime it might be good to hear what the Board of Regents is doing to increase diversity etc.

Sarah Loeffler: Did we have board of regent's guest? (Yes, Austin)

Randy Siebert: Why not have Ricky Hall because he is Vice President for the Office of Minority Affairs and Diversity and chief diversity officer at the UW for all three campuses.

Elloise Kim: He wasn't available for a while. They said he is available on Feb. 8th

Randy Siebert: They will have two diversity presentations, one in the next meeting and one on Feb. 8th.

Michaella Rogers: Thinks this might be overload of diversity meetings.

Elloise Kim: Why is this an overload?

Michaella Rogers: Doesn't understand the difference between events.

Elloise Kim: Ricky Hall is the OMAD Vice Provost. Right now, GOMAP has been the leading unit for Graduate school diversity issues. OMAD is more concentrated on undergraduate diversity issues. Diversity blueprint is not just a document that impacts the administration but it also impacts students. It was passed by the Board of Directors last week.

Kelly Edwards: This is not the first diversity blueprint, the first focused on recruitment and enrolling more people with diversity. The second one is more about culture and climate diversity. It functions as a 20, 000-foot level, it is very nonspecific. Ricky will have town hall with faculty on Feb. 2nd. The more he works on the proposal the more he might have to share. As for the first guest, the regents deal with different issues. It would be good for Graduate students to know what the regents plan is.

Michaella Rogers: Thinks it's good to make explicit the difference between the speakers.

Kelly Edwards Thinks Ricky's plan is very broad, and it's important for Graduate students to know the plan to encourage advocacy.

Elloise Kim: Board of Regent's members can talk about any business that the Board of Regents are doing, but specifically she asked if they can discuss diversity related issues that she is chairing with the committee. The second guest will talk about the divestment guidelines that will be introduced to the Board or regents to be approved. Once approved it will be school policy. They want to come to the Senate to get Graduate opinions before finalizing guidelines. They requested to come to the Senate. Unfortunately, the main author can't make the meeting date, however someone from her officer and Austin Wright-Pettibone will come to speak.

Randy Siebert: Next on the agenda - Midpoint report Senate survey.

Michaella Rogers: Doesn't think we should review survey now, rather we should remind people to take the survey.

Elloise Kim: Thinks we could talk about feedback so far.

Michaella Rogers: Doesn't think we should talk about feedback until we are done.

Sarah Loeffler: Agrees with Michaella but what do the rest of Executive Senators say.

Michaella Rogers: Thinks we could bias the survey if we talk about current responses so far.

Randy Siebert: Doesn't want to present reports on surveys just yet.

Peder Digre: Thinks Sarah can mention in Officer reports that we want more responses.

Kelly Edwards: We can start building five minutes for Senators to talk to each other. Normally, check ins for meetings happen in the first five minutes, however maybe you want to wait for the five minutes to happen after speakers present.

Randy Siebert: Might be nice after the approval of agenda and Minutes to have the check ins for new Senators.

Elloise Kim: We could make 5-minute check ins.

Michaella Rogers: We can do the sticker thing, or just talk about things.

Sarah Loeffler: Is Executive Committee comfortable with this? (yes)

Michaella Rogers: Do we have time to set this up?

Sarah Loeffler: Executive Senators can help set this up.

Taylor Beardall: We tried to set up a buddy program but it didn't work well. Also, people leave during these types of things.

Elloise Kim: In the past many people said they hated group activities. We have to be careful not to do that too often.

Peder Digre: Doesn't know if it is meant to be a social time. However, if they set the check in around a conversation that might be good.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Even if people don't always enjoy it, there is set of values we are trying to portray, and it's that its best to work as a group.

Randy Siebert: Can we take a quick vote?

Michaella Rogers Quick question, what would we have them talk about in groups.

Sarah Loeffler: Everyone is talking about two different things. First is having some veteran Senators where new senators can talk to them about confusions they might have. Second, is a quick talk to your neighbor about a topic.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Maybe for five-minute check ins we can talk about everyone's role as Senators. How do you see your role?

Erin Firth: There is also a problem in giving them too broad of a topic.

Monica Cortes Viharo: How do you define your role, and how can we work to make it better.

Elloise Kim: Fine with not doing report, but we could preface check in and say that based on feedback we will do a check in.

Erin Firth: We don't even need that much detail.

Kelly Edwards Also, love the specific prompt. During the opening welcoming message we can say, we want to do periodic check ins. Also, five minutes is super short and it would work better

if we had conversations with a neighbor but the four-corner thing doesn't work that well in five minutes.

Randy Siebert: Key is to meet someone they haven't met before.

Monica Cortes Viharo: For the four corners thing, she thinks that it is more on the Executive Senate to facilitate a conversation.

Kelly Edwards If it is four corners and facilitated conversation it will be at least 15 minutes.

Laura Taylor: Need to make sure that new and old Senators talk.

Sarah Loeffler: Do we have access to who is an old or new Senator? (Yah) So let's do the sticker thing a make people turn to those that have different stickers.

Randy Siebert: Want to do Senator check in for five minutes?

Michaella Rogers: Likes the idea, but think it will take more time. Maybe we can have a feedback time. Seems like a lot of effort for five minutes.

Elloise Kim: Its extra work for Randy, but it's possible.

Randy Siebert: So, do we want to do Senator check in for five minutes?

Monica Cortes Viharo: Curious about the math, how many veterans to new senators are there.

Elloise Kim: There are lots more new Senators.

Kelly Edwards Without stickers we can have people who are veterans stand up so newer Senators can meet them and start the conversation.

Elloise Kim: Everyone fine with five-minute check ins?

Laura Taylor: Maybe 10 minutes is better. Or merge with Good of the Order.

Michaella Rogers: We can make a 10-minute check in and later we can talk about what we learned in Good of the Order.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Having five minutes at the beginning and a talk during Good of the Order about what was learned is best.

Michaella Rogers Doesn't think its super beneficial if we don't share.

Kelly Edwards Thinks it's not helpful for the group to hear what the Senators love about the Senate. Thinks it's about specification of the questions asked. Trust the peer mentoring process.

Sarah Loeffler: Thinks we can prompt people by saying it's a refresher as to what Senators will do. Thinks this is meeting after inauguration and thus Good of the Order might be more important for that rather than check in

Laura Taylor: Thought that the purpose of the meet and greet is to have a perspective for what Senators need to do. The other goal that is being mixed in is getting feedback from the Senate which is accomplished through the survey.

Elloise Kim: Let's try five-minute check in during the beginning.

Randy Siebert: Next up, do we want to set a topic for Good of the Order?

Elloise Kim: Thinks it best not to have a guided discussion.

Sarah Loeffler: Thought the guided discussions were good.

Michaella Rogers Doesn't think we have had unguided discussion for a while, so might be good to do.

Elloise Kim: When we have a pressing issue, we want to talk about then we can do guided discussion.

Randy Siebert: People felt frustrated we weren't talking about the election during the last meeting.

Michaella Rogers: We are not stopping people from talking about it by leaving it open.

Laura Taylor: Her opinion is that Good of the Order is a platform for gathering ideas about things GPSS can do.

Michaella Rogers: If people want to talk about something they can talk about it.

Elloise Kim: We will open the conversation to the floor, but if there are non-conversations we can maybe open the conversation up to inauguration talk. Let's not open the conversation about the inauguration to start with.

Peder Digre: Likes Laura's idea about what are things that GPSS can take action on.

Erin Firth: We used to do that, that's how a lot of issues that Senators had in the past came to the floor.

Michaella Rogers: She liked that and its it is not prescriptive but kind of guides the conversation.

Randy Siebert: So, the topic is what can GPSS take action on, if there is no conversation.

Laura Taylor Moves to approve the agenda.

Michaella Rogers Seconds.

Executive Senator Reports

6:40 pm

Erin Firth: Nothing to report, has just been doing SAF and STF work.

Peder Digre: From STF, in regards to the voting system platform from last year, in the last meeting they made a motion that they would like ASUW to complete the work on that project by

the second to last meeting of Executive GPSS meeting of the Spring quarter or return 2/3 of the funding. They are leaving option of finishing the work on the project.

Randy Siebert: Does that include GPSS? (Yes)

Taylor Beardall: So, ASUW needs to complete work with GPSS?

Erin Firth: No, it is a joint project so one or both have to complete it or both would have to return money in some joint effort.

Michaella Rogers: Lizzie has set up a meeting between ASUW and GPSS to talk about what to do.

Peder Digre: Other option is to return funding immediately.

Elloise Kim: We expected this since last summer, but there is a meeting to discuss details. Anything else Peder?

Peder Digre: SAF is getting oriented to Units right now/getting oriented to units. Another thing he is working on is working with Alice Popejoy in School of Public health to put together white papers for college campuses on different topics. He wants to stay connected her, because they are putting together white papers for a lot of current GPSS legislative topics.

Monica Cortes Viharo: Working on day of action at Intellectual House on Friday. From 10am-12pm there will be different speakers, and they will have immigration lawyers from 10am-12pm. From 10am-11am they have a presentation on DACA for international students and have individual consultations. Grant from Science and Policy committee will have a presentation on writing white papers. There is a walk out, and they will be streaming the Kathleen talk in the Ethnic Cultural Center. There will be free breakfast and free lunch. A lot of lists have been going around about other events on the 20th so she is promoting those as well.

Elloise Kim: Is there any link or webpage?

Monica Cortes Viharo: Yes, she will send it to Randy.

Laura Taylor: Nothing to report.

Officer Reports

6:45 pm

ASUW

Within ASUW, they started an undocumented and international student taskforce. They had different meetings with UW law. Approved the city legislative agenda, that is up online. Have also started a mental health working group in ASUW, Haki and her will be leading that up. (Laura interested in sitting on it). They are trying to implement a student business closet. This weekend at RSO leadership summit there were 7 Graduate students, which was great. In terms of Senate legislation passed, they have passed two Resolutions. One was a legislative directive to end the practice of conversation therapy for minors in Washington State. So, that can be lobbied on now. As for programs coming up Casey Neistat is coming on February 6th to the blank

monologues are from February 9th through 11th and Pacific voices is on February 15th. Have been implementing SLACK as a communication device, last quarter was the trial period. If GPSS and ASUW both got on it, it would create a lot more interaction.

Graduate School

Would love to be part of the SLACK conversation. With the Graduate Student Experience group, they are meeting next week. They are meeting on Jan. 25 2:30-4pm the location is getting moved to the HUB. They are bringing together a lot of different units in the Graduate school focusing on Graduate experience. They wanted to extend the invite to Graduate students that are on the committee. They will send the agenda out on Friday. Big things, tomorrow evening from 5-7 pm is the career exploration panel for careers in public services. Ten Alums will come back from different Graduate programs the theme has focused on humanities and social sciences because that has been an under targeted group for career events. Beer, wine, and appetizers will be there. Next week on Thursday from 12-2pm is the next first generation graduate student mixer. There will be lunch and they will also talk about self-care and stress management strategies.

Randy Siebert: We put the mixer on our event calendar.

Vice President of Internal Affairs

Working on the survey, has meeting on Friday with UPASS will get fee increase proposal. Expect them to come to the Senate soon. Tori is working with Tent city 3, she brought a bin into the office for warm clothes for Tent City 3 the drive is posted on the GPSS website. She is also sitting on many committees. Program reviews is coming back up, there was good feedback at the last Senate meeting. Sam and her are working on a proposal for getting student input in earlier. She is sitting on tri-campus taskforce and have mocked up good draft of things that are working well on campus and how to collaborate with everyone. Also, the winter social is Feb. 16th. Tori is looking for volunteers for card check in and bartending. Also, Science and Policy white paper project is February 8th. Last night the Senate signed and put together 110 letters to congress that went out today in regards to last week's Resolution.

Vice President of External Affairs

Matt Munoz: They will keep pushing lobby day, on Feb. 7th, their goal is having more Graduate students than Undergraduates. Right now, that is looking very achievable numbers as of today, are 5:1 in favor of Graduate students.

Secretary

Volunteered for MLK day, cleaned up Rainier beach. Has the Polar Plunge coming up in a little bit. Kathleen Cleaver is coming to the ECC (Ethnic Cultural Center), the diversity committee will fund some of that. If anyone is interested in a VIP backstage pass, talk to Randy. Next week GPSS diversity will be sponsoring the Indigenous Feminism series at the Intellectual House. There will be a talk and a movie presentation.

Treasurer

Had travel grants, awarded early winter travel grants today went smoothly. F&B is very full but have been going through them steadily a lot of groups have been prepared based on past meetings. Doing a lot of work on the budget because that is due in February. That is pretty much it.

President

Conference on May 5th and 6th is really being finalized and she hopes to publish application page tomorrow but was very sick over the weekend so publication date has been delayed she hopes to publish it Friday. Also, now with Graduate student emails she hopes to send out the news on socials and the conference as a package in the first official email. Met with global affairs office and they asked to come to the Senate in February because the University is, at the request of the provost, creating policy for regulations of insurance and safety issues when UW students are traveling abroad on official business. Previously didn't exist in a formal manner so they want to get student feedback. They might come if the schedule works out. The student regent meeting is coming up, she needs to send out candidates by May 1st. Her and Danielle are looking at how to facilitate the committee better this year. Faculty Senate had serious conversation about Executive order 65 which is about faculty senate compensation level which didn't pass last spring and is being reintroduced in revised version during the next meeting that was a big part of the conversation at the faculty council meeting. The faculty Regent issues is another thing they talked about.

Announcements

6:55 pm

Monica Cortes Viharo: School of Drama is doing a play called Maple and Vine written in 2011 about a couple that goes to live in an old timey environment. The first half of the play there is a giant iPhone on stage and it talks about ways in which we are less connected to each other now that we have technology.

Taylor Beardall: There is a fashion show in February.

Laura Taylor: For those of you who don't want to deal with crowds downtown but still want to take action as a woman she is participating in pussy grabs back 5k its only \$10 dollars for students. All proceeds go to planned parenthood. It's this Saturday.

Adjournment

7:01 pm

Erin Firth: Moves to adjourn the meeting.

Sarah Loeffler: Seconds.