



**GRADUATE & PROFESSIONAL
STUDENT SENATE**

Exec Meeting Minutes Session

October 18, 2017 | HUB 303

Members Present: GPSS President Soh Yeun (Elloise) Kim
GPSS Secretary: Giuliana Conti
GPSS Vice President of External Affairs: Matt Munoz
GPSS Executive Senator: Grant Williamson
GPSS Executive Senator: Peder Digre
ASUW Director of Internal Policy and the Liaison: Bo Goodrich
HUB Director: Justin Camputaro
Assistant Director of Student Activities: Renee Singleton
Population Health Facility Project Manager, Major Projects: Jeannie Natta
Population Health Facility Principal Architectural Associate: Lyndsey Cameron

1. Call to Order 5:33PM

Guests 5:33PM

Jeannie Natta: Doing quite a bit of reach to the user groups and people actually be housed in the building with some open houses. Last year in the spring, same five goals were shared and that's to foster collaboration and connectivity among those working with them and with programs and research at the UW and local and global partners. Trying to create a space that works well for the programs that are already very successful and how can they bring in and other entities to work with them to promote healthy living, design space that's flexible and adaptable and over time university buildings change many times so how to build things in a modulation in a way so that when we go to renovate them, we are doing it in an efficient way and not facing large renovation cost. That's practices and sustainability. The building will be located where the Guthrie annex buildings are currently located along 15th avenue at the bottom. Many of them collaborate all around the world and work but it appears that they might work individually in their offices.

Some of the ideas that are really inspiring in this building is about how to improve pathway that is the entry one - very significant entrance to campus and if anybody who's ever walked through that scramble and then made their way up the sidewalks which are very narrow; people waiting for the

bus it's very tight, bicycles dead end themselves into that with bus traffic; so how we improve that in and around the building looking at environmental and human connectivity? The big analysis has been done about passageway through the campus. Blue is bicycle path connected to bus, the red is the EDA accessible pathway, in and around the site. There seem to be an elevation issue so the entrance will be put in the center of the building.

Currently there is a parking lot in this area which will eventually be removed. Some accessible parking will be created which will also serve as a fire lane. There's going to be a significant improvement to travel around those four buildings. Looking at open space on either side, there already is a courtyard there - physics and astronomy; and what it does is it drops into two narrow stairwells on either side of the loading docks, it's not very inviting to head down to 15th, that will expand and then also to the north really expanding that area with Guthrie for being gone. That wall right now somewhat encroaches on the back steps of architectural hall; to be a much nicer gathering space. And terrace then on the outside of the building. That courtyard down to the south, that is actually over the loading dock which is why the building can be encroached in space for anybody who works.

Some inside plans here - creating a passage that's large enough that bicycles and if there are areas of it that becomes from a bicycle lane to a shared path that's a safe passage connecting to oneself, connecting to the teams. Has good team rooms and good lobby space. Regarding sustainability, lead is a marker that's used on the campus. Lead version 4 is now in effect it's only gotten more and more rigorous over its versions. UW currently targeting lead gold and intends to move towards platinum. It puts towards high energy efficiency with a cost. Another aspect of importance is attention to is 'Wellness'. Between a lead gold and a wellness, there will be a nice balance between energy efficiency and a pleasant place for people.

Lyndsey Cameron: We're one of the first building projects actually to go for lead.

Jeannie Natta: There are very few certified in the country. Regarding building, targeting a 300,000 square foot building- a very large building. 300 feet long, 100 feet wide and 8 feet tall. Leve B2 is completely underground where the existing loading dock of the top on the left-hand side and at two basements for delivery for this building will be met. Share the same entrance into the loading dock. There will be bike storage area for occupants of the building. Over 300 people work in that building and many of them cycle to work. So, there will be an area for individual shower room for people to come and change. There will be a program space and slow consideration of a potential classroom space, meeting space which will bring in some daylight to the building.

Lyndsey Cameron: The stairs will be a nice connection going up to The Quad.

Jeannie Natta: There's a 6 ft tall retaining wall. The building will be brought down to the street and a much nicer place will be made for people to walk along.

Lyndsey Cameron: There's 30 ft. setback from the street and the streetscape on the east side of the building. To mirror what's happening on the west, there is a small two-foot strip, planting strip and then an eight-foot sidewalk on the side of the street. Currently there's no planting strip at this place.

Jeannie Natta: So as there are a lot of trees before you, still, one will be walking along concrete. This will be a much more enjoyable space for pets.

On the first floor on the north and after 15th Ave, that part of the building is underground. Team is looking at restrooms, support area and storage and in the building above ground, bringing in daylight- a large story convening space may be used as a teaching classroom for certain parts of the day. It will be opened up so that its part of the cafe seating.

Lyndsey Cameron: The director of the School of Art, Gennie Walker, and a group of other great minds, artists and thinkers, branding, marketing communications people will be contacted to start thinking about how to use the physical elements of the building to express what the story is and why these people are coming together.

Jeannie Natta: Yet another rendering that's really rough at this time, but the main hobby space would be a 2 to 3 story space carrying across and then open down to the floor below. If one is coming from the parking garage or anywhere down from central campus it would be the entrance space.

There will be open houses where the ideas will be marketed to the students of department of global health for public health, their faculty and staff. Any questions?

Giuliana Conti: Pleased to hear about using art departments as a resource for art spaces. Asks about the compensation for the students.

Lyndsey Cameron: Suggests thinking of it like a like a think tank for storytelling. Some of the recommended artists are to work in different groups and help us think about how to tell the story. Boss Rebecca Barnes did a great job of bringing artists in, even on architecture projects that were unrelated to storytelling. It's a great way to get out of the box kind of thinking and new approaches.

Jeannie Natta: The executive committee is committed to incorporating art into a part of again trying to reflect the story of the building.

Giuliana Conti: One of the concerns coming from coming from music department is that a lot of times artists are often consulted without compensation. It perpetuates the uneven balance of cultural monetary value that then does not get adequately compensated.

Jeannie Natta: Clarifies that they have paid for consultants and artists will be paid too.

Giuliana Conti: Shares that when buildings can advocate use of resources from the campus; like the art students from the art departments; that would be a great way to promote the community that this building will be invaded in and of course the compensation adequately for those students.

Lyndsey Cameron: Global health folks and school public health often go abroad. So this is kind of a home grown type art collection when they bring back work from abroad helps to tell the story of what they have.

Giuliana Conti: Asks if they are replacing the Guthrie annex.

Jeannie Natta: Not replacing. They will be relocated.

Lyndsey Cameron: Psychology is being relocated to the purchasing and accounting building on the first floor and the upper floors of those of the clinics. BRTC, the major clinics and a couple of the other faculties will be relocated too. Depending on their particular needs, some clinical folks who have PTSD patients and sexual assault patients are in a special building. The Robinson Center which is the gifted youth program and they're moving into Guggenheim.

Giuliana Conti: Asks if they are taking responsibility for the moving costs?

Lyndsey Cameron: Says there's no rent paid currently because it's in a campus building. But would have covered that if there had been an option to move them on campus somewhere, rent will have to be paid and the money would be coming out of genes budget.

Matt Munoz: Asks what was the reasoning behind the showers in the basement? Do other buildings have any on campus?

Jeannie Natta: Responds that the building is densely populated with people who come to work every day like they go to an office, as opposed to a building that has mixed up classroom use or lab use. People need spaces if they're going to commute to work.

Matt Munoz: Asks about the plan for a child care center in the building that was made earlier and why that was removed from the plan.

Elloise Kim: Adds to Matts question asking what is the process in decision making in terms of student feedback on child care center.

Jeannie Natta: The project executive committee that is made up of Leadership helps metrics evaluation Department, global health school, Public Health School of Medicine, because those three departments have some overlap with the school medicine facility services. The governing body ultimately reaches all the decisions being the structure and then the project working teams who are focused on interior fit out program needs those are predominantly the end user groups that are there. The landscape architect, the university architect who work with designs build teams looked at programmatic means and questioned the priorities. What types of amenities might be served etc. The issues for child care that come tipped it so that didn't fit well in this building for this program. There was no site space. To make a viable childcare center there is a need to hit a full range from infant all the way through the preschool age. So after assessing all of that it didn't seem to be the right fit on the side. It was an idea that was churned.

Peter on Phone: Asks if there are any students on the projects executive committee and what opportunities are there for students to engage?

Jeannie Natta: No students on the project executive. There was a student on the selection

committee for the design bill because they're on the architectural commission. We have gone to all along the way from the site selection, outreach to student leadership groups in all of the departments and we have coming to these major GPSS and associates students to inform you of this. There will be open houses but not on the executive level. This is not a predominant teaching space. This is an office space for a faculty and staff certainly students engaging in with those tackling staff in that research.

Lyndsey Cameron: Groups like DACS who has an open house on 24th Oct from 3 to 5pm and again on 30th from 11 to 1pm in South Campus Center, room-316.

Jeannie Natta: Another place to engage students is on the sustainability committee. A project working team is specifically working on sustainability and there is a sustainability student group.

Elloise Kim: From multiple students and stakeholders, it has come to attention that even though the rendering of the building looks fantastic, yet the interior and the exterior of the building has almost been decided and at open houses, their inputs are either post sought, but don't really make an impact.

Jeannie Natta: Responds saying they are a Schematic design.

Lyndsey Cameron: There's a student on the University of Washington Architectural Commission and the university landscape Advisory Committee which also provide input from a student perspective.

Giuliana Conti: Asks how many campus entities they are using as a resource? For example, landscape architecture, UW has a phenomenal landscape architecture departments; so over the exterior of the buildings, is that someone they are consulting? How much of this process is being done by external companies as opposed to the resources that have housed already on this campus?

Lyndsey Cameron: Shares that they're in an integrated design build process. They have a design builder, prime architect partnered with Lewis who is the builder; the trade partner on landscape and CMG from San Francisco as well helping with some city campus planning. The campus architect and landscape architect are right on those teams with the others designing, providing inputs to the designers. In terms of engaging the schools they have input in guidance from the university architecture commission and the landscape architecture commission. Tai is a landscape architect and she helped with site review in order to have the university choose the site.

Giuliana Conti: Asks if they have gender neutral bathrooms?

Jeannie Natta: Says, they will have gender neutral bathrooms.

Giuliana Conti: Asks about breast feeding space or religious practice space.

Jeannie Natta: Yes, and they would be calling those 'wellness rooms'.

Giuliana Conti: Asks if that will impact the efficiency and the response of UWPD which is going

to be across the street considering the construction?

Jeannie Natta: Says, that it wouldn't impact the UWPD.

Elloise Kim: Asks for information on student inputs and student involvement.

Lyndsey Cameron: Suggests that the students can go to the open houses

Giuliana Conti: Requests for information to share with all

Guests exit

6:08PM

2. Approval of Agenda

6:09PM

Grant Williamson: Moves to approve agenda

Giuliana Conti: Seconds

3. Support for Postdocs

6:09PM

Elloise Kim: Asks Grant to discuss about the support for the folks who are planning to unionize

Grant Williamson: Shares that recently the group of postdocs were going under UW postdocs. United submitted the signatures required to start the union formation process for the postdocs. UW Administration was supposed to respond on Monday. Believes that the issue might come up at senate at some point of the year either because they decided to move on it or because the senator brings it up themselves. Recommends that everyone get educated on.

Elloise Kim: Shares that Kelly Edwards has been the kind of champion person leading this support for the postdocs. Asks if he knows what is the population of postdocs at this moment at UW?

Grant Williamson: Shares that there are about 1200 postdocs and at least half of them have signed union cards.

4. Special Committee Appointments

6:10PM

Elloise Kim: Shares that she sent out the old graduation email for the call and received much more applications than ever. The campus sustainability fund committee has 8 candidates. The candidates were very competitive.

Grant Williamson: Agrees with Elloise and adds that the applications he reviewed looked very strong and that he was very happy with all of them.

Elloise Kim: Motion to approve the appointments
Matt Munoz: Approves
Giuliana Conti: Seconds

5. Review of Oct.11 Senate Meeting

6:13PM

Matt Munoz: Shares that the last meeting went off well. People especially new senators were probably extremely overwhelmed and bored. Recommends thinking about the future and ways to make even boring content more engaging and exciting for people and for senators.

Giuliana Conti: Agrees. Shares that it was great to see new faces. It was really exciting to see people that she met at orientations who became interested from the visits to orientations; appeared at the Senate meeting.

Elloise Kim: Requests Grant to share his thoughts on the breakout session between new senators and old senators.

Grant Williamson: Share that generally the breakout sessions went well. It was a good opportunity for people to get to know the new senators and old senators and about GPSS. Shares that they could have been a little bit more organized about it because they were definitely not consistent across the groups. It was a very rushed process. Plans on improving in the next year. Shares that he was disappointed few returning senators who showed up. There were three in his group. Laura's group had about half. Michelle's group also had about three. Unsure if it was just the agenda that made them to decide not to attend, but if those ratios continue, the senate will have a hard time finding leaders from the old group because they're going to be overburdened quickly and that's something that needs immediate attention.

Renee: Recommends shorten the comments and talk time. Shares that the board members at some point should stand up and be engaged instead of sitting on the table just talking to themselves. Recommends getting microphones since most of them aren't audible. Recommends taking it easy and smiling throughout. To engage returning senators, she recommends having celebrity guest speakers.

Elloise Kim: Shares that she likes the seating arrangements of exec senators which is spread across the room.

Matt Munoz: Seeks clarification from Renee about what she meant by comments and if she indicated their reports

Renee: Shares that since all reports are already up on screen, one does not have to go through each line. Recommends just summarizing.

6. Oct.25 Senate Meeting Planning

6:27PM

Elloise Kim: Shares that the meeting agenda for the next one is a regular one. She has invited Student Technology Fee Chair, Alec and Service and Activity Fee Chair Peter as guests. Asks for suggestions?

Giuliana Conti: Suggests going with two speakers. Recommends just five minute presentation vs a discussion that would be fifteen minutes.

Elloise Kim: Recommends 10 mins.

Grant Williamson: Suggests it five minutes for each. Those are two units where the questions are going to be really specific and the information's online. If people have burning questions, they can have the speakers can leave their content or meet them after their talk at the back of the room. Suggests making good use of the time and not go over 5 minutes.

Giuliana Conti: Agrees saying its important considering that in the last exec meeting, they talked about at length about the amount of time that they dedicate to presenters.

Elloise Kim: Asks for suggestions if the guests she be invited next week or another week

Matt Munoz: Recommends havingthem not next week, but the week after.

Bo Goodrich: Asks Elloise if she wants to distribute the non-official resolution for practice to make people more engaged in the process.

Elloise Kim: She will present it as the current process but will not publish.

Grant Williamson: Asks if there are any action items in this meeting that they have to present about?

Elloise Kim: None

Elloise Kim: Do I have the motion to approve the agenda?

Giuliana Conti: Approves

Grant Williamson: Second

Grant Williamson: Reiterates that both Population Health and SAF and or an STF should not be invited together. It should be one or the other. Having that much presentation time is going to be too much for the new senators

Grant Williamson: Leaves the meeting at 6:31PM

Matt Munoz: Agrees

Elloise Kim: Asks how much time should be given to them to talk.

Matt Munoz: 10 minutes for presentations and 5 for questions

Elloise Kim: Asks how much time for parliamentary procedure

Bo Goodrich: 15 minutes. Shares that there will be an example piece of legislation that has a lot of errors in it. Forces people to put the parliamentary procedures training into practice on a resolution that doesn't really matter. So that's low pressure. They also have a cheat sheet that senate vice speaker had made that's puts the motions into contexts that will help people understand within what those motions were used for.

Elloise Kim: Suggests doing it as if it's a real resolution.

Giuliana Conti: Shares that she would like to see people looking at our website and saying that they also learn in a fun and interactive way so long as the resolution itself can be put as an exercise item. Not only do the senators who benefit from their own work, but they also get to practice and then get wine and cheese.

Elloise Kim: Suggests that to make it more educational, they can present it as a real resolution draft by Giuliana and maybe end up by tabling it. The resolution will have two steps. She would propose it as a simulation and Giuliana and Bo would support it.

Giuliana Conti: as an exercise with parli pro with an actual record afterward.

Elloise Kim: Calls for suggestions for name of the resolution.

Bo Goodrich: Resolution and Support wine and cheese.

Renee: Celebration with wine and cheese.

Elloise Kim: Allocates 15 minutes for Exec senator election. Confirms - Call to order, approve of agenda, approve of minutes, STF, Public health 15 mins, resolution 15 mins, exec senator election 15 mins, reports, announcements and adjournment

Peter : Asks when the deadline to submit the proposal for STF is.

Elloise Kim: Says she doesn't know about deadlines.

7. Duties of Liaisons

6:41PM

Elloise Kim: Asks for ideas to improve to secure communication between liaison and GPSS body.

Bo Goodrich: Asks about how the appointment of special committees happen

Elloise Kim: Shares that when it comes to special committees, there is a kind of procedure laid out in the bylaws and the recommendation come to the exec and then exec will approve. Rest of the things falls on the President to consult the relevant officers and staff or exec or the exec senators to appoint the right person.

Matt Munoz: Adds that if they also want to call whatever proxies that officer send in their stead to whatever committees are under their authority, they don't really need to go through a formal process for that according to the bylaws. They just designate.

Elloise Kim: Part of the reason is that they are pleased to fill the seats rather than imposing a very thorough application procedure. So, the reality is a little bit different for GPSS than ASUW.

Bo Goodrich: The appointments that are being made at ASUW committees, those who want to involve or designate themselves or those who want to get those applications out for?

Elloise Kim: Shares that the president is supposed to advertise whatever she thinks the news is that GPSS on Facebook, Senate list, GPA list. If the committees are filled, its ideal. If they are not, the GPSS will reach out to the ones who can contribute well to those committees.

Matt Munoz: It was last year or so that the policy was put in place that liaisons had to communicate with the t president quarterly, in this case always as to what is going on in those committees. And there was not a lot of communication. So he suggested that they turn that policy into a contract with liaisons so that they actually have to sign it so that they have some form of accountability. If they don't meet their responsibilities then they'll be removed from their position as a liaison.

Giuliana Conti: Asks for clarification if they don't post these liaisons online. So, if they get removed from their posts they get taken offline because this is also a benefit to them as far as like their C.V line and their promotion of themselves.

Community Updates

8. Officer Reports

6:45PM

Matt Munoz: Shares that he recently had a call with some staffers in Senator Morri's office that went very well. They helped figure out where to target GPSS's efforts at the federal level. Had a congress meeting with Sarah Castro who's the federal relations director. He is helping her planning strategy. SAGE is coming up. WSA and Olympia are doing a lot of strategizing. Meeting on Nov 8th with senator to talk about priorities and graduate education. Kelsey the new policy director is going to be producing the bi-weekly federal updates and she'll be helping Matt with choosing topics for the white papers. He will be doing the monthly white papers. The first one will be up in November. FLAB/SLAB - 4 people showed up last week for the meeting. Before that was 7 people showed up. There are a lot of people who are interested and just can't make that time. Attended the Legislative Action Committee meeting with UW Impact and the youth of AI yesterday. Great

conversation on how to get alumni engaged. Meeting UW impact again on Oct 30th for a planning and cooperation meeting and has a few meetings coming up with state relations.

Giuliana Conti: Talks about the partnership between ASUW and board of directors that's going well. Met with Samantha and discussed about a joint resolution potentially through ASUW and board of directors asking for more space. Diversity Committee is ramping up. Lot of interest but the problem is scheduling with so many interested parties.

Bo Goodrich: It was great to get some more new perspectives and it was great to meet some more graduate students at the first meeting. Goal setting meeting was good to get some things moving. Cross collaboration between the organizations is required. Ensure continuing communication between GPSS and ASUW. It's necessary to have a meeting between the two organizations to perhaps create some mutual understanding of the balance between ASUW and GPSS. Make sure that GPSS is filling liaison seats that that are at least in our bylaws and constitution.

Matt Munoz: Asks if steering is different from Board of Directors?

Bo Goodrich: Yes. The steering basically sets the engine for the Senate and also provides some kind of inter committee communication between committee leadership and senate. Meets half hour a week. There was an address who represented last week.

Renee: Reports that there's only one GPSS representative who's actually attending senate and ASUW. There's only been one person that's time to use that senate steering and senate. Senate steering is a meeting that lasts about a half an hour. Senate is the two-hour meeting on Tuesdays. Suggests GPSS to look into that.

Bo Goodrich: Recommends being realistic about what they want to go to given the responsibilities that they all have and liaisonship they have to each other.

Elloise Kim: Reports that last year, Sara and she had the conversation that the GPSS VP of internal affairs, considering the workload and committee meetings, it will be the best practice to send a senate to VPIN so that it would not be just having a good relations with the board of directors, but VPIN as the liaison for the senate. Not a great relationship with the Senate chair. But the relationship with the vice chair of the Senate is great because secretary reports to Board of directors. This is the first year and Tori cannot make it. Suggests discussing this further in the next meeting and figure it out.

Matt Munoz: Asks Peter if he has anything to share?

Peter on Phone: Reports that he attended his first onsite meeting last week with the student council and want to echo a lot on what Matt said in his agenda and focus on higher education across Washington.

Elloise Kim: Reports that her last two weeks were busy with the provost search. Asked the leaders about their ideas to diversify graduate student population. Also asked if there is some conflicting needs of Student, faculty and staff, like child care, how provost will mediate the conflict. As a

humanity student, she also asked about Arts and Science which is not doing well in terms of budget compared to other school and college. So ,what is the idea to produce a more sustainable long-term budget to support humanities while not overburdening other colleges? Shares that leaders have a slightly different idea. They addressed at their public forum and the videos are all available on the website of the provost search committees. Recommends watching them. Recommends taking the survey as well.

Another update is the last two liaison appointment. Completed special committee appointment. Fee based program was a great meeting with people from grad school, IT service and PCE. Helen Garrett, Chief person of office of registrar introduced Elloise to the staff meeting where she presented a series of concerns in regard to previous programs especially with regard to communication of information. They appreciated a different perspective. An overview - office of registrar has great information available on the FAQ page. But lots of different academic units do not have the same set of information. When a new student wants to understand more about the program, they would first go to the department page. Elloise is working on making all the information available since there is a lot of disconnection. She has I recruited 6 people for the fee based advisory board.

9. Announcements

7:00PM

Elloise Kim: Union is doing a survey on maternity leave, graduate housing etc. Please fill out the survey on Graduate Student Experience.

10. Adjournment

7:01PM
