



2019-nCoV Literature Situation Report (Lit Rep)

August 17, 2020

The scientific literature on COVID-19 is rapidly evolving and these articles were selected for review based on their relevance to Washington State decision making around COVID-19 response efforts. Included in these Lit Reps are some manuscripts that have been made available online as pre-prints but have not yet undergone peer review. Please be aware of this when reviewing articles included in the Lit Reps.

Key Takeaways

- **Utah reported 1,389 COVID-19 cases associated with 210 workplace-related outbreaks during March 6–June 5, 2020, which occurred most commonly in the areas of manufacturing (20%), construction (15%), and wholesale trade (14%). Hispanic and nonwhite workers accounted for a large disproportional number of workplace outbreak-associated COVID-19 cases.** [More](#)
- **No excess mortality was identified among children in England based on death registration data through May 3, 2020, and surveillance data indicated that the SARS-CoV-2 test positivity rate was markedly lower in children than in adults (4% versus 19%-35%).** [More](#)
- **A modeling study focused on King County in Washington State predicts that returning only elementary schools to in-person instruction on an A/B 2-day a week schedule would result in a cumulative risk of COVID infection in students, staff and teachers of below 1.2%.** [More](#)
- **Among 76 COVID-19 hotspot counties in the US, there was disproportionately high incidence of COVID-19 cases among underrepresented racial/ethnic groups.** [More](#)

Transmission

- During March 6–June 5, 2020, Utah reported 1,389 COVID-19 cases associated with 210 workplace-related outbreaks, defined as the occurrence of two or more laboratory-confirmed cases occurring within the same 14-day period among coworkers in a common workplace (median cases per outbreak=4; range=2–79) involving 15 industry sectors, most frequently in manufacturing (20%), construction (15%), and wholesale trade (14%). In total, 970 (73%) of persons with workplace outbreak-associated COVID-19 were identified as Hispanic or nonwhite, although these ethnic/racial groups represent <24% of Utah’s workforce in the 15 affected industry sectors. The authors conclude that mitigation strategies should be culturally and linguistically responsive to racial/ethnic minority workers disproportionately affected by COVID-19.

Bui et al. (Aug 17, 2020). Racial and Ethnic Disparities Among COVID-19 Cases in Workplace Outbreaks by Industry Sector — Utah, March 6–June 5, 2020. MMWR.

<https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6933e3>

- Moore et al. calculated the disparities in COVID-19 cases among underrepresented racial/ethnic groups in 76 counties in 22 US states identified as hotspots during June 5–18, 2020.
- They report that 76 (96%) analyzed hotspot counties had disparities in cases identified among underrepresented racial/ethnic groups during February–June 2020: 59 (75%) counties had disparities among Hispanic residents; 22 (28%) among black residents; 3 (4%) among American



METACENTER
for PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON



START CENTER
STRATEGIC ANALYSIS, RESEARCH & TRAINING CENTER

Updated 8/17/2020

Indian/Alaska Native (AI/AN) residents; 4 (5%) among Asian residents; and 19 (24%) among Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander (NPHI) residents.

Moore et al. (Aug 14, 2020). *Disparities in Incidence of COVID-19 Among Underrepresented Racial/Ethnic Groups in Counties Identified as Hotspots During June 5–18, 2020 — 22 States, February–June 2020*. *MMWR*. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6933e1>

Testing and Treatment

- Ladhani et al. analyzed public health surveillance data including 540,305 people tested for SARS-CoV-2 in England through May 3, 2020 and found that 1408/35,200 (4%) tests were positive among children younger than 16, compared to 19%-35% positive among adult age groups. Children accounted for 1.1% of SARS-CoV-2 positive cases. These included 8 deaths among children, three of whom had multiple co-morbidities and an additional four in whom SARS-CoV-2 was determined to be an indirect contributor to death from another cause. There was no evidence of excess mortality in children during this period.

Ladhani et al. (Aug 12, 2020). *COVID-19 in Children: Analysis of the First Pandemic Peak in England*. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2020-320042>

- Based on 210 patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 in ICUs in New Jersey, receiving the drug tocilizumab was associated with a 40% reduction in mortality (aHR=0.6, 95%CI 0.5-0.9) compared with 420 propensity score-matched patients who did not receive tocilizumab.

Biran et al. (Aug 14, 2020). *Tocilizumab among Patients with COVID-19 in the Intensive Care Unit: A Multicentre Observational Study*. *The Lancet Rheumatology*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913\(20\)30277-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30277-0)

Immunity

- [Preprint, not peer-reviewed] Miyara et al. analyzed the sera of 76 healthy French donors drawn in 2015 to test the hypothesis that past infections or immunizations related to the common alpha- and beta-coronavirus could lead to cross-protection against SARS-CoV-2. They reported 6 serological samples (8%) were reactive to SARS-CoV-2 antigens. Additionally, they detected serum IgG reactivity to common coronaviruses in the early sera of 8 patients with severe COVID-19 before the appearance of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies. The authors concluded that pre-existing immunity to common coronaviruses does not confer cross-protection against SARS-CoV-2.

Miyara et al. (Aug 15, 2020). *Pre-COVID-19 Humoral Immunity to Common Coronaviruses Does Not Confer Cross-Protection against SARS-CoV-2*. Pre-print downloaded Aug 17 from <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.14.20173393>

Clinical Characteristics and Health Care Setting

- A study of patients with COVID-19 (n=60) in Fuyang, China found that 68% had neurological symptoms during infection and 55% still had symptoms 3 months after infection. Brain imaging identified a variety of significantly different neurological characteristics between COVID-19 patients and non-COVID-19 volunteers (n=39) that could be relevant to long-term consequences of SARS-CoV-2.

Lu et al. (Aug 3, 2020). *Cerebral Micro-Structural Changes in COVID-19 Patients – An MRI-Based 3-Month Follow-up Study*. *EClinicalMedicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100484>

Mental Health and Personal Impact

- López-Bueno et al. observed that among 2,250 Spanish adults confined due to COVID-19 restrictions on movement (March 22-29, 2020), those who adhered to WHO guidelines for physical activity reported lower perceived anxiety and lower perceived worse mood.

López-Bueno et al. (July 23, 2020). Association Between Current Physical Activity and Current Perceived Anxiety and Mood in the Initial Phase of COVID-19 Confinement. Frontiers in Psychiatry. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00729>

- [Preprint, not peer-reviewed] Taquet et al. used anonymized electronic health record data from 69 million patients in the US, including over 62,000 cases of COVID-19, to identify relationships between COVID-19 episodes and adverse mental health consequences. They report that among patients with no prior psychiatric history, COVID-19 was associated with an increased incidence of psychiatric diagnoses in the three months after infection compared to other health events (HR range 1.6-2.2). A psychiatric diagnosis in the previous year was associated with a 65% higher incidence of COVID-19 ($p < 0.001$).

Taquet et al. (Aug 16, 2020). Bidirectional Associations between COVID-19 and Psychiatric Disorder a Study of 62354 COVID-19 Cases. Pre-print downloaded Aug 17 from <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.14.20175190>

Modeling and Prediction

- [Preprint, not peer-reviewed] Modeling based on King County in Washington State indicates that returning to a level of 75% of pre-COVID-19 physical interactions between May 15-July 15 would result in 350 daily deaths by early September 2020. Maintaining less than 45% of pre-COVID-19 physical interactions was required to ensure low levels of daily infections and deaths. A combination of increased testing, isolation of symptomatic infections, and contact tracing permitted 60% of pre-COVID-19 physical interactions and allowed opening of schools with <15 daily deaths.

Bracis et al. (Aug 16, 2020). Widespread Testing Case Isolation and Contact Tracing May Allow Safe School Reopening with Continued Moderate Physical Distancing a Modeling Analysis of King County WA Data. Pre-print downloaded Aug 17 from <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.14.20174649>

- [Preprint, not peer-reviewed] Cohen et al. estimated that 5-42% of schools would have at least one person with active COVID-19 on the first day of arrival, depending on the incidence of COVID-19 in the local community. Using the Covasim agent-based model, they estimate that 10-25% of staff and 6-17% of students would be infected in the first three months in a scenario where all students return to in-person learning without mitigation measures such as face masks, six-foot separation, and handwashing. An approach with only elementary schools returning to in-person on an A/B 2-day a week schedule, while other schools remain remote, would reduce the cumulative risk in school to below 1.2%. They estimated more than seven times as many COVID-19 cases among people in schools if schools reopen at a community incidence rate of 110/100,000 versus 20/10,000.

Cohen et al. (Aug 13, 2020). Maximizing Education While Minimizing COVID Risk : Priorities and Pitfalls for Reopening Schools. Institute for Disease Modeling. https://covid.idmod.org/data/Maximizing_education_while_minimizing_COVID_risk.pdf

- [Preprint, not peer-reviewed] Matrajt et al. used an age-stratified model to determine optimal vaccine allocation for a population with an age distribution based on Washington State. Results suggest that 70% vaccine effectiveness (VE) would be enough to substantially mitigate the ongoing

pandemic if at least 50% of the population is optimally vaccinated. For a low vaccination coverage among the population (<20%), the optimal allocation to minimize death is to vaccinate the high-risk (older) age-groups first, but the optimal allocation changes in a scenario with higher coverage (>40-60%) to prioritize vaccine to the high-transmission groups (those aged 20-50 and children) first.

Matrajt et al. (Aug 16, 2020). Vaccine Optimization for COVID-19 Who to Vaccinate First. Pre-print downloaded Aug 17 from <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.14.20175257>

- *[Preprint, not peer-reviewed]* Germany introduced restrictive shutdown measures in March 2020. The reproductive rate (R_t) fell below one in April 14. On April 20th, a gradual loosening of the restrictions was announced. With a lag of two weeks R_t increased again at the beginning of May. Based on these data during the initial shutdown phase, Dorn et al. constructed a simulation model to project the number of additional COVID-19 deaths until July 31st, 2021 with different scenarios of further loosening or tightening the shutdown measures. They report that a gradual opening approach is economically optimal, whereas costs are higher for a more extensive opening process.
Dorn et al. (Aug 16, 2020). The Common Interests of Health Protection and The Economy Evidence from Scenario Calculations Of COVID-19 Containment Policies. Pre-print downloaded Aug 17 from <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.14.20175224>

Other Resources and Commentaries

- [Humanitarian Crises in a Global Pandemic](#) – The Lancet (Aug 15)
- [The EVALI Outbreak and Vaping in the COVID-19 Era](#) – The Lancet Respiratory Medicine (Aug 14)
- [Influenza in the COVID-19 Era](#) – JAMA (Aug 14)
- [Comparison of International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision Codes With Electronic Medical Records Among Patients With Symptoms of Coronavirus Disease 2019](#) – JAMA Network Open (Aug 14)
- [The Effect of Smoking on COVID-19 Symptom Severity Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis](#) – medRxiv (Aug 17)

Report prepared by the UW MetaCenter for Pandemic Preparedness and Global Health Security and the START Center in collaboration with and on behalf of WA DOH COVID-19 Incident Management Team