

Session 3

Classes: together or apart?
When HLL and FLL Come

Together in One Class. When and why to separate?



Highlights from Maria Carreira's

Presentation

When Heritage and Non-heritage Learners Come Together: Differentiation for mixed classes

Maria Carreira, Professor, CSU Long Beach National Heritage Language Resource Center, UCLA STARTALK Fall Conference, Oct. 19, 2013

https://startalk.umd.edu/conferences/sites/default/files/2013_fall_presentations/Carreira_Wher Heritage_Non-Heritage_Learners_Come_Together.pdf



Mixed Classes

There are three types of mixed classes:

- Type 1: Very few HL learners (one to three);
- Type 2: Small but significant numbers of HL learners;
- Type 3: HL-learner majority (some are the mirror image of type 1)



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

Findings of the NHLRC Programs Study

- Type 1 (very few HL learners)
 - Needs of HL learners tend to be disregarded
- Types 2 and 3 (significant minority or majority HLL population)
 - Apply L2 methodology, materials, etc.



Maria Carreira,

To teach mixed classes...

- Understand the needs and strengths of each type of learner (i.e. HL and L2 learners);
- Address the needs and tap into the strengths of each type of learner through strategies of Differentiated Teaching



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

To teach mixed classes...

- · Understand the needs and strengths of each type of learner (i.e. HL and L2 learners);
- Address the needs and tap into the strengths of each type of learner through strategies of Differentiated Teaching



STARTALK 2013

Maria Carreira,

Who is a heritage language learner?

- Narrow definitions based on proficiency
- · Broad definitions based on affiliation

Learners who fit the narrow definition also fit the broad definition



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

Who is a heritage language learner?

- Affective Needs (Broad definition)
 - Find identity
 - Navigate two worlds
 - Connect to others (find community)
 - Deal with rejection
- Linguistic Needs (Narrow definition), function of:
 - The context of learning
 - The timing of learning
 - The amount input
 - The type of input



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

Linguistic Needs of HL

- The context of learning: primarily, home
 - -> informal, home register, perhaps non-standard
- The timing of learning: early years, diminished or discontinued upon starting school
 - -> similar to the language of children
- The amount input: limited, relative to natives -> incomplete knowledge of the HL (missing features acquired later in life)
- The type of input: oral, informal, spontaneous -> implicit knowledge of the HL STARTALK 2013



L2 learner needs and strengths

- The context of learning: school
 - -> formal, standard, academic, rehearsed, controlled
- The timing of learning: adolescence, early adulthood -> adult-like with respect to certain features
- The amount input: limited (relative to native speakers and HL learners)
 - -> incomplete with respect to certain features (those acquired early in life)
- The type of input: formal, focused on form
 - -> explicit knowledge of rules

Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013



• HL and L2 learners were matched for proficiency; · They worked together on an information gap

- activity;
- In the first study, L2 learners benefited more from the activity than HL learners;

Two studies of paired interactions

between HL and L2 (Bowles 2011, 2012)

• In the second study, both types of learners benefited equally from the activity.



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

What made the difference?

Material + task

- HL learners are better at tasks that tap into intuitive use of language, L2 learners, on the other hand, do better at tasks that require meta-linguistic knowledge (knowledge of rules);
- HL learners are more familiar with home vocabulary;
 L2 learners, on the other hand, are more familiar with academic vocabulary



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

First study: Only L2 learners benefited

Material + task

Information gap activity with a picture of a kitchen (home vocabulary). All tasks were oral.

- HL learners already knew this, so they did not gain new knowledge.
- L2 learners benefitted from HL learners' expertise.



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

Second study

Information gap activity with a picture of an office; Oral and written tasks.

- Vocabulary was unknown to both learner types, so both benefited.
- Oral task benefited L2 learners.
 Written task benefited HL learners.



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

pairings • Take advantage of complementary strengths of

 Take advantage of complementary strengths of L2 & HL learners

Take home lesson about HL + L2

- Mix tasks that require intuitive knowledge (hard for L2Ss) and meta-linguistic knowledge (hard for HLSs)
- Hold both students accountable for contributing to the task (assign the harder task to each type of learner)
- Match HL & L2 learners for proficiency??



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

Example Activities

- · Long Distance Dictation
 - -L2 says it; HL writes it
- KWL Chart

(What I Know, What I Want to Learn, What I Learned)

 L2Ls and HLLs talk about what they know and what they need to learn



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

STARTALK 2013

See the full presentation...

https://startalk.umd.edu/conferences/sites/default/files/2013_fall_presentations/Carreira_When_Heritage_Non-

Heritage Learners Come Together.pdf



Maria Carreira, STARTALK 2013

...