Private Loading Infrastructure Inventory Toolkit
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1. SEATTLE PRIVATE LOADING INFRASTRUCTURE INVENTORY PROJECT SYNOPSIS

Seattle is widely thought to be the first city in the U.S. and the E.U. to comprehensively map the Center City area's commercial vehicle load/unload space network, including its private loading/unloading infrastructure. The data methods in this toolkit were designed and used to create both a GIS map and an inventory of key physical features of all private loading docks, bays and areas for commercial vehicles in Seattle's First Hill and Capitol Hill neighborhoods. The physical, truck-related attributes collected—grouped into location, design and capacity features—directly impact private infrastructure operations.

Because these facilities are generally privately owned and managed, policymakers and stakeholders often lack information about them—information critical to urban planning. By and large, this private infrastructure has been a missing piece of the urban freight management puzzle. The Urban Freight Lab's private infrastructure survey helps complete that puzzle and advance efforts to make urban freight delivery more efficient in increasingly dense, constrained cities, like Seattle. Seattle is thought to be the first city to develop and maintain a database with the location and features of private loading/unloading infrastructure.

This project provides critical foundational data to help cities actively manage their load/unload network to reduce truck circling as drivers search for load/unload space, reduce truck dwell times once drivers find a load/unload space, and reduce carriers' failed first delivery attempts: All this helps make the city's finite load/unload spaces more productive and lessens gridlock.

2. STUDY GOALS

To build an accurate GIS map of the Center City area private loading/unloading infrastructure network's geospatial location and an accurate inventory of measurements of key physical (truck-related) attributes of that private infrastructure using methods that are:

- Replicable;
- Available at reasonable cost;
- Ground-truthed;
- Governed by quality-control measures in each step.

A private infrastructure inventory, as outlined here, can help cities actively manage their comprehensive load/unload network (which also includes alleys and curb space.)
3. METHOD OVERVIEW AND STEP-BY-STEP PROCESS TO CONDUCT A PRIVATE INVENTORY SURVEY

Before Data Collection

Data structure
- Literature Review
- Site Visits
- First Draft of the Survey Form
- Pilot Survey
- Final Survey Form
- Database Model

Instruments & Method
- Choose instruments
- Choose software
- Data Collection App development
- GPS accuracy test
- Final Data Collection App

Data Quality Control
- Identify sources of error
- Select resources
- Data quality control plan

During Data Collection

Data Collection Process
- Recruiting and Training survey team
- Multilayer Communication
- Conduct Survey
- Data Quality Control during data entry
- Data Quality Control after data entry
- Final Database
Step 1: Determine study parameters

Based on project scope and budget, determine at the outset the:

• Scope/size of desired study area.
• Number of private infrastructure to inventory. Use pre-existing GIS databases, like transportation network. If no database or no private infrastructure is in database, estimate using the number of city blocks in the study area.
• Data-collection hours. Daylight hours only are recommended for security reasons. Low-activity periods (e.g. weekends) are acceptable.

Seattle private infrastructure project at a glance:

Data-collection period: Four weeks in July and August 2017
Study area: 421 city blocks covered by four data collectors
Total person-hours to examine and collect data on the 96 private freight loading/unloading infrastructures: 230

Step 2: Define private infrastructure features of interest or use Urban Freight Lab-identified features

Myriad design standards, city reports and research papers were reviewed to include in the survey the features below that impact private infrastructure operations.

Location features (apart from geolocation) including:
• Road type used to access the private infrastructure (e.g. alley, street)
• Traffic flow direction of the access road above
• Infrastructure inside or outside the building
• Needed clearance to access the private infrastructure

Design features including:
• Access point dimensions (e.g. width and height for vehicle doorway and dock doorway)
• Ground clearance: shortest distance between vehicle tire and infrastructure’s upper level
• Specifics on how vehicles access the infrastructure, including:
  • Access angle to the infrastructure: angle between vehicle access and traffic flow
  • Whether the vehicle needs to back-in
  • Security access measures (e.g. physical barriers, access code, any personal interaction needed to gain access.)

Capacity features related to parking spaces and mechanical devices, such as:
• Number of parking spaces
  • Apron: space for parking and maneuverability
  • Presence of a dock platform and dock-levelers
Step 3: Draft and pilot field survey

Pilot-testing the draft survey in a six-block area gave the research team critical information about what features data collectors could not capture in the field from the public right of way (e.g. sidewalks and alleys.) This led to elimination from the survey features such as turning radius, maximum truck size, and centerline distance.

Other projects may require adaptation of the final UFL survey form, which should be pilot tested to enable cities to:
- Estimate the time needed to survey each infrastructure, including walking time between survey locations;
- Identify potential problems with the survey logic, and;
- Test data-collection methods and instruments.

Vis a vis survey logic, data collectors created a record for each loading bay entrance/exit to record individual features of each loading bay.

Having collectors stand on public sidewalks and in alleys can help avoid the time and effort involved in having to secure prior permission requests.

Step 4: Select data-collection tools

It is recommended that the chosen tools of the data-collection method be:
- Able to measure metrics with sufficient accuracy
- Easy to transport
- Reasonably priced
- Available as off-the-shelf technology

The UFL research team created what is thought to be a first-of-its-kind mobile-app-based private infrastructure inventory data-collection instrument. This allows manual input of the infrastructure location, supported by offline basemaps, enabling the research team to avoid the cost of having a wireless Internet plan for the tablets to support data collection. Once collected, data can be uploaded using the Wi-Fi option.

Additional tools in the UFL project and their unit price are below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INSTRUMENT</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser measuring device</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPad mini 2 with 32 GB and Wi-Fi and cellular option*</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable power bank</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPad Case</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Vest</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clipboard</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This instrument may not be required if the survey instrument is paper-based.
Step 5: Choose software and program data-collection app

Select database management software that allows for:

- Controlled submission or input of data
- Data storage in different formats, including databases with relationships, geodatabases and cloud storage
- Multiuser data editing
- Set data rules and relationships
- Secure data
- Data-collection app

These criteria enable effective data management, data quality control and scale-up of data collection with multiple staff.

Using ESRI GIS software Survey123, ArcView and ArcGIS Online for the survey form and data-collection process on tablets allows for seamless visualization of collected data and its editing. Survey123 allows selection of the most appropriate basemap to assist the geolocation input. An appropriate basemap can be created incorporating various elements as needed/available in a given city.

In Seattle, researchers chose the World Street basemap (from ArcGis.com viewer last updated July 2017) preloaded within ArcGIS software. Existing GIS data of the location and key names of loading bays in Seattle's Center City area were added from government databases and others.

Step 6: Use pilot learning to create final survey, data structure, metadata and data quality-control plan

Final survey should include the key private infrastructure attributes identified in Step 2 and vetted via pilot testing.

Identify and create plan to prevent three common error types in this project type:

1. **Positional error**: inaccuracies in GPS coordinate readings due to device issues (e.g. low satellite signal in urban canyons) and human error in manually collecting data on tablets

2. **Attribute error**: associated with non-spatial data collected (e.g. incorrect data entry due to wrong measurements or mistyped data; lack of access to needed data due to obstructions or safety issues)

3. **Conceptual error**: around identification/classification of alley attributes or related information.

Follow quality-control protocols before data collection, during data entry, and after data entry that involve supervisors, data collectors in field, and related technologies and inventory survey app (programmed to limit data-entry inaccuracies.)

Define roles and respective quality-control responsibilities.

**Supervisor(s)** define/enforce data-collection standards and methodology; train data collectors; monitor/ maintain database and handle data-control measures before data collection/after data entry.
Collectors enter data in field and run same-day data quality-control checks after data entry.

Survey app digital and online tool creates entry constraints/eases digitization as data are collected.

Carrier refers to the private company (United Parcel Service, UPS, an Urban Freight Lab member) that collaborated with the research team to review survey locations when it was unclear if the locations were used for freight operations, such as when locations had a closed door during the survey. The carrier-check happens after collectors finish their same-day checks.

Step 7: Recruit and train data collectors

Recruiting: Project budget; timeline; survey length/complexity; security concerns; time needed for in-field collection, including commute time to/within study area, and in-office quality-control determine number of data collectors and supervisors needed. Deploying collectors in teams of two improves security and enables efficient operation of data-collection instruments (e.g. laser measurement device, measuring wheel, iPad, etc.)

Training: At least three distinct data-collector training sessions are suggested, with first and third done in classroom-type setting and second done in field.

1. First session: Covers alley concepts, attributes, project overview, shift information and security/safety.

2. Second session: Covers practical aspects of data collection such as how to: use questionnaire in tablet app; take accurate measurements with the laser and wheel devices; effectively divide collection work between the two collectors; use hard-copy maps that divide study area into sectors; follow safety/security protocol.

3. Third session: Covers how to access survey data results and properly clean data after each field shift.

Step 8: Collect data

Ensure territory assignments formed, hard-copy maps printed for each team/shift.

Develop check-out/check-in process for collectors’ needed shift materials.

Form work shifts around geographic area depending on collectors’ schedules and shift lengths.

Instruct collectors to work in teams of 2 so one can input survey and measurements via app/tablet while the other takes measurements, updates hard-copy inventory sheet, and maps each location.

Collect GPS coordinates (geopoints) manually by dropping a pin on the map at the infrastructure location. Then use Survey123 to average multiple GPS readings. This process yielded better precision/reliability in field testing than automatic geopoint collection alone.

Establish comprehensive security protocol and multilayer communications plan for all interested parties to avoid unsafe situations in field, including instructing data collectors to:

• Not enter loading areas if uncomfortable, including due to vehicles obstructing loading area access
• Exit loading areas at any point if uncomfortable while collecting features
• Carry official documents from sponsoring agency (including agency official contact information) explaining project and granting data-collection authorization.
Recruit and inform police and other relevant agencies to help communicate with all building managers in the survey area.

- In Seattle, police notified all survey area building managers in real time where/when collectors were working via pre-existing information exchange for building operators and the police.
- Seattle Department of Transportation webpage communicated to public and stakeholders where and when data collectors were working.

**Step 9: Clean, assemble and summarize data**

Conduct comprehensive data clean. In Seattle, collaborating with UPS drivers who regularly serve the study area to identify whether locations with closed doors during the survey collection were/were not used for loading/unloading enabled the UFL team to reduce inventory uncertainty from 33% to ≤ 1%

Assemble data in final format that best meets city and/or researcher needs.

Seattle format included these features:

- Geodatabase with private infrastructure represented as point feature layer on GIS map
- Corresponding attribute table stored most private infrastructure information
- JPEG files with a naming convention allowed stored photos of private loading/unloading infrastructure features to easily link to the corresponding infrastructure

**4. KEY TAKEAWAYS FROM THE THE SEATTLE PRIVATE LOADING INFRASTRUCTURE INVENTORY STUDY**

Cities that want to strategically manage their load/unload space network can use the toolkit to replicate the UFL’s pioneering survey of private loading/unloading infrastructure and generate much-needed data and findings to inform policy and practice.

The Seattle private loading/unloading survey produces several key findings that give policymakers and transportation officials new understanding of the Seattle Center City area load/unload system and how the system can best be managed to improve the goods delivery system and avoid massive gridlock. Among those findings are that:

1. Variable demand for private infrastructure—and the resulting supply—stems from differences in built environments, land use, and density from neighborhood to neighborhood, even when all the neighborhoods surveyed are from the same broad Center City area. Variations in private infrastructure supply should not be surprising.

2. A trust relationship with the private sector is essential in this work. Collaboration with UPS (a UFL member) reduced uncertainty in the total inventory from 33% to ≤ 1%

A city’s GIS maps and databases that detail the location and measurements of the truck-related features of every commercial load/unload space in the Center City area network (including private loading docks and bays) are an essential technical baseline for actively managing the city's load/unload space as a coordinated
network. Cities can pilot test on city streets myriad active management strategies, such as engaging building managers to consider offering vacant spaces in privately owned loading bays to other users in off-peak delivery hours, thereby increasing the total load/unload network capacity in the test area.
5. SUPPORTING MATERIALS:

- Step-by-Step guide to conduct a private loading/unloading infrastructure inventory study
- Private infrastructure survey form
- Private infrastructure survey metadata
- Authorization letter for data collectors to carry in field
This toolkit describes the step-by-step process that city transportation professionals can follow (or adapt as desired) to carry out a private loading/unloading inventory survey.

The data-collection and analytic methods represented here are:

- Replicable;
- Available at reasonable cost;
- Ground-truthed;
- Governed by quality-control measures in each step.

The figure below outlines the overall project data process.
STEP 1: DETERMINE STUDY PARAMETERS

The first step should define these key parameters:

• **Scope/size of desired study area**

• **Number of city blocks in the study area**: The number of city blocks could be used to assess the scope of the effort involved to complete data collection in the defined study area.

• **Data-collection hours**: For security reasons, it is recommended to work only during daylight hours. Because the survey includes capacity features that can only be captured when facilities are open, weekdays are recommended for data collection.

Worth noting: The research team used SDOT’s publicly-available GIS layers of designated curbside parking, as well as King County’s GIS layer of Seattle’s alleys, to begin developing a multi-layer map of the truck load/unload locations in the city’s urban centers. This UFL report adds the private infrastructure layer; an earlier UFL report updated the alley layer. Other cities also may have publicly available GIS layers that aid in any project that seeks to accurately document the load/unload network.

The research team reviewed the following Seattle GIS databases for its multi-layer map of the truck load/unload locations in the city’s urban areas:

• Alleys
• Urban villages
• Arterial types
• Commercial
• Retail
• Food permit data
• Residential
• SDOT traffic lanes
• Curb space categories
• Block faces
• Year built

STEP 2: DEFINE PRIVATE LOADING/UNLOADING INFRASTRUCTURE ATTRIBUTES OF INTEREST

Section 2 in the report defines each of the three types of private infrastructure inventoried: loading bays, exterior loading docks, and exterior loading areas.

Transportation officials should also define the specific infrastructure attributes the inventory effort seeks to capture. The research team’s review of design standards, city reports and research papers on recommendations regarding freight loading/unloading parking infrastructure resulted in the following extended list of infrastructure features that affect operations and that can be grouped into **location, design and capacity** features.
Important location features are the type of road at the public right of way where the access to the infrastructure is located. Poor operations of the roads connecting this infrastructure to the street network may significantly affect how private loading/unloading parking facilities are used. One example is the case of inefficient and narrow alleys, which delivery drivers tend to avoid if they have an alternative to avoid being blocked on their way out by other vehicles. The interplay between public and private freight parking infrastructure is important as well.

**Design features** include:

- Dimensions of access points to the infrastructure: for instance, vehicle doorway and dock doorway dimensions (width and height);
- Ground clearance: the shortest distance between vehicle tire and upper level at the infrastructure.
- The way vehicles access the infrastructure, including:
  - The access angle to the infrastructure: the angle between the vehicle access and the traffic flow
  - Access ramp’s grade
  - Whether the vehicle needs to back-in
  - Maximum turning radius
  - Maximum truck size that can use the infrastructure
  - Security access measures: for instance, physical barriers, access code and any personal interaction needed to gain access.

**Capacity features** relate to parking spaces and mechanical devices, such as:

- Number of parking spaces;
- Apron: space for parking and maneuverability, and;
- Presence of a dock platform and dock-levelers.

Ultimately, not all features listed here were ultimately able to be captured in field, as explained in Step 3.

**STEP 3: DESIGN SURVEY AND PILOT TEST**

A pilot test of the initial survey gave the research team critical information about what features data collectors could capture in the field from the public right of way (e.g. sidewalks and alleys.) For this project, researchers selected a six-block area to pilot-test the draft survey. Features noted in Step 2 including turning radius, maximum truck size, and centerline distance were not possible to measure in the field due to the complexity of the geometrical features, the private infrastructure personnel’s lack of knowledge or unavailability, or a lack of exterior signage. The research team used the pilot-test results to develop the final data-collection survey in Appendix A and the data structure metadata in Appendix B.
The final survey encompassed all key attributes identified in Step 2 that data collectors were able to capture from positions on sidewalks and in alleys. The specific scope of work for each project may require adaptation of the survey form used in this report. If changes are needed, the recommended process is to pilot-test the draft survey form. This pilot test enables cities to:

• Estimate the time needed to survey each infrastructure, including walking time between survey locations;
• Identify potential problems with the survey logic, and;
• Test data-collection methods and instruments.

Regarding survey logic, data collectors created a record for each loading bay entrance/exit. They recorded individual features of each loading bay.

**STEP 4: SELECT DATA-COLLECTION TOOLS**

It is recommended that the chosen tools of the data-collection method be:

• Able to measure metrics with sufficient accuracy
• Easy to transport
• Reasonably priced
• Available as off-the-shelf technology

Below is the list of tools used in the UFL project and their unit price:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Unit price ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser measuring device</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPad mini 2 with 32 GB and Wi-Fi and cellular option*</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable power bank</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPad Case</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Vest</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clipboard</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This instrument may not be required if the survey instrument is paper-based.
STEP 5: CHOOSE SOFTWARE AND PROGRAM DATA-COLLECTION APP

This step requires choosing database management software that allows for:
• Controlled submission or input of data;
• Data storage in different formats, including databases with relationships;
• Geodatabases and cloud storage;
• Multiuser data editing;
• Set data rules and relationships;
• Secure data, and;
• Use of data-collection app.

These functionalities enable effective data management, data-quality control and scale-up of data collection across multiple staff members. Use of a data-collection app in field is recommended to reduce transcript time and errors. The Urban Freight Lab developed a private loading/unloading infrastructure inventory app, thought to be the first of its kind. That said, a paper-based questionnaire may be a viable alternative if a mobile data-collection app is not available or practical.

The research team conducted the data-collection process on tablets using ESRI GIS software Survey123, ArcView and ArcGIS Online. These ESRI products offer a seamless data-collection tool that allows for both visualization and editing of the collected data. Additionally, Survey123 allows selection of the most appropriate basemap to assist the geolocation input: the World Street from ArcGis.com viewer last updated in July 2017.

Additionally, the mobile data-collection app allows manual input of the infrastructure location, supported by offline basemaps. This allowed the research team to avoid the cost of having a wireless Internet plan for the tablets to support data collection. (Once collected, data could be uploaded using the Wi-Fi option.)

For precision and reliability, the research team for this inventory chose to collect GPS coordinates (geopoints) manually by dropping a pin on the map at the infrastructure location. The team then used Survey123 to average multiple GPS readings to reduce error and uncertainty in the coordinates. This process performed better in field testing than automatic geopoint collection alone.

While data quality-control checks to identify readings taken more than five-to-ten feet away from the infrastructure are effective, they are time consuming. Given the state of current technology (e.g. low accuracy of the devices), collecting the GPS coordinates of the infrastructure manually by dropping a pin at its location on the map may be the best approach. It is the approach followed in this project.
STEP 6: CREATE DATA QUALITY-CONTROL PLAN

A data quality-control plan must consider the possible sources of error in the data and the resources available to mitigate these errors at different stages of the data-collection process. This helps ensure the quality of the data before it is collected, entered or analyzed. It also helps with monitoring and maintaining the data once collected. The UFL research team identified the types and possible sources of error specific to this type of project to define the quality-control measures needed:

- **Positional error** refers to inaccuracies of GPS coordinate readings due to device issues (e.g. low satellite signal in urban canyons) and mistakes by humans manually collecting this data with tablets.

- **Attribute error** is associated with the remaining non-spatial infrastructure data collected with the survey. Some examples are incorrect data entry due to wrong measurements or mistyped data. Lack of access to the information due to obstructions or safety issues may also result in inaccurate data.

- **Conceptual error** refers to errors around identification and classification of relevant infrastructure attributes or related information. Concepts wrongly used can result in information misclassified and information not captured.

Table 1 shows the UFL project data quality-control design to address the three types of errors above. The table illustrates the measures implemented in three stages: before data collection, during data entry, and after data entry.

The Seattle project used four types of resources to carry out quality-control procedures throughout the project stages:

- **Supervisor(s):** are responsible for defining and enforcing the data collection standards and methodology; training the collectors; and monitoring and maintaining the database. The supervisor handled the data-control measures implemented before data collection and after data entry.

- **Collectors:** are responsible for data entry in field and carrying out same-day data quality-control checks after data entry.

- **Survey app:** refers to the digital and online tool that helps create entry constraints, estimates accuracy of the GPS device readings, eases the digitization of the data as it is collected and ends the need for manual information digitalization. The survey app plays an important quality-control role because it is programmed to limit inaccuracies in the data-entry stage by considering the data structure rules, attributes and relationships.

- **Carrier:** refers to the private company (UPS, a UFL member) that collaborated with the research team to review survey locations when it was unclear if the locations were used for freight operations, such as when locations had a closed door during the survey. The carrier-check happens after the collectors finish their same-day checks.
### Table 1. UFL Data Quality-Control Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE 1. BEFORE COLLECTION</th>
<th>STAGE 2. DURING DATA ENTRY</th>
<th>STAGE 3. AFTER DATA ENTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In office</td>
<td>In field</td>
<td>In office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supervisor(s)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Collector(s)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Survey App</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collector(s)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Carrier</strong></td>
<td><strong>Supervisor(s)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positional</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Establish physical reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop questionnaire logic to capture GPS device reading errors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Train data collectors to clean geolocation data in office following data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deliver training session to collectors about GPS location collection with survey app</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Follow instructions to always remain aware of their location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Keep track of surveyed infrastructure location with hard copies of maps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Include manual collection of GPS reading by dropping location pin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Includes updated base map with city blocks and building outlines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conduct same-day check of surveyed infrastructure location by comparing ArcGIS Online map with hard copy of map</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attributes (infrastructure features)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Build questionnaire’s data-entry constrains in survey app</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deliver theoretical training session to data collectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Train data collectors to clean attributes data in office following data collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deliver training on data collection with survey app and measurement devices for infrastructure features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Take clear photos to aid data entries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Includes visual and written aid for data fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Conduct same-day check of data collected in field with survey pictures using ArcGIS Online platform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conceptual (infrastructure concepts)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Establish metadata and vocabulary related to the surveyed infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deliver theoretical training to data collectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Train collectors in field on how to identify infrastructure relevant to the survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Write open-ended comments, take additional pictures and use “Other” categories for “undefined” cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resolve “undefined” cases due to lack of access to information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NA = Not applicable</strong></td>
<td><strong>NA = Not applicable</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NA = Not applicable</strong></td>
<td><strong>NA = Not applicable</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NA = Not applicable</strong></td>
<td><strong>NA = Not applicable</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Check numeric fields for outliers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conduct second inspections in surveys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Review collectors’ positional check.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Identify outliers by finding geopoints out of their corresponding city block</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Correctly geocode outliers based on Google Maps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STEP 7: RECRUITING AND TRAINING OF DATA COLLECTORS

Recruiting

The workforce requirements (number of data collectors and supervisors needed) are determined by the project budget, timeline and survey length. Security concerns and survey complexity may also result in different workforce needs. For instance, data collectors may work better in teams of two to improve security conditions and enable efficient operation of the multiple data-collection instruments (e.g. laser measurement device, iPad, etc.).

In addition to data collection in field, data-collection staff may spend time commuting to and from the study area and within the study area, as well as conducting data quality-control tasks in office. These tasks will take a varying amount of time depending on the nature, size and location of the study area, and are important to consider when estimating workforce needs in relation to the desired project duration. For this project, data collectors were compensated for their time in transit to get to the study area.

Training

Three different training sessions are suggested for data collectors:

The first session instructs data collectors in concepts and attributes regarding the private loading/unloading infrastructure.

This training session can be done in a classroom-type setting, with a slide presentation introducing the audience to private loading/unloading infrastructure and the various features and concepts that surround them. The research project should be explained, providing everyone with the goal, process, timeline, and information on shifts. Security in field is also addressed.

The second session focuses on practical aspects of data collection, such as how to use the questionnaire in the tablet app and the measurement tools. This training session should be done in-field to give the collectors real-world practice with the materials and process.

This training session should lead collectors through the actual process of collecting data. Attention should be paid to teaching how to take accurate measurements with the laser, how to use the hard-copy maps, and how to effectively divide collection work between the pair. One person may become very familiar with the measurement tools and always take measurements; the other may become adept at navigating and filling in the survey tool and always take responsibility for this task. Security in field is also addressed.

The third session centers on how to implement data quality-control measures.

After every shift in-field, one of the data collectors in each pair must clean the data he or she just collected. The third training session should be dedicated to this data-cleaning process: how to access the survey data results and how to properly clean the data, noting common errors to look for and needed changes to make.
STEP 8: DATA COLLECTION

The actual data-collection step depends on the size of the study area and, subsequently, the size of the workforce required. For the First Hill/Capitol Hill inventory, a total of 230 person-hours was required to survey 96 private freight loading/unloading infrastructures across 421 city blocks. It is recommended that data collectors work in two-person teams: one member normally inputs information on the tablet and the second takes measurements, updates the hard-copy inventory sheet, and maps each location surveyed. Depending on collectors’ schedules, works shifts can be formed around a geographic area, with more city blocks included if the shift is longer. A check-out and check-in process can be developed for collectors to pick up and drop off the required materials needed for each shift. Supervisors must make sure territory assignments are formed and hard-copy maps are printed for each team and shift. Data collectors use hard-copy maps to know what area they are assigned, to help with quality control for positional errors, and to update progress on data collection.

Security in field

Safety of data collectors visiting the city blocks and surveying the infrastructure is paramount. It is essential to have a multilayer communications plan in place for all parties with an interest in the study area and the survey. It is also essential to have a comprehensive security protocol to avoid unsafe situations in field.

Data collectors should carry official documents from the sponsoring agency explaining the project and granting data-collection authorization. The documents should include agency official contact information should questions arise in field. Police and other relevant agencies should be informed and recruited to help communicate with all building managers in the survey area. Relevant agencies can also disseminate information on the survey and its progress to communicate with the public and relevant stakeholders. This communication can indicate where surveyors will be working and when. In Seattle, for example, the Seattle Police Department notified all building managers in the survey area in real time through the Seattle Shield program, a pre-existing information exchange for building operators and the police. SDOT also set up a new webpage at http://www.seattle.gov/transportation/thefinal50feet.htm to communicate with the public and relevant stakeholders.

STEP 9: DATA CLEANING

After data collection, data must be cleaned. Both the data collectors and the supervisors play a role in this effort, which is detailed further in Table C-1, Stage 3. The data collector must conduct a check of the surveyed infrastructure locations after having completed in-field data collection. This step makes the final cleaning of the complete dataset easier and more efficient. The supervisor(s) can conduct their data-cleaning steps during the collection process, but must perform a comprehensive clean after all the data has been collected.

The research team collaborated with experienced UPS drivers who regularly serve the study area to identify survey locations that were closed during the survey. This step allowed the team to rule out 186 of the closed-door locations across this and the earlier 2017 data collection, reducing uncertainty in the total inventory from 33% to less than 1%. This survey of UPS drivers proved integral to accurately documenting and understanding the private inventory.
As shown in the driver survey form (Figure 1), for any facility in question, drivers were given detailed location information (including photos that gave context for the door in question) and were asked whether the space was used for loading/unloading.

Figure 1. Form UPS Drivers Used for Closed-Door Locations to Determine if Used for Freight
**STEP 10: PUT TOGETHER AND SUMMARIZE THE DATA**

Varying city needs may require different final formats. The final format can be a database made of spreadsheets with relationship between them. In the Seattle project, each private loading/unloading infrastructure was considered a point feature layer on a GIS map. Most information about this infrastructure was stored in a corresponding attribute table. Pictures of private loading/unloading infrastructure features were also collected and stored as JPEG files with a naming convention that allowed them to relate to the corresponding infrastructure.

In Seattle, the final format is an up-to-date geodatabase with detailed features of private loading/unloading infrastructure represented as a point feature on the GIS map.
PART 1. Facility Access and Location

1. How is the infrastructure accessed? From a: □ Alleyway □ One-way alleyway □ Street

   □ If the answer is “street”:
   1.1 What is the name of street? ________

   □ If the answer is “alleyway” or “one-way alleyway”:
   1.2 What is the name of the street closest to where the facility access is located? ________

   □ If the answer is “one-way alleyway”:
   1.3 Traffic flow direction?

2. Is necessary to through a gate to access the infrastructure? □ Yes □ No

   □ If the answer is “Yes”:
   2.1 Take a picture of gate entrance.

   □ If the answer is “Yes” or “one-way alleyway”:
   2.2 Horizontal clearance at the gate: ________

   □ If the answer is “One-way alleyway”:
   2.3 Capture GPS coordinate of gate by dropping location pin.

3. Are there any visible security measures that limited the usage of the infrastructure by a delivery vehicle? (Take picture if there are)

   □ Physical barrier □ Access Code □ Personal interaction □ None □ Other: ________

4. Is the infrastructure visible or partially visible? □ Yes □ No

   □ If the answer is “No”:
   4.1 Is there indication of a space dedicated to loading/unloading? (Take picture if there is) □ Yes □ No

   □ If the answer is “Yes”:
   4.2 Proceed to “Part 2.A”

   □ If the answer is “Yes” or “One-way alleyway”:
   4.3 Take a picture of the infrastructure.

   □ If the answer is “One-way alleyway”:
   4.4 Capture GPS coordinate of infrastructure by dropping location pin.

   □ If the answer is “One-way alleyway”:
   4.5 What is the level of infrastructure respective to street?

   □ Substructure (below street) □ Suprastructure (above street) □ Level with street

   □ If the answer is “No”:
   4.5.1 Proceed to “Form 1”

   □ If the answer is “Yes”:
   4.5.1 Proceed to “Part 2.B”

   □ If the answer is “No”:
   4.5.2 Proceed to “Part 2.C”

PART 2.A - Undefined infrastructure

5. Is there a door for truck access? (Take picture if there is) □ Yes □ No

   □ If the answer is “Yes”:
   5.1 Input door height ________ 5.2 Input door width ________

   □ If there is a sign of maximum vertical clearance allowed to enter the infrastructure:
   6.1 Take a picture of the clearance sign.

   □ If there is a sign of maximum vertical clearance allowed to enter the infrastructure:
   6.2 Input clearance measure.

### PART 2.B - Loading Bay

10. Access type of the infrastructure vehicle door(s) □ Exit □ Entrance □ Entrance same as exit
   
   If the answer is “Exit”:
   10.1 Survey ID of the entrance corresponding to this exit door: _________

   If the answer is “Entrance”:
   10.2 Survey ID of the exit corresponding to this entrance door: _________

   10.3 Vehicle entrance maneuverability: □ Drive-in □ Back-in

11. Door angle respective to traffic flow: □ Perpendicular □ Parallel □ Angled contrary to traffic flow

   □ Angled to traffic flow □ Angled (lane with bidirectional flow)

12. How many doors 8 x 8 ft. or larger act as the same vehicle door access type surveyed?
   
   Note: Questions from 13 to 15 repeat as many times as the total number of doors.

13. Door height: ______________

14. Door width: ______________

15. If there is a sign of maximum vertical clearance allowed to enter the infrastructure:

   15.1 Take a picture of the clearance sign.

   15.2 Clearance measure ________

16. Total number of truck spaces: ______________

17. If there is a dock:

   17.1 Number of truck spaces with direct access to loading dock platform: _________

   17.2 Dock height: _________

   17.3 Take a picture of the dock.

### PART 2.C - Exterior Loading Area or Loading Dock

18. Is the infrastructure partially or completely covered? □ Yes □ No

   If the answer is “Yes”:
   18.1 Minimum clearance between coverture & ground of parking space: _________

19. Is there a dock? (Take a picture if there is) □ Yes □ No

   If the answer is “No”:
   19.1 Total number of truck spaces: ______________

   If the answer is “Yes”:
   19.2 Dock height: _________

   19.3 Dock angle respective to traffic flow: □ Perpendicular □ Parallel □ Angled contrary to traffic flow

   □ Angled to traffic flow □ Angled (lane with bidirectional flow)

19.4 Is there a dock leveling? (Take a picture if there is) □ Yes □ No

19.5 Is the dock platform behind building walls? □ Yes □ No

   If the answer is “No”:
   19.5.1 Total number of truck parking spaces:

   19.5.2 Number of truck spaces with direct access to loading dock platform: _________

   If the answer is “Yes”:
   19.5.3 How many dock doors are there?

   Note 1: If there are more than one dock door take a picture of group of dock doors.

   Note 2: Questions from 19.5.4 to 19.5.7 repeat as many times as the total number of dock door(s).

   19.5.4 Take picture of dock door. 19.5.5 Door height: _________ 19.5.6 Door width: _________
PRIVATE INFRASTRUCTURE SURVEY METADATA

1. OBJECT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer file</th>
<th>Freight loading and unloading private infrastructure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metadata Form Date:</td>
<td>8/28/2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. DATA SET INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Freight loading and unloading private infrastructure.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract:</td>
<td>Location, features and pictures of private freight infrastructure based on infrastructure survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent:</td>
<td>Capitol Hill, First Hill, Pike/Pine, 12th Ave, International District (West of I-5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collection dates:</td>
<td>July 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose:</td>
<td>Location and features of off-street urban freight infrastructure in private and public buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental information:</td>
<td>NA: Information that is not applicable to that case. Unknown: Information that was not visible from the street or alley or was not possible to measure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyword(s):</td>
<td>Seattle, off-street freight infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. ATTRIBUTE INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE</th>
<th>CODE DOMAIN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KEY_ID</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Freight loading and unloading private infrastructure ID.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Date when the survey was taken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Time when the survey was taken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF_TYPE</td>
<td>Internal loading bay access, Exterior loading dock, Exterior loading area, Undefined</td>
<td>Type of freight infrastructure See Section 5 Definitions for a further description of the categories of this variable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROAD_TYP</td>
<td>Alleyway, One way Alleyway, Street</td>
<td>Type of public road for vehicles from where the facility may be accessed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Street: infrastructure access point is accessible from a street.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alleyway: infrastructure access point is accessible from an alleyway.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>One way alleyway: infrastructure access point is accessible from alleyway with a sign indicating one-way vehicular flow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLEY_DIR</td>
<td>North, South, East, West, Northeast, Northwest, Southeast, Southwest</td>
<td>Traffic direction of the one-way alleyway. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STREET</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If ROAD_TYP = “Street,” name of the street from which the facility access is located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If ROAD_TYP = “Alleyway” or ROAD_TYP = “One way alleyway,” name of the street closest to where the facility access is located.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATE</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>Indicates the need to cross a gate outside exterior building walls to access the infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC_SEC</td>
<td>Foldable security gate, vehicle barrier, access code, personal interaction, camera, other, none</td>
<td>Type of security measure used to access the facility, and that was visible at the time of the survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foldable security gate: Gates that control access to hallways and receiving doors without affecting ventilation or visibility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicle barrier: physical barrier on the drive to of the infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access code: keypad in which code must be inputted to access facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personal interaction: access to facility granted via interaction with a gatekeeper such as a guard or receptionist.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camera: surveillance cameras.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None: no barriers to access facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC_OTHER</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If ACC_SEC = “Other,” text description of the security measure specified as other. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. ATTRIBUTE INFORMATION Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE</th>
<th>CODE DOMAIN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INF_VIS</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>Indicates if there is complete or partial visibility of infrastructure. Visible or partially visible infrastructure includes situations with enough visibility of the infrastructure from survey location to manually record GPS location by dropping a pin on mobile data collection app.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOAD_USE</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>Describes if there is any indication that space is dedicated to loading or unloading goods. The Indication includes but it is not limited to pallets, signs and a parked truck.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POINT_X</td>
<td>In linear feet calculated with ArcGIS</td>
<td>X coordinate of the infrastructure access point from GIS coordinates. Projected Coordinate System: NAD_1983_HARN_StatePlane_Washington_North_FIPS_4601_Feet Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POINT_Y</td>
<td>In linear feet calculated with ArcGIS</td>
<td>Y coordinate of the infrastructure access point from GIS coordinates. Projected Coordinate System: NAD_1983_HARN_StatePlane_Washington_North_FIPS_4601_Feet Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONGITUDE</td>
<td>In decimal degrees calculated with ArcGIS</td>
<td>Longitude of the infrastructure access point from GIS coordinates. World Geodetic System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator (Auxiliary Sphere) [WGS84] coordinate system Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LATITUDE</td>
<td>In decimal degrees calculated with ArcGIS</td>
<td>Latitude of the infrastructure access point from GIS coordinates. World Geodetic System: WGS 1984 Web Mercator (Auxiliary Sphere) [WGS84] coordinate system Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF_LEVEL</td>
<td>Substructure, Superstructure, Level</td>
<td>If INF_VIS = “yes”, indicates at what level the infrastructure is placed compared to the level of the street. Substructure indicates the infrastructure is below the level of the street. Superstructure refers to infrastructure above the level of the street. Level indicates that the infrastructure is at the level of the street. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRK_DOOR</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>If INF_TPYE = Undefined, indicates if there is a vehicle door greater than 8ft. x8ft. at the surveyed location in the case of limited information regarding the preferred use of the space or visibility of infrastructure. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. ATTRIBUTE INFORMATION Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE</th>
<th>CODE DOMAIN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRKDR_HGT</td>
<td>Feet</td>
<td>If TRK_DOOR = “Yes,”&lt;br&gt;height of vehicle door in case of limited information. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If TRK_DOOR = “Yes,”&lt;br&gt;width of vehicle door in case of limited information. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIRTUAL_TYP</td>
<td>Exit, Entrance, Entrance same as exit</td>
<td>If INF_TYP = “Internal loading bay access,”&lt;br&gt;the type of vehicle access to the internal loading bay. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_ANGLE</td>
<td>Perpendicular, angled to traffic flow, angled contrarily to traffic flow, parallel to traffic flow, angled</td>
<td>If INF_TYP = “Internal loading bay access,”&lt;br&gt;angle between a vector perpendicular to the internal loading bay door and towards the traffic flow outside the building and a vector parallel to the traffic flow. &lt;br&gt;Angled refers to cases of internal loading bays on bi-directional roads such as bi-directional alleyways, where the Internal loading bay door angle could be contrary to traffic flow. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENT_ID</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If VH_ACC_TYP = “Exit,”&lt;br&gt;KEY_ID of the corresponding Internal loading bay entrance. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXF_ID</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If VH_ACC_TYP = “Entrance,”&lt;br&gt;KEY_ID of the respective internal loading bay exit. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN_MANEUVR</td>
<td>Drive-in, back-in</td>
<td>If VH_ACC_TYP = “Entrance” OR VH_ACC_TYP = “Entrance and exit,”&lt;br&gt;entrance maneuverability of trucks to enter Internal loading bay. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAY_DOORS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If INF_TYP = “Internal loading bay access,”&lt;br&gt;number of doors for vehicles to access the internal loading bay and with of the same type as indicated in VH_ACC_TYP. &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVER</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>If INF_TYP is different to “Internal loading bay access,”&lt;br&gt;indicates if the infrastructure is partially or entirely covered in the case of an infrastructure not enclosed within the exterior building walls (exterior loading area or exterior loading dock). &lt;br&gt;Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATTRIBUTE</td>
<td>CODE DOMAIN</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COV_HIGHT</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If COVER = “Yes,” a measure of minimum clearance between coverture and ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of parking space in the case of infrastructures different to Internal loading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bays and covered. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEAR_SIGN</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>Indicates if there is any sign with maximum vertical clearance allowed to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>enter the infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEARANCE</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If CLEAR_SIGN = “Yes,” maximum vertical clearance allowed to enter infra-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>structure as indicated in clearance sign.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_HIGHT1</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS = 1, height of door of Internal loading bay. If BAY_DOORS &gt; 1,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>height of door 1 of Internal loading bay. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_WIDTH1</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS = 1, width of door 1 of Internal loading bay. If BAY_DOORS &gt; 1,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>width of door 1 of Internal loading bay. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL_DIF_YN1</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 1 AND CLEAR_SIGN = “Yes,” indicates if there is a clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sign specific to door 1 and different to the clearance sign of the infrast-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ructure as collected in variable CLEARANCE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_CLEAR1</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If CL_DIF_YN1 = “Yes,” maximum vertical clearance allowed at door 1 as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>indicated in clearance sign unique to this door.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_HIGHT2</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>BAY_DOORS &gt; 1, height of door 2 of Internal loading bay. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_WIDTH2</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>BAY_DOORS &gt; 1, width of door 2 of Internal loading bay. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL_DIF_YN2</td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 1 AND CLEAR_SIGN = “Yes,” indicates if there is a clearance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sign specific to door 2 and different to the clearance sign of the infrast-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ructure as collected in variable CLEARANCE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_CLEAR2</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If CL_DIF_YN2 = “Yes,” maximum vertical clearance allowed at door 2 as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>indicated in clearance sign specific to this door.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR_HIGHT3</td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>BAY_DOORS &gt; 2, height of door 3 of Internal loading bay. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ATTRIBUTE INFORMATION Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE</th>
<th>CODE DOMAIN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DR_WIDTH3</strong></td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 2, width of door 3 of internal loading bay. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CL_DIF_YN3</strong></td>
<td>Yes, No</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 2 AND CLEAR_SIGN = “Yes,” indicates if there is a clearance sign specific to door 3 and different to the clearance sign of the infrastructure as collected in variable CLEARANCE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DR_CLEAR3</strong></td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If CL_DIF_YN3 = “Yes,” maximum vertical clearance allowed at door 3 as indicated in clearance sign specific to this door.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOCK</strong></td>
<td>Yes or No</td>
<td>If INF_TPY is different to “Undefined,” indicates the presence or not of a dock. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPACES</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If INF_TPYE is different to “Undefined,” total number of truck spaces including those with loading dock in the case that DOCK = “Yes”, and without loading dock. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DK_ANGLE</strong></td>
<td>Perpendicular, angled to traffic flow, angled contrary to traffic flow, parallel to traffic flow, angled</td>
<td>If INF_TPYE = “Exterior loading dock,” the angle between a vector perpendicular to the dock and towards the traffic flow outside the building and a vector parallel to the traffic flow. Angled refers to cases of exterior loading docks on bi-directional roads such as bi-directional alleyways, where the dock angle could be contrary or to traffic flow. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IN_PLAT</strong></td>
<td>Yes or No</td>
<td>If INF_TPYE = “Exterior loading dock” indicates if the exterior loading dock has the platform inside exterior building walls. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPACES_LD</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If DOCK = “Yes” number of truck spaces with loading dock. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOCK_HEIGHT</strong></td>
<td>In feet</td>
<td>If DOCK = “Yes,” indicates the fixed height of loading dock platform. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOCK_LEV</strong></td>
<td>Yes or No</td>
<td>If DOCK = “Yes,” indicates the presence or not of a dock leveler. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DCK_DRS</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If IN_PLAT = “Yes,” number of exterior loading docks with platform inside exterior building walls and next to the one surveyed. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BLDG_ADDR</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>If INF_TYPE = “Undefined” OR “Not an internal loading bay,” indicates the address of the building. Otherwise, “NA.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. PICTURES INFORMATION

The picture database related to the infrastructure database consists of a folder with all pictures in JPG format collected in the field for each infrastructure. The pictures in the database follow a naming system that allows identifying each of the pictures corresponding to each infrastructure. The JPG files are named as follows:

“Key ID of infrastructure_Variable name of the picture.jpg.”

Key ID variable is described in Section 3 above and consist of an integer that serves as a unique identifier of each infrastructure in the database. Variable name of the picture refers to each of the possible variable names of type picture that relate to a specific feature of the infrastructure as described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE NAME</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALY_ST_PIC</td>
<td>Picture of alleyway at intersection with the street closest to the infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATE_PIC</td>
<td>If GATE = “Yes,” picture of the infrastructure gate outside building exterior walls.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC_PIC1</td>
<td>Picture of the access security measure as indicated in ACC_SEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC_PIC2</td>
<td>If selected options of ACC_SEC are greater than 1, picture of the access security measure as indicated in ACC_SEC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDUSE_PIC</td>
<td>If LOAD_USE = “Yes,” picture of the indication that the space is dedicated to loading or unloading goods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF_PIC1</td>
<td>Picture 1 of the infrastructure surveyed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF_PIC2</td>
<td>Picture 2 of the infrastructure surveyed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRKDR_PIC</td>
<td>If TRK_DOOR = “Yes,” picture of vehicle door in case of limited information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAYDRS_PIC</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 1, picture of group of doors of the Internal loading bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEAR_PIC</td>
<td>If CLEAR_SIGN = “Yes,” picture of clearance sign of the infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOOR_PIC1</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS = 1, picture of door of Internal loading bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 1, picture of door 1 of Internal loading bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLEAR_PIC2</td>
<td>If CL_DIF_YN2 = “Yes,” picture of clearance sign at door 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOOR_PIC2</td>
<td>BAY_DOORS &gt; 1, picture of door 2 of Internal loading bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOOR_PIC3</td>
<td>If BAY_DOORS &gt; 2, picture of door 3 of Internal loading bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK_LEV_PIC</td>
<td>If DOCK_LEV = “Yes,” picture of dock leveler.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCK_GR_PIC</td>
<td>If DCK_DRS &gt; 1, picture of group of exterior loading docks with platform inside exterior building walls and next to the one surveyed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. DEFINITIONS

5.1. General definitions

**Building exterior wall.** The walls of a building that separate spaces, partly or entirely unobstructed to the sky, from spaces inside the building.

**Internal loading bay.** An enclosed space inside the building with an entrance/exit point (e.g., roll-up doors, garage doors) that act as a continuation of the upper parts of the building. This space is partially or completely dedicated to unloading and loading activities. It has entrances and exits greater than 8 feet x 8 feet for commercial vehicles. Internal loading bays can have loading docks and truck parking spaces with or without access to a loading dock.

**Loading dock.** An elevated platform that facilitates shipping and delivery operations.

**Dock leveler.** An adjustable mechanized platform built into the edge of a loading dock. The platform can be moved vertically or tilted to accommodate the handling of goods or material to or from trucks.

5.2. Code definitions

FAP_TYPE code dictionary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal loading bay access Point</td>
<td>Access point for an internal loading bay that can function as an entrance, exit or both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior loading dock</td>
<td>A loading dock that is located outside of building exterior wall. Exterior loading docks can be entirely open to the sky or partially or completely covered by a canopy or upper part of the building. Additionally, exterior loading docks can also include inside loading platforms, where trucks dock the cargo compartment to a dock door.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior loading area</td>
<td>Space for loading and unloading out of the exterior building walls of a building and without a loading dock. Exterior loading zones can be unobstructed to the sky, partially or completely covered by a canopy or upper building levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>The location that can potentially be a Internal loading bay entrance/exit. No information is available because a barrier impedes the data collection, there were not on-site signs indicating their possible use as private freight access points.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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With your cooperation, UW and SDOT can use the information these students are gathering to improve delivery to buildings downtown and potentially traffic flow in the Capitol Hill and First Hill Neighborhoods.

If you have questions or concerns, please feel free to contact either of us for more information.

Thank you,

Christopher Eaves P.E.  
Senior Civil Engineering Specialist  
Office: 
Cell: 

Jude Willcher A.I.C.P.  
Strategic Advisor  
Office: 

Seattle Municipal Tower  
700 5th Avenue, Ste 3800  
PO Box 34996  
Seattle WA 98124-4996  

Tel (206) 684-ROAD / (206) 684-5000  
Fax: (206) 684-5180  
Hearing Impaired use the Washington Relay Service (7-1-1)  
www.seattle.gov/transportation
Glossary

**Private Loading/Unloading Infrastructure**
Private loading/unloading infrastructure includes loading bays and exterior loading areas and docks that are out of the public right of way and (generally) privately owned and managed.

**Loading Dock**
An elevated platform that facilitates shipping and delivery operations, located outside a building’s exterior wall, either completely open to the sky or partially or completely covered by a canopy or upper-level building feature. Exterior loading docks can include interior loading platforms, where trucks dock their cargo compartment to a dock door.

**Loading Bay**
An enclosed space inside the building with an entrance/exit point (e.g. roll-up or garage doors). This space is at least partially dedicated to unloading and loading activities with entrances and exits greater than 8 feet x 8 feet for commercial vehicles. Loading bays often have loading docks; some truck parking spaces may be directly adjacent to the dock, others may not.

**Loading Area**
Parking space for loading/unloading located outside a building’s exterior wall, but without a loading dock. Exterior loading areas can be completely open to the sky, or partially or completely covered by a canopy or upper-level building feature.

**Apron**
A driveway (entranceway) that starts at the curb and continues until the start of the alley pavement. The apron edge uses a curb cut to provide vehicle access from the street.

**Dock-levelers**
An adjustable mechanized platform built into the loading dock edge that can move vertically or tilt to accommodate delivery.

**World Street Basemap**
This worldwide street map presents highway-level data for the world. Street-level data includes the United States; much of Canada; Mexico; Europe; Japan; Australia and New Zealand; India; South America and Central America; Africa; and most of the Middle East.

**Active Management**
Active management requires integrating and analyzing real-time data (times of day and for how long vehicles are in public and private load/unload spaces) collected via multiple sensory technologies and applied to a coordinated load/unload network.